

# Proving evlself

Steven Nguyen (icecream17)

March 12, 2025

## Abstract

Trying to prove that evaluating some variables, then the rest, is the same as evaluating all those variables at once, and succeeding after a few mistakes in explaining that I haven't cleaned up. Probably the hardest proof I've done.

## 1 Introduction

Facing a gap in understanding, I tried asking AIs to give me an overview of how one would prove this. The proof generally goes as follows: substitute some variables, and substitute the rest, tada!

The problem is, in metamath, the intermediate mathematical something that results when you only substitute some variables is very complicated.

### 1.1 Definition rodeo

A polynomial is a sum of several terms.

Each term is a coefficient times a "bag of variables" ( $b \in \text{bag}_v$ ).

A bag of variables maps variables in the set  $V$  to their powers (in  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ).

A bag  $b \in \text{bag}_V$  is a function  $b : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ .

All in all, the polynomial ring in the set of variables  $V$  over a ring  $R$  is denoted  $R[V]$ , where a polynomial  $p \in R[V]$  is a function from bags to coefficients:

$p : \text{bag}_V \rightarrow R$

To evaluate a polynomial  $p \in R[V]$ , we need assignments from variables to values: a function  $A : V \rightarrow R$ . So,  $\text{eval}(P) : (V \rightarrow R) \rightarrow R$ , where

$$\text{eval}(P)(A) = \sum_{b_v \in \text{bag}_V} \left( P(b_v) \times \prod_{v \in V} A(v)^{b_v(v)} \right) \quad (\text{ev})$$

This can be generalized to evaluation in a subring pretty trivially by [~evlself](#). Even more complicated is when it comes to selecting certain variables for evaluation. First, observe that we can lift  $r \in R$  to a constant polynomial  $p \in R[V]$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \longrightarrow & S \\
\downarrow \text{lift} & & \downarrow \text{lift} \\
R[V] & \longrightarrow & S[V]
\end{array}$$

So given a ring homomorphism from  $R$  to  $S$ , we get the corresponding map from  $R[V]$  to  $S[V]$ . In particular, the lift from  $R$  to  $R[V]$  is itself a ring homomorphism. Substituting  $S$  with  $R[V]$  we get a map from  $R[V]$  to  $R[V][W] = (R[V])[W]$ . And we can chain infinitely: lifting  $R$  to  $R[V]$  to  $R[V][W]$  and so on.

We can also lift a variable to the corresponding polynomial of a single term whose bag just has the variable and whose coefficient is one = 1. The polynomial of a variable  $v$  will be denoted  $\text{polyVar}(v)$ . This lift isn't really a homomorphism, but it can still be composed with homomorphisms.

So, here's how we select certain variables  $J$  out of the index set of variables  $I$  ( $I$  is used more often instead of  $V$ ). Let  $p \in R[I]$ . Selecting  $J$  out will result in an element of  $R[I - J][J]$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{select}(p)(J) = & \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} \left[ (p(b_i) \in R \text{ lifted to } R[I - J][J]) \times \right. \\
& \left( \left( \prod_{k \in I - J} \text{polyVar}(k) \right) \in R[I - J] \text{ lifted to } R[I - J][J] \right) \times \\
& \left. \prod_{j \in J} \text{polyVar}(j) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

...or, with creative use of scalar multiplication:

$$\text{select}(p)(J) = \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} \left[ \left( p(b_i) \cdot \prod_{k \in I - J} \text{polyVar}(k) \right) \cdot \prod_{j \in J} \text{polyVar}(j) \right]$$

Finally, we have a concrete equation. The starting definition is actually more complicated since it actually lifts  $p$  to  $R[I - J][J][I]$  and evaluates that, but this is equivalent. Note that the assignments of a lifted polynomial  $R[V][W]$  will map variables  $W$  to  $R[V]$  not  $R$ , so we can't just restrict the assignments  $A$  but also lift them for the inner evaluation. Thus, given a polynomial  $P \in R[I]$  and a set of assignments  $A : I \rightarrow R$ , we want to prove:

$$\text{eval}(\text{eval}(\text{select}(P)(J))(\text{lift}(A \upharpoonright_J)))(A \upharpoonright_{I - J}) = \text{eval}(P)(A) \quad (\text{goal})$$

where everything expands into oblivion.

## 2 First steps

Let's first look at the overall structure of (goal). Upon expanding the evaluations using (ev) we get:

$$\sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \left[ \left( \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} (\text{select}(P)(J)(b_j) \times \dots) \right) (b_k) \times \dots \right] = \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} (p(b_i) \cdot \dots)$$

where each ellipsis is the evaluation of the bag given the corresponding assignments.

An immediate issue is that the index sets of the summations are not equal. However, any  $b_i \in \text{bag}_I$  (where  $b_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ ) can be decomposed into subset functions  $b_j = b_i|_J : J \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $b_k = b_i|_{I-J} : I - J \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . As such the right hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eval}(P)(A) &= \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} \left( P(b_i) \times \prod_{i \in I} A(i)^{b_i(i)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} \left( p(b_k \cup b_j) \times \prod_{i \in I} A(i)^{(b_k \cup b_j)(i)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} \left( p(b_k \cup b_j) \times \prod_{k \in I-J} A(k)^{b_k(k)} \times \prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \left[ \prod_{k \in I-J} A(k)^{b_k(k)} \times \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} \left( p(b_k \cup b_j) \times \prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

We ignore the restrictions of  $A$  since they don't affect the result. The outside product  $\prod_{k \in I-J} A(k)^{b_k(k)}$  corresponds to the outside ellipsis on the left hand side! So now our goal is:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \left[ \left( \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} \left( \text{select}(P)(J)(b_j) \times \prod_{j \in J} \text{lift}(A(j))^{b_j(j)} \right) \right) (b_k) \right] \\ = \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} \left( p(b_k \cup b_j) \times \prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)} \right) \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

## 3 Dozens of steps in already

It doesn't seem like that last equation can be manipulated much more, so we'll have to expand  $\text{select}(P)(J)(b_j)$ .

$$= \left( \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} \left[ \left( P(b_i) \cdot \prod_{k \in I-J} \text{polyVar}(k) \right) \cdot \prod_{j \in J} \text{polyVar}(j) \right] \right) (b_j)$$

By [~ gsummhm](#), if we apply a (monoid) homomorphism to every addend of a sum, it is the same as applying the homomorphism to the whole sum. So by showing

$$(p \in R[I - J][J] \mapsto p(b_j))$$

is a monoid homomorphism, we can move the function application  $(\sum \dots)(b_j)$  inside:  $\sum(\dots(b_j))$ . Since a product sums over the multiplicative group, and a ring homomorphism provides a monoid homomorphism over the multiplicative groups (by [~ rhmmhm](#)), we can also do this with products. The proof that the function above is a ring homomorphism is hand-waved by referencing [~ evls1maprh](#).

So clearly, equation 1 can be manipulated after all. Firstly,

$$\prod_{j \in J} \text{lift}(A(j))^{b_j(j)} = \prod_{j \in J} \text{lift}(A(j)^{b_j(j)}) = \text{lift}\left(\prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)}\right)$$

So the left hand side becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \left[ \left( \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} \left( \text{select}(P)(J)(b_j) \times \prod_{j \in J} \text{lift}(A(j))^{b_j(j)} \right) \right) (b_k) \right] \\ &= \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} \left[ \left( \text{select}(P)(J)(b_j) \times \prod_{j \in J} \text{lift}(A(j))^{b_j(j)} \right) (b_k) \right] \\ &= \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} \left[ \left( \text{select}(P)(J)(b_j) \times \text{lift}\left(\prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)}\right) \right) (b_k) \right] \\ &= \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} \left( \text{select}(P)(J)(b_j)(b_k) \times \text{lift}\left(\prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)}\right) (b_k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The last part is a property of being a ring homomorphism. And now, equating that last expression with the right hand side, if we can show:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{select}(P)(J)(b_j)(b_k) = p(b_k \cup b_j), \text{ and} \\ & \text{lift}\left(\prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)}\right)(b_k) = \prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)} \end{aligned}$$

then we have done it!!

## 4 Library of Alexandria

This section title is not because valuable information is lost but because I feel on fire finally figuring it out.

$$\text{lift} \left( \prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)} \right) (b_k) = \prod_{j \in J} A(j)^{b_j(j)}$$

Ok, the second equation isn't directly true for all  $b_k$ , but it is true when we sum over  $b_k$ . A lifted constant only has a nonzero coefficient for the bag of all variables raised to the power of zero, so setting  $b_k$  to that bag, we get the result.

Similarly, for the first equation,  $\text{lift}(P(b_i))$  is a lifted constant, so its coefficient is only nonzero when setting  $b_j$  and  $b_k$  to identity-1-bags. So we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{select}(P)(J)(b_j)(b_k) \\ &= \left( \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} \left[ \left( P(b_i) \cdot \prod_{k \in I-J} \text{polyVar}(k) \right) \cdot \prod_{j \in J} \text{polyVar}(j) \right] \right) (b_j)(b_k) \\ &= \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} [\text{lift}(P(b_i))(b_j)(b_k) \times \dots] \\ &= \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} [\text{lift}(P(b_i))(1)(1) \times \dots] \\ &= \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} P(b_i) \times \text{lift} \left( \prod_{k \in I-J} \text{polyVar}(k) \right) (1)(1) \times \prod_{j \in J} \text{polyVar}(j)(1)(1) \\ &= \sum_{b_i \in \text{bag}_I} P(b_i) \times 1 \times 1 \\ &= \sum_{b_k \in \text{bag}_{I-J}} \sum_{b_j \in \text{bag}_J} p(b_k \cup b_j) \end{aligned}$$

which was what we wanted. (Note: variables have coefficient 1)