MEMORY MANAGEMENT

Chapter 7: Main Memory

CS220: OS -Spring 2021

Numericals

Course Supervisor: Anaum Hamid

Context Switch Time including Swapping

Problem:

100MB process swapping to hard disk with transfer rate of 50MB/sec from main memory.

- Swap Time (sec) = Size (mb)/ transfer rate (mb/sec)
 - Swap Time = $100/50 = 2 \sec = 2000 ms$
 - Swap[out] time of 2000 ms
 - Plus swap in of same sized process
 - Total context switch swapping component time = 4000ms (4 seconds)

Dynamic Storage-Allocation Problem

Given five memory partitions of 100Kb, 500Kb, 200Kb, 300Kb, 600Kb (in order), how would the first-fit, best-fit, and worst-fit algorithms place processes of 212 Kb, 417 Kb, 112 Kb, and 426 Kb (in order)? Which algorithm makes the most efficient use of memory?

First-fit:

212K is put in 500K partition 417K is put in 600K partition 112K is put in 288K partition (new partition 288K = 500K - 212K) 426K must wait

Best-fit:

212K is put in 300K partition 417K is put in 500K partition 112K is put in 200K partition 426K is put in 600K partition

Dynamic Storage-Allocation Problem

Worst-fit:

212K is put in 600K partition 417K is put in 500K partition 112K is put in 388K partition 426K must wait

In this example, best-fit turns out to be the best

Paging

Calculating internal fragmentation

Given:

- Page size = 2,048 bytes
- Process size = 72,766 bytes
- 35 pages + 1,086 bytes

Calculate:

- Internal fragmentation of 2,048 1,086 = 962 bytes
- Worst case fragmentation = 1 frame 1 byte
- On average fragmentation = 1 / 2 frame size
- Process view and physical memory now very different
- By implementation process can only access its own memory

Things to recall

- 2^10= 1 Thousand= 1KB
- 2^20 = 1 million = 1 MB
- 2^30 = 1 Billion = 1 GB
- 2^40 = 1 Trillion = 1 TB

21	=	2	211	=	2,048	221	=	2,097,152
22	=	4	212	=	4,096	222	=	4,194,304
23	=	8	213	=	8,192	223	=	8,388,608
24	=	16	214	=	16,384	224	=	16,777,216
25	=	32	215	=	32,768	225	=	33,554,432
26	=	64	216	=	65,536	226	=	67,108,864
27	=	128	217	=	131,072	227	=	134,217,728
28	=	256	218	=	262,144	228	=	268,435,456
29	=	512	219	=	524,288	229	=	536,870,912
210	=	1,024	220	=	1,048,576	230	=	1,073,741,824

Paging Numerical-1

- Assuming a 1-KB page size, what are the page numbers and offsets for the following address references (provided as decimal numbers):
- a. 3085
- b. 42095
- c. 215201
- d. 650000
- e. 2000001

Page Numerical-1 (Solution2)

```
3085/1024= 3.0126953125
3 = pages
0.126953125 * 1024 = 13
```

Page size = 1 KB = 1024 B

1 112 112 112						
Page number	Offset					
3085/1024 = 3	3085 mod 1024 = 13					
42095/1024 = 41	42095 mod 1024 = 111					
215201/1024 = 210	215201 mod 1024 =161					
650000/1024 =634	650000 mod 1024 =784					
2000001/1024 = 1953	2000001 mod 1024 = 129					

Paging Numerical-2

- Consider a logical address space (LAS) of 32 pages with 1024 words per page; mapped onto a physical memory of 16 frames.
- 1. How many bits are required in the logical address?
- 2. How many bits are required in the physical address?

Answer:

- 1. $2^5 * 2^10 = 2^15 = 15$ bits.
- 2. $2^4 * 2^10 = 2^14 = 14$ bits.

Effective Access Time [Cont.]

Effective Access Time (EAT)

EAT= α x memory access time (hit) + fail x memory access time (failed)

Effective Access Time [Cont.]

- Consider α = 80%, 100ns for memory access
 - $-EAT = 0.80 \times 100 + 0.20 \times (100+100) = 120$ ns

- Consider more realistic hit ratio -> α = 99% , 100ns for memory access
 - $-EAT = 0.99 \times 100 + 0.01 \times (100+100) = 101 \text{ns}$

EAT Numerical

Consider a paging system with the page table stored in memory.

- 1. If a memory reference takes 200 nanoseconds, how long does a paged memory reference take?
- 2. If we add associative registers, and 75 percent of all page-table references are found in the associative registers, what is the effective memory reference time? (Assume that finding a page-table entry in the associative registers takes zero time if the entry is there.)

EAT Numerical (Sol)

Answer:

- 1. 400 nanoseconds: 200 nanoseconds to access the page table and 200 nanoseconds to access the word in memory.
- 2. Effective access time = $0.75 \times (200 \text{ nanoseconds}) + 0.25 \times (400 \text{ nanoseconds}) = 250 \text{ nanoseconds}.$

Thank you!