


**Machine Learning** 

## Support Vector Machines

# Optimization objective

#### Alternative view of logistic regression

$$\rightarrow h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}}$$



If 
$$y=1$$
, we want  $h_{\theta}(x)\approx 1$ ,  $\theta^Tx\gg 0$   
If  $y=0$ , we want  $h_{\theta}(x)\approx 0$ ,  $\theta^Tx\ll 0$ 


$$\frac{\theta^T x \gg 0}{\theta^T x \ll 0}$$

#### Alternative view of logistic regression


Cost of example: 
$$-(y \log h_{\theta}(x) + (1-y) \log(1-h_{\theta}(x))) \leftarrow$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}} \right| - \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}} \right| - \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}} \right| \le$$

If y = 1 (want  $\theta^T x \gg 0$ ):



If y = 0 (want  $\theta^T x \ll 0$ ):



#### **Support vector machine**

Logistic regression:

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{m} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} \left( -\log h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \right) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \left( (-\log(1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{j}^{2}$$

Support vector machine:

$$\min_{\theta} C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ y^{(i)} cost_1(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) cost_0(\theta^T x^{(i)}) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_j^2$$

#### **SVM** hypothesis

$$\min_{\theta} C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ y^{(i)} cost_1(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) cost_0(\theta^T x^{(i)}) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_j^2$$

#### Hypothesis:





**Machine Learning** 

# Support Vector Machines

### Large Margin Intuition

#### **Support Vector Machine**





- $\rightarrow$  If y=1, we want  $\underline{\theta^T x \geq 1}$  (not just  $\geq 0$ )
- $\rightarrow$  If y = 0, we want  $\theta^T x \leq -1$  (not just < 0)

$$0.4 \leq \varnothing -1$$

#### **SVM Decision Boundary**

$$\min_{\theta} C \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ y^{(i)} cost_1(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) cost_0(\theta^T x^{(i)}) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_j^2 \right]$$

Whenever  $y^{(i)} = 1$ :


$$\Theta^{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{x}^{(i)}}} \geq 1$$

Whenever  $y^{(i)} = 0$ :

Min 
$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = 0$$
;


Sit.  $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = 0$ ;

#### **SVM Decision Boundary: Linearly separable case**



Large margin classifier

#### Large margin classifier in presence of outliers





Machine Learning

# Support Vector Machines

The mathematics behind large margin classification (optional)

#### **Vector Inner Product**



$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$||u|| = ||v_1|| = ||v_1|$$

#### **SVM Decision Boundary**

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{j}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 0_{1}^{2} + 0_{2}^{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left[ 0_{1}^{2} + 0_{2}^{2} \right] \right)^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left[ 0_{1}^{2} + 0_{2}^{2} \right] \right]^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left[ 0_{1}^{2} + 0_{2}^{2} \right] \right]^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left[ 0_{1}^{2} + 0_{2}^{2} \right] \right]^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left[ 0_{1}^{2} + 0_{2}^{2} \right] \right]^{2}$$

w = (Jw)

s.t. 
$$\theta^T x^{(i)} \ge 1$$
 if  $y^{(i)} = 1$   $\theta^T x^{(i)} \le -1$  if  $y^{(i)} = 0$ 





Andrew Ng

#### **SVM Decision Boundary**

$$\Rightarrow \min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{j}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \|\theta\|^{2} \leftarrow$$


s.t. 
$$p^{(i)} \cdot ||\theta|| \ge 1$$
 if

$$if y^{(i)} = 1$$

$$|p^{(i)}\cdot\| heta\|\geq 1$$
 if  $y^{(i)}=1$   $p^{(i)}\cdot\| heta\|\leq -1$  if  $y^{(i)}=0$ 

where  $p^{(i)}$  is the projection of  $x^{(i)}$  onto the vector  $\theta$ .

Simplification:  $\theta_0 = 0$ 1 < 1/9/1. 11011 large



0.40



## Support Vector Machines

### Kernels I


Machine Learning

#### **Non-linear Decision Boundary**



Is there a different / better choice of the features  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ ?

#### Kernel



Given x, compute new feature depending on proximity to landmarks  $l^{(1)}, l^{(2)}, l^{(3)}$ 

$$\zeta_1 = \text{Sinvitesty}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\zeta_2 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\zeta_3 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_4 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_5 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right) \\
\chi_6 = \text{Sinvitert}(x, \chi^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \chi^{(1)}\|^2}{26^2}\right$$

#### **Kernels and Similarity**


$$f_1 = \text{similarity}(x, \underline{l^{(1)}}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - l^{(1)}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

If 
$$x \approx l^{(1)}$$
:
$$f_1 \approx \exp\left(-\frac{0^2}{26^2}\right)$$


If 
$$\underline{x}$$
 if far from  $\underline{l^{(1)}}$ :

$$f_1 = exp\left(-\frac{(lorge number)^2}{262}\right)$$
 % C


#### **Example:**




2



Andrew Ng






### Support Vector Machines

### Kernels II


**Machine Learning** 

#### **Choosing the landmarks**



Predict 
$$y = 1$$
 if  $\theta_0 + \theta_1 f_1 + \theta_2 f_2 + \theta_3 f_3 \ge 0$ 

Where to get  $l^{(1)}, l^{(2)}, l^{(3)}, \dots$ ?



Andrew Ng

#### **SVM** with Kernels

⇒ Given 
$$(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), \dots, (x^{(m)}, y^{(m)}),$$
⇒ choose  $l^{(1)} = x^{(1)}, l^{(2)} = x^{(2)}, \dots, l^{(m)} = x^{(m)}$ 

Given example 
$$\underline{x}$$
:
$$f_1 = \text{similarity}(x, l^{(1)})$$

$$f_2 = \text{similarity}(x, l^{(2)})$$

For training example  $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$ : In example ( $\sim$  ,  $\sim$  ).  $f_{(i)}^{(i)} = \sin(x^{(i)}, x^{(i)})$   $f_{(i)}^{(i)} = \sin(x^{(i)}, x^{(i)})$ 

Andrew Ng

#### **SVM** with Kernels

Hypothesis: Given  $\underline{x}$ , compute features  $\underline{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ 




 $\rightarrow$  Predict "y=1" if  $\theta^T f \geq 0$ 

0.1. + 0.1, + ... + 0mfm

#### Training:

 $\min_{\theta} C \sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} cost_1(\theta^T f^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) cost_0(\theta^T f^{(i)}) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \theta_j^2\right)$ 



#### **SVM** parameters:


C ( = 
$$\frac{1}{\lambda}$$
 ). > Large C: Lower bias, high variance.

→ Small C: Higher bias, low variance.

$$\sigma^2$$
 Large  $\sigma^2$ : Features $f_i$  vary more smoothly.

→ Higher bias, lower variance.

Small  $\sigma^2$ : Features  $f_i$  vary less smoothly. Lower bias, higher variance.





### Support Vector Machines

### Using an SVM

**Machine Learning** 

Use SVM software package (e.g. <u>liblinear</u>, <u>libsvm</u>, ...) to solve for parameters  $\theta$ .

Need to specify:

→ Choice of parameter C.
Choice of kernel (similarity function):

E.g. No kernel ("linear kernel")


Predict "
$$y = 1$$
" if  $\theta^T x \ge 0$ 

Predict " $\theta^T x \ge 0$ 

Gaussian kernel:

$$f_i = \exp\left(-rac{||x-l^{(i)}||^2}{2\sigma^2}
ight)$$
, where  $l^{(i)}=x^{(i)}$ .

Need to choose  $\underline{\sigma}^2$ .



Kernel (similarity) functions:

$$f = \exp\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}_1|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

return

Note: <u>Do perform feature scaling</u> before using the Gaussian kernel.

#### Other choices of kernel

Note: Not all similarity functions similarity(x, l) make valid kernels.


(Need to satisfy technical condition called "Mercer's Theorem" to make sure SVM packages' optimizations run correctly, and do not diverge).

Many off-the-shelf kernels available:

- Polynomial kernel: k(x,l) = (x,l+1) = (x,l+1)

More esoteric: String kernel, chi-square kernel, histogram intersection kernel, ...

#### **Multi-class classification**



$$y \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, K\}$$

Many SVM packages already have built-in multi-class classification functionality.

Otherwise, use one-vs.-all method. (Train K SVMs, one to distinguish y=i from the rest, for  $i=1,2,\ldots,K$ ), get  $\theta^{(1)},\theta^{(2)},\ldots,\underline{\theta^{(K)}}$  Pick class i with largest  $(\theta^{(i)})^Tx$ 

#### **Logistic regression vs. SVMs**

- n=number of features ( $x\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ), m=number of training examples
- → If n is large (relative to m): (e.g.  $n \ge m$ , n = (0.000), m = 10 m
- Use logistic regression, or SVM without a kernel ("linear kernel")

If 
$$n$$
 is small,  $m$  is intermediate:  $n = 1 - 1000$ ,  $m = 10 - 10000$ )  $\rightarrow$  Use SVM with Gaussian kernel

- If n is small, m is large: (n=1-1000), m=50,000+)
  - Create/add more features, then use logistic regression or SVM without a kernel
- > Neural network likely to work well for most of these settings, but may be slower to train.