

Pentomino Pathfinding

Steven Nguyen (icecream17)

September 19, 2024

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	No pentominoes	1
3	One pentomino	2
3.1	$2 \times n$ grids	3
3.2	$3 \times n$ grids	3

1 Introduction

This paper will try to solve the Pentomino Pathfinding problem for various rectangular grids. See [Dec24a] and [Dec24b] for further introduction.

[Dec24a] posed the following problem: Given a rectangular $n \times m$ grid of squares or *cells*, place a subset of the twelve pentominoes (Figure 1), and endpoints A and B on the grid without overlaps such that $\#_{n,m}$ = the length of (the shortest path of nonempty, orthogonal cells from A to B) is maximized.

The maximum length given some placements p is denoted by $\#_{n,m}^p$; independently, when $n = m$, the row and column indices are collapsed: $\#_n$.




Figure 1: The twelve pentominoes and their reflections [Non08]; from left-to-right they are named I, F, L, P, N, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z, where F, L, P, N, Y, Z are chiral and have their reflections shown.

2 No pentominoes

For $n = 1$ and 2 , $n \times n < 5$, so no pentomino can fit. For $n = 3$, 9 cells minus a pentomino is 4 squares, so the length 5 path is optimal. (Figure 2)




Figure 2: $\#_1 = 1, \#_2 = 3, \#_3 = 5$ [Dec24a]




Figure 4: Illustration for Lemma 3.7: The path from A to B cannot be made any shorter by entering the rectangle since it could just go along the border. Meanwhile, removing the rectangle makes new paths A to C and B to C .

Proof. The rectangle satisfies Lemma 3.6, since $\text{adj}(r)$ is zero, one, or two straight lines of squares by construction. \square

We know that Lemma 3.6 works for rectangles that span from one side to the other, but this also works for the corner:

Theorem 3.8. A platter P is made of the set of squares S and all squares to the bottom-left of any square in S . Then it satisfies Lemma 3.6.

Proof. Informally, $\text{adj}(P)$ forms a staircase-like shape. So any path along $\text{adj}(P)$ will go in only two cardinal directions, and in fact will have the length of the Manhattan distance between its two endpoints, which cannot be improved upon. \square

Note. This construction may be rotated and reflected.

Theorem 3.9 (Cut corner removal). This extends Theorem 3.8 to potentially apply to each “side” (i.e. subgrid border) of a platter that cuts the grid into subgrids.

Proof. For each subgrid s , try applying Theorem 3.8 as if all the other subgrids were part of the platter. Including these other subgrids does not affect $\text{border}(P)_s$, so this is valid for determining whether a path in s can be improved. \square

Note. If Theorem 3.8 applies to the entire border, then as a result the whole platter can be removed, since no path within any of the subgrids can be improved.




Figure 5: Example for Theorem 3.9: The pentomino N divides the grid into two. For the purposes of the subgrid on the right, N may as well also include the subgrid on the left (marked with three N 's). This new platter satisfies Theorem 3.8.

3.1 $2 \times n$ grids

Consider a $2 \times n$ grid. The only pentominoes that fit are I , L , P , N , U , and Y .

By Theorem 3.9 (Cut corner removal), L , P , N , U , and Y can be removed from consideration in $2 \times n$ areas, so only I is left. While placing I on the corner does not help, placing I anywhere else increases $\#_{2,n}^P$ by 1 — the unique way to show $\#_{2,n} = n + 2$ for $n \geq 7$.

3.2 $3 \times n$ grids

Definition 3.10 (Cave). A subgrid is a *cave* iff it is only connected to the rest of the subgrid its in by one square. That square is called a *separating vertex*. [Wik21]

2	3	4	5	6	7	...	$n + 1$
1						...	$n + 2$

Figure 6: $\#_{2,n} = n + 2$ (where $7 \leq n$). [Com24]




Figure 7: **U** is the unique pentomino with a cave square.

Theorem 3.11 (Ways to orient a pentomino). A square has 4 symmetries, so a platter has at most 8 orientations, ignoring location within a grid. However, some pentominoes have one or more symmetries, which reduce the possible orientations (Table 1).

Table 1: Number of ways to orient each pentomino. [Dec24a, 0:41]

Pentomino	Symmetries	Orientations
X	4	1
I	2	2
T	1	4
U	1	4
V	1	4
W	1	4
Z	1	4
F	0	8
L	0	8
P	0	8
N	0	8
Y	0	8

Corollary 3.12. In a $3 \times n$, Theorem 3.9 works for **V**, **W**, **Z**, the vertical orientations of **P**, **T**, and **U**, and one side of either orientation of **F**. Note that we may reflect the entire grid itself along both a vertical and horizontal axis, since Theorem 3.9 works after reflection. This reduces the number of orientations a pentomino could have by a factor of four; the maximum orientations goes from eight to two. (Figure 8)

Note. **U** satisfies a general version of Theorem 3.9 where both corners are covered.

Property 3.13 (Cycle inefficiency). If there is a cycle of empty squares in a grid, the path will not use all empty squares.

Property 3.14 (Zero pentomino result). With nothing placed, we have $\#_{n,m}^\emptyset = n + m - 1$

Property 3.15 (One-pentomino solution). Say we place a pentomino and $n + m - 1, nm - 10 < \#_{n,m}^p$. Then any solution must contain one pentomino.

Definition 3.16 (Brimming solution). A solution is *brimming* when every square in the grid is used, i.e, every square corresponds to either a pentomino or the path. In graph theory terms, the path is a Hamiltonian path among the empty squares.

¹The figure assumes $n > 1$ but that's not necessary of course.




Figure 8: All orientations of F , V , W , Z , P , and T in a $3 \times n$ after reducing by symmetry. The left side of both F 's are ignorable, as well as both sides of the right P and T . Additionally, the second F is strictly worse than the first F , since after filling in the ignorable subgrid, the first F practically replaces a wall with an empty square when compared to the second F .




Figure 9: Horizontal orientations of **U** in a 3×4 (footnote: ²)




Figure 10: Two disjoint 2×2 cycles existing after placing a 1×4 on the edge of a 3×4 .

Lemma 3.17 (Brimming solutions in $3 \times n$ grids). Say we know how many pentominoes are in a solution, and that all squares are used. Then we know any solution must be brimming, and so:

- **F**, **X**, and **U** are not part of the solution.
- **L**, **N**, and **Y** must have their 1×4 in the middle row, if none of the columns the pentomino is in contains any part of another pentomino.

Proof. First, we take **U** from the first bullet point. If a path enters or exits a cave square (enters without loss of generality), it cannot exit since there is only one entrance. Since **U** has a cave square, we can say a path must end there. Placing **U** vertically is eliminated by Corollary 3.12. But if **U** is placed horizontally (2 rows by 3 columns, Figure 9), it is at least as good to just go around the **U** (removing any obstacles).

- Pentominoes must be placed so that the grid is *not* split into two. This is impossible for **F** and **X**, which span a 1×3 area with two squares padding each side of the 1×3 , forcing the 1×3 into the center.
- If a pentomino that spans a 1×4 area (**L**, **N**, **Y**) has their 1×4 on the edge of the grid, there are two disjoint 2×2 cycles (Figure 10) which cannot be prevented by the remaining square of the pentomino and no help from other pentominoes. But this is not allowed by Property 3.13. \square

Theorem 3.18. When a solution is brimming with only one pentomino, that pentomino is not **U**.

Proof. In a brimming solution, there are no empty cells adjacent to three other cells. This is because the graph of a brimming solution must be isomorphic to a straight line, as otherwise, either one end of the line ever became adjacent to some earlier square in the graph, forming a loop, or the graph has something that branches off like a fork in the road, and so any path will not include all squares.

U has a cave square. If the cave square is adjacent to some empty square, then a rectangular grid must include two more empty squares adjacent to it, violating the three other cells property. Therefore, the empty square is lonely. If it is the only empty square, the grid is a 2×3 so this holds. If it isn't, there are two subgrids of empty squares, so the placement is not brimming. \square

Theorem 3.19. When a solution is brimming with only one pentomino, for grids with at least 3 columns and 4 rows, the pentomino must include all squares diagonally adjacent to a corner square of any (3×4) -subgrid.

²film2860 on discord used gpt-4o to try to remove pixel artifacts. It generated equivalent code, which did not fix the problem, but did illustrate the usage of scopes which was helpful as a beginner. So congrats on contributing!

Figure 11: Illustration for Theorem 3.19: this is the top left 3×4 of a grid with size at least 3×4 .





Figure 12: $\#_{3,4} = 7$. [Dec24b, 6:04]

Proof. Say one of the squares diagonally adjacent to a corner square is not part of the pentomino: one square diagonally from the top left square, for example without loss of generality.

If we do not use the square to the right of that (the C in Figure 11), then within the 3×4 there is no way to include its 2×2 regions containing its corner squares. However, even starting from C the only way to reach the left hand side 2×2 's is to use the  pentomino, which is not valid by Theorem 3.18. \square

Theorem 3.20. $\#_{3,4} = 7$

Proof. By Figure 12, we have brimming solutions with 1 pentomino, and since $3+4-1, 12-10 < 7$, Property 3.15 and therefore Lemma 3.17 applies. L, N, and Y can be eliminated since a 1×4 in the center row would divide the grid into two subgrids (this is not allowed; remember that every nonempty square must be in the path).

We are left with the pentominoes **P** and **T**. By Theorem 3.19, they must include the center two squares. All orientations of **P** and **T** with this restriction works, and so the three solutions given by [Dec24b, 6:04] are the only ones. \square

Theorem 3.21. $\#_{3,5} = 10$

Proof. We have a similar setup to Section 3.2, but with the examples from Figure 13, $3 + 5 - 1, 15 - 10 < 10$, and still Property 3.15 and Lemma 3.17; and by Theorem 3.19 all solutions must include the center three squares. If the two additional squares are not orthogonally adjacent, it would split the grid into two, so the three solutions given by [Dec24b, 6:10] are the only ones. \square




Figure 13: $\#_{3,5} = 10$. [Dec24b, 6:10]

A polynomial's contribution is informally how much longer it makes a given path. But Figure 14 shows a formal definition is still a ways away. In such examples, we must consider entire platters.

	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
	7						15	
	6						16	
	5						17	
	4						18	
	3						19	
	2						20	
	A	2	3	4	5	6	B	

Figure 14: If the I pentomino was already placed, then adding the W changes the path's length from 7 to 21. Nevertheless, W's contribution $C(W) = 4$. Additionally, W helps by blocking the bottom row. So some squares are spent on setup, and some on lengthening the path.

References

- [Com24] Community. *Pentomino Pathfinding*. Sept. 3, 2024. URL: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1NrbqWmnBLMtHH253q_v89bMYSuoPE7hDFr8g5VTbGMI/edit.
- [Dec24a] Deckard. *Pentomino Facts*. Aug. 2, 2024. URL: <https://youtu.be/LPDazHpSyAo?t=700>.
- [Dec24b] Deckard. *More Pentomino Pathfinding*. Sept. 2, 2024. URL: <https://youtu.be/39YYZcwCuv0>.
- [Non08] R. A. Nonenmacher. *All 18 Pentominoes*. CC BY-SA 4.0 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0>, via Wikimedia Commons; latest uploaded on day (13:43 UTC). July 21, 2008. URL: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:All_18_Pentominoes.svg.
- [Wik21] Wikipedia contributors. *Separating vertex*. Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Feb. 27, 2021. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Separating_vertex&oldid=1009216908 (visited on 09/09/2024).