

AN ELEMENTARY AND CONSTRUCTIVE PROOF OF GROTHENDIECK'S GENERIC FREENESS LEMMA

INGO BLECHSCHMIDT

ABSTRACT. We present a new and direct proof of Grothendieck's generic freeness lemma in its general form. Unlike the previously published proofs, it doesn't proceed in a series of reduction steps and is fully constructive, not involving the axiom of choice or even the law of excluded middle. It was found by unwinding the result of a general topos-theoretic technique.

We prove Grothendieck's generic freeness lemma for rings and modules in the following form.

Theorem 1. *Let A be a reduced ring. Let B be an A -algebra of finite type. Let M be a finitely generated B -module. If $f = 0$ is the only element of A such that*

- (1) *the $A[f^{-1}]$ -modules $B[f^{-1}]$ and $M[f^{-1}]$ are free,*
- (2) *the $A[f^{-1}]$ -algebra $B[f^{-1}]$ is of finite presentation, and*
- (3) *the $B[f^{-1}]$ -module $M[f^{-1}]$ is finitely presented,*

then $1 = 0$ in A .

Previously known proofs either only cover the case where A is a Noetherian integral domain, where one can argue by *dévissage* (see for instance [5, Lemme 6.9.2], [7, Thm. 24.1], or [6, Thm. 14.4]), or proceed in a series of intermediate steps, reducing to that case (see for instance [8] or [9, Tag 051Q]); but in fact, a direct proof is possible and shorter.

Grothendieck's generic freeness lemma is often presented in contrapositive form or in the following geometric variant:

Theorem 2. *Let A be a reduced ring. Let B be an A -algebra of finite type. Let M be a finitely generated B -module. Then the space $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ contains a dense open U such that over U ,*

- (a) *B^\sim and M^\sim are free as sheaves of A^\sim -modules,*
- (b) *B^\sim is of finite presentation as a sheaf of A^\sim -algebras, and*
- (c) *M^\sim is finitely presented as a sheaf of B^\sim -modules.*

Theorem 2 immediately follows from Theorem 1 by defining U as the union of all the basic opens $D(f)$ such that (1), (2), and (3) hold. It's clear that (a), (b), and (c) hold over U , and U is dense for if V is an arbitrary open such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$, the open V is itself empty: Let $h \in A$ such that $D(h) \subseteq V$. The hypothesis implies the assumptions of Theorem 1 for the datum $(A[h^{-1}], B[h^{-1}], M[h^{-1}])$. Thus $1 = 0 \in A[h^{-1}]$, so h is nilpotent and $D(h) = \emptyset$.

The new proof was found using a general topos-theoretical technique which we believe to be useful in other situations as well. This technique allows to view reduced rings and their modules from a different point of view, one from which reduced rings look like fields. Since Grothendieck's generic freeness is trivial for fields, this

technique yields a trivial proof for reduced rings. The proof presented here was obtained by unwinding the topos-theoretic proof, yielding a self-contained argument without any references to topos theory. We refer readers who want to learn about this technique to a companion paper [2].

Acknowledgments. The proof presented here was prompted by user HeinrichD on MathOverflow [4] and greatly benefited from discussions with Martin Brandenburg, who needed the constructive version in paper of him [3]. I'm grateful to Marc Nieper-Wißkirchen for carefully guiding my PhD thesis, where **XXX**: ...

1. THE PROOF OF THE GENERAL CASE

Lemma 3. *Let A be a ring. Let M be an A -module with generating family $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ where I is a totally ordered set. Assume that the only element $g \in A$ such that one of the x_i is an $A[g^{-1}]$ -linear combination in $M[g^{-1}]$ of other generators with smaller index is $g = 0$. Then M is free with $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ as a basis.*

Proof. Let $\sum_i a_i x_i = 0$. Starting with the greatest index i which appears in that sum, we see that in $M[a_i^{-1}]$, the element x_i is an $A[g^{-1}]$ -linear combination of other generators with smaller index. Thus $a_i = 0$ by assumption. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let B be generated by (x_1, \dots, x_n) as an A -algebra and let M be generated by (v_1, \dots, v_m) as a B -module. We endow the sets

$$I := \{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \mid i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\} \quad \text{and} \\ J := \{(\ell, i_1, \dots, i_n) \mid \ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}, i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\}$$

with the lexicographic order. The family $(w_j)_{j \in J} := (x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} v_\ell)_{(\ell, i_1, \dots, i_n) \in J}$ generates M as an A -module, and we'll call a subfamily $(w_j)_{j \in J' \subseteq J}$ *good* if and only if for all $j \in J$, the vector w_j is a linear combination of the vectors $(w_{j'})_{j' \in J', j' \prec j}$, and if $(\ell, i_1, \dots, i_n) \notin J'$ implies $(\ell, k_1, \dots, k_n) \notin J'$ for all $k_1 \geq i_1, \dots, k_n \geq i_n$. Figure 1 shows how a good generating family can look like.

Similarly, we define when a subfamily of the canonical generating family $(x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n})_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I}$ of B is good (which is just the special case $m = 1$). We then proceed by induction on the shapes of a given good generating family $(w_j)_{j \in J'}$ for M and a given good generating family $(s_i)_{i \in I'}$ for B , starting with the canonical ones.

We show that $(w_j)_{j \in J'}$ is a basis of M by verifying the assumptions of Lemma 3. Thus let $g \in A$ be given such that one of the w_j is an $A[g^{-1}]$ -linear combination of generators with smaller index in $M[g^{-1}]$. Removing $w_j = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} v_\ell$ and also all vectors $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} v_\ell$ where $k_1 \geq i_1, \dots, k_n \geq i_n$, we obtain a subfamily which is still good for the $A[g^{-1}]$ -module $M[g^{-1}]$. By induction, applied to $A[g^{-1}]$ and its module $M[g^{-1}]$, it therefore follows that $A[g^{-1}] = 0$. This implies that $g = 0$ since A is reduced.

Similarly, we show that the given good generating family $(s_i)_{i \in I'}$ is a basis. Thus M and B are free over A . We fix for any corner $j = (\ell, i_1, \dots, i_n) \in J$, as indicated in Figure 1, a way of expressing $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} v_\ell = \sum_{(p, k_1, \dots, k_n)} a_{j;p, k_1, \dots, k_n} x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} v_p$ as a linear combination of generators with strictly smaller index. Then M is isomorphic to the B -module

$$B\langle V_1, \dots, V_m \rangle / (x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} V_\ell - \sum_{(p, k_1, \dots, k_n)} a_{j;p, k_1, \dots, k_n} x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} V_p)_j,$$

where the index j ranges over all corners of J , and is therefore finitely presented. In a similar vain, a quotient algebra of $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, where we mod out a suitable ideal with as many generators as corners of I , is isomorphic to B .

We finish by using the assumption for $f = 1$. \square

2. THE PROOF OF THE FINITELY-GENERATED CASE

The following proposition is just an instance of Grothendieck's generic freeness lemma. We present it here because it admits an easier proof.

Proposition 4. *Let A be a reduced ring. Let M be a finitely generated A -module. If $f = 0$ is the only element of A such that $M[f^{-1}]$ is a finite free $A[f^{-1}]$ -module, then $1 = 0$ in A .*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of a given generating family of M . Let M be generated by (v_1, \dots, v_m) .

We show that the family (v_1, \dots, v_m) is linearly independent. Let $\sum_i a_i v_i = 0$. Over $A[a_i^{-1}]$, the vector $v_i \in M[a_i^{-1}]$ is a linear combination of the other generators. Thus $M[a_i^{-1}]$ can be generated as an $A[a_i^{-1}]$ -module by fewer than m generators. The induction hypothesis, applied to this module, yields that $1 = 0$ in $A[a_i^{-1}]$. Since A is reduced, this amounts to $a_i = 0$.

We finish by using the assumption for $f = 1$. \square

$x^0 y^7 v_1$	$x^1 y^7 v_1$	$x^2 y^7 v_1$	$x^3 y^7 v_1$	$x^4 y^7 v_1$	$x^5 y^7 v_1$	$x^6 y^7 v_1$	$x^7 y^7 v_1$
$x^0 y^6 v_1$	$x^1 y^6 v_1$	$x^2 y^6 v_1$	$x^3 y^6 v_1$	$x^4 y^6 v_1$	$x^5 y^6 v_1$	$x^6 y^6 v_1$	$x^7 y^6 v_1$
$x^0 y^5 v_1$	$x^1 y^5 v_1$	$x^2 y^5 v_1$	$x^3 y^5 v_1$	$x^4 y^5 v_1$	$x^5 y^5 v_1$	$x^6 y^5 v_1$	$x^7 y^5 v_1$
$x^0 y^4 v_1$	$x^1 y^4 v_1$	$x^2 y^4 v_1$	$x^3 y^4 v_1$	$x^4 y^4 v_1$	$x^5 y^4 v_1$	$x^6 y^4 v_1$	$x^7 y^4 v_1$
$x^0 y^3 v_1$	$x^1 y^3 v_1$	$x^2 y^3 v_1$	$x^3 y^3 v_1$	$x^4 y^3 v_1$	$x^5 y^3 v_1$	$x^6 y^3 v_1$	$x^7 y^3 v_1$
$x^0 y^2 v_1$	$x^1 y^2 v_1$	$x^2 y^2 v_1$	$x^3 y^2 v_1$	$x^4 y^2 v_1$	$x^5 y^2 v_1$	$x^6 y^2 v_1$	$x^7 y^2 v_1$
$x^0 y^1 v_1$	$x^1 y^1 v_1$	$x^2 y^1 v_1$	$x^3 y^1 v_1$	$x^4 y^1 v_1$	$x^5 y^1 v_1$	$x^6 y^1 v_1$	$x^7 y^1 v_1$
$x^0 y^0 v_1$	$x^1 y^0 v_1$	$x^2 y^0 v_1$	$x^3 y^0 v_1$	$x^4 y^0 v_1$	$x^5 y^0 v_1$	$x^6 y^0 v_1$	$x^7 y^0 v_1$

FIGURE 1. A graphical depiction of a good generating family in the special case $n = 2, m = 1$. The hatched cells indicate vectors which have already been removed from the family. The small black squares indicate *corners*. If the vector in the red cell will be found to be expressible as a linear combination of vectors with smaller index (blue cells), it will be removed, along with the vectors in all cells to the top and to the right of the red cell.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Blechschmidt. “Using the internal language of toposes in algebraic geometry”. PhD thesis. University of Augsburg, 2017. URL: <https://rawgit.com/iblech/internal-methods/master/notes.pdf>.
- [2] I. Blechschmidt. “Without loss of generality, any reduced ring is a field”. 2018, in preparation.
- [3] M. Brandenburg. “Operations on categories of modules are given by Schur functors”. 2016. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.02180>.
- [4] MathOverflow contributors. *Constructive proof that a kernel consists of nilpotent elements (thread started by HeinrichD)*. 2016. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/250040>.
- [5] J. Dieudonné and A. Grothendieck. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Seconde partie”. In: *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 24 (1965).
- [6] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. Vol. 150. Grad. Texts in Math. Springer, 1995.
- [7] H. Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*. Vol. 8. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [8] C. Staats. *Elementary proof of generic freeness*. 2011. URL: https://math.uchicago.edu/~cstaats/Charles_Staats_III/Notes_and_papers_files/generic_freeness.pdf.
- [9] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. URL: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>.