



CONFIDENTIAL COMMITTEE MATERIALS

## SIGBOVIK'20 3-Blind Paper Review

Paper NN: UNKNOWN PAPER TITLE

---

**Reviewer:** 寿限無寿限無五劫の擦り切れ海砂利水魚の水行末雲来松風来末  
食う寝る処に住む処藪ら柑子の藪柑子パイポパイポパイポのシューリン  
ガンシューリンガンのグーリンダイグーリンダイのポンポコピーのポンポコナの  
長久命の長助

**Rating:** Wow (somewhere between "ouch" and "boing")

**Confidence:** dude trust me

So here's the thing. I spent, like, 25 minutes reading this paper, and then another 30 minutes googling all the long words that sounded important, and I've come to the conclusion that I probably shouldn't be reviewing academic papers. It seemed like a fun idea at first, like, "Oh, just read this paper and give your opinions on it, readers like to see other perspectives," but it's just kinda overwhelming? I mean, when I think about how EVERYONE who reads the proceedings of this big conference is gonna look at what I wrote and use it to inform their own opinions, it just feels like too much responsibility.

Basically what I'm trying to say is that I have no idea what this paper says. So instead I want you to make your own judgement on how good this paper is. Sure, maybe \*I\* found the author's postulation that the seven layers of the OSI model are analogous to the seven chakras to be a bit difficult to follow, but you don't have to let that affect your perception of the paper. Just because \*I\* don't know what "Hyperparadigmatistical n'-state macrocontrollers" are used for doesn't detract from the obvious wealth of knowledge that the author of this paper has blessed upon our mortal realm.

There's definitely a lot of complicated, super important-sounding things going on in this paper, but I really just don't think I'm qualified to give any kind of commentary on it. Still, I wish all the best to anyone who can make sense of it and hope it goes on to revolutionize the field of... whatever field it belongs to.