

# Basics of Option Pricing

with an application of the Lebesgue integral

Maria Jerónimo Martins  
Martim Roberto Alves da Costa

November 1, 2021

## 1 Introduction

There is a class of financial instruments, called *derivatives*, whose value is dependent on the value of another security (typically stock), called the *underlying security*, or simply *underlying*. The most well-known example of derivatives are stock options, which split into *call* and *put* options. The former grants the right to purchase the underlying stock at a fixed price  $K$ , called the *strike price*, at a specified date, called the *expiration date*.<sup>1</sup> A put option grants the right to sell the underlying at an agreed upon strike price and expiration date.

One of the fundamental problems in mathematical finance is the determination of the *fair value* of such financial instruments. This problem, called the *derivative pricing problem*, is explored in this paper for European options with the purpose of applying the Lebesgue integral.

## 2 Pricing an European Option

Consider an option with strike price  $K$ , expiration date  $T$ , and denote the spot price of the underlying stock at time  $t \in [0, T]$  by  $S(t)$ . Its price at time  $t$  will be denoted by  $f(S(t))$ . In general, when the expiration date arrives, it only makes sense to exercise an option, if and only if, there is a positive return. The *payoff* functions, at time  $t = T$ , for the buyer of an option are

Call	Put
$(S(T) - K)^+$	$(K - S(T))^+$

where  $X^+ = \max\{X, 0\}$ .

### 2.1 Arbitrage

In the discussion that follows, we always assume that a *risk-free asset* is available to the investor, at any quantity. This is an asset that generally increases in

---

<sup>1</sup>To be specific, this is a description of an *European* call option.

value, and the rate at which it increases over any given time interval is known in advance. We also assume a *perfect market*—there are no transaction costs, the lending rate equals the borrowing rate, and that there are no restrictions on short selling—and that it is *frictionless*—all transactions take place immediately.

An *arbitrage opportunity* is an investment opportunity that is guaranteed not to result in a loss and may (with positive probability) result in a gain. The fact that markets adjust to eliminate arbitrage leads to the fundamental principle of asset pricing: *it only makes sense to price securities under the assumption that there is no arbitrage*. This is called the *no-arbitrage principle*.

An elementary implication of this principle is that two portfolios,  $A$  and  $B$ , with the same payoff function regardless of the state of the economy, must, at time  $t = 0$ , be equally valuable[2]

$$\mathcal{V}_A(0) = \mathcal{V}_B(0).$$

This means that if we construct a portfolio whose initial value is known and whose payoff function equals that of our European option, then we can consequently also price the option itself. Such a portfolio is known as a *replicating portfolio*.

We now apply the previous corollary to derive a relationship between the prices of a put and call option. Suppose that a stock is currently selling at a price of  $S(0)$  per share. Let  $P$  and  $C$  denote the price of an European put and call, respectively, both with the same strike price  $K$  and expiration date  $T$ . Let also  $d(0)$  represent the present value of any dividends paid by the stock during the period in question and  $r$  denote the risk-free rate. A portfolio consisting of a put and a call will have initial value equal to  $C - P$  and a payoff at time  $T$  equal to

$$(S(T) - K)^+ - (K - S(T))^+ = S(T) - K.$$

Now consider a portfolio consisting of a share of the aforementioned stock and a debt of  $x$  dollars, so that its initial value is  $S(0) - x$  and payoff at time  $T$  equal to

$$S(T) - xe^{rT} + d(0)e^{rT}.$$

By equating the two payoff functions, we obtain that

$$S(T) - xe^{rT} + d(0)e^{rT} = S(T) - K,$$

which implies that  $x = Ke^{-rT} + d(0)$ . With this value for  $x$  the two payoffs are equal, and we conclude that the initial values must also be identical, i.e.

$$C - P = S(0) - Ke^{-rT} - d(0).$$

This last equation is called the *put-call parity formula*.

## 2.2 Connection with the Lebesgue Integral

An European stock option can be modeled as a random variable on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  of the form  $Y(T) = f(S(T))$ , where the underlying security is

described in continuous time  $t \in [0, T]$  with prices  $S(t)$ . Under certain models[1], we can assert that

$$Y(0) = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}(f(S(T))) \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(f(S(T))) &= \int_{\Omega} f(S(T)) \, d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \, d\mathbb{P}_{f(S(T))}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Applying this to the put and call considered in the previous section yields

$$\begin{aligned} P &= e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}((K - S(T))^+), \\ C &= e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}((S(T) - K)^+). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the underlying pays no dividends, and thus  $d(0) = 0$ . We can plug the new formulas for  $C$  and  $P$  into the put-call parity identity, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} S(0) &= C - P + Ke^{-rT} \\ &= e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}((S(T) - K)^+) - e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}((K - S(T))^+) + Ke^{-rT} \\ &= e^{-rT} (\mathbb{E}((S(T) - K)^+) - \mathbb{E}((K - S(T))^+) + K) \\ &= e^{-rT} \left( \int_{\Omega} (S(T) - K)^+ \, d\mathbb{P} - \int_{\Omega} (K - S(T))^+ \, d\mathbb{P} + K \right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $S(T) < K$ , the first integral evaluates to 0, and similarly for the integral pertaining to the price of the put when  $S(T) \geq K$ . Note also that these two sets partition  $\Omega$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S(0) &= e^{-rT} \left( \int_{S(T) \geq K} S(T) - K \, d\mathbb{P} - \int_{S(T) < K} K - S(T) \, d\mathbb{P} + K \right) \\ &= e^{-rT} \int_{\Omega} S(T) \, d\mathbb{P} \\ &= e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}(S(T)). \end{aligned}$$

That  $S(0)$  is independent of  $K$  implies a version of a famous theorem in finance, called the *Miller-Modigliani theorem*, which states that two companies, identical in all ways except the way they are financed, have the same enterprise value.[1]

## References

- [1] Marek Capiński and Peter Ekkehard Kopp. *Measure, integral and probability*, volume 14. Springer, 2004.
- [2] Steven Roman. *Introduction to the mathematics of finance: from risk management to options pricing*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.