

ELEC-H404

Advanced Security Evasion in Windows

Andranik Voskanyan Cédric Sipakam Zinar Mutu

Professor

.....

Academic Year 2024 - 2025

Faculty

Electrical Engineering

Contents

1	Intr	${f coduction}$																5
	1.1	1.1 Problem Statement and Motivation											5					
	1.2	Project Aims	and Object:	ives												 ٠		5
2	Bac	ackground												5				
	2.1	Fundamentals	of Security	Evasion														5
	2.2	Overview of V	Vindows Sec	curity Ar	chitectur	е												5
	2.3	Theoretical O	verview of A	Attacker	Techniqu	ies In	vestig	gate	d .									5
		2.3.1 Keylog	ggers															5
		2.3.2 Backd	oors and Re	mote Ac	cess													5
		2.3.3 Non-V	isual Comm	and Exe	cution ar	nd Pr	ocess	Hid	ing									5
		2.3.4 Proces	ss Injection a	and Mem	ory Man	ipula	tion .											5
		2.3.5 Persist	tence Techni	iques														6
	2.4	Relevant Fran	neworks and	l Tools .												 ٠		6
3	Des	scription																6
	3.1	Test Environr	nent Setup															6
		3.1.1 Victim	n Machine .															6
		3.1.2 Attack	ker Machine															6
		3.1.3 Netwo	rk Configura	ation														6
	3.2	Security Solut	tion Configu	ration .														6
		3.2.1 Windo	ows Defende	r														6
	3.3 Implementation of Attacker Techniques												6					
		3.3.1 Object	tive of the s	pecific te	st													6
		3.3.2 Tools :	and Scripts	Used														6
		3.3.3 Step-b	y-step Exec	ution Pro	$_{ m ocedure}$													6
		3.3.4 Specifi	ic Evasion N	Iethods I	Employed	1.												6
	3.4	Monitoring ar	nd Data Col	lection S	trategy.													6
			ty Solution															6
		3.4.2 System	n-Level Logs	3														6
			rk Traffic A															6
			mance Logg															6
	3.5	Evaluation Cr																6
4	Exp	perimental Results										6						
	4.1	Keylogger Deployment and Detection									6							
	4.2	Backdoor Creation and Remote Access								6								
	4.3	Non-Visual C																
	4.4	Process Inject					_											6
	4.5	Persistence Te		-	=													

	4.6	Security Solution Performance Analysis
	4.7	Comparative Analysis
5	Disc	cussion and Conclusion
	5.1	Interpretaion of Results
	5.2	Comparison with Expected Outcomes/Litterature
	5.3	Challenges Encountered and Limitations of the Study
	5.4	Conclusion
	5.5	Future Perspectives
6	Ref	erences

List of Figures

Abstract

This project investigates the efficacy of Windows security solutions, primarily focusing on Windows Defender, in detecting and responding to advanced stealthy attack techniques. The study evaluates a range of common attacker methodologies including the deployment of keyloggers, creation of backdoors for remote access, non-visual command execution leveraging built-in system tools, process injection for memory manipulation, and various persistence mechanisms designed to maintain unauthorized access. The evaluation involved executing these attack scenarios in a controlled environment while meticulously logging system behavior, network activity, and Windows event logs to analyze the detection capabilities and response of the security software.

1 Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement and Motivation

The Landscape of cyber threats is constantly evolving, with attackers developing increasingly sophisticated techniques to evade detection by security systems. Detecting these stealthy attacks is a significant challenge for individuals and organization alike. Understanding the methods attackers use to bypass security measures is crucial for defenders to improve their strategies, tools, and overall security posture. This project aims to shed light on these evasion techniques within the Windows operating System, a prevalent target for cyber-attacks.

1.2 Project Aims and Objectives

The Primary aims of this project are:

- To evaluate the detection capabilities of Windows Defender, [...], and [....] against a set of specific attacker techniques
- The attacker techniques investigated include:
 - Keylogger deployment
 - Backdoor creation and remote access
 - Non-visual command execution and process hiding
 - Process injection and memory manipulation
 - Persistence techniques
- To analyze system behavior, network activity, and event logs during these simulated attacks to understand how security solutions respond and what artifacts are generated
- To asses the effectiveness of various evasion methods employed by attackers

1.3 Scope of the project

This project focuses on:

- Operating System: Windows 11 Home
- Primary Security Silution: Windows Defender, [...], [....]

2 Background

- 2.1 Fundamentals of Security Evasion
- 2.2 Overview of Windows Security Architecture
- 2.3 Theoretical Overview of Attacker Techniques Investigated

2.3.2	Backdoors and Remote Access						
2.3.3	Non-Visual Command Execution and Process Hiding						
2.3.4	Process Injection and Memory Manipulation						
2.3.5	Persistence Techniques						
2.4	Relevant Frameworks and Tools						
3 Description							
3.1	Test Environment Setup						
3.1.1	Victim Machine						
3.1.2	Attacker Machine						
3.1.3	Network Configuration						
3.2	Security Solution Configuration						
3.2.1	Windows Defender						
3.3	Implementation of Attacker Techniques						
3.3.1	Objective of the specific test						
3.3.2	Tools and Scripts Used						
3.3.3	Step-by-step Execution Procedure						
3.3.4	Specific Evasion Methods Employed						
3.4	Monitoring and Data Collection Strategy						
3.4.1	Security Solution Logs						
3.4.2	System-Level Logs						
3.4.3	Network Traffic Analysis						
3.4.4	Performance Logging						
3.5	Evaluation Criteria						
4 E	experimental Results						
4.1	Keylogger Deployment and Detection						
4.2	Backdoor Creation and Remote Access						
4.3	Non-Visual Command Execution and Process Hiding						

2.3.1 Keyloggers

- 4.4 Process Injection and Memory Manipulation
- 4.5 Persistence Techniques
- 4.6 Security Solution Performance Analysis
- 4.7 Comparative Analysis

5 Discussion and Conclusion

- 5.1 Interpreation of Results
- **5.2 Comparison with Expected Outcomes/Litterature**
- 5.3 Challenges Encountered and Limitations of the Study
- 5.4 Conclusion
- **5.5 Future Perspectives**
- 6 References