**1. Problem Statement:** We are required to analyze the below problem statement: Input: Given a weighted connected graph G = (V, E), where w[i,j] or w(e) is the weight of edge between vertices i and j. Objective: Find a minimum-weight spanning tree of G

# 2. Theoretical Analysis

A **minimum spanning tree** (MST) of a graph is a selection of edges that connects all the vertices with the smallest possible total edge weight. **Kruskal's algorithm** is one of the most efficient ways to find an MST because it uses a **greedy approach**—picking edges in the graph based on their smallest weight while avoiding cycles. Here's a breakdown of how the algorithm works:

```
    Algorithm: KruskalsMST (G, W[1:n,1:n], T)
        Sort m edges in the ascending order of their weights: e[1], e[2], .. e[m].
        Initialize a counter k = 1
        Initialize an empty tree T
        While (number of edges in Tree < n-1) {</p>
        If adding the edge e[j] does not create a cycle, add edge e[j] to tree T
        k++
}
```

Now, let's think about a graph **G** with **V** vertices and **E** edges. Kruskal's algorithm uses the graph's edges and their weights to find the MST. The steps of the algorithm involve a few key operations that can be managed efficiently using a **disjoint-set** or **union-find** data structure:

- a) **Sorting the edges by weight**: This takes **O(E log V)** time. Sorting ensures that we are always considering the smallest edge first.
- b) **Checking for cycles**: Every time we consider adding an edge, we need to check whether it would create a cycle. This is done with a Find(x) operation, which tells us whether two vertices are already connected. This step takes **O(E log V)** time overall, since it is performed for each edge.
- c) **Updating connected vertices**: After adding an edge, we need to update the disjoint sets to reflect that two vertices are now connected. This is handled by the Union(x, y) operation, which merges the sets containing the vertices. This takes **O(V log V)** time, and we do it for V-1 edges.

### Time Complexity:

The total time complexity of Kruskal's algorithm comes out to **O(E log V + V log V)**. However, since the number of edges E is generally larger than the number of vertices V, this simplifies to **O(E log V)**. Because Kruskal's algorithm consistently selects the smallest available edge that doesn't form a cycle, it ensures that the minimum total weight is achieved—making it an excellent example of a **greedy algorithm** for finding the MST.

#### **Example Test Case:**

Let's walk through a small example. Imagine we have a graph G with 4 vertices and 6 edges, where the edges are labeled with their weights as follows:

```
• (0, 1, 2)
```

- (0, 3, 7)
- (0, 2, 5)
- (1, 3, 3)
- (1, 2, 1)
- (2, 3, 4)

Kruskal's algorithm begins by sorting these edges by their weights. The sorted list of edges will be:

$$(1, 2, 1), (0, 1, 2), (1, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (0, 2, 5), and (0, 3, 7).$$

The algorithm now selects the edges with the smallest weights that don't form a cycle. So, it picks the edges (1, 2, 1), (0, 1, 2), and (1, 3, 3), which have weights 1, 2, and 3, respectively. After adding these edges to the tree and confirming no cycles are formed, we have our MST.

## 3. Experimental Analysis

# 3.1 Program Listing

• GitHub Link for the code: <a href="https://github.com/ichandan2151/daa\_project2\_7">https://github.com/ichandan2151/daa\_project2\_7</a>

#### 3.2 Data Normalization Notes

Average of Experimental results is: 3034814.41


Average of Theoretical result is: 66.28


Scaling constant = Average of Experimental result / Average of Theoretical result Therefore, Scaling Constant for normalizing the theoretical results = 32654.726

# 3.3 Output Numerical Data

Vertices	Edge	Experimental	Theoretical	Scaling	Scaled
value	Value	Result in ns	Result (e log	Constant	Theoretical
(v)	(e)		v)		Result
4	6	863384.0	12.00		391856.712
6	9	915359.2	23.27		759875.474
8	12	1494497.0	36.00		1175570.14
10	15	2196097.7	49.83		1627185
12	18	3173597.7	57.06		1863278.67
14	21	3721434.9	79.96		2611071.89
16	24	4402710.8	96.00		3134853.7
18	27	4959169.9	112.78		3682800
20	30	5587078.5	129.66		4234011.77
		3034814.41	66.28	32654.726	

## 3.4 Graph





z - axis is Normalized time values

x - axis is m - edges value

y - axis is n - vertices value

## 3.5 Graph Observations

The plot of the experimental results increases constantly along with the increase in theoretical result at all the points on the graph for respective increase in values of edges and vertices. As the number of edges increases, the impact on the time complexity is more significant than the log factor depending on the number of vertices.

## 4. Conclusions

The line plots of the experimental and theoretical analysis are intersecting and seem to be convergent. Hence the Big O notation for finding minimum spanning tree using Kruskal's greedy method algorithm is O(E log V) where "E" is the number of edges and "V" is the number of vertices of the graph.