

# ESI 6420 HOMEWORK 4 SOLUTIONS

MAKSIM LEVENTAL

Time spent: 15 hours

Collaborators: Chris Gianelli

2.1 Claim: for a continuous  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $h > 0$

$$f_h(x) := \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) dt \geq f(x)$$

iff  $f$  is convex.

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $f$  is convex. Proceed by contradiction: suppose there exist  $h_0, x_0$  such that  $f(x_0) > f_{h_0}(x_0)$ . Since  $f$  is convex there exists  $g(x) = f(x_0) + m(x - x_0)$  such that  $g \leq f$ . But then

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x_0-h_0}^{x_0+h_0} g(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x_0-h_0}^{x_0+h_0} f(t) dt = f_{h_0}(x_0)$$

a contradiction.

$\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $f_h(x) \geq f(x)$  for all  $h, x$ . Towards a contradiction suppose  $f$  is not convex. Then there exist  $\lambda_0, x_1, x_2$  such that

$$f(\lambda_0 x_1 + (1 - \lambda_0) x_2) > \lambda_0 f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda_0) f(x_2)$$

where  $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ . Consider the function

$$F(\lambda) = f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2) - (\lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda) f(x_2))$$

on  $[0, 1]$ . Note that  $F(0) = F(1) = 0$  and  $F(\lambda_0) > 0$ . Since  $F$  is continuous, being a linear function of  $f$ , it must achieve a maximum  $F(\lambda^*)$  on  $[0, 1]$  (by the extreme value theorem) and  $F(\lambda^*) > 0$  (since  $F(\lambda_0) > 0$ ). Therefore there exists an  $h$ -ball around  $\lambda^*$  such that for  $\lambda \in [\lambda^* - h, \lambda^* + h]$  it's the case that  $F(\lambda) > 0$  and without loss of generality<sup>1</sup> we can assume  $F$  is not constant on  $[\lambda^* - h, \lambda^* + h]$ . Then since  $F(\lambda^*) \geq F(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in [\lambda^* - h, \lambda^* + h]$  and  $F(\lambda^*) > F(\lambda)$  for at least one  $\lambda \in [\lambda^* - h, \lambda^* + h]$  (otherwise  $F$  would be constant on  $[\lambda^* - h, \lambda^* + h]$ ) we have that

$$2hF(\lambda^*) > \int_{\lambda^*-h}^{\lambda^*+h} F(\lambda) d\lambda$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda^* x_1 + (1 - \lambda^*) x_2) - \\ (\lambda^* f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda^*) f(x_2)) &> \frac{1}{2h} \int_{\lambda^*-h}^{\lambda^*+h} [f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2) - (\lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda) f(x_2))] d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{\lambda^*-h}^{\lambda^*+h} f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2) d\lambda - (\lambda^* f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda^*) f(x_2)) \end{aligned}$$

---

*Date:* November 28, 2015.

<sup>1</sup>Why? If  $F$  is in fact constant on  $[\lambda^* - h, \lambda^* + h]$  then we can take the minimum  $h' > h$  such that either  $\lambda^* - h' = 0$  or  $\lambda^* + h' = 1$  and  $F$  cannot be constant on  $[\lambda^* - h', \lambda^* + h']$ . This is since, depending on whether  $h'$  is such that  $\lambda^* - h' = 0$  or  $\lambda^* + h' = 1$ , either  $F(\lambda^* - h') = F(0) = 0$  or  $F(\lambda^* + h') = F(1) = 0$  (and  $F$  cannot equal zero on all  $[\lambda^* - h, \lambda^* + h]$  since  $F(\lambda_0) > 0$  and  $F$  is continuous).

Cancelling  $-(\lambda^* f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda^*) f(x_2))$  from both sides of the inequality we get that

$$f(\lambda^* x_1 + (1 - \lambda^*) x_2) > \frac{1}{2h} \int_{\lambda^* - h}^{\lambda^* + h} f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2) d\lambda = f_{h'}(\lambda^* x_1 + (1 - \lambda^*) x_2)$$

contradicting that  $f(x) \leq f_h(x)$  for all. Hence  $f$  must be convex.  $\square$

2.2 Let  $f(X) = -\log(\det(X))$ .

(a) Claim: For  $X, D \succeq 0$  and  $X \succ 0$  and  $g(t) = f(X + tD)$  it's the case that

$$g(t) = -\log \left( \det \left( \sqrt{X} \left( I + t \left( \sqrt{X} \right)^{-1} D \left( \sqrt{X} \right)^{-1} \right) \sqrt{X} \right) \right)$$

*Proof.* Firstly since  $X \succ 0$  it's the case that  $X$  is full rank (all nonzero eigenvalues) and there exists a matrix  $\sqrt{X}$  such that  $\sqrt{X}\sqrt{X} = X$  and  $\sqrt{X}$  is full rank ( $\sqrt{X} = Q\sqrt{\Sigma}Q^T$  where  $Q$  is the set of eigenvectors corresponding to  $X$  and  $\sqrt{\Sigma} \succ 0$  since  $\Sigma \succ 0$ ). Then  $(\sqrt{X})^{-1}$  exists and hence

$$\sqrt{X} \left( (I + t) \left( \sqrt{X} \right)^{-1} D \left( \sqrt{X} \right)^{-1} \right) \sqrt{X} = X + tD$$

and so  $g(t) = -\log(\det(X + tD)) = f(X + tD)$ .  $\square$

(b) Claim:  $f(X)$  is convex.

*Proof.* Using the representation of  $g$  proven to be appropriate in part (a)

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= -\log \left( \det(\sqrt{X}) \det \left( I + t \left( \sqrt{X} \right)^{-1} D \left( \sqrt{X} \right)^{-1} \right) \det(\sqrt{X}) \right) \\ &= -\log(\det(X)) - \log \left( \det \left( I + t \left( \sqrt{X} \right)^{-1} D \left( \sqrt{X} \right)^{-1} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Y = (\sqrt{X})^{-1} D (\sqrt{X})^{-1}$ , which is PSD since  $D$  is PSD and  $(\sqrt{X})^{-1}$  is PD, and

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= -\log(\det(X)) - \log(\det(I + tY)) \\ &= -\log(\det(X)) - \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + t\lambda_i) \right) \\ &= -\log(\det(X)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + t\lambda_i) \end{aligned}$$

Note  $g$  is convex in  $t$  since it's the sum of a constant and convex functions of linear transformations of  $t$ . Therefore  $f(X)$  is convex since it is convex on every line.  $\square$

(1) Claim: Let  $c \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ . Then assuming there exists  $x$  such that  $P(c^\top x \geq \alpha) \geq \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} P(c^\top x \geq \alpha) \\ &\text{s.t. } Fx \leq g \\ &Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

can be reformulated as a quadratic convex optimization problem.

*Proof.* Firstly since  $c \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$  it's the case that  $X = c^\top x \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu \cdot x, x^\top \Sigma x)$  and hence

$$P(X \geq \alpha) = P\left(\frac{X - \mu \cdot x}{\sqrt{x^\top \Sigma x}} \geq \frac{\alpha - \mu \cdot x}{\sqrt{x^\top \Sigma x}}\right) = P\left(Z \geq \frac{\alpha - \mu \cdot x}{\sqrt{x^\top \Sigma x}}\right)$$

where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . So the maximization problem is

$$\max_x \left[ P\left(Z \geq \frac{\alpha - \mu \cdot x}{\sqrt{x^\top \Sigma x}}\right) \right]$$

Clearly maximizing this objective is equivalent to minimizing  $\frac{\alpha - \mu \cdot x}{\sqrt{x^\top \Sigma x}}$ . So the problem now is

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & \frac{\alpha - \mu \cdot x}{\sqrt{x^\top \Sigma x}} \\ \text{s.t.} & Fx \preceq g \\ & Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively we can maximize the reciprocal of the objective and hence solve the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & \frac{\sqrt{x^\top \Sigma x}}{\alpha - \mu \cdot x} \\ \text{s.t.} & Fx \preceq g \\ & Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively (flipping the sign)

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & \frac{\sqrt{x^\top \Sigma x}}{\mu \cdot x - \alpha} \\ \text{s.t.} & Fx \preceq g \\ & Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

The fact that there exists  $x_0$  such that

$$P(c^\top x_0 \geq \alpha) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

or

$$P\left(Z \geq \frac{\alpha - \mu \cdot x_0}{\sqrt{x_0^\top \Sigma x_0}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

or

$$\frac{\alpha - \mu \cdot x_0}{\sqrt{x_0^\top \Sigma x_0}} \leq 0$$

or

$$\alpha - \mu \cdot x_0 \leq 0$$

implies

$$\{x \mid Fx \preceq g, Ax = b, \mu \cdot x - \alpha \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

Hence let  $t = \frac{1}{\alpha - \mu \cdot x}$  and  $y = xt$ . Then an equivalent problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & \sqrt{y^\top \Sigma y} \\ \text{s.t.} & Fy \preceq gt \\ & Ay = bt \\ & \mu \cdot x - \alpha t = 1 \\ & t \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Squaring the objective we get a convex program with a quadratic constraint.  $\square$

- (2) (a) Let  $x_1 = \ln(r)$  and  $x_2 = \ln(h)$ . Note this transformation is a bijection since  $r, h > 0$  and  $r \in (0, \infty)$  implies  $x_1 \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and similarly for  $x_2$ . Further

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi(r^2 + rh) &= 2\pi(e^{2x_1} + e^{x_1+x_2}) \\ \pi r^2 h \geq V &\iff 2x_1 + x_2 \geq \ln\left(\frac{V}{\pi}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the two problems are equivalent.

- (b) The new problem is a convex optimization problem because the objective is convex (being the sum of two convex functions of linear transformations) and the constraints are linear.

- (c) Since everything is differentiable we can just use calculus: let  $f(x_1, x_2) = 2\pi(e^{2x_1} + e^{x_1+x_2})$ .  
Then

$$\nabla f = (2e^{2x_1} + e^{x_1+x_2}, e^{x_1+x_2})$$

Since this is never zero the constraint must be active (or the problem unbounded). On the constant boundary

$$g(x_1) = f\left(\ln\left(\frac{V}{\pi}\right) - 2x_1, x_1\right) = 2\pi\left(e^{2x_1} + e^{x_1+\ln(\frac{V}{\pi})-2x_1}\right) = 2\pi\left(e^{2x_1} + e^{\ln(\frac{V}{\pi})-x_1}\right) = 2\pi\left(e^{2x_1} + \frac{V}{\pi}e^{-x_1}\right)$$

Then

$$g'(x_1) = 2\pi\left(2e^{2x_1} - \frac{V}{\pi}e^{-x_1}\right)$$

which is zero at

$$e^{2x_1} = \frac{V}{2\pi}e^{-x_1} \Rightarrow 2x_1 = \ln\left(\frac{V}{2\pi}\right) - x_1 \Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{1}{3}\ln\left(\frac{V}{2\pi}\right)$$

Therefore

$$x_2 = \ln\left(\frac{V}{\pi}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\ln\left(\frac{V}{2\pi}\right) = \ln(2) + \ln\left(\frac{V}{2\pi}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\ln\left(\frac{V}{2\pi}\right) = \ln(2) + \frac{1}{3}\ln\left(\frac{V}{2\pi}\right)$$

Hence

$$r = e^{\frac{1}{3}\ln(\frac{V}{2\pi})} = \left(\frac{V}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$h = e^{\ln(2) + \frac{2}{3}\ln(\frac{V}{2\pi})} = 2\left(\frac{V}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

- (3) Let  $P$  be the program

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{(x,y)} & c^\top x + d^\top y + x^\top H y \\ \text{s.t. } & x \in X, y \in Y \end{aligned}$$

where  $X, Y$  are bounded polyhedra.

- (a) Claim: There exists an extreme point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  that solves the bilinear program.

*Proof. Full disclosure:* For this part I looked at Thieu's paper here <http://journals.math.ac.vn/acta/pdf/198002106.pdf>. The problem can be restated as

$$\min_{x \in X} \left( c^\top x + \min_{y \in Y} ((d^\top + x^\top H) y) \right)$$

Note that  $((d^\top + x^\top H) y)$  is linear in  $y$  over the polyhedron  $Y$  hence the optimum is attained at an extreme point. Let  $V(Y)$  be the set of extreme points  $Y$ . Then the problem can be restated again as

$$\min_{x \in X} \left( c^\top x + \min_{y \in V(Y)} ((d^\top + x^\top H) y) \right)$$

For each  $\bar{y} \in V(Y)$  the function

$$g(x) = (\bar{y}^\top H + c^\top) x + d^\top \bar{y}$$

is a linear function of  $x$ . Hence the problem

$$\min_{x \in X} g(x)$$

is the minimization of a piecewise linear function of  $x$  over a polyhedron and therefore attains its minimum at an extreme point  $\bar{x}$ . Therefore  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  is a solution of the original bilinear problem and both  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  are extreme points of  $X, Y$  respectively.  $\square$

- (b) Claim:  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$  is a local minimum iff  $(c^\top + \hat{y}^\top H^\top)(x - \hat{x}) \geq 0$  and  $(d^\top + \hat{x}^\top H)(y - \hat{y}) \geq 0$  for  $x, y \in X, Y$  and  $(c^\top + \hat{y}^\top H^\top)(x - \hat{x}) + (d^\top + \hat{x}^\top H)(y - \hat{y}) > 0$  when  $(x - \hat{x})^\top H(y - \hat{y}) < 0$ .

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Assume  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$  is a local minimum. Then there exists some  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon((\hat{x}, \hat{y}))$  such that for all  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon((\hat{x}, \hat{y}))$  it's the case that

$$c^\top x + d^\top y + x^\top H y \geq c^\top \hat{x} + d^\top \hat{y} + \hat{x}^\top H \hat{y}$$

$\hat{x}$  being optimal implies that for any  $(x, \hat{y}) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon((\hat{x}, \hat{y}))$

$$c^\top x + d^\top \hat{y} + x^\top H \hat{y} \geq c^\top \hat{x} + d^\top \hat{y} + \hat{x}^\top H \hat{y}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + x^\top H \hat{y} \geq \hat{x}^\top H \hat{y}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + (x - \hat{x})^\top H \hat{y} \geq 0$$

Since  $u^\top A v = (u^\top A v)^\top = v^\top A^\top u$  for all  $u, v, A$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + (x - \hat{x})^\top H \hat{y} \geq 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + \hat{y}^\top H^\top (x - \hat{x}) \geq 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(c^\top + \hat{y}^\top H^\top) (x - \hat{x}) \geq 0$$

Similarly for  $(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon((\hat{x}, \hat{y}))$

$$d^\top y + \hat{x}^\top H y \geq d^\top \hat{y} + \hat{x}^\top H \hat{y}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$d^\top (y - \hat{y}) + \hat{x}^\top H y - \hat{x}^\top H \hat{y} \geq 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$d^\top (y - \hat{y}) + \hat{x}^\top H (y - \hat{y}) \geq 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(d^\top + \hat{x}^\top H) (y - \hat{y}) \geq 0$$

Further if  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$  is a local minimum

$$c^\top x + d^\top y + x^\top H y \geq c^\top \hat{x} + d^\top \hat{y} + \hat{x}^\top H \hat{y}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + d^\top (y - \hat{y}) + x^\top H y - \hat{x}^\top H \hat{y} \geq 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + d^\top (y - \hat{y}) + (x^\top - \hat{x}^\top) H (y - \hat{y}) + (-2\hat{x}^\top H \hat{y} + x^\top H \hat{y} + \hat{x}^\top H y) \geq 0$$

Now since  $(x - \hat{x})^\top H (y - \hat{y}) < 0$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + d^\top (y - \hat{y}) + (-2\hat{x}^\top H \hat{y} + x^\top H \hat{y} + \hat{x}^\top H y) > 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + d^\top (y - \hat{y}) + (x^\top - \hat{x}^\top) H \hat{y} + \hat{x}^\top H (y - \hat{y}) > 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(c^\top + \hat{y}^\top H^\top) (x - \hat{x}) + (d^\top + \hat{x}^\top H) (y - \hat{y}) > 0$$

Finally since, by part (1), since a solution exists at an extreme point, these inequalities hold for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Assume the inequalities hold for  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  and  $(x - \hat{x})^\top H (y - \hat{y}) \geq 0$ . Then

$$(c^\top + \hat{y}^\top H^\top) (x - \hat{x}) + (d^\top + \hat{x}^\top H) (y - \hat{y}) + (x - \hat{x})^\top H (y - \hat{y}) \geq 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + d^\top (y - \hat{y}) + \cancel{\hat{y}^\top H^\top x} - \cancel{\hat{y}^\top H^\top \hat{x}} + \cancel{\hat{x}^\top H y} - \cancel{\hat{x}^\top H \hat{y}} + x^\top H y - \cancel{\hat{x}^\top H y} - \cancel{x^\top H \hat{y}} + \cancel{\hat{x}^\top H \hat{y}} \geq 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top (x - \hat{x}) + d^\top (y - \hat{y}) + x^\top H y \geq \hat{y}^\top H^\top \hat{x}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top x + d^\top y + x^\top H y \geq c^\top \hat{x} + d^\top \hat{y} + \hat{y}^\top H^\top \hat{x}$$

If for  $(x, y)$  it's the case that  $(x - \hat{x})^\top H (y - \hat{y}) < 0$  then

$$(c^\top + \hat{y}^\top H^\top) (x - \hat{x}) + (d^\top + \hat{x}^\top H) (y - \hat{y}) > 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(c^\top + \hat{y}^\top H^\top) (x - \hat{x}) + (d^\top + \hat{x}^\top H) (y - \hat{y}) + (x - \hat{x})^\top H (y - \hat{y}) \geq 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$c^\top x + d^\top y + x^\top H y \geq c^\top \hat{x} + d^\top \hat{y} + \hat{x}^\top H \hat{y}$$

□