

Definitions

Recall the following definitions. Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$ denote the unit interval. Given any *even* integer $M > 0$ let Ω^h denote the *periodic* grid on Ω ,

$$\Omega^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [x_0 = 0, x_1, \dots, x_{M-1}, x_M = 1], \quad (1)$$

where $h = M^{-1}$, $x_i = i h$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, M$, and given *any* integer l we identify the points x_i and x_{i+lM} ; i.e., $x_{i+lM} = x_i$ for every integer $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define the grid function u on Ω^h by

$$u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{M-1}) \quad (2)$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$ are M *real* numbers. When $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function defined for all $x \in \Omega = [0, 1]$ and the u_i in (2) are given by $u_i = u(x_i)$ we will usually use the notation u^h to distinguish the grid function defined on Ω^h from the function u defined on all of $\Omega = [0, 1]$.

For $k = -\frac{M}{2} + 1, -\frac{M}{2} + 2, \dots, \frac{M}{2} - 1, \frac{M}{2}$, define the k th discrete Fourier basis function on Ω^h by

$$w^{(k)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (w_0^{(k)}, w_1^{(k)}, \dots, w_{M-1}^{(k)}) \quad (3)$$

with the i th component $w_i^{(k)}$ of $w^{(k)}$ given by

$$w_i^{(k)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W^{(k)}(x_i)$$

where

$$W^k(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{2\pi i k x}$$

and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. The *discrete Fourier coefficients* b_k of u are defined by

$$\hat{u}_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle u, w^{(k)} \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the discrete inner product on the grid Ω^h , which is defined by

$$\langle u, u' \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} u_i \overline{u'_i} \quad (5)$$

for any two grid functions $u = (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{M-1})$ and $u' = (u'_0, u'_1, \dots, u'_{M-1})$ on Ω^h .

NOTE: It is important to remember that in the definition of the discrete inner product in (5) $\overline{u'_i}$ denotes the *complex conjugate* of u'_i ; i.e., if $u'_i = \xi_i + i \eta_i$, then $\overline{u'_i} = \xi_i - i \eta_i$.

Problems

Problem 01 (20 points total) Prove the discrete form of Parseval's equality:

$$\|u\|_2^2 = \|\hat{u}\|_2^2,$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} |u_i|^2 = \sum_{k=-\frac{M}{2}+1}^{\frac{M}{2}} \hat{u}_k \overline{\hat{u}_k}$$

where u is the grid function defined in (2) and

$$\hat{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\hat{u}_{-\frac{M}{2}+1}, \hat{u}_{-\frac{M}{2}+2}, \dots, \hat{u}_{\frac{M}{2}} \right)$$

is the *Discrete Fourier Transform* of u .

HINT: You *may* have to use some of the ideas from the proof in the Lecture Notes that the $w^{(k)}$ for $k = -\frac{M}{2} + 1, -\frac{M}{2} + 2, \dots, \frac{M}{2} - 1, \frac{M}{2}$ are a basis for \mathbb{C}^M

Problem 02 (20 points total) Given a positive even integer M and any *real-valued* function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define the grid function f^h on Ω^h by $f^h = (f_0^h, f_1^h, \dots, f_{M-1}^h)$ where $f_i^h = f(x_i)$, $x_i = ih$, and $h = M^{-1}$ as above. Now define the discrete Fourier coefficients \hat{f}_k^h of f^h as in (4) above,

$$\hat{f}_k^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f^h, w^{(k)} \rangle \quad (6)$$

and define the grid function \hat{f}^h on Ω^h by

$$\hat{f}^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=-\frac{M}{2}+1}^{\frac{M}{2}} \hat{f}_k^h w^{(k)}.$$

Prove that the i th component of \hat{f}^h equals the i th component of f^h ,

$$\hat{f}_i^h = f_i^h.$$

Conclude that this implies the *infinitely differentiable* function $\tilde{f}^h(x)$ defined by

$$\tilde{f}^h(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=-\frac{M}{2}+1}^{\frac{M}{2}} \hat{f}_k^h W^{(k)}(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1], \quad (7)$$

interpolates the function f at the gridpoints x_i in $[0, 1]$.

Problem 03 (20 points total) Given the same setup as in **Problem 02** above so, in particular, the discrete Fourier coefficients \hat{f}_k^h of f associated with the grid Ω^h are defined as in (6) above,

$$\hat{f}_k^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f^h, w^{(k)} \rangle,$$

answer the following two questions.

(a) (10 points) Consider the function $\tilde{f}^h(x)$ defined in (7) above. Is $\tilde{f}^h(x)$ a real-valued function? In other words, is $\tilde{f}^h(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$?

(b) (10 points) Now consider the function $F^h(x)$ defined for $x \in [0, 1]$ by

$$F^h(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \hat{f}_k^h W^{(k)}(x),$$

where for $k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{M}{2}$ the coefficients \hat{f}_k^h are defined as in (6) above, and similarly, for $k = \frac{M}{2} + 1, \frac{M}{2} + 2, \dots, M - 1$,

$$\hat{f}_k^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f^h, w^{(k)} \rangle$$

where $w^{(k)}$ is defined as in (3), albeit with $k = \frac{M}{2} + 1, \frac{M}{2} + 2, \dots, M - 1$. Is F a real-valued function? In other words, is $F(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$?

Problem 04 (20 points total) Consider the downwind method,

$$(Lu)_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u_i^n + \sigma (u_i^n - u_{i+1}^n). \quad (8)$$

(a) (10 points) What is the symbol $\lambda(\beta)$ of L ?

(b) (10 points) Let $M > 0$ be an even integer. For $k = -\frac{M}{2} + 1, -\frac{M}{2} + 2, \dots, \frac{M}{2} - 1, \frac{M}{2}$, find all of the modes $w^{(k)}$ amplified by the linear finite difference operator L .

Problem 05 (20 points total) Let $\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a\Delta t/h$ and let L denote the Lax-Friedrichs method

$$u_j^{n+1} = (Lu^n)_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (u_{j-1}^n + u_{j+1}^n) + \frac{\sigma}{2} (u_{j-1}^n - u_{j+1}^n)$$

(a) (05 points) Is the Lax-Friedrichs method stable in the discrete L^2 norm,

$$\|Lu^n\|_2 \leq \|u^n\|_2? \quad (9)$$

Is there a constraint you must place on the parameter σ in order for (9) to hold? If so, what is it?

- (b) (05 points) Show that Lax-Friedrichs is a *consistent* discretization of the model problem,

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + a \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (10a)$$

with initial data

$$v(x, 0) = v^0(x), \quad (10b)$$

on the unit interval $\Omega = [0, 1]$ with periodic boundary conditions,

$$v(0, t) = v(1, t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (10c)$$

- (c) (10 points) If the answer to item **Problem 05 (a)** above is ‘yes’, then prove Lax-Friedrichs converges to the exact solution of the model problem (10). Prove this directly, rather than by applying the Lax Equivalence Theorem. In other words, use the same ideas I used to prove that consistency plus stability implies convergence in the Lax-Equivalence Theorem.