

RADIX SORT

Counting sort runs in $\Theta(n + k)$ where n is the number of elements to sort and $k = \max_{x \in n} (x)$. Therefore if you make d passes total running time is $\Theta(d(n + k))$. Suppose given n each b bits divided into r bit groups. Each of the $d = \lceil b/r \rceil$ groups and so radix sort runs in

$$\Theta\left(\frac{b}{r}(n + 2^r)\right)$$

since for r bits the max number is 2^r .

For given values of n and b what's the best grouping? What's the best $r \leq b$ that minimizes $(b/r)(n + 2^r)$? If $b < \lfloor \lg n \rfloor$ then for any values of $r \leq b$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(n + 2^r) &\leq \Theta(n + 2^{\lg n}) \\ &= \Theta(n + n) \\ &= \Theta(n)\end{aligned}$$

Hence choosing $r = b$ we get

$$\Theta\left(\frac{b}{r}(n + 2^r)\right) = \Theta(n)$$

If $b \geq \lfloor \lg n \rfloor$ choosing $r = \lfloor \lg n \rfloor$ gives the best running time within a constant factor. Why? With $r = \lfloor \lg n \rfloor$ we have

$$\Theta\left(\frac{b}{r}(n + 2^r)\right) = \Theta\left(\frac{bn}{\lg n}\right)$$

If $r > \lfloor \lg n \rfloor$ then the 2^r term in the numerator dominates the r in the denominator and so is greater, i.e. the running time is bounded below:

$$\Omega\left(\frac{bn}{\lg n}\right)$$

If instead $r < \lfloor \lg n \rfloor$ then b/r increases and $n + 2^r$ stays $\Theta(n)$.

Exercise 1 (8.3-4). In base n the number $n^3 - 1$ are two digits numbers e.g. $1000_n = 1 \times n^3 + 0n^2 + 0n + 0 \times 1$. So we make 4 passes using radix sort

$$\Theta(4(n + n)) = O(n)$$

Exercise 2 (8-5).

- a. To be sorted duh
- b. 2, 1, 4, 3, 6, 5, 8, 7, 10, 9
- c. Think about it: in

$$\sum_{j=i}^{i+k-1} A[j], \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k} A[j]$$

1

all the “middle” elements are in common, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=i}^{i+k-1} A[j] &= A[i] + \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k-1} A[j] \\ \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k} A[j] &= \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k-1} A[j] + A[i+k]\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k} A[j] - \sum_{j=i}^{i+k-1} A[j] = A[i+k] - A[i] \geq 0$$

iff $A[i] \leq A[i+k]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-k$.

- d. Effect the above: sort $A[1], A[1+k], \dots$ and $A[2], A[2+k], \dots$ and etc. How many entries for each of these sorts? n/k . So each sort costs $O((n/k) \log(n/k))$. How many sorts? k . So total cost is $O((k \times n/k) (\log(n/k))) = O(n \log(n/k))$.