



OPEN ACCESS

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Seven-day vonoprazan and low-dose amoxicillin dual therapy as first-line *Helicobacter pylori* treatment: a multicentre randomised trial in Japan

Sho Suzuki ,^{1,2} Takuji Gotoda ,¹ Chika Kusano,¹ Hisatomo Ikehara,¹ Ryoji Ichijima,¹ Motoki Ohyauchi,³ Hirotaka Ito,³ Masashi Kawamura,⁴ Yohei Ogata,⁴ Masahiko Ohtaka,⁵ Moriyasu Nakahara,⁶ Koichi Kawabe⁷

¹Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Nihon University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

²Department of Gastroenterology, Yuri Kumiai General Hospital, Yurihonjo, Akita, Japan

³Department of Gastroenterology, Osaki Citizen Hospital, Osaki, Miyagi, Japan

⁴Department of Gastroenterology, Sendai City Hospital, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

⁵Yamanashi Koseiren Health Care Center, Kofu, Yamanashi, Japan

⁶Department of Gastroenterology, Chichibu Municipal Hospital, Chichibu, Saitama, Japan

⁷Department of Gastroenterology, Fukaya Red Cross Hospital, Fukaya, Saitama, Japan

Correspondence to

Professor Takuji Gotoda, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Nihon University School of Medicine, Tokyo 101-8309, Japan; takuji.gotoda@yahoo.co.jp

Received 27 September 2019

Revised 4 December 2019

Accepted 25 December 2019

Published Online First

8 January 2020

ABSTRACT

Objective To date, no randomised trials have compared the efficacy of vonoprazan and amoxicillin dual therapy with other standard regimens for *Helicobacter pylori* treatment. This study aimed to investigate the efficacy of the 7-day vonoprazan and low-dose amoxicillin dual therapy as a first-line *H. pylori* treatment, and compared this with vonoprazan-based triple therapy.

Design This prospective, randomised clinical trial was performed at seven Japanese institutions. Patients with *H. pylori*-positive culture test and naive to treatment were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to either VA-dual therapy (vonoprazan 20 mg+amoxicillin 750 mg twice/day) or VAC-triple therapy (vonoprazan 20 mg+amoxicillin 750 mg+clarithromycin 200 mg twice/day) for 7 days, with stratification by age, sex, *H. pylori* antimicrobial resistance and institution. Eradication success was evaluated by ¹³C-urea breath test at least 4 weeks after treatment.

Results Between October 2018 and June 2019, 629 subjects were screened and 335 were randomised. The eradication rates of VA-dual and VAC-triple therapies were 84.5% and 89.2% (p=0.203) by intention-to-treat analysis, respectively, and 87.1% and 90.2% (p=0.372) by per-protocol analysis, respectively. VA-dual was non-inferior to VAC-triple in the per-protocol analysis. The eradication rates in strains resistant to clarithromycin for VA-dual were significantly higher than those for VAC-triple (92.3% vs 76.2%; p=0.048). The incidence of adverse events was equal between groups.

Conclusion The 7-day vonoprazan and low-dose amoxicillin dual therapy provided acceptable *H. pylori* eradication rates and a similar effect to vonoprazan-based triple therapy in regions with high clarithromycin resistance.

Trial registration number UMIN000034140.

Significance of this study

What is already known on this subject?

- ▶ Macrolides, including clarithromycin, readily induce changes in the resistome of *Helicobacter pylori*, and the clarithromycin resistance of *H. pylori* is high and increasing worldwide.
- ▶ Usage of clarithromycin should be discontinued as an empirical treatment in wide-scale strategies for *H. pylori* infection.
- ▶ Vonoprazan strongly inhibits gastric acid secretion, and vonoprazan-based triple therapy (VAC-triple) achieves sufficient eradication rates and high safety.

What are the new findings?

- ▶ Vonoprazan and low-dose amoxicillin dual therapy for 7 days (VA-dual) is a regimen with minimal usage of antibiotics and is simpler than current *H. pylori* therapies.
- ▶ VA-dual achieved acceptable eradication rates of 85% in intention-to-treat and 87% in per-protocol analyses.
- ▶ VA-dual achieved an eradication rate of over 85% for both clarithromycin-susceptible and clarithromycin-resistant strains, and achieved a higher eradication rate than VAC-triple against clarithromycin-resistant strains.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- ▶ In the era of growing antimicrobial resistance, VA-dual is a potential new first-line *H. pylori* therapy for cases of high clarithromycin resistance because it provides an acceptable eradication rate and high safety, and will have a potentially less negative impact on future antimicrobial resistance of *H. pylori*.

INTRODUCTION

Helicobacter pylori infection is common chronic bacterial infections in humans, affecting approximately 50% of the global population.¹ Although the prevalence of *H. pylori* is generally declining, the prevalence of the infection and the reinfection rates remain high in several regions.² As *H. pylori* infection causes gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue and gastric cancer, *H.*

pylori eradication treatment is performed worldwide to improve and reduce these conditions.^{3–5}

The eradication effect of *H. pylori* treatment has decreased owing to increasing its antimicrobial resistance. Recent international guidelines recommend four-drug combination therapies containing 2–3 kinds of antibiotics for 10–14 days as the first-line treatment for *H. pylori* in regions with high



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Suzuki S, Gotoda T, Kusano C, et al. *Gut* 2020;**69**:1019–1026.

clarithromycin (CLA) resistance to overcome its antimicrobial resistance.^{6–8} However, these quadruple regimens have several disadvantages, including severe side effects, high cost and low compliance due to the use of multiple antibiotic agents for a long period; these features have hampered its implementation in routine clinical practice. Furthermore, the use of multiple antibiotic agents in *H. pylori* treatment can increase the risk of future antimicrobial resistance. Thus, novel regimens and approaches enabling minimal antibiotic usage and shorter treatment duration are required to prevent antimicrobial resistance while achieving sufficient eradication rates.

Dual therapy composed of a proton-pump inhibitor (PPI) and amoxicillin (AMO) is the simplest regimen for *H. pylori* treatment and, because it is a single antibiotic therapy, we expect it will not contribute to the development of *H. pylori* antibiotic resistance. Maintaining a near-neutral pH in the stomach during eradication therapy is important to succeed dual therapy regimen. Vonoprazan, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, provides a stronger and longer-lasting effect on the gastric acid suppression than other PPIs.⁹ Therefore, vonoprazan is expected to be more effective than other PPIs when used in dual therapy with AMO for the *H. pylori* eradication treatment. However, the efficacy of such dual therapy for *H. pylori* eradication has not been studied yet and no randomised studies have assessed the efficacy of dual therapy consisting of vonoprazan and AMO for *H. pylori* eradication.

The aim of this proof of concept study was to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of the 7-day vonoprazan and low-dose AMO dual therapy (VA-dual) and to compare it with a 7-day vonoprazan, AMO and CLA triple therapy (VAC-triple) as the first-line treatments for *H. pylori*.

METHODS

Study design and ethical issues

This study was designed as a multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the guidelines of the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT). This study was registered with the University Hospital Medical Information Network (UMIN) Clinical Trials Registry (www.umin.ac.jp/ctr/) on 14 September 2018.

Study population

Consecutive patients who underwent oesophagoduodenoscopy to evaluate the cause of any abdominal symptom or screening for upper GI cancer were recruited in seven institutions in Japan between October 2018 and June 2019. All the 629 recruited patients were screened and underwent *H. pylori* culture test through biopsy of the gastric mucosa. Patients were eligible if they were aged 20–79 years and had confirmed *H. pylori* infection by culture test. Patients were excluded if they had any of the following: history of receiving *H. pylori* eradication therapy; allergy to any of the study drugs; history of gastric surgery; use of PPIs, antibiotics or steroids that could not have been discontinued during this study; pregnancy or breast feeding; lack of informed consent.

H. pylori strains and antimicrobial susceptibility test

At least two biopsies were collected from the gastric antrum and corpus to isolate *H. pylori* strains. Biopsy specimens were used to inoculate *Helicobacter* selective agar medium (Nissui Pharmaceuticals, Tokyo, Japan) containing 10% laked horse blood and incubated under microaerophilic condition (85% N₂, 10%

CO₂, 5% O₂) at 37°C for 7–10 days. The culture was considered positive if one or more colonies showed Gram negativity, urease, oxidase, catalase, and spiral or curved rods in morphology.

Antimicrobial susceptibility of *H. pylori* isolates was determined by the microbroth dilution method using Eiken Chemical dry plates (Eiken Chemical, Tokyo, Japan). Each well of a 96-well microplate was coated with twofold serial dilutions of AMO and CLA and air-dried. A saline suspension of the test strain was added to each well, and the cultures were incubated at 35°C for 3 days in a microaerophilic atmosphere (O₂, 10%; CO₂, 5%). The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was defined as the lowest concentration of a test antibiotic that completely inhibited visible bacterial growth. MIC values of $\geq 0.12 \mu\text{g/mL}$ for AMO and $\geq 1 \mu\text{g/mL}$ for CLA were defined as resistance break points.

Randomisation and trial intervention

The 335 eligible patients were randomly assigned to receive either VA-dual or VAC-triple in a 1:1 allocation ratio. VA-dual consisted of 20 mg vonoprazan (Takeda Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) twice daily and 750 mg AMO twice daily for 7 days. The VAC-triple consisted of 20 mg vonoprazan twice daily, 750 mg AMO twice daily and 200 mg CLA twice daily for 7 days. AMO and CLA used in both therapies were from Takeda Pharmaceutical or of generic branding. The treatment group was randomly assigned by the UMIN Medical Research Support INDICE Cloud System. Randomisation was performed by dynamic balancing using the minimisation method, with stratification by age (<60 years vs ≥ 60 years), sex (male vs female), AMO resistance of *H. pylori* (MIC < 0.12 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ vs MIC $\geq 0.12 \mu\text{g/mL}$), CLA resistance of *H. pylori* (MIC < 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ vs MIC $\geq 1 \mu\text{g/mL}$) and institution. A computer-generated randomisation list was used, which was preordered for each stratum. The randomisation sequence was concealed for all investigators. Patients and investigators were not blinded to the allocated treatment group.

Study outcomes

The primary endpoint in this study was the *H. pylori* eradication rate in the VA-dual and VAC-triple groups. Eradication success was evaluated at least 4 weeks after the treatment period using the ¹³C-urea breath test (UBT) (UBIT tablet; Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) with success defined as a result of <2.5‰. Prior to the UBT, patients were not permitted to take PPIs or any antibiotics. The primary analysis was determined by intention-to-treat (ITT) and per-protocol (PP) analyses. The ITT analysis was defined to include all randomised patients. The patients who were lost to follow-up or did not undergo UBT were regarded as treatment failures in the ITT analysis. The PP analysis included patients who achieved >80% (6 days) of drug compliance and underwent UBT. Drug compliance was recorded in a specific questionnaire form by patients.

The secondary endpoints were the frequency and severity of adverse events and the comparison of the eradication rates between VA-dual and VAC-triple according to CLA susceptibility or MIC values of AMO. The adverse events induced by the study drugs were documented in a specific questionnaire form filled in by patients for 14 days from the start of the therapy. When patients reported any adverse event in the questionnaire form, the investigators inquired them and assessed the severity using the 1 to 4 grading system based on the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) V5.0.¹⁰ The outcomes in this study were not changed after the trial commenced.




Figure 1 Flow chart of patient enrolment. ITT, intention-to-treat; PP, per protocol; VA-dual, vonoprazan and amoxicillin dual therapy; VAC-triple, vonoprazan, amoxicillin and clarithromycin triple therapy.

Sample size calculation and statistical analysis

In previous studies, the eradication rates were between 94%¹¹ and 91%¹² in similar regimens for first-line *H. pylori* treatment. We assumed *H. pylori* eradication rates of 90% in both VA-dual and VAC-triple groups and used non-inferiority design in this study; statistically, a non-inferiority margin of –10% was the recommended level in a non-inferiority anti-infective trial¹³ and in *H. pylori* treatment trials,^{14–16} although a non-inferiority margin of –10%, compared with the 90% of estimated eradication rate of VAC-triple, may be clinically insufficient. Assuming a power of 80% and an alpha of 0.025 (one-sided), at least 284 patients (142 patients in each group) would be required in the non-inferiority trial. Assuming a follow-up loss of 10%, a sample size of 320 patients (160 patients in each group) was planned.

Comparative non-inferiority of the two groups was assessed through the derivation of a two-sided 95% CI and hypothesis testing (one-sided μ -test). Differences between groups were analysed using Pearson's χ^2 test and Student's *t*-test for categorical and continuous variables, respectively. All *p* values were two-sided, except for the test of non-inferiority, and were considered statistically significant if *p* value <0.05. All analyses were computed using the R V.3.5.2 software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

Patient enrolment and baseline characteristics

The flow chart for patients enrolled in this study is shown in figure 1. In total, 629 patients were assessed for eligibility and underwent *H. pylori* culture test within the 9-month study period; 335 patients were enrolled and randomly assigned to either the VA-dual or the VAC-triple treatment group. The baseline demographic data, clinical characteristics and rates of antimicrobial resistance of *H. pylori* were not significantly different between the two groups (table 1). Two patients in the VA-dual

group and two patients in the VAC-triple group were lost to follow-up and did not undergo UBT.

H. pylori eradication rates

The successful *H. pylori* eradication rates for each therapy are shown in table 2. In the ITT analysis, the *H. pylori* eradication rate was 84.5% (95% CI 78.2% to 89.6%, 142/168) in the

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and prevalence of antimicrobial resistance of study patients

	VA-dual (n=168)	VAC-triple (n=167)	P value
Age (years, mean±SD)	61.2±11.5	61.3±10.4	0.912
Range	34–79	26–79	
Sex (male/female)	106/62	103/64	0.789
BMI (kg/m ² , mean±SD)	23.9±3.2	23.9±3.4	0.979
Range	16.3–35.7	16.1–33.3	
Disease-associated <i>Helicobacter pylori</i>			
Gastric ulcer	18 (10.7%)	25 (15.0%)	0.244
Duodenal ulcer	23 (13.7%)	20 (12.0%)	0.639
ER for gastric neoplasia	9 (5.4%)	6 (3.6%)	0.435
MALT lymphoma	1 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	0.318
Cigarette smoking	38 (22.6%)	41 (24.6%)	0.677
Alcohol drinking	25 (14.9%)	29 (17.4%)	0.536
Daily use PPI before the trial	10 (6.0%)	6 (3.6%)	0.311
Antimicrobial resistance of <i>H. pylori</i>			
Amoxicillin	0	0	–
Clarithromycin	40 (23.8%)	42 (25.1%)	0.776

BMI, body mass index; ER, endoscopic resection; MALT lymphoma, mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma; PPI, proton-pump inhibitor; VAC-triple, vonoprazan, amoxicillin and clarithromycin triple therapy; VA-dual, vonoprazan and amoxicillin dual therapy.

Table 2 Eradication rates of each therapy group

Analysis	VA-dual	VAC-triple	Difference from VAC-triple (adjusted 95% CI for difference)	P value for difference*	P value for non-inferiority†
ITT	84.5% (142/168)	89.2% (149/167)	4.7% (−11.8% to 2.4%)	0.203	0.073
95% CI	78.2% to 89.6%	83.5% to 93.5%			
PP	87.1% (142/163)	90.2% (148/164)	3.1% (−9.9% to 3.7%)	0.372	0.024
95% CI	81.0% to 91.8%	84.6% to 94.3%			

*The p values were obtained from two-sided comparisons of difference between the VA-dual group and VAC-triple group.

†The p values were obtained from one-sided test comparisons of non-inferiority between the VA-dual group and VAC-triple group.

ITT, intention-to-treat; PP, per protocol; VAC-triple, vonoprazan, amoxicillin and clarithromycin triple therapy; VA-dual, vonoprazan and amoxicillin dual therapy.

VA-dual group and 89.2% (95% CI 83.5% to 93.5%, 149/167) in the VAC-triple group. In the PP analysis, the *H. pylori* eradication rate was 87.1% (95% CI 81.0% to 91.8%, 142/163) and 90.2% (95% CI 84.6% to 94.3%, 148/164) in the VA-dual and the VAC-triple groups, respectively.

The lower bound of the 95% CI for the difference of eradication rates of the VA-dual group from VAC-triple group was greater than the prespecified non-inferiority margin in the PP analysis. However, in the ITT analysis, it was less than the non-inferiority margin, and the VA-dual group did not reach statistically significant noninferiority compared with the VAC-triple group.

Eradication rates according to antimicrobial susceptibility of *H. pylori*

The resistance rate of *H. pylori* to CLA was 24.5% (82/335). Subgroup analysis was performed to determine the effect of CLA resistance on the eradication rate in the PP population (figure 2). In the CLA-susceptible strain, the eradication rate in the VAC-triple group was significantly higher than that in the VA-dual group (85.5% vs 95.1%, $p=0.011$). In contrast, for the CLA-resistant strain, the eradication rates in the VA-dual group were significantly higher than those in the VAC-triple group (92.3% vs 76.2%, $p=0.048$). The eradication rates of CLA-susceptible and CLA-resistant strains were similar in the VA-dual group (85.5% vs 92.3%, $p=0.267$). The eradication rate of the CLA-susceptible strain was significantly higher than that of the CLA-resistant strain in the VAC-triple group (95.1% vs 76.2%, $p<0.001$).

The AMO MIC values were categorised into three groups: ≤ 0.015 , 0.03 or 0.06 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. The proportions of each MIC

value were 93.1% (312/335), 5.7% (19/335) and 1.2% (4/335), respectively. The eradication rates in the VA-dual and VAC-triple groups for each AMO MIC group in the PP population are shown in figure 3. The difference in eradication rates between the ≤ 0.015 and 0.03 groups was not significant for the two therapies (VA-dual: 87.7% vs 75.0%, $p=0.294$; VAC-triple: 92.7% vs 77.8%, $p=0.111$). In the VAC-triple group, the eradication rate in the 0.06 of MIC group was significantly lower than that in the ≤ 0.015 of MIC group (25.0% vs 92.7%, $p<0.001$) and also lower than that in the 0.03 of MIC group, although not statistically significant (25.0% vs 77.8%, $p=0.071$).

Compliance and adverse events

Three patients in the VA-dual group and one patient in the VAC-triple group failed to take at least 80% of the study drugs. Among these four patients, two patients in the VA-dual group discontinued the treatment because of skin rash. One patient in the VAC-triple group discontinued the treatment because of diarrhoea and nausea, but underwent UBT, and eradication success was confirmed.

The adverse events of all patients are shown in table 3. The total adverse event rates were similar between the VA-dual and VAC-triple groups (27.4% vs 30.5%, $p=0.524$). Overall, 91.4% of the adverse events were mild (grade 1 in CTCAE) and 8.6% were moderate (grade 2 in CTCAE). There was no indication of severe adverse events (grades 3–4 in CTCAE). All adverse events, except skin rash, were spontaneously cured without intervention. Four patients who developed a skin rash were cured with oral or external anti-allergic agents or low-dose steroids. No patients were hospitalised because of adverse events.




Figure 2 Eradication rates of each therapy groups in the presence of clarithromycin resistance in PP population. CLA, clarithromycin; PP, per protocol; VA-dual, vonoprazan and amoxicillin dual therapy; VAC-triple, vonoprazan, amoxicillin and clarithromycin triple therapy.




Figure 3 Eradication rates of each therapy groups according to MIC value of amoxicillin in PP population. AMO, amoxicillin; MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration; PP, per protocol; VA-dual, vonoprazan and amoxicillin dual therapy; VAC-triple, vonoprazan, amoxicillin and clarithromycin triple therapy.

Table 3 Adverse events in each therapy group

Adverse event	VA-dual (n=168)	VAC-triple (n=167)	P value
Diarrhoea	16 (9.5%)	25 (15.0%)	0.128
Moderate	1	3	
Bloating	20 (11.9%)	14 (8.4%)	0.286
Moderate	1	0	
Constipation	10 (6.0%)	8 (4.8%)	0.637
Skin rash	9 (5.4%)	5 (3.0%)	0.280
Moderate	4	1	
Nausea	5 (3.0%)	4 (2.4%)	0.742
Moderate	0	1	
Abdominal pain	6 (3.6%)	2 (1.2%)	0.155
Moderate	1	0	
Dysgeusia	3 (1.8%)	3 (1.8%)	0.994
Oral mucositis	0	6 (3.6%)	0.013
Others	2 (1.2%)	2 (1.2%)	0.995
Total patients	46 (27.4%)	51 (30.5%)	0.524

VAC-triple, vonoprazan, amoxicillin and clarithromycin triple therapy; VA-dual, vonoprazan and amoxicillin dual therapy.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first randomised controlled study to reveal the efficacy of a 7-day vonoprazan and low-dose AMO dual therapy. The VA-dual therapy provided acceptable *H. pylori* eradication rates of 85% in the ITT analysis and 87% in the PP analysis. The eradication rates of the CLA-resistant strain in the VA-dual therapy were higher than those in the VAC-triple therapy. Moreover, adverse events hindered the compliance of VA-dual therapy in only 1%.

Standard triple therapy (STT) consisting of a PPI, AMO and CLA is no longer effective in many regions of the world owing to increasing CLA resistance of *H. pylori*; four-drug combination therapy such as bismuth-containing quadruple therapy (BQT) or concomitant quadruple therapy (CQT) are currently recommended as first-line treatments in areas with high CLA resistance.^{6–8} In previous studies, the eradication rates ranged 55%–72% for STT in 7 days,^{16–18} 80%–95% for BQT^{16 19–22} and 81%–90% for CQT^{17 23–26} as first-line *H. pylori* eradication treatments. The eradication rates of VA-dual and VAC-triple therapies in this study were higher than those previously reported for the 7-day STT and as high as the ones reported for BQT and CQT although the CLA resistance rate was 25% in this study. The high eradication rates achieved with the VA-dual and VAC-triple therapies could be attributable to

strong gastric acid suppression and the maintenance of high pH in the stomach provided by vonoprazan. Vonoprazan has a stronger and longer-lasting effect on acid secretion inhibition than other PPIs,⁹ and its pharmacokinetic features are not affected by CYP2C19 polymorphism.²⁷ *H. pylori* eradication rates of 86%–93% were achieved with a regimen similar to VAC-triple therapy as first-line treatment.^{12 28 29} Another explanation for the high eradication rates for VA-dual and VAC-triple therapies could be related to the fact that the strain infecting the subjects was not resistant to AMO. *H. pylori* resistance rate to AMO is still lower than that to other antibiotics and is usually overlooked when determining the *H. pylori* treatment regimens. However, resistance to AMO substantially differs among world regions (38% in Africa region, 14% in Eastern Mediterranean region, 12% in Southeast Asia region, 8% in Americas region, and 0%–1% in European and Western Pacific regions).³⁰ The resistance breakpoint was set to MIC ≥ 0.12 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, which is a stricter cut-off value than the commonly used MIC breakpoint of 0.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ³¹; because there was no AMO resistance in this study, VA-dual and VAC-triple therapies could achieve high eradication rates of *H. pylori*. Thus, both VA-dual and VAC-triple therapies could be alternative regimens as first-line *H. pylori* treatment in the regions with no AMO resistance. However, as the inverse relationship between *H. pylori* eradication rate and AMO MIC values was revealed in this study, the efficacy of both VA-dual and VAC-triple therapies might be limited to the regions with moderate to high AMO resistance.

A dual therapy consisting of PPI and AMO was first reported in the 1990s as a first-line treatment against *H. pylori* infection (table 4). The efficacy of a low-dose and/or less frequent dual therapy consisting of AMO (2.0 g/day or less) and PPI (twice/day or less) resulted in unacceptable eradication rates.^{32–34} Recently, high-dose and high-frequency dual therapy, defined as the administration of both AMO (≥ 2.0 g/day) and PPI (at least twice daily) for 14 days, has been reported to have greater *H. pylori* eradication efficacy as first-line therapy.^{35–38} However, side effects and poor patient compliance due to high dose, high administration frequency and long duration of treatment are the most common setbacks. Thus, the high-dose and high-frequency AMO–PPI dual therapy has been subsequently used as a rescue/salvage treatment. As the important factor to a successful dual therapy regimen is to maintain a near-neutral pH in the stomach to make *H. pylori* sensitive to AMO and vonoprazan has a stronger effect on acid secretion inhibition than other PPIs, it is expected to be more effective when used in combination with AMO for eradication of *H. pylori*. We demonstrated that VA-dual therapy achieved 87%

Table 4 Studies of PPI and amoxicillin dual therapies as first-line *Helicobacter pylori* treatment

Studies	Study design	Sample size	Dose of PPI	Dose of amoxicillin	Treatment duration (days)	Eradication rates (ITT)
Koizumi W <i>et al</i> ³²	RCT	31	Omeprazole 20 mg qd	500 mg tid	14	42%
Cottrill MR <i>et al</i> ³³	RCT	85	Omeprazole 40 mg qd	1000 mg twice daily	14	44%
Wong BC <i>et al</i> ³⁴	RCT	75	Lansoprazole 30 mg twice daily	1000 mg twice daily	14	57%
Schwartz H <i>et al</i> ³⁵	RCT	60	Lansoprazole 30 mg twice daily	1000 mg tid	14	45%
Schwartz H <i>et al</i> ³⁵	RCT	60	Lansoprazole 30 mg tid	1000 mg tid	14	70%
Yang J <i>et al</i> ³⁶	RCT	116	Esomeprazole 20 mg qid	750 mg qid	14	88%
Yu L <i>et al</i> ³⁷	RCT	80	Esomeprazole 40 mg twice daily	1000 mg tid	14	93%
Yang JC <i>et al</i> ³⁸	RCT	150	Rabeprazole 20 mg qid	750 mg qid	14	95%
Furuta <i>et al</i> ³⁹	Retrospective study	56	Vonoprazan 20 mg twice daily	500 mg tid	7	93%
Present study	RCT	168	Vonoprazan 20 mg twice daily	750 mg twice daily	7	85%

ITT, intention-to-treat; PPI, proton-pump inhibitor; qd, once daily; qid, four times daily; RCT, randomised controlled trial; tid, three times daily.

of eradication rates in PP analysis and was non-inferior to VAC-triple therapy. However, the eradication effect of VA-dual did not achieve the clinically sufficient eradication rate of 90%. Further, the 10% non-inferiority margin, compared with 90% of eradication rate for VAC-triple therapy, may be clinically inappropriate because this setting means that the lower bound of the 95% CI of the VA-dual eradication rates could be 80%, which is also insufficient for *H. pylori* treatment in clinical practice. Another key parameter to the success of a dual therapy regimen is to maintain steady AMO plasma concentrations above the MIC level for its bactericidal effect against *H. pylori*. The results of a retrospective study in which dual therapy (vonoprazan 20mg twice daily and AMO 500mg three times daily for 7 days) provided an eradication rate of 93% as first-line treatment³⁹ suggested that high administration frequency of AMO could improve the eradication rate in vonoprazan-based dual therapy to values similar to those of high-dose and high-frequency AMO–PPI dual therapy. Thus, further adjustments in the administration frequency and dose of AMO are necessary to improve the eradication effect of the VA-dual therapy.

The results of the subgroup analysis by CLA susceptibility in this study were unexpected. The eradication rate of the CLA-resistant strain achieved with VA-dual therapy was significantly higher than that achieved with VAC-triple therapy. As the VA-dual therapy consists of fewer antibiotic agents than the VAC-triple therapy, it is unlikely that the eradication rate of VA-dual therapy is higher than that of VAC-triple therapy, regardless of the antimicrobial resistance of *H. pylori*. This difference in eradication of the CLA-resistant strain between the two treatment groups can be attributed to chance as the *p* value was borderline and the sample size was small. The AMO MIC cut-off value may also account for this difference. Although none of the patients was infected with an AMO-resistant strain, seven patients receiving VAC-triple therapy and two patients receiving VA -dual therapy had MIC of $\geq 0.03 \mu\text{g/mL}$ in group with patients with CLA-resistant strains. The subgroup analysis of eradication rates according to the MIC of AMO showed that the eradication rate for the VAC-triple therapy in the 0.06 of MIC group was lower than that in the ≤ 0.015 and 0.03 of MIC group. This disparity in the proportion of AMO MIC between the groups potentially affected the eradication rates and resulted in a lower eradication rate in the VAC-triple group. Another possible explanation for the CLA-resistant eradication difference observed between the VA-dual and VAC-triple therapies regards the pharmacokinetic interaction of vonoprazan and CLA. Because both vonoprazan and CLA are metabolised by the same hepatic enzyme (cytochrome P450 3A4), administering both drugs together increases the maximum plasma concentration and the area under the plasma concentration–time curve of vonoprazan by 1.5-fold to 1.9-fold in comparison with the administration of vonoprazan alone.⁴⁰ Vonoprazan has a strong gastric acid inhibitory effect and the gastric pH level often exceeds 7 even when administered alone.^{9,27} Thus, when vonoprazan is administered with CLA, the maximum pH level may increase or the duration of this effect may be extended. *H. pylori* grows at a narrow external pH range between 6 and 7 and is sensitive to growth-dependent antibiotics including AMO. Previously, the optimal pH for *H. pylori* growth was studied in vitro and it was reported that the growth of this bacterium was poorer at pH 7.9 than at pH 7.2.⁴¹ Thus, the vonoprazan–CLA interaction might cause suboptimal gastric acid pH levels (ie, >7), resulting in a decrease in the *H. pylori* sensitivity to AMO in the VAC-triple therapy.

Another benefit of VA-dual therapy is related to the optimal the use of antibiotic agents, which, in the long term, will have

minimal impact on the antimicrobial resistance scenario. *H. pylori* antimicrobial resistance is a global priority. WHO published its first-ever list of antimicrobial resistant ‘priority pathogens’ in 2017, and CLA-resistant *H. pylori* was categorised as a high-priority bacterium in the same tier as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*.⁴² Macrolides, including CLA, are commonly used to treat bacterial infectious diseases; however, they can readily induce alterations in the resistome of bacteria, including *H. pylori*. The use of long-acting macrolides is significantly associated with the resistance of *H. pylori* to CLA⁴³ and previous exposure of CLA has a negative impact on the success of some *H. pylori* treatments.^{44,45} The international guidelines recommend that clarithromycin be no longer used in empirical treatment for *H. pylori* infection. The resistance rates to metronidazole and levofloxacin have increased $>15\%$ in many regions of the world in recent years adding to the observed increase in CLA resistance.³⁰ Thus, given the alarming increase of the rates of resistance to CLA and to various families of antibiotics, treatment strategies have to be re-evaluated and the best approach relies on the appropriate use of antibiotics for the treatment of *H. pylori*. Therefore, antimicrobial susceptibility testing is the best way to optimise and reduce antibiotics for *H. pylori* eradication treatment as well as the treatment of other common infections. However, antimicrobial susceptibility testing is not performed routinely in the clinical practice because of the invasiveness of the endoscopy procedure, the limited availability of laboratory culture facilities and cost concerns. The VA-dual therapy offers an alternative regimen for *H. pylori* eradication treatment in the era of increasing antimicrobial resistance. We demonstrated that the VA-dual therapy provides acceptable *H. pylori* eradication rates. As it is a single antibiotic therapy and antibiotic consumption is low, with no use of CLA, and *H. pylori* is hardly resistant to AMO, we expect that VA-dual therapy will not contribute to the increase in antimicrobial resistance rates. The results of this study suggest that VA-dual therapy can be used as first-line *H. pylori* empirical treatment, and that susceptibility-based therapies using multiple antibiotic agents should be used as rescue therapy only in cases where the VA-dual therapy fails. This treatment strategy should limit unnecessary antibiotic usage, prevent widespread resistance development of other organisms and reduce the costs of *H. pylori* treatment.

This study design has several advantages: first, the study was a randomised controlled trial corrected from multiple centres; and second, the antibacterial susceptibility of *H. pylori* was confirmed in all enrolled patients and was used as stratification factor in randomisation. However, owing to the open-label nature of the study design, the lack of blinding may have influenced the reporting of side effects. In addition, only Japanese patients enrolled in this study; as vonoprazan has been introduced recently outside of Japan, studies with a double-blind design should also be performed in other countries.

In conclusion, the 7-day vonoprazan and low-dose AMO dual therapy provided acceptable *H. pylori* eradication rates and was similar to the effect of vonoprazan-based triple therapy as a first-line *H. pylori* eradication therapy in a country with high resistance to CLA. The VA-dual therapy has advantages, including single antibiotic and low antibiotic consumption; however, there is also the potential to improve its eradication effect through adjustments in the administration of AMO. Thus, further studies should be demanded to develop VA-dual therapy with proper adjustments and to establish new first-line *H. pylori* eradication treatments in the era of growing antimicrobial resistance.

Twitter Sho Suzuki @ShoSuzuki12

Acknowledgements We sincerely thank Mitsuru Esaki, Hitoshi Shibuya and Toshiki Horii from Nihon University School of Medicine, Toyotaka Kasai and Hiroyuki Eto from Fukaya Red Cross Hospital, and Yoshioki Yoda from Yamanashi Koseiren Health Care Center for collecting data and valuable assistance in conducting this study, and Mikitaka Iguchi from Wakayama Medical University for conducting Data and Safety Monitoring Board.

Contributors SS, TG and CK planned and designed the study. SS and TG wrote the manuscript. SS, RI, Hirai, YO, MasO, MN and KK had leadership in each institution and collected data. Hsi, MotO and MK made critical revision of the manuscript. All the authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding This study was founded by Nihon University School of Medicine.

Competing interests TG received honorarium from Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, the manufacturer of study drugs.

Patient consent for publication Obtained.

Ethics approval The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of each participating unit, and the protocol was not changed after the trial commenced.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request. De-identified data sets and protocol of the present study will be made available by reasonable request from the UMIN Individual Case Data Repository (<https://www.umin.ac.jp/icdr/index.html>).

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Sho Suzuki <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4831-1409>
Takuji Gotoda <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6904-6777>

REFERENCES

- Sjomina O, Pavlova J, Niv Y, *et al.* Epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Helicobacter* 2018;23:e12514.
- Leja M, Axon A, Brenner H. Epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Helicobacter* 2016;21:3–7.
- Sugano K. Effect of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication on the incidence of gastric cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastric Cancer* 2019;22:435–45.
- Doorakkers E, Lagergren J, Engstrand L, *et al.* *Helicobacter pylori* eradication treatment and the risk of gastric adenocarcinoma in a Western population. *Gut* 2018;67:2092–6.
- Sjomina O, Heluwaert F, Moussata D, *et al.* *Helicobacter pylori* infection and nonmalignant diseases. *Helicobacter* 2017;22:e12408.
- Chey WD, Leontiadis GI, Howden CW, *et al.* ACG clinical guideline: treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017;112:212–39.
- Fallone CA, Chiba N, van Zanten SV, *et al.* The Toronto consensus for the treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in adults. *Gastroenterology* 2016;151:e14:51–69.
- Malfetherneir P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, *et al.* Management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection—the Maastricht V/Florence Consensus Report. *Gut* 2017;66:6–30.
- Sakurai Y, Mori Y, Okamoto H, *et al.* Acid-inhibitory effects of vonoprazan 20 mg compared with esomeprazole 20 mg or rabeprazole 10 mg in healthy adult male subjects—a randomised open-label cross-over study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015;42:719–30.
- National Cancer Institute. *Common terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE) v5.0*, 2017.
- Furuta T, Yamada M, Uotani T, *et al.* Tu1299—vonoprazan-based dual therapy with amoxicillin is as effective as the triple therapy for the eradication of *H. pylori*. *Gastroenterology* 2018;154:S-927.
- Suzuki S, Gotoda T, Kusano C, *et al.* The efficacy and tolerability of a triple therapy containing a potassium-competitive acid blocker compared with a 7-day PPI-based low-dose clarithromycin triple therapy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2016;111:949–56.
- D'Agostino RB, Massaro JM, Sullivan LM. Non-inferiority trials: design concepts and issues—the encounters of academic consultants in statistics. *Stat Med* 2002;22:169–86.
- Chen Q, Zhang W, Fu Q, *et al.* Rescue therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: a randomized non-inferiority trial of amoxicillin or tetracycline in bismuth quadruple therapy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2016;111:1736–42.
- Zhang W, Chen Q, Liang X, *et al.* Bismuth, lansoprazole, amoxicillin and metronidazole or clarithromycin as first-line *Helicobacter pylori* therapy. *Gut* 2015;64:1715–20.
- Malfetherneir P, Bazzoli F, Delchier J-C, *et al.* *Helicobacter pylori* eradication with a capsule containing bismuth subcitrate potassium, metronidazole, and tetracycline given with omeprazole versus clarithromycin-based triple therapy: a randomised, open-label, non-inferiority, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2011;377:905–13.
- Kim BJ, Lee H, Lee YC, *et al.* Ten-day concomitant, 10-day sequential, and 7-day triple therapy in first-line treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: a randomized nationwide trial in Korea. *Gut Liver* 2019. [Epub ahead of print: 22 Jul 2019].
- Kim BJ, Yang Chang-Hun, Song HJ, *et al.* Online registry for nationwide database of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in Korea: correlation of antibiotic use density with eradication success. *Helicobacter* 2019;24:e12646.
- Liou J-M, Fang Y-J, Chen CC, *et al.* Concomitant, bismuth quadruple, and 14-day triple therapy in the first-line treatment of *Helicobacter pylori*: a multicentre, open-label, randomised trial. *Lancet* 2016;388:2355–65.
- Fiorini G, Zullo A, Saracino IM, *et al.* Pylora and sequential therapy for first-line *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: a culture-based study in real clinical practice. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018;30:621–5.
- Miehke S, Frederking D, Günther T, *et al.* Efficacy of three-in-one capsule bismuth quadruple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in clinical practice in a multinational patient population. *Helicobacter* 2017;22:e12429.
- Tursi A, Di Mario F, Franceschi M, *et al.* New bismuth-containing quadruple therapy in patients infected with *Helicobacter pylori*: a first Italian experience in clinical practice. *Helicobacter* 2017;22:e12371.
- Molina-Infante J, Lucendo AJ, Angueira T, *et al.* Optimised empiric triple and concomitant therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in clinical practice: the OPRICON study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015;41:581–9.
- McNicholl AG, Marin AC, Molina-Infante J, *et al.* Randomised clinical trial comparing sequential and concomitant therapies for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in routine clinical practice. *Gut* 2014;63:244–9.
- Choe JW, Jung SW, Kim SY, *et al.* Comparative study of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication rates of concomitant therapy vs modified quadruple therapy comprising proton-pump inhibitor, bismuth, amoxicillin, and metronidazole in Korea. *Helicobacter* 2018;23:e12466.
- Cosme A, Lizasoan J, Montes M, *et al.* Antimicrobial susceptibility-guided therapy versus empirical concomitant therapy for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in a region with high rate of clarithromycin resistance. *Helicobacter* 2016;21:29–34.
- Jenkins H, Sakurai Y, Nishimura A, *et al.* Randomised clinical trial: safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of repeated doses of TAK-438 (vonoprazan), a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, in healthy male subjects. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015;41:636–48.
- Murakami K, Sakurai Y, Shiino M, *et al.* Vonoprazan, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, as a component of first-line and second-line triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: a phase III, randomised, double-blind study. *Gut* 2016;65:1439–46.
- Kusano C, Gotoda T, Suzuki S, *et al.* Safety of first-line triple therapy with a potassium-competitive acid blocker for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in children. *J Gastroenterol* 2018;53:718–24.
- Savoldi A, Carrara E, Graham DY, *et al.* Prevalence of antibiotic resistance in *Helicobacter pylori*: a systematic review and meta-analysis in World Health Organization Regions. *Gastroenterology* 2018;155:1372–82.
- Arsalan N, Yilmaz Özlem, Demiray-Gürbüz E. Importance of antimicrobial susceptibility testing for the management of eradication in *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017;23:2854–69.
- Koizumi W, Tanabe S, Hibi K, *et al.* A prospective randomized study of amoxicillin and omeprazole with and without metronidazole in the eradication treatment of *Helicobacter pylori*. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1998;13:301–4.
- Cottrill MR, McKinnon C, Mason I, *et al.* Two omeprazole-based *Helicobacter pylori* eradication regimens for the treatment of duodenal ulcer disease in general practice. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1997;11:919–27.
- Wong BC, Xiao SD, Hu FL, *et al.* Comparison of lansoprazole-based triple and dual therapy for treatment of *Helicobacter pylori*-related duodenal ulcer: an Asian multicentre double-blind randomized placebo controlled study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2000;14:217–24.
- Schwartz H, Krause R, Sahba B, *et al.* Triple versus dual therapy for eradicating *Helicobacter pylori* and preventing ulcer recurrence: a randomized, double-blind, multicenter study of lansoprazole, clarithromycin, and/or amoxicillin in different dosing regimens. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998;93:584–90.
- Yang J, Zhang Y, Fan L, *et al.* Eradication efficacy of modified dual therapy compared with bismuth-containing quadruple therapy as a first-line treatment of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019;114:437–45.
- Yu L, Luo L, Long X, *et al.* High-dose PPI–amoxicillin dual therapy with or without bismuth for first-line *Helicobacter pylori* therapy: a randomized trial. *Helicobacter* 2019;23:e12596.
- Yang JC, Lin CJ, Wang HL, *et al.* High-dose dual therapy is superior to standard first-line or rescue therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015;13:895–905.
- Furuta T, Yamada M, Kagami T, *et al.* Dual therapy with vonoprazan and amoxicillin is as effective as triple therapy with vonoprazan, amoxicillin and clarithromycin for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Digestion* 2019;1–9.

- 40 Sakurai Y, Shiino M, Okamoto H, *et al.* Pharmacokinetics and safety of triple therapy with vonoprazan, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin or metronidazole: a phase 1, open-label, randomized, crossover study. *Adv Ther* 2016;33:1519–35.
- 41 Sjostrom JE, Larsson H. Factors affecting growth and antibiotic susceptibility of *Helicobacter pylori*: effect of pH and urea on the survival of a wild-type strain and a urease-deficient mutant. *J Med Microbiol* 1996;44:425–33.
- 42 Tacconelli E, Carrara E, Savoldi A, *et al.* Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: the who priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2018;18:318–27.
- 43 Megraud F, Coenen S, Versporten A, *et al.* *Helicobacter pylori* resistance to antibiotics in Europe and its relationship to antibiotic consumption. *Gut* 2013;62:34–42.
- 44 Boltin D, Levi Z, Gingold-Belfer R, *et al.* Impact of previous exposure to macrolide antibiotics on *Helicobacter pylori* infection treatment outcomes. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019;114:900–6.
- 45 Muñoz-Gómez P, Jordán-Castro JA, Abanades-Tercero M, *et al.* Macrolide use in the previous years is associated with failure to eradicate *Helicobacter pylori* with clarithromycin-containing regimens. *Helicobacter* 2018;23:e12452.