Interpretation and Compilation of Languages

Master Programme in Computer Science

Mário Pereira

mjp.pereira@fct.unl.pt

Nova School of Science and Technology, Portugal

April 22, 2025

Lecture 7

based on lectures by Jean-Christophe Filliâtre and Léon Gondelman previous editions by João Costa Seco, Luís Caires, and Bernardo Toninho

We have reached the midpoint of our course.

We have studied the frontend part of a compiler.

- operational semantics
- lexical analysis
- syntactic analysis
- static typing

We are now going to focus on the backend part of a compiler.

1. Presentation of the practical project.

Today: x86-64 Assembly

- 2. x86-64 Architecture
- 3. x86-64 Instruction Set
- 4. The Challenge of Compilation

A Little Bit of Computer Arithmetic (recap)

An integer is represented using n bits, written from right (least significant) to left (most significant)

$$b_{n-1} \mid b_{n-2} \mid \ldots \mid b_1 \mid b_0$$

typically, *n* is 8, 16, 32, or 64.

Unsigned Integer

bits =
$$b_{n-1}b_{n-2}...b_1b_0$$

value = $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}b_i2^i$

bits	value
000000	0
000001	1
000010	2
:	:
111110	$2^{n}-2$
111111	$2^{n}-1$

example: $00101010_2 = 42$

Signed Integer: Two's Complement

The most significant bit b_{n-1} is the sign bit

bits =
$$b_{n-1}b_{n-2}...b_1b_0$$

value = $-b_{n-1}2^{n-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}b_i2^i$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
11010110_2 & = & -128 + 86 \\
 & = & -42
\end{array}$$

bits	value
1 00000	-2^{n-1}
1 00001	$-2^{n-1}+1$
:	÷
1 11110	-2
1 11111	-1
000000	0
000001	1
000010	2
:	÷
0 11110	$2^{n-1}-2$
011111	$2^{n-1}-1$

According to the context, the same bits are interpreted either as a signed or unsigned integer.

example:

- $11010110_2 = -42$ (signed 8-bit integer)
- 11010110₂ = 214 (unsigned 8-bit integer)

Operations

The machine provide operations such as

- logical (aka bitwise) operations: and, or, xor, not
- shift operations
- arithmetic operations: addition, subtraction, multiplication, etc.

Logical Operations

operation		example
negation	x	00101001
	not x	11010110
and	X	00101001
	У	01101100
	x and y	00101000
or	x	00101001
	У	01101100
	x or y	01101101
xor	х	00101001
	У	01101100
	x xor y	01000101

logical shift left (inserts least significant zeros)

(« in Java, 1s1 in OCaml)

• logical shift right (inserts most significant zeros)

(>> in Java, 1sr in OCaml)

• arithmetic shift right (duplicates the sign bit)

(>> in Java, 1sr in OCaml)

A Little Bit of Architecture


11

Roughly speaking, a computer is composed

- of a CPU, containing
 - few integer and floating-point registers
 - some computation power
- memory (RAM)
 - composed of a large number of bytes (8 bits) for instance, 1 GiB = 2^{30} bytes = 2^{33} bits, that is $2^{2^{33}}$ possible states
 - contains data and instructions

A Little Bit of Architecture

12



accessing memory is costly (at one billion instructions per second, light only traverses 30 centimeters!)

A Little Bit of Architecture

13

reality is more complex:

- several (co)processors, some dedicated to floating-point
- one or several memory caches
- virtual memory (MMU)
- etc.

Execution Principle


14

Execution proceeds according to the following:

- a register (%pc) contains the address of the next instruction to execute
- we read one or several bytes at this address (fetch)
- we interpret these bytes as an instruction (decode)
- we execute the instruction (execute)
- we modify the register %pc to move to the next instruction (typically the one immediately after, unless we jump)

Execution Principle

15



instruction : 001000 | 00110 | 00101 | 000000000001010 | decoding : addi %a2 %a1 10

i.e. add 10 to register %a2 and store the result in the register %a1

Principle

16

Again, reality is more complex:

- pipelines
 - several instructions are executed in parallel
- branch prediction
 - to optimize the pipeline, we attempt at predicting conditional branches

Which Architecture for this Course?

17

two main families of microprocessors

- CISC (Complex Instruction Set)
 - many d'instructions
 - many addressing modes
 - many instructions read / write memory
 - few registers
 - examples: VAX, PDP-11, Motorola 68xxx, Intel x86
- RISC (Reduced Instruction Set)
 - few instructions
 - few instructions read / write memory
 - many registers
 - examples: Alpha, Sparc, MIPS, ARM

We choose x86-64 for this course.

x86-64 Architecture

18

- 64 bits
 - arithmetic, logical, and transfer operations over 64 bits
- 16 registers
 - %rax, %rbx, %rcx, %rdx, %rbp, %rsp, %rsi, %rdi, %r8, %r9, %r10, %r11, %r12, %r13, %r14, %r15
- addresses memory over at least 48 bits (≥ 256 TB)
- many addressing modes

We do not code in machine language, but using the assembly language.

The assembly language provides several facilities:

- symbolic names
- allocation of global data

Assembly language is turned into machine code by a program called an assembler (a compiler).

We are using Linux and GNU tools. In particular, GNU assembly, with AT&T syntax.

The assembly directive


.text

indicates that the instructions will follow and the directive

.data

indicates that the data will follow

The code will be loaded starting from the address 0x400000 and the data from the address 0x10000000.



21

```
# instructions follow
       .text
       .globl main
                              # make main visible for ld
main:
       pushq %rbp
       movq %rsp, %rbp
       movq $message, %rdi
                              # argument of puts
       call puts
       movq $0, %rax
                        # return code 0
       popq %rbp
       ret
       .data
                              # data follow
message:
       .string "hello, world!" # 0-terminated string
```

Demo!

A step-by-step execution is possible using gdb (the GNU debugger)

```
> gcc -g -no-pie hello.s -o hello
> gdb hello
GNU gdb (GDB) 7.1-ubuntu
. . .
(gdb) break main
Breakpoint 1 at 0x401126: file hello.s, line 4.
(gdb) run
Starting program: .../hello
Breakpoint 1, main () at hello.s:4
4
                pushq %rbp
(gdb) step
5
                movq %rsp, %rbp
(gdb) info registers
```

Nemiver

An alternative is Nemiver

> nemiver hello

Instruction Set

Registers

63	31	15 87 0
%rax	%eax	%ax %ah %al
%rbx	%ebx	%bx %bh %bl
%rcx	%ecx	%cx[%ch %cl
%rdx	%edx	%dx %dh %dl
%rsi	%esi	%si %sil
%rdi	%edi	%di %dil
%rbp	%ebp	%bp %bp1
%rsp	%esp	%sp %spl

63	31	15	87 0
%r8	%r8d	%r8w	%r8b
%r9	%r9d	%r9w	%r9b
%r10	%r10d	%r10w	%r10b
%r11	%r11d	%r11w	%r11b
%r12	%r12d	%r12w	%r12b
%r13	%r13d	%r13w	%r13b
%r14	%r14d	%r14w	%r14b
%r15	%r15d	%r15w	%r15b

loading a constant into a register

```
movq $0x2a, %rax # rax <- 42
movq $-12, %rdi
```

loading the address of a label into a register

```
movq $label, %rdi
```

• copying a register into another register

```
movq %rax, %rbx # rbx <- rax</pre>
```

addition of two registers

addition of a register and a constant

particular case

negation

Logical Operations

logical not

```
notq %rax # rax <- not(rax)</pre>
```

• and, or, exclusive or

```
orq %rbx, %rcx # rcx <- or(rcx, rbx)
andq $0xff, %rcx # erases bits >= 8
xorq %rax, %rax # zeroes %rax
```

• shift left (inserting zeros)

```
salq $3, %rax # 3 times
salq %cl, %rbx # cl times
```

• arithmetic shift right (duplicating the sign bit)

```
sarq $2, %rcx
```

logical shift right (inserting zeros)

```
shrq $4, %rdx
```

rotation

```
rolq $2, %rdi
rorq $3, %rsi
```

The suffix q means a 64-bit operand (quad words).

Other suffixes are allowed

suffix	# bytes	
Ъ	1	(byte)
W	2	(word)
1	4	(long)
q	8	(quad)

(when the suffix is omitted, the assembler tries to infer)

Operand Size

32

When operand sizes differ, one must indicate the extension mode

```
movzbq %al, %rdi # with zeros extension
movswl %ax, %edi # with sign extension
```

An operand between parentheses means an indirect addressing, i.e., the data in memory at this address.

```
movq $42, (%rax) # mem[rax] <- 42
incq (%rbx) # mem[rbx] <- mem[rbx] + 1
```

Note: the address may be a label

```
movq %rbx, x
```

Operations do not allow several memory accesses

```
addq (%rax), (%rbx)

Error: too many memory references for 'add'
```

One has to use a temporary register.

```
movq (%rax), %rcx addq %rcx, (%rbx)
```

The general form of the operand is

and it stands for address $A + B + I \times S$ where

- A is a 32-bit signed constant
- *I* is 0 when omitted
- $S \in \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$ (is 1 when omitted)

Example:

movq -8(%rax,%rdi,4), %rbx # rbx <- mem[-8+rax+4*rdi]</pre>

Operation lea computes the effective address of the operand

Note: we can make use of it to perform arithmetic

```
leag (%rax,%rax,2), %rbx # rbx <- 3*%rax</pre>
```

37

Most operations set the processor flags, according to their outcome.

flag	meaning
ZF	the result is 0
CF	a carry was propagated beyond the most significant bit
SF	the result is negative
OF	arithmetic overflow (signed arith.)
etc.	

(notable exception: lea)

38

Three instructions can test the flags

conditional jump (jcc)

computes 1 (true) or 0 (false) (setcc)

conditional mov (cmovcc)

e z = 0 ZF	
, _	
ne nz $ eq 0$ ~ZF	
s < 0 SF	
ns ≥ 0 ~SF	
g > signed ~(SF^OF	7)&~ZF
ge \geq signed ~(SF^OF	")
1 < signed SF^OF	
le \leq signed (SF^OF)	ZF
a > unsigned ~CF&~ZF	,
ae \geq unsigned ~CF	
b < unsigned CF	
be \leq unsigned CF ZF	

Comparisons

One can set the flags without storing the result anywhere, as if doing a subtraction or a logical and.

```
cmpq %rbx, %rax # flags of rax - rbx
(beware of the direction!)

testq %rbx, %rax # flags of rax & rbx
```

Unconditional Jump

• to a label

• to a computed address

```
jmp *%rax
```

Many, many other instructions [Enumerating x86-64 — It's Not as Easy as Counting]

Including SSE instructions operating on large registers containing several integers or floating-point numbers.

The Challenge of Compilation

Why to Keep Learning Assembly?

The challenge of compilation: to translate a high-level program into this instruction set.

In particular, we have to


- translate control structures (tests, loops, exceptions, etc.)
- translate function calls
- translate complex data structures (arrays, structures, objects, closures, etc.)
- allocate dynamic memory

Function Calls

Observation: function calls can be arbitrarily nested

- ⇒ registers cannot hold all the local variables
- \Rightarrow we need to allocate memory.

Yet function calls obey a last-in first-out mode, so we can use a stack.



The stack is allocated at the top of the memory, and increases downwards; %rsp points to the top of the stack

Dynamic data (which needs to survive function calls) is allocated on the heap (possibly by a GC), above static data, and increases upwards.

This way, no collision between the stack and the heap (unless we run out of memory).

Note: each program has the illusion of using the whole memory; the OS creates this illusion, using the MMU.

pushing


```
pushq $42
pushq %rax
```

popping

```
popq %rdi
popq (%rbx)
```

example:

pushq	\$1
pushq	\$2
pushq	\$3
popq	%rax



When a function f (the caller) needs to call a function g (the callee), it cannot simply do

jmp g

since we need to come back to the code of f when g terminates.

The solution is to make use of the stack.

Two instructions for this purpose:

instruction

call g

- 1. pushes the address of the next instruction on the stack
- 2. transfers control to address g

and instruction

ret

- 1. pops an address from the stack
- 2. transfers control to that address

Function Call

Problem: any register used by g is lost for f.

There are many solutions, but we typically resort to calling conventions.

- up to six arguments are passed via registers %rdi, %rsi, %rdx, %rcx, %r8, %r9
- other arguments are passed on the stack, if any
- the returned value is put in %rax
- registers %rbx, %rbp, %r12, %r13, %14 and %r15 are callee-saved, i.e., the callee must save them if needed; typically used for long-term data, which must survive function calls
- the other registers are caller-saved, i.e., the caller must save them if needed; typically used for short-term data, with no need to survive calls
- %rsp is the stack pointer, %rbp the frame pointer

Alignment

51

On function entry, xsp+8 must be a multiple of 16.

Library functions (such as scanf for instance) may fail if this is not ensured.

52

Stack alignment may be performed explicitly

```
f: subq $8, %rsp # align the stack
...
... # since we make calls to extern functions
...
addq $8, %rsp
ret
```

or indirectly

However, Calling Conventions ...

... are nothing more than conventions.

In particular, we are free not to use them as long we stay within the perimeter of our own code.

When linking to external code (e.g. puts earlier), however, we must obey the calling conventions.

Function Calls, in Four Steps

There are four steps in a function call

- 1. for the caller, before the call
- 2. for the callee, at the beginning of the call
- 3. for the callee, at the end of the call
- 4. for the caller, after the call

They interact using the top of the stack, called the stack frame and located between %rsp and %rbp.

- 1. passes arguments in %rdi,...,%r9, and others on the stack, if more than 6
- 2. saves caller-saved registers, in its own stack frame, if they are needed after the call
- executes


call callee

The Callee, at the Beginning of the Call

1. saves %rbp and set it, for instance with

allocates its stack frame, for instance with

3. saves callee-saved registers that it intends to use



 $\mbox{\ensuremath{\%}$rbp}$ eases access to arguments and local variables, with a fixed offset (whatever the top of the stack)

The Callee, at the End of the Call

57

- 1. stores the result into %rax
- 2. restores the callee-saved registers, if needed
- 3. destroys its stack frame and restores %rbp with

leave

that is equivalent to

```
movq %rbp, %rsp
popq %rbp
```

4. executes

ret

The Caller, After the Call

- 1. pops arguments 7, 8, ..., if any
- 2. restores the caller-saved registers, if needed

- a machine provides
 - a limited instruction set
 - · efficient registers, costly access to the memory
- the memory is split into
 - code / static data / dynamic data (heap) / stack
- function calls make use of
 - a notion of stack frame
 - calling conventions

Demo: factorial

Exercise: let's program factorial

- with a loop
- with a recursive function

Bibliography

61

Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective
 (R. E. Bryant, D. R. O'Hallaron)
 its PDF appendix x86-64 Machine-Level Programming

 Notes on x86-64 programming by Andrew Tolmach (available on this week's lab page)