

Structural Optimization of Lightweight Bipedal Robot via SERL

Yi Cheng¹, Chenxi Han^{*2}, Yuheng Min³, LinQi Ye⁴, Houde Liu^{†1}, Hang Liu⁵, Bin Liang⁶

Abstract—Designing a bipedal robot is a complex and challenging task, especially when dealing with a multitude of structural parameters. Traditional design methods often rely on human intuition and experience. However, such approaches are time-consuming, labor-intensive, lack theoretical guidance, and struggle to obtain optimal design results within vast design spaces, thus failing to fully exploit the inherent performance potential of robots. In this context, this paper introduces the SERL (Structure Evolution Reinforcement Learning) algorithm, which combines reinforcement learning for locomotion tasks with evolution algorithms. The aim is to identify the optimal parameter combinations within a given multidimensional design space. Through the SERL algorithm, we successfully designed a bipedal robot named Wow Orin, where the optimal leg length is obtained through optimization based on body structure and motor torque. We have experimentally validated the effectiveness of the SERL algorithm, which is capable of optimizing the best structure within specified design space and task conditions. Additionally, to assess the performance gap between our designed robot and the current state-of-the-art robots, we compared Wow Orin with mainstream bipedal robots Cassie and Unitree H1. A series of experimental results demonstrate the Outstanding energy efficiency and performance of Wow Orin, further validating the feasibility of applying the SERL algorithm to practical design.

I. INTRODUCTION

Designing a bipedal robot is a vast and intricate engineering task, primarily due to numerous parameters that require manual selection. These parameters encompass structural length, body weight, rotational inertia, among others, playing a crucial role in the motion performance and overall functionality of bipedal robots. However, researchers have long faced the challenge of making appropriate choices among numerous possible parameter combinations to design an outstanding bipedal robot.

In many cases, these parameters are subjectively chosen based on engineers' personal experiences. This empirical approach often demands engineers to possess extensive experience and undergo numerous trials and modifications to achieve satisfactory results. Additionally, some design methodologies have drawn inspiration from the structural




Fig. 1. We utilized the SERL algorithm to design a lightweight bipedal robot from scratch, which we named Wow Orin. Through a series of experiments, we have demonstrated the effectiveness of the SERL algorithm and the outstanding performance of Wow Orin. A: Optimization process of the SERL algorithm in a simulation environment. B: Simulation model of Wow Orin designed based on the optimization results from the SERL algorithm. C: Physical Wow Orin manufactured and assembled based on the simulation model.

characteristics of natural bipedal organisms[1], adopting bio-inspired method to attempt replication of these organisms' structural parameters within robotic designs. However, considering the essential differences in the overall structure between robots and their biological models, such approaches often fail to fully exploit the potential performance aspects of the robot's hardware.

Furthermore, current research tends to overly emphasize either the control domain or the singular aspect of structural design, neglecting the inseparable relationship between the structure and control policy of bipedal robots. Isolated studies on either structural design or control policy may not yield optimal results. To address this research gap, collaborative design methods have emerged in recent years, treating structural design and control strategies as an integral whole. However, most of these methods are currently predominantly applied to the design and simulation testing of soft-bodied robots, with relatively less application in the practical design of rigid-bodied robots.

To tackle these challenges, this paper proposes an innovative algorithm known as the Structure Evolution Reinforcement Learning Algorithm (SERL). This algorithm can end-to-end generate optimized design structures under given task goals and design requirements, while concurrently producing robust task completion strategies. SERL algorithm achieves this by training the control policy of bipedal robots through reinforcement learning and implementing self-evolution of robot structural parameters via genetic algorithms. In comparison to methods relying on manual adjustments and

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

† H. Liu is the corresponding author. E-mail: liu.hd@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn
Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants No.92248304 and Shenzhen Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars under Grant RCJC20210706091946001

¹ Tsinghua Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, 518055 Shenzhen, China.

² Harbin Institute of Technology (Shenzhen), 518071 Shenzhen, China

³ Chongqing University, 400044, Chongqing, China

⁴ Shanghai University, 200444 Shanghai, China.

⁵ Xi'an University of Technology, 710048 Xi'an, China

⁶ Tsinghua University, 100084 Beijing, China

bio-inspiration, the SERL algorithm significantly enhances design efficiency, reduces workload, and ensures the rational reliability of design results.

Then we developed a bipedal robot with nine degrees of freedom, named Wow Orin. The design process initially involves defining the necessary motor parameters and transmission methods. We innovatively adopted a method utilizing innovative fishbone-barb line actuation and belt drive, which relocates the drive motors for the ankle and knee joints to the top of the robot, reducing the rotational inertia of leg movements. Simultaneously, we employed the SERL algorithm to optimize the leg length parameters within the specified design space, obtaining the optimal leg length for comprehensive motion tasks. We compared the optimized robot model with a manually specified leg length parameter model, confirming that the SERL algorithm optimized model performs significantly better in meeting task requirements. Furthermore, we conducted a comprehensive comparison between "Wow Orin" and advanced bipedal robots Cassie and Unitree H1, demonstrating the outstanding performance of Wow Orin in terms of energy efficiency and agility. The main contributions of this paper include:

- Introduce a novel bipedal robot **structural parameter design method—the SERL algorithm**, capable of finding the optimal parameter combination for specific tasks within a predefined design space.
- Propose a **two-stage optimization training framework**, SERL-ADP, achieving integrated optimization of structural parameters and motion control strategies.
- Using the optimized structural parameters from the SERL algorithm, combined with innovative fishbone-barb line actuation and belt drive, we **designed a flexible and lightweight bipedal robot**, demonstrating its significant advantages over existing bipedal robots.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Structure Evolution Algorithms for Robot Structure Design

Evolution policy is a type of optimization algorithm inspired by the principles of evolution in the natural world, primarily employed to address optimization problems in continuous parameter spaces. Evolution policy possesses a broader capability to explore design spaces, especially adept at handling complex and multivariate design challenges. Researchers have successfully integrated evolution policy into the co-design of robots with simplified models for simple tasks, achieving commendable results [3], [4], [5].

In recent years, there has been significant progress in the field of robotics, particularly with the emergence of model-free design methods. These approaches, exemplified by [5], [6], and [7], share a common emphasis on combining evolutionary strategies with reinforcement learning techniques to address various challenges. Specifically, they focus on evolving agent morphologies and optimizing robot designs to enhance locomotion control and adaptability in

complex environments. For instance, [5] introduces the Deep Evolutionary Reinforcement Learning (DERL) algorithm, which enables the evolution of diverse agent morphologies using low-level sensory inputs. Similarly, [6] utilizes meta reinforcement learning and genetic algorithms to optimize legged robot designs, achieving improved adaptability across different environmental conditions. Additionally, [7] presents Evolution Gym, a benchmark platform for co-optimizing soft robot design and control through co-evolution algorithms.

It is worth noting that existing research methods often focus on the design of soft robots or robots in virtual environments. However, we apply SERL algorithm to a rigid bipedal robot and validate the designs through physical experiments, showcasing the feasibility of this optimization approach in more realistic scenarios.

B. RL for Locomotion Control of Legged Robots

The locomotion control of legged robots is a complex engineering task. Traditional methods involve the physical dynamic modeling of legged robots and the application of control theory to address this challenge. However, such an approach requires designers to possess extensive expertise and practical experience.

In recent years, reinforcement learning methods have shown tremendous potential in the mobility tasks of legged robots, with many studies significantly enhancing the mobility robustness and agility of legged robots through the use of reinforcement learning. These methods have been particularly applied to quadruped robots, achieving robust outdoor walking, high-speed running [11], [12], [13], and stair climbing [8], [10], [14], [15], among other remarkable feats such as jumping [16], [17] and parkour [18], [19], [20]. Compared to quadrupeds, the design of motion controllers for bipedal robots is more challenging. Current approaches either optimize through reward design and feature engineering or incorporate prior reference motions (trajectories, gait parameters, etc.) to achieve robust walking, jumping, and running tasks for bipedal robots [21], [22], [23], [24], [25]. These efforts typically involve designing specialized controllers for robots after their physical systems have been fully developed.

Unlike the aforementioned works, our approach begins from the structural design phase, considering the compatibility between structure and motion control, and proposes a hybrid optimization method that simultaneously optimizes structural design and learns optimal control.

III. METHOD

A. Structural Evolution Reinforcement Learning

1) *Overview*: In response to the black-box optimization problem regarding the impact of structural parameters on the comprehensive motion performance of biped robots, this study introduces a Structural Evolution Reinforcement Learning algorithm (SERL). SERL integrates the dynamic adjustment capabilities of reinforcement learning strategies with the global search capacity of genetic algorithms. Without reliance on explicit model guidance, it explores the

design parameter space (specified in this paper as the leg length of the bipedal robot), with the aim of maximizing task rewards and optimizing the best size design and control strategies. This method effectively combines the strengths of both algorithms, not only finding the optimal structural parameters but also developing a highly robust motion control policy. Due to the limited information acquired from the robot’s onboard sensors, training directly within the body’s proprioceptive observation space makes it challenging to uncover the bipedal robot’s limit performance. Therefore, we propose the implementation of a two-phase training architecture, SERL-ADP. Figure 2 and Figure 3 present the overall framework of the SERL-ADP.




Fig. 2. SERL Framework.

2) *Basic Settings of RL*: The MDP is defined by a tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, P, r, \gamma, L)$, where \mathcal{S} denotes the state space, encompassing the possible environmental states that the robot may encounter. The action space \mathcal{A} includes the diverse actions available to the robot. The state transition probability function $P(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t)$ characterizes the likelihood of the system transitioning from one state to another. The reward function $r(s_t, a_t)$ delineates the immediate reward received by the robot upon taking a specific action. The γ stands for the discount factor, indicating the extent to which future rewards are discounted, typically ranging between 0 and 1. The goal is to find the optimal policy π^* that maximize the discounted reward:

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R_t \right] \quad (1)$$

State Space: During the SERL training phase, privileged information is utilized to facilitate the bipedal robot’s rapid adaptation, aiding in the swift identification of optimal parameters. The state space s_t incorporates proprioceptive information o_t along with privileged information, which includes the body’s linear velocity v_t , privileged observations e_t , which includes terrain information, encompassing body mass and frictional forces and. Furthermore, we have incorporated structural information L_i as one of the observable parameters, enabling the robot to adapt more swiftly to

its own changes and the environment when the rod length parameter is updated. To reduce the dimensionality of the data and extract key features, L_i and e_t are processed through an encoder. This process maps the data into a latent space and generates latent variables z_t .

$$s_t = o_t + v_t + z_t \quad (2)$$

Action Space: The action a_t consists of the desired positions for the nine motors corresponding to the biped robot. The joint torque is obtained by converting the desired joint positions into joint torques using a PD controller.

Reward Design: The design of rewards is crucially aligned with our ultimate goal for the robot’s design. Our ultimate aim is to optimize the robot’s comprehensive walking performance. We have established a comprehensive reward system for the humanoid robot’s walking performance. This reward system r consists of three main components: the basic reward r_b , the posture reward r_p , and the task reward r_t designed for different tasks, these rewards designed to holistically evaluate and encourage improvements in the robot’s walking capabilities. The specific rewards design can be referred to in Table I.

TABLE I
REWARD DESIGN.

Reward	Equation(r_i)	Weight(w_i)
xy-axis Linear Velocity	$\exp\{-4 \cdot (v_{xy}^{cmd} - v_{xy}^2)\}$	3.0
z-axis Angular Velocity	$\exp\{-4 \cdot (\omega_{yaw}^{cmd} - \omega_{yaw}^2)\}$	2.0
xy-axis Angular Velocity	v_z^2	-0.05
z-axis Linear Velocity	ω_{xy}^2	-2.0
Joint Acceleration	$\dot{\theta}^2$	-2.5e-7
Joint Torques	τ^2	-1.0e-5
Action Rate	$(a_{t-1} - a_t)^2$	-0.01
Smoothness	$(a_t - 2a_{t-1} + a_{t-2})^2$	-0.001
Body Orientation	$(0.5 - 0.5g_z)^2$	-1.0
Body Height	$(h^{des} - h)^2$	-1.0

3) *Method for Structural Evolution*: The method for structural evolution involves the use of genetic algorithms and reinforcement learning. The process begins by initializing a population of individuals, each representing a unique set of leg lengths. The leg lengths, denoted as l_{t_i} and l_{s_i} , are randomly selected for each individual, this initialization step creates an initial set of individuals l_i .

Each individual within the population undergoes reinforcement learning training to assess its performance, resulting in the output of a reward value R_i . This training process focuses on optimizing the leg lengths based on the rewards obtained over a specified number of training episodes.

Genetic operations, encompassing crossover and mutation, are then applied to individuals to generate new sets of leg lengths. Crossover involves combining the leg lengths of two individuals, while mutation introduces minor adjustments to an individual’s leg lengths.

The newly generated individuals from crossover and mutation undergo RL training again. The optimization process iterates between RL training and genetic operations until a predetermined

number of iterations is reached or when the variance of rewards among the population falls below a defined threshold. Eventually, the structural evolution process for the bipedal robot is completed, resulting in optimized leg lengths.

4) *Policy Training for Physical Deployment*: After determining the optimal rod length through SERL in the first phase to obtain deployable adaptive motion control strategies based on proprioceptive sensing, we distilled the RL policy from the first phase online. In the second phase, interactions are exclusively with robots using the optimal rod length. The state space s_t combines proprioceptive information o_t and estimated body linear velocity \hat{v}_t . Unlike traditional high-dimensional state processing methods that use CNNs for dimensionality reduction[9], this study employs GRUs to transform high-dimensional historical state information into low-dimensional vectors y_t , showcasing GRUs' superior temporal sequence capturing ability compared to CNNs, the specific framework is shown in the Figure 3. The state space is defined as:

$$s_t = o_t + \hat{v}_t + y_t \quad (3)$$

The adaptive motion policy based on the optimal rod length is iteratively trained under the supervision of the phase one policy.




Fig. 3. Adaptation Framework.

5) *Train Details*: As shown in the pseudocode 1, the algorithm initializes the weight parameters of the neural network and the population of the genetic algorithm. It randomly selects different combinations of leg lengths $L = (l_t, l_s)$ for each individual, with the population size set to $pop_size = 250$. Subsequently, each individual undergoes training in a simulation environment, aiming to maximize the reward obtained for completing locomotion tasks. After training, the algorithm evaluates the training results for each individual and selects the optimal individuals for crossover, mutation, and selection, generating a subpopulation of individuals. This subpopulation iteratively repeats the aforementioned process until the specified number of cycles is reached. Ultimately, the algorithm outputs optimal individual parameters, achieving leg joint length and robot motion control policy optimization.

We initially load robot models with varying pole lengths on the Isaac Gym platform and conduct walking training using a unified reward mechanism. After completing 500 iterations of training, we further apply the SERL algorithm for optimization iterations. This step is designed to prevent the optimization goal of the SERL algorithm from biasing towards the combination of pole lengths that learns the fastest, neglecting the optimal combination for a specific task. In the application of the SERL algorithm, adjusting hyperparameters ensures the convergence speed of the policy network and the genetic algorithm is consistent, thus avoiding falling into local optima.

Moreover, the concept of "fair rules" is introduced during the training process. The optimization goal of the genetic algorithm is the combination of pole lengths that achieves the maximum reward under the same task conditions. However, different command resampling, random thrust sizes, and other factors may introduce unfair criteria for evaluation. Therefore, it is necessary to ensure these parameters are consistent for each individual to guarantee

the fairness of the assessment. The specific hyperparameters can be referred to in Table II.

TABLE II
HYPERPARAMETERS OF STRUCTURE EVOLUTION.

Parameter	Value
Population Size	250
DNA Length (<i>bit</i>)	9
Thigh Length Range (<i>m</i>)	(0.2,0.4)
Shin Length Range (<i>m</i>)	(0.2,0.4)
Resolution (<i>m</i>)	0.01
Crossover Rate	0.8
Mutation Rate	0.03
Steps per Iteration	96
Iterations per Evolution	10
Interval of Pushing Robots (<i>s</i>)	5

B. Bipedal Robot Platform Design

1) *Overview*: We introduce Wow Orin, an innovative bipedal robot featuring nine degrees of freedom, encompassing hip joints for internal/external rotation, hip flexion/extension, ankle flexion/extension, knee flexion/extension in each leg, and the abduction/adduction joints of the hip are driven by a single shared motor. All joints are powered by Unitree A1 motors with a peak torque of $33.5N \cdot m$ and a maximum speed of $21rad/s$. Wow Orin is designed with a strong emphasis on lightweight principles, weighing a mere $10.5kg$ and standing at a height of $0.88m$. The thigh and shin dimensions are optimized using the SERL algorithm, resulting in values of $l_t = 0.31m$ and $l_s = 0.36m$ respectively. To reduce leg rotational inertia and enhance motion performance, the knee joint incorporates a synchronous belt drive, while the ankle joint utilizes a Bowden cable drive. Wow Orin is equipped with a Jetson Orin NX (8GB) onboard PC, delivering an impressive AI performance of $40TOPS$.

2) *Lightweight Leg Design*: To reduce the rotational inertia of the legs and further enhance motion performance, besides the aforementioned ankle joint rope drive method, the knee joint adopts a synchronous belt drive. In addition, we used carbon fiber as the skeleton for the robot's lower and upper leg links, 3D printed PETG




Fig. 4. Robot structure design.

Algorithm 1: Structure Evolution via Reinforcement Learning

Input: thigh and shin length range (l_t^{\min}, l_t^{\max}), (l_s^{\min}, l_s^{\max}), evolution iteration N_e , policy iteration per evolution iteration N_{it} , Population P , index of individual i , selection probability $p_{s(i)}$, crossover probability p_c , mutation probability p_m .

Output: optimal l_t , l_s , *reward*.

Generate the initial population and encode;

for i_e in $[1...N_e]$ **do**

Reset morphology of the robot ($P_{i_e}[l_t^{\min}, l_t^{\max}]$, ($P_{i_e}[l_s^{\min}, l_s^{\max}]$) and physical model (collision, mass, inertia);

for i_t in $[1...N_{it}]$ **do**

for k in $[1...N_{steps}]$ **do**

Get Act_k from policy;

Parallel step simulation $\rightarrow Obs_k, Rew_k$;

end

$Rew(i_t) = [Rew_1...Rew_k]$;

$Obs(i_t) = [Obs_1...Obs_k]$;

Policy update;

end

$Rew(i_e) = [Rew_1...Rew_{i_t}]$;

$Obs(i_e) = [Obs_1...Obs_{i_t}]$;

$fitness = sum(Rew(i_e)) - min(sum(Rew(i_e))) + \epsilon$;

Select individuals using roulette wheel selection:

$p_{s(i)} = \frac{fitness(i)}{\sum fitness}$;

$p(i_e + 1) \leftarrow$ Crossover and mutation $\leftarrow p_c \cdot p_m$

end

material for some connectors, and aluminum alloy for key load-bearing parts to achieve the ultimate reduction in weight without sacrificing structural strength. Through the above design, the weight of the robot's single leg was reduced to 1.3kg. We designed the joint parameters as follows in the Table III.

3) Bionic Fishbone Bowden Cable Driven Ankle Joint:

The design of the ankle joint is our main distinction from other robots, which use smaller mass motors in the ankle joint to reduce leg inertia, resulting in the maximum force generated by the ankle joint being significantly less than that of the thigh joint. According to research in literature, the torque generated by the human ankle joint during running is nearly equal to that of the thigh joint. Therefore, based on previous work, following the principle of mass concentration, and to meet the requirements of mass elevation in structural design, we adopted a Bowden cable to implement a series elastic actuation system, allowing the ankle actuator to be positioned anywhere outside the joint itself. This eliminates the need to consider the mass of the ankle joint motor affecting leg movement, significantly reducing leg inertia mass while greatly enhancing the torque of the ankle joint and significantly increasing the overall structural design flexibility. On our robot, the ankle joint power motor is placed in the upper body and transmits power to the ankle joint through the Bowden cable.

We adopted a bionic fishbone structure for the Bowden cable (as shown in the Figure 4), which uses multiple segments closely connected by spherical joints to achieve structural flexibility and adjustability. By adjusting the number of segments, the total length

TABLE III
JOINT PARAMETERS

Name	Torque ($N \cdot m$)	Limit (rad)	Max speed (rad/s)	Drive mode
Hip yaw	33.5	$(-0.1, 1)$	21	Direct
Hip roll	33.5	$(-0.2, 1.5)$	21	Direct
Hip pitch	33.5	$(-1.5, 1.5)$	21	Direct
Knee	50.25	$(-1.5, 1.5)$	14	Belt
Ankle	67	$(-0.75, 0.75)$	10.5	Bowden cable

of the structure can be rapidly changed, thus providing high adaptability. The interlayer part is made of a complete oil tube filled with lubricant, significantly reducing the friction encountered by the steel wire during movement. Compared to traditional Bowden cable structures, this design not only significantly improves load-bearing capacity but also achieves extremely low friction.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATIONS

A. Evaluation of the SERL Algorithm

1) Effectiveness of the Algorithm Optimization Process:

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of SERL algorithm in enhancing robot motion performance, we first define two different task forms as specific design objectives: comprehensive locomotion under perturbations and achieving maximum velocity. The two different tasks are represented by different task reward forms, denoted as r_t . Through data analysis of the mean reward of individuals in the population and the maximum fitness in the




Fig. 5. Reward and maximum fitness of comprehensive locomotion task.




Fig. 6. Thigh and shin lengths of comprehensive locomotion task.

population for both tasks, where population fitness is used to measure the maximum difference in individual performance within the population and can effectively demonstrate the convergence of the population, we can effectively showcase the convergence effect of the population. We also record the thigh and shin lengths during the population evolution process. The convergence process of the above data reflects the improvement of policy and performance and the stable variation of design parameters.

For the comprehensive locomotion under perturbations task, as the results shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, the leg length parameters converge to their optimal values at around 700k steps, with the population's mean reward reaching its maximum and the population's maximum fitness reaching its minimum. Surprisingly, the convergence results show that longer shin lengths compared to thigh lengths lead to better task completion. This finding is different from previous methods based on biological inspiration or manual adjustment, where thigh and shin lengths are typically set to the same value in bipedal robot design.

In the results of the achieving maximum velocity task shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8, we found that the convergence is faster, reaching a good convergence effect after 200k steps. The results show that, compared to the comprehensive locomotion task, in the task of achieving maximum velocity, shin lengths increase while thigh lengths decrease slightly, and the lengths of the thigh and shin tend to be the same.

The convergence results of the two tasks indicate that for different task forms, the SERL algorithm can effectively provide different optimal design solutions, satisfying diverse requirements in bipedal robot design and maximizing robot performance and capabilities.




Fig. 7. Reward and maximum fitness of achieving maximum velocity.




Fig. 8. Thigh and shin lengths of achieving maximum velocity.

2) *Compare with other parameters within the design space:* We compare the optimal leg length obtained using the SERL algorithm with other leg lengths within the design space.

As shown in Figures 9 and 10, the experimental results clearly demonstrate that the manually specified leg length yields optimal results consistent with the SERL algorithm optimization results, thus validating the effectiveness of the SERL algorithm. Additionally, we observe that for the comprehensive locomotion task, the optimal reward corresponds to a wider range of pole lengths, indicating that the algorithm requires more time to converge during the training process. In contrast, for the maximum velocity task, the distribution range of the global optimal solution is smaller, suggesting faster convergence of the algorithm. This further confirms the adaptability of the algorithm to real-world scenarios.




Fig. 9. Rewards within the design space of comprehensive locomotion task.




Fig. 10. Rewards within the design space of achieving maximum velocity task.

B. Performance Testing of Wow Orin Robot

To assess the superior performance of Wow Orin and demonstrate its high energy efficiency and agility, we employed the same algorithmic settings to train the control strategies of Wow Orin, Cassie, and Unitree H1 in a flat simulation environment, followed by comparative tests of their Cost of Transport (COT), maximum velocity and fronde number[26].

1) *Energy Efficiency Comparison:* The COT is a common metric used to gauge the efficiency of legged animals and robots, calculated as $COT = P/(mgv)$, where P denotes joint power, m represents the robot's weight, g is the acceleration due to gravity, and v is the robot's forward velocity. As shown in Table IV, through extreme lightweight design, Wow Orin achieves the lowest

weight among the three robots, at only 10.5 kg. Additionally, it employs motors matched to the body mass for propulsion, resulting in minimal joint torque. The COT results demonstrate that Wow Orin significantly outperforms the other two robots, indicating its superior energy efficiency. This allows the robot to achieve longer endurance with limited battery capacity, which is crucial for tasks requiring prolonged movement.

TABLE IV
PERFORMANCE TESTING OF WOW ORIN ROBOT.

	Wow Orin	Cassie	Unitree H1
Weight (kg)	10.5	31	47
Joint Maximum Torque ($N \cdot m$)	67	195	360
Cost of Transport (COT)	0.407	0.762	0.718
Leg Length(m)	0.7	1.0	0.8
Max Velocity (m/s)	2.1	3.2	1.9
Froude number	0.75	1.04	0.46

2) *Maximum Velocity on Flat Ground*: The Froude number is a dimensionless quantity used to compare the dynamic similarity of different-sized robots or organisms under similar motion conditions, especially in walking or running scenarios. Its calculation formula is $F_r = v^2/gl$, where v is the speed of the robot's movement, g is the acceleration due to gravity, and l is the length of the robot's legs. We trained the three robots with the same parameters and environmental settings to achieve their maximum speed on flat ground. The results, as shown in the Table IV, reveal that Wow Orin's maximum speed and Froude number exceeds that of Unitree H1 but falls short of Cassie's top speed. This difference may be attributed to the lower peak torque of the joint motors used in Wow Orin compared to Cassie's.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrates the effectiveness of the SERL algorithm in the structural parameter design of bipedal robots, offering valuable insights for advancing this field. By combining reinforcement learning motion control strategies with evolutionary algorithms, the SERL algorithm successfully identifies structural parameter values within the specified design space that best meet task requirements. Through comparison with other parameters within the design space, we validate the exceptional performance of the SERL algorithm in achieving theoretically optimal values. Furthermore, we apply the optimized results of the SERL algorithm to practical design, creatively developing the Wow Orin bipedal robot. This robot effectively completes walking tasks using strategies generated by reinforcement learning, thereby confirming the reliability and practicality of its parameter design. Overall, we anticipate that the design principles and methods of the SERL algorithm will find broader practical applications in the field of robot structural parameter design, fostering continuous innovation and development in robotics technology.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Badri-Spröwitz, A. Aghamaleki Sarvestani, M. Sitti, and M. A. Daley, "BirdBot achieves energy-efficient gait with minimal control using avian-inspired leg clutching," *Science Robotics*, Mar. 2022.
- [2] A. Ananthanarayanan, M. Azadi, and S. Kim, "Towards a bio-inspired leg design for high-speed running," *Bioinspiration & Biomimetics*, 2012.
- [3] T. Geijtenbeek, M. van de Panne, and A. F. van der Stappen, "Flexible muscle-based locomotion for bipedal creatures," *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, Nov. 2013.

- [4] S. Ha, S. Coros, A. Alspach, J. Kim, and K. Yamane, "Task-based limb optimization for legged robots," in 2016 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), Oct. 2016.
- [5] A. Gupta, S. Savarese, S. Ganguli, and L. Fei-Fei, "Embodied Intelligence via Learning and Evolution," *Nature Communications*, Oct. 2021.
- [6] A. Belmonte-Baeza, J. Lee, G. Valsecchi, and M. Hutter, "Meta Reinforcement Learning for Optimal Design of Legged Robots," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*.
- [7] J. Bhatia, H. Jackson, Y. Tian, J. Xu, and W. Matusik, "Evolution Gym: A Large-Scale Benchmark for Evolving Soft Robots," *Neural Information Processing Systems*, Dec. 2021.
- [8] J. Lee, J. Hwangbo, L. Wellhausen, V. Koltun, and M. Hutter, "Learning Quadrupedal Locomotion over Challenging Terrain," *Science Robotics*, Oct. 2020.
- [9] A. Kumar, Z. Fu, D. Pathak, and J. Malik, "RMA: Rapid Motor Adaptation for Legged Robots," in *Robotics: Science and Systems XVII*, Jun. 2021.
- [10] T. Miki, J. Lee, J. Hwangbo, L. Wellhausen, V. Koltun, and M. Hutter, "Learning robust perceptive locomotion for quadrupedal robots in the wild," *Science Robotics*, Jan. 2022.
- [11] GabrielB. Margolis and P. Agrawal, "Walk These Ways: Tuning Robot Control for Generalization with Multiplicity of Behavior," *Cornell University - arXiv, Cornell University - arXiv*, Dec. 2022.
- [12] G. B. Margolis, G. Yang, K. Paigwar, T. Chen, and P. Agrawal, "Rapid locomotion via reinforcement learning," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 2022.
- [13] G. Ji, J. Mun, H. Kim and J. Hwangbo, "Concurrent Training of a Control Policy and a State Estimator for Dynamic and Robust Legged Locomotion," in *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, April 2022.
- [14] A. Agarwal, A. Kumar, J. Malik, and D. Pathak, "Legged Locomotion in Challenging Terrains using Egocentric Vision," in *Proceedings of The 6th Conference on Robot Learning*, Dec. 2023.
- [15] R. Yang, G. Yang, and X. Wang, "Neural Volumetric Memory for Visual Locomotion Control," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2023.
- [16] Y. Yang, X. Meng, W. Yu, T. Zhang, J. Tan, and B. Boots, "Continuous Versatile Jumping Using Learned Action Residuals," in *Proceedings of The 5th Annual Learning for Dynamics and Control Conference*, Jun. 2023.
- [17] GabrielB. Margolis et al., "Learning to Jump from Pixels," *5th Annual Conference on Robot Learning, 5th Annual Conference on Robot Learning*, Oct. 2021.
- [18] Z. Zhuang, Z. Fu, J. Wang, C. Atkeson, S. Schwa, C. Finn, and H. Zhao, "Robot Parkour Learning," in *Conference on Robot Learning (CoRL)*, 2023.
- [19] D. Hoeller, N. Rudin, D. Sako, and M. Hutter, "ANYmal parkour: Learning agile navigation for quadrupedal robots," *Science Robotics*, 2024.
- [20] X. Cheng, K. Shi, A. Agarwal, and D. Pathak, "Extreme Parkour with Legged Robots," *Cornell University - arXiv*, 2023.
- [21] J. Siekmann, Y. Godse, A. Fern, and J. Hurst, "Sim-to-Real Learning of All Common Bipedal Gaits via Periodic Reward Composition," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, May 2021.
- [22] Z. Li et al., "Reinforcement Learning for Robust Parameterized Locomotion Control of Bipedal Robots," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, May 2021.
- [23] S. H. Jeon, S. Heim, C. Khazoom, and S. Kim, "Benchmarking potential based rewards for learning humanoid locomotion," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2023.
- [24] I. Radosavovic, T. Xiao, B. Zhang, T. Darrell, J. Malik, and K. Sreenath, "Real-world humanoid locomotion with reinforcement learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03381*, 2023.
- [25] Z. Li, X. B. Peng, P. Abbeel, S. Levine, G. Berseth, and K. Sreenath, "Reinforcement learning for versatile, dynamic, and robust bipedal locomotion control," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.16889*, 2024.
- [26] C. L. Vaughan and M. J. O'Malley, "Froude and the contribution of naval architecture to our understanding of bipedal locomotion", *Gait & Posture*, Apr. 2005.