Section 8.2: Taylor series methods

IUP: $\begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, x) \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \end{cases}$



Example: y(x)=2x) y (o) =0

True solution: y(x)=x2

Euler solution: yn(x)=xnxny.

Local truncation error to (or xn) to the (or xn+1) is OCh2). Global error is OCh1.

	X	approx, sol. from Euler Tho	True sol. x) y(x)	Error y(x)-yh(x)
h=0.2	0.0 0.4 0.8 1.2 1.6	0.0 0.37631 0.54228 0.52709 0.46623	0.0 0.34483 0.487870 0.49180 0.44944	0.0 -0.03148 -0.054448
h=0.1	0.0 0.4 0.8 1.2 1.6	0.36 035 0-513 77 0-50961 0-45879		- 0.1603 - 0.0250 - 0.01781 -0.00928
h=0.05	0.0 0.4 0.8 1.2 1.6	0.35287 0.50049 0.50073 0.45425	1)	-0.00804 -0.01268 -0.00892 -0.00489

(Ditterent ODE)

In fact, we can generalize the global error result as general.

Theorem: Let yn be the approximate solution to the IUP

gotten from Euler's method. If the exact solution y (xc) has a continuous 2nd derivative on an interval around the initial point and if

and

are satisfied for L and C, then the error

of Euler's method at point xn is

$$(enl \neq \frac{hC}{2L} (e^{(x_n-x_0)L}-1)$$
. (upper bound on global error)

In other words, the error is O(h).

The proof uses difference equation theory.

Example: Look at IVP

$$\begin{cases} y'(x) = y \\ y(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$
, $x \in (0, 1]$.

$$f(x_iy)=y, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=1, \text{ so } L=1.$$

$$\frac{dy}{y} = dx$$

$$\ln y = x + C$$

$$y = Ce^{x}.$$

$$y(0) = 1 \Rightarrow 1 = Ce^{0} \Rightarrow C = 1.$$

$$\frac{1}{\text{nue solution: }} y(x) = e^{x}.$$

$$y''(x) = e^{x} \text{ and so}$$

$$|y''(x)| \leq e \text{ on } (0, 1).$$

$$C = e.$$
So
$$|en| \leq \frac{hC}{2L} \left(e^{(x_{n} - x_{0})L} - 1 \right)$$

$$|en| \leq \frac{hC}{2-1} \left(e^{(1-0)(1)} - 1 \right)$$

Jernorla 0,24.

Question: How good is this error bound?

Answer: Euler gives

Ynte = yn + hf(xniyn)

Ynte = yn + hyn

Ynte = yn (1th).

Cdifference equation)

Difference equation:

Differential equations move forward via an in infinite number of infinitesimal steps.

Difference equations move forward in a finite number of finite steps.

Example: Suppose you invest \$ 1000 for 5 years at 6% interest.

If it is computed once a year, then

PK+1 = 1.06PK.

This is a difference equation with a time step of 1 year. After 5 years,

 $P_{5} = (1.06P_{4}) = (7.66)(1.06P_{3}) = ... = (7.66)^{5}P_{0} = (1.06)^{5}P_{0} = 51338.$

If we reduce the time step to one month, the new difference equation is

Thus after 5 years,

$$P_{60} = \left(1 + \frac{0.06}{12}\right)^{60} P_0 = \left(1 + \frac{0.06}{12}\right)^{60} \left(1000\right) =$$

$$= $1349.$$

Next step is compounding interest daily ... and then continuously compounding.

When you compound the interest more and more often, we switch to a differential equation

$$\frac{P_{K+1}-P_{K}}{\Delta t}=0.06P_{K}\Rightarrow$$

After 5 years, we get

Some difference equations do not correspond to differential equations at all.

Example: Fibonacci sequence: 0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13

Difference equation: FKTZ = FKTT + FK

Question: How can we find the 1000th Fibonacci number other than starting with Fo = 0 and F1=1.

FR42 = Fr41 + Fr
Lets write this as
$$F_{K+2} = F_{K+1} + F_{K}$$

$$U_{K} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{K+1} \\ F_{K} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{K+1} \\ F_{K+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ F_{K+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ F_{K+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U_{K+1} = A u_{K} \implies \begin{bmatrix} F_{K+1} \\ F_{K+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ F_{K} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,

Uktl=AUK is easy to solve.

Uz=Ao

 $U_2 = AU_1 = A(AU_0) = A^2U_0$ $U_{k+1} = A^{k+1}U_0.$

The real issue is finding an effecient way to calculate powers of A. In fact, we don't compute powers of A.

Theory: If A can be diagonalized (e.g. A is real symmetric) then

with column of A eigenvectors of A and A a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the diagonals of A.

Then $= (SAS^{-1})(SAS^{-1})_{-} (SAS^{-1}) u_0$ $u_k = A^k u_0 = SA^k S^{-1} u_0$.

$$So_{1}$$

$$U_{k} = \left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1}^{k} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} c_{1} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} c_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ c_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $M_{k} = C_{1} \lambda_{1}^{k} x_{1} + C_{2} \lambda_{2}^{k} x_{2} + \dots + C_{n} \lambda_{n}^{k} x_{n}.$

Back to Fibonacci differential equation example:

$$det(A-\lambda I) = det \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 0-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$(1-\lambda)(-\lambda)-1=0$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4(4)(4)}}{2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$$
.

Finding eigenvectors we get solution F_K .

Difference equations show up in Markov processes, finance, etc.

Back to Euler method example:

Just = Jn (1th)

(UK+1 = AUK = AK+1 Uo)

 $y_n = (1+h)^n y_o$.

For h=0.1, n=10 we get

 $y_{10} = (1 \text{ fo.1})^{10} (1) = (1.1)^{10} \approx 2.5937.$

Then,

Jexact - 710 2e - 2-5937 = 2-71828 - 2.5937 = 6-12458

Predicted error was 0.24.

(True error is smaller than upper bound).

We can try to improve the accuracy of the solution by using higher-order Taylor series methods.

Example:

$$\begin{cases} xy' = x - y \\ y(2) = 2 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} y' = \frac{x - y}{x} \\ y(2) = 2 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} y' = 1 - \frac{y}{x} \\ y(2) = 2 \end{cases}.$$

Taylor series expansion about 200=2 is

$$y(x) = y(2) + (x-2)y'(2) + \frac{(x-2)^2}{2}y''(2) + \frac{(x-2)^3}{3!}y'''(2) + \dots$$

We need derivatives of the RHS of DE. We have the 1st derivative

$$y' = 1 - \frac{y}{2c}.$$

$$y'(x) = 1 - yx^{-1}$$

$$y''(x) = -y'x^{-1} + yx^{-2}y' = -\frac{y'}{x} + \frac{y}{x^{2}} = -\frac{1-yx^{-1}}{x^{2}} + \frac{y}{x^{2}} = -\frac{y'}{x^{2}} + \frac{y}{x^{2}} = -\frac{y'}{x} + \frac{y}{x} + \frac{y}{x} = -\frac{y'}{x} + \frac{$$

$$y'''(x) = -\frac{y''}{x} + \frac{y'}{x^2} + \frac{y'}{x^2} - \frac{2y}{x^3}$$

So TS method of order 2 here is

$$y(x) = y_0 + (x-2)y_0^1 + \frac{1}{2}(x-2)^2y_0^{11}, \text{ or}$$

$$y(x) = 2 + (x-2)\left[1 - \frac{2}{2}\right] + (x-2)^2\left[0 + \frac{2}{2^2}\right]$$

$$y(x) = 2 + \frac{1}{4}(x-2)^2.$$

This scheme stops before the h³ term, so the local fruncation error is O(h³).

Global error O(h²).