

New reduction techniques in commutative algebra driven by logical methods




A baby example

Let M be an injective matrix with more columns than rows over a reduced ring A. Then 1 = 0 in A.

Generic freeness

Generically, any finitely generated module over a reduced ring is free.



A baby example

Let M be an injective matrix with more columns than rows over a reduced ring A. Then 1 = 0 in A.

Proof. Assume not. Then there is a minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$. The matrix is injective over the field $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = A[(A \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}]$; contradiction to basic linear algebra.

Generic freeness

Generically, any finitely generated module over a reduced ring is free.

(A ring is reduced iff
$$x^n = 0$$
 implies $x = 0$.)

A baby example

Let M be an injective matrix with more columns than rows over a reduced ring A. Then 1 = 0 in A.

Proof. Assume not. Then there is a minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$. The matrix is injective over the field $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = A[(A \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}]$; contradiction to basic linear algebra.

Generic freeness

Generically, any finitely generated module over a reduced ring is free.

Proof. See [Stacks Project].

• For any reduced ring A, there is a ring A^{\sim} in a certain topos with

$$\models (\forall x : A^{\sim}. \neg (\exists y : A^{\sim}. xy = 1) \Rightarrow x = 0).$$

- This semantics is sound with respect to intuitionistic logic.
- It has uses in classical and constructive commutative algebra.

A baby example

Let *M* be an injective matrix with more columns than rows over a reduced ring A. Then 1 = 0 in A.

Proof. Assume not. Then there is a minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$. The matrix is injective over the field $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = A[(A \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}]$; contradiction to basic linear algebra.

Generic freeness

Generically, any finitely generated module over a reduced ring is free.

Proof. See [Stacks Project].

Motivating the semantics

A ring is **local** iff $1 \neq 0$ and if x + y = 1 implies that x is invertible or y is invertible.

Examples: $k, k[[X]], \mathbb{C}\{z\}, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$

Non-examples: \mathbb{Z} , k[X], $\mathbb{Z}/(pq)$

Locally, any ring is local.

Let x + y = 1 in a ring A. Then:

- The element x is invertible in $A[x^{-1}]$.
- The element y is invertible in $A[y^{-1}]$.

The Kripke-Joyal semantics

Recall $A[f^{-1}] = \{ \frac{u}{f^n} \mid u \in A, n \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Let " $\models \varphi$ " be short for " $1 \models \varphi$ ". $f \models \top$ iff \top $f \models \bot$ iff f is nilpotent $f \models x = y$ iff $x = y \in A[f^{-1}]$ $f \models \varphi \land \psi$ iff $f \models \varphi$ and $f \models \psi$ $f \models \varphi \lor \psi$ iff there exists a partition $f^n = fg_1 + \cdots + fg_m$ with, for each i, $fg_i \models \varphi$ or $fg_i \models \psi$ $f \models \varphi \Rightarrow \psi$ for all $g \in A$, $fg \models \varphi$ implies $fg \models \psi$ $f \models \forall x : A^{\sim}. \varphi$ iff for all $g \in A$ and all $x_0 \in A[(fg)^{-1}], fg \models \varphi[x_0/x]$ iff there exists a partition $f^n = fg_1 + \cdots + fg_m$ with, $f \models \exists x : A^{\sim}. \varphi$ for each i, $fg_i \models \varphi[x_0/x]$ for some $x_0 \in A[(fg_i)^{-1}]$

The Kripke-Joyal semantics

Recall
$$A[f^{-1}] = \{\frac{u}{f^n} \mid u \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$
. Let " $\models \varphi$ " be short for " $1 \models \varphi$ ".

$$f \models x = y$$
 iff $x = y \in A[f^{-1}]$
 $f \models \varphi \land \psi$ iff $f \models \varphi$ and $f \models \psi$
 $f \models \varphi \lor \psi$ iff there exists a partition $f^n = fg_1 + \cdots + fg_m$ with,
for each i , $fg_i \models \varphi$ or $fg_i \models \psi$

Monotonicity

If $f \models \varphi$, then also $fg \models \varphi$.

Locality

If
$$f^n = fg_1 + \cdots + fg_m$$
 and $fg_i \models \varphi$ for all i , then also $f \models \varphi$.

Soundness

If
$$\varphi \vdash \psi$$
 and $f \models \varphi$, then $f \models \psi$.


Forced properties


 $A \models \lceil A^{\sim} \text{ is a local ring} \rceil.$

A universal property

The displayed semantics is the first-order fragment of the **higher-order internal language** of the **little Zariski topos**.










A universal property


The displayed semantics is the first-order fragment of the **higher-order internal language** of the **little Zariski topos**.







Is there a **free local ring** $A \rightarrow A'$ over A?



For a fixed ring R, the localization $A' := A[S^{-1}]$ with $S := \alpha^{-1}[R^{\times}]$ would do the job.

Hence we need the **generic filter**.

Investigating the forcing model

Let *A* be a reduced commutative ring $(x^n = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$.

The little Zariski topos of A is equivalently

- \blacksquare the topos of sheaves over Spec(A),
- \blacksquare the locale given by the frame of radical ideals of A,
- the classifying topos of filters of A

and contains a mirror image of A, the sheaf of rings A^{\sim} .

Assuming the Boolean Prime Ideal Theorem, a first-order formula " $\forall \ldots \forall ... \forall . (\cdots \Longrightarrow \cdots)$ ", where the two subformulas may not contain " \Rightarrow " and " \forall ", holds for A^{\sim} iff it holds for all stalks $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

 A^{\sim} inherits any property of A which is localization-stable.

 A^{\sim} is a **local ring** and a **field**. A^{\sim} has $\neg\neg$ -stable equality. A^{\sim} is anonymously Noetherian.

Investigating the forcing model

Let *A* be a reduced commutative ring $(x^n = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$.

ON THE SPECTRUM OF A RINGED TOPOS

209

For completeness, two further remarks should be added to this treatment of the spectrum. One is that in \mathbf{E} the canonical map $A \to \Gamma_{\bullet}(LA)$ is an isomorphism—i.e., the representation of A in the ring of "global sections" of LA is complete. The second, due to Mulvey in the case $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{S}$, is that in $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{E}, A)$ the formula

 $\neg (x \in U(LA)) \Rightarrow \exists n(x^n = 0)$

is valid. This is surely important, though its precise significance is still somewhat obscure—as is the case with many such nongeometric formulas.

In any case, calculations such as these are easier from the point of view of the Heyting algebra of radical ideals of A, and hence will be omitted here.

tain " \Rightarrow " a Miles Tierney. On the spectrum of a ringed topos. 1976, a lity. it holds for all stalks A_n .

Revisiting the test cases

Let *A* be a reduced commutative ring $(x^n = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$. Let A^{\sim} be its mirror image in the little Zariski topos.



A baby example

Let M be an injective matrix over A with more columns than rows. Then 1 = 0 in A.

Proof. *M* is also injective as a matrix over A^{\sim} . Since A^{\sim} is a field, this is a contradiction by basic linear algebra. Thus $\models \bot$. This amounts to 1 = 0 in A.



Generic freeness

Let M be a finitely generated Amodule. If f = 0 is the only element of A such that $M[f^{-1}]$ is a free $A[f^{-1}]$ module, then 1 = 0 in A.

The claim amounts to \models " M^{\sim} is **not not** free". Since A^{\sim} is a field, this follows from basic linear algebra.



The Zariski topos and related toposes have applications in:

- classical algebra and classical algebraic geometry
- constructive algebra and constructive algebraic geometry
- synthetic algebraic geometry ("schemes are just sets")

Connections with:

- understanding quasicoherence
- the age-old mystery of nongeometric sequents

Further reading

Spiel und Spaß mit der internen Welt des kleinen Zariski-Topos

Ingo Blechschmidt

19. Dezember 2013



```
R \models x = y : O :\iff Für die gegebenen Elemente x, y \in R gilt x = y.
B \models \top
                      :\iff 1 = 1 \in R. (Das ist stets erfüllt.)
B \models \bot
                     :←⇒ 1 = 0 ∈ R. (Das ist genau in Nullringen erfüllt.)
R \models \phi \wedge \psi
                    :\iff R \models \phi \text{ und } R \models \psi.
R \models \phi \lor \psi
                     :\iff R \models \phi \text{ oder } R \models \psi.
R \models \phi \lor \psi
                    :\iff Es gibt eine Zerlegung \Sigma_i s_i = 1 \in R sodass
                                      für alle i jeweils R[s_i^{-1}] \models \phi oder R[s_i^{-1}] \models \psi.
R \models \phi \Rightarrow \psi : \iff Für jedes s \in R gilt: Aus R[s^{-1}] \models \phi folgt R[s^{-1}] \models \psi.
R \models \forall x : O. \phi : \iff Für jedes s \in R und jedes x \in R[s^{-1}] gilt: R[s^{-1}] \models \phi(x).
R \models \exists x : O, \phi : \iff Es gibt eine Zerlegung \Sigma, s_i = 1 \in R und
                                     Elemente x_i \in R[s_i^{-1}] sodass für alle i: R[s_i^{-1}] \models \phi(x_i).
```

Die Kripke-Joyal-Semantik des kleinen Zariski-Topos.

Using the internal language of toposes in algebraic geometry

Dissertation zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

Dr rer nat

eingereicht an der

Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlich-Technischen Fakultät der Universität Augsburg

von

Ingo Blechschmidt



Juni 2017

Applications in algebraic geometry

Understand notions of algebraic geometry over a scheme X as notions of algebra internal to Sh(X).

externally	internally to $Sh(X)$
sheaf of sets	set
sheaf of modules	module
sheaf of finite type	finitely generated module
tensor product of sheaves	tensor product of modules
sheaf of rational functions	total quotient ring of \mathcal{O}_X
dimension of X	Krull dimension of \mathcal{O}_X
spectrum of a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras	ordinary spectrum [with a twist]
higher direct images	sheaf cohomology

Let $0 \to \mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'' \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. If \mathcal{F}' and \mathcal{F}'' are of finite type, so is \mathcal{F} .



Let $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of modules. If M' and M'' are finitely generated, so is M.

Synthetic algebraic geometry

Usual approach to algebraic geometry: layer schemes above ordinary set theory using either

locally ringed spaces

set of prime ideals of
$$\mathbb{Z}[X,Y,Z]/(X^n+Y^n-Z^n)+$$
 Zariski topology + structure sheaf

• or Grothendieck's functor-of-points account, where a scheme is a functor Ring \rightarrow Set.

$$A \longmapsto \{(x, y, z) \in A^3 \mid x^n + y^n - z^n = 0\}$$

Synthetic approach: model schemes **directly as sets** in a certain nonclassical set theory, the internal universe of the **big Zariski topos** of a base scheme.

$$\{(x, y, z) : (\underline{\mathbb{A}}^1)^3 \mid x^n + y^n - z^n = 0\}$$