



Kroma zkTrie Security Audit

: New zkTrie implementation for Kroma

April 5, 2024

Revision 1.21

ChainLight@Theori

Theori, Inc. ("We") is acting solely for the client and is not responsible to any other party. Deliverables are valid for and should be used solely in connection with the purpose for which they were prepared as set out in our engagement agreement. You should not refer to or use our name or advice for any other purpose. The information (where appropriate) has not been verified. No representation or warranty is given as to accuracy, completeness or correctness of information in the Deliverables, any document, or any other information made available. Deliverables are for the internal use of the client and may not be used or relied upon by any person or entity other than the client. Deliverables are confidential and are not to be provided, without our authorization (preferably written), to entities or representatives of entities (including employees) that are not the client, including affiliates or representatives of affiliates of the client.

© 2024 ChainLight, Theori. All rights reserved

Table of Contents

Kroma zkTrie Security Audit	1
Table of Contents	2
Executive Summary	3
Audit Overview	4
Scope	4
Code Revision	5
Severity Categories	5
Status Categories	6
Finding Breakdown by Severity	7
Findings	8
Summary	8
#1 ZKTRIE-001 merkleTreelterator.seek() can panic due to key vs. path confusion	9
#2 ZKTRIE-002 Inconsistent Handling of unexpected HashNode	12
#3 ZKTRIE-003 MerkleTree.Delete can incorrectly update the root node if removing a leaf at	
level 1.	16
#4 ZKTRIE-004 Shallow copy can miscalculate the state root hash	18
#5 ZKTRIE-005 Key pre-image is not saved	21
#6 ZKTRIE-006 Invalid keyPreimage format	23
Revision History	25

Executive Summary

Starting on Feb 11, 2024, ChainLight of Theori audited the new implementation of Kroma's zkTrie for the Kroma blockchain node software for a week. The implementation replaces the mirror of Scroll's zkTrie module, and is designed to integrate better with go-ethereum code while also being more performant for batch updates.

During our review, ChainLight found no security issues with the implementation, but did identify a panic reachable via the debug RPC namespace.

Audit Overview

Scope

Name	Kroma zkTrie Security Audit
Target / Version	• Git Repository (kroma-network/go-ethereum): commit ranges 0379233b1c5ea87444a79ea3170a06d811b4da0a 442e9a1edd3b7ff5d465a0aeca9d1920cb5a332f
Application Type	Blockchain node (L2)
Lang. / Platforms	Blockchain node (L2) [Go]

Code Revision

N/A

Severity Categories

Severity	Description
Critical	The attack cost is low (not requiring much time or effort to succeed in the actual attack), and the vulnerability causes a high-impact issue. (e.g., Effect on service availability, Attacker taking financial gain)
High	An attacker can succeed in an attack which clearly causes problems in the service's operation. Even when the attack cost is high, the severity of the issue is considered "high" if the impact of the attack is remarkably high.
Medium	An attacker may perform an unintended action in the service, and the action may impact service operation. However, there are some restrictions for the actual attack to succeed.
Low	An attacker can perform an unintended action in the service, but the action does not cause significant impact or the success rate of the attack is remarkably low.
Informational	Any informational findings that do not directly impact the user or the protocol.
Note	Neutral information about the target that is not directly related to the project's safety and security.

Status Categories

Status	Description
Confirm	ChainLight reported the issue to the vendor, and they confirm that they received.
Reported	ChainLight reported the issue to the vendor.
Patched	The vendor resolved the issue.
Acknowledged	The vendor acknowledged the potential risk, but they will resolve it later.
WIP	The vendor is working on the patch.
Won't Fix	The vendor acknowledged the potential risk, but they decided to accept the risk.

Finding Breakdown by Severity

Category	Count	Findings
Critical	0	• N/A
High	1	• ZKTRIE-003
Medium	0	• N/A
Low	4	• ZKTRIE-001 • ZKTRIE-004 • ZKTRIE-005 • ZKTRIE-006
Informational	1	ZKTRIE-002
Note	0	• N/A

Findings

Summary

#	ID	Title	Severity	Status
1	ZKTRIE-001	merkleTreeIterator.seek() can pa nic due to key vs. path confusion	Low	Patched
2	ZKTRIE-002	Inconsistent Handling of unexpected Ha shNode	Informational	Patched
3	ZKTRIE-003	MerkleTree.Delete can incorrectly u pdate the root node if removing a leaf at level 1.	High	Patched
4	ZKTRIE-004	Shallow copy can miscalculate the state r oot hash	Low	Patched
5	ZKTRIE-005	Key pre-image is not saved	Low	Patched
6	ZKTRIE-006	Invalid keyPreimage format	Low	Patched

#1 ZKTRIE-001 merkleTreeIterator.seek() can panic due to

key vs. path confusion

ID	Summary	Severity
ZKTRIE-001	merkleTreeIterator.seek() treats the input key as a path, leading to a possible slice bounds out of range error.	Low

Description

merkleTreeIterator's are created when the NodeIterator() method is called on a ZkMerkleTrie. As in the standard go-ethereum Trie, this method accepts a starting key for iteration. In the normal trie iterator (nodeIterator), this input key is transformed into nibbles (the equivalent of zkTrie paths):

```
func (it *nodeIterator) seek(prefix []byte) error {
    // The path we're looking for is the hex encoded key without terminato
r.
    key := keybytesToHex(prefix)
    key = key[:len(key)-1]
    ...
}
```

However in merkleTreeIterator, seek() assumes the input byte array is already in path form, leading to incorrect behavior and a possible panic.

```
func (it *merkleTreeIterator) seek(path []byte) {
   if len(path) == 0 {
      return
   }

   for _, p := range path {
      if parent, ok := it.stack[len(it.stack)-1].(*merkleTreeIteratorParentNode); ok {
```

```
// AUDIT: this path is not validated to be valid, can cause 00
B access crash
    if child := it.resolveNode(parent.children[p]); child != nil {
        it.stack = append(it.stack, child)
        it.path = append(it.path, p)
        continue
    }
    ...
}
```

In most cases, the start key values are nil, so this issue is avoided. However, a non-nil start key can be passed via a go-ethereum dump command or by the debug_accountRange RPC method.

Impact

Low

Although the code is reachable by an RPC endpoint, the panic is caught and handled by the RPC handler.

Recommendation

Transform the start key into a path before usage.

Remediation

Patched

It was already fixed in out-of-scope commits in a way similar to the recommendation.

#2 ZKTRIE-002 Inconsistent Handling of unexpected HashNode

ID	Summary	Severity
ZKTRIE-002	In some cases, encountering a HashNode produces the same result as an EmptyNode, when a new error type is warranted.	Informational

Description

In most ZkMerkleTree operations, encountering a HashNode yields a new type of error. However, in both Delete() and Prove(), the behavior instead matches that of an EmptyNode:

```
func (t *MerkleTree) Prove(key []byte, writeNode func(TreeNode) error) err
or {
       case *EmptyNode:
           return nil
        case *HashNode:
           return nil
    . . . .
```

```
func (t *MerkleTree) Delete(key []byte) error {
       case *EmptyNode:
           return trie.ErrKeyNotFound
       case *HashNode:
           return trie.ErrKeyNotFound
```

In both of these cases, encountering a HashNode should yield a new type of error.

Impact

Informational

If the implementation is correct, HashNode's should not be encountered. However, if a bug arises in the trie, these cases could hide the error and introduce incorrect outputs.

Recommendation

Return new error types, as is done in the other tree operations:

```
diff --qit a/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go b/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go
index b7fed242f..3ea84c98c 100644
--- a/trie/zk/merkle tree.go
+++ b/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go
@@ -247,7 +247,7 @@ func (t *MerkleTree) MustDelete(key []byte) {
 // mt.ImportDumpedLeafs), but this will lose all the Root history of the
MerkleTree
 func (t *MerkleTree) Delete(key []byte) error {
        node, path, pathNodes := t.rootNode, t.newTreePath(key), *new([]*P
arentNode)
        for _, p := range path {
        for lvl, p := range path {
                switch n := node.(type) {
                case *ParentNode:
                        pathNodes = append(pathNodes, n)
@@ -261,7 +261,7 @@ func (t *MerkleTree) Delete(key []byte) error {
                case *EmptyNode:
                        return trie.ErrKeyNotFound
                case *HashNode:
                        return trie.ErrKeyNotFound
                       return fmt.Errorf("Delete: encounter hash node. le
vel %d, path %v", lvl, path[:lvl])
                default:
                        return trie.ErrInvalidNodeFound
@@ -336,7 +336,8 @@ func (t *MerkleTree) Prove(key []byte, writeNode func(
TreeNode) error) error {
                return err
        }
        node := t.rootNode
        for _, p := range t.newTreePath(key) {
        path := t.newTreePath(key)
        for lvl, p := range path {
                // TODO: notice here we may have broken some implicit on t
he proofDb:
```

```
// the key is not keccak(value) and it even can not be der
ived from the value by any means without an actual decoding
                if err := writeNode(node); err != nil {
@@ -350,7 +351,7 @@ func (t *MerkleTree) Prove(key []byte, writeNode func(
TreeNode) error) error {
                case *EmptyNode:
                        return nil
                case *HashNode:
                        return nil
                        return fmt.Errorf("Prove: encounter hash node. lev
el %d, path %v", lvl, path[:lvl])
                default:
                        return trie.ErrInvalidNodeFound
                }
```

Remediation

Patched

It is fixed as recommended.

#3 ZKTRIE-003 MerkleTree.Delete can incorrectly update the

root node if removing a leaf at level 1.

ID	Summary	Severity
ZKTRIE-003	MerkleTree.Delete can incorrectly update the root node if removing a leaf at level 1.	High

Description

MerkleTree.Delete can incorrectly update the root node if removing a leaf at level 1. If a LeafNode at level 1 is deleted, its sibling is being promoted to the root node. This behavior is incorrect when the sibling is a ParentNode, as it changes the path prefix of all nodes below the promoted ParentNode . Instead, the deleted LeafNode should be replaced by an EmptyNode .

Impact

High

- 1. Some value on the state db can be removed.
- 2. So that it can miscalculate the state root hash, and it leads to the fork.

Recommendation

```
diff --git a/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go b/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go
index 3ea84c98c..5c3cbd38b 100644
--- a/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go
+++ b/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go
@@ -275,10 +275,6 @@ func (t *MerkleTree) rmAndUpload(path TreePath, pathN
odes []*ParentNode) {
        switch len(pathNodes) {
        case 0: // The leaf node you want to remove is root node.
                t.rootNode = EmptyNodeValue
        case 1:
                // root (ParentNode) --- LeafNode or ParentNode (promoted
to root node)
                //
                                      |- LeafNode (deleted)
                t.rootNode = t.getChild(pathNodes[0], path.GetOther(0))
        default:
                lastSibling := t.getChild(pathNodes[len(pathNodes)-1], pat
h.GetOther(len(pathNodes)-1))
```

Remediation

Patched

It is patched as recommended.

#4 ZKTRIE-004 Shallow copy can miscalculate the state root

hash

ID	Summary	Severity
ZKTRIE-004	Shallow copy can miscalculate the state root hash.	Low

Description

Any time a node is mutated in a way which could change its hash (i.e. SetChild), first copy it. When SetChild is only being used to replace a HashNode with its real node, the hash will not change, so we do not need to copy as long as we don't accidentally clear the hash. Multiple threads could be doing the replacement concurrently, so we need to be more careful about detecting this case. This is handled by comparing the child hashes instead of checking the node type.

Impact

Low

The statedb calculation could failed.

Recommendation

```
diff --git a/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go b/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go
index 3c7492bbd..40d9d1abb 100644
--- a/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go
+++ b/trie/zk/merkle_tree.go
@@ -182,6 +182,7 @@ func (t *MerkleTree) addLeaf(
                        log.Error("fail to addLeaf", "err", err, "level",
lv1)
                        return nil, err
                }
                n = n.Copy()
                n.SetChild(path.Get(lvl), newNode) // Update the node to r
eflect the modified child
                return n, nil
        case *LeafNode:
@@ -250,6 +251,10 @@ func (t *MerkleTree) Delete(key []byte) error {
        for lvl, p := range path {
                switch n := node.(type) {
                case *ParentNode:
                        n = n.Copy()
                        if lv1 > 0 {
                                pathNodes[len(pathNodes)-1].SetChild(path.
Get(1v1-1), n)
                        pathNodes = append(pathNodes, n)
                        node = t.getChild(n, p)
                case *LeafNode:
diff --qit a/trie/zk/merkle_tree_node.qo b/trie/zk/merkle_tree_node.qo
index b89214d56..522345807 100644
--- a/trie/zk/merkle_tree_node.go
+++ b/trie/zk/merkle_tree_node.go
@@ -68,6 +68,10 @@ func newParentNodeFromBlob(blob []byte) (*ParentNode, e
rror) {
        }, nil
 }
+func (n *ParentNode) Copy() *ParentNode {
        return &ParentNode{childL: n.childL, childR: n.childR, hash: n.has
```

```
h}
+}
 func (n *ParentNode) Hash() *zkt.Hash { return n.hash }
 func (n *ParentNode) CanonicalValue() []byte {
@@ -92,8 +96,8 @@ func (n *ParentNode) SetChild(path byte, child TreeNode)
        } else {
                n.childL = child
        if _, ok := oldChild.(*HashNode); ok && child.Hash() != nil && byt
es.Equal(oldChild.Hash()[:], child.Hash()[:]) {
                // This is a case of converting a HashNode to the original
TreeNode. Does not clear the hash.
        if oldChild.Hash() != nil && child.Hash() != nil && bytes.Equal(ol
dChild.Hash()[:], child.Hash()[:]) {
                // The child hash has not changed. Does not clear the hash
                return
        }
        n.hash = nil
```

Remediation

Patched

#5 ZKTRIE-005 Key pre-image is not saved

ID	Summary	Severity
ZKTRIE-005	Key pre-image is not stored during translation, so can not be fetched during proof generation.	Low

Description

In newZKMerkleStateTrie, transformKey is set to a function which translates the key to its secure hash:

```
func newZkMerkleStateTrie(tree *zk.MerkleTree, db *Database) *ZkMerkleStat
eTrie {
   trie := NewZkMerkleTrie(tree, db)
    trie.logger = log.New("trie", "ZkMerkleStateTrie")
    trie.transformKey = func(key []byte) ([]byte, error) {
        sanityCheckByte32Key(key)
       hash, err := zk.NewSecureHash(key)
        if err != nil {
            return nil, err
        return hash[:], nil
    return &ZkMerkleStateTrie{ZkMerkleTrie: trie, preimage: db.preimages}
```

However, the key preimage is not stored in db.preimages, so the trie.GetKey will fail to lookup the key:

```
func (z *ZkMerkleStateTrie) GetKey(kHashBytes []byte) []byte {
   // TODO: use a kv cache in memory
   k, err := zkt.NewBigIntFromHashBytes(kHashBytes)
    if err != nil {
        z.logger.Error("failed to GetKey", "error", err)
        return nil
```

```
if z.db.preimages == nil {
    return nil
return z.db.preimages.preimage(common.BytesToHash(k.Bytes()))
```

Impact

Low

This issue would only impact proof generation, causing temporary downtime until the issue is resolved.

Recommendation

Store the key pre-image in db.preimage during key transformation.

Remediation

Patched

#6 ZKTRIE-006 Invalid keyPreimage format

ID	Summary	Severity
ZKTRIE-006	The format of keyPreimage may be invalid in encoded leaf nodes for proofs.	Low

Description

In ZkMerkleStateTrie.Prove, a callback is passed to ZkMerkleTrie.prove which encodes each proof node and adds to to the proof DB:

```
func (z *ZkMerkleStateTrie) Prove(key []byte, proofDb ethdb.KeyValueWriter
) error {
    return z.prove(common.ReverseBytes(key), proofDb, func(node zk.TreeNod
e) error {
        value := node.CanonicalValue()
        if leaf, ok := node.(*zk.LeafNode); ok {
            if preImage := z.GetKey(common.ReverseBytes(leaf.Key)); len(pr
eImage) > 0 {
                value[len(value)-1] = byte(len(preImage))
                value = append(value, preImage[:]...)
        return proofDb.Put(node.Hash()[:], value)
    })
```

When encountering a LeafNode, it correctly attempts to add the keyPreimage to the encoded node, which is required for proof verification. However, with this encoding, proof verification onchain will only work if the keyPreimage length is 32 bytes. As a result, shorter preimages may fail to verify on-chain.

Impact

Low

This issue would only impact proof generation, causing temporary downtime until the issue is resolved.

Recommendation

Canonicalize the preimage to 32 bytes before appending, making it compatible with the verification contracts.

Remediation

Patched

Revision History

Version	Date	Description
1.0	Feb 23, 2024	Initial version
1.1	Mar 15, 2024	Update ZKTRIE-003, 004
1.2	April 5, 2024	Update ZKTRIE-005, 006
1.21	April 5, 2024	Revised remediation status

Theori, Inc. ("We") is acting solely for the client and is not responsible to any other party. Deliverables are valid for and should be used solely in connection with the purpose for which they were prepared as set out in our engagement agreement. You should not refer to or use our name or advice for any other purpose. The information (where appropriate) has not been verified. No representation or warranty is given as to accuracy, completeness or correctness of information in the Deliverables, any document, or any other information made available. Deliverables are for the internal use of the client and may not be used or relied upon by any person or entity other than the client. Deliverables are confidential and are not to be provided, without our authorization (preferably written), to entities or representatives of entities (including employees) that are not the client, including affiliates or representatives of affiliates of the client.

