

MORE ON LINEAR REGRESSION

Recap: Linear Regression

Hypothesis: $h_\theta(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$

Parameters: θ_0, θ_1

Cost Function: $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)^2$

Goal: $\underset{\theta_0, \theta_1}{\text{minimize}}\ J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$

Polynomial Regression

- A polynomial function may fit the data better than a linear function



Set

- $x_1 = x$
- $x_2 = x^2$

Model representation: $h(x) = \boldsymbol{\theta_0} + \boldsymbol{\theta_1} x_1 + \boldsymbol{\theta_1} x_2$

Polynomial Regression

Model representation: $\mathrm{h}(x) = \boldsymbol{\theta_0} + \boldsymbol{\theta_1}\mathrm{x}_1 + \boldsymbol{\theta_1}\mathrm{x}_2$

Cost Function

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta_0}, \boldsymbol{\theta_1}, \boldsymbol{\theta_2}) = \frac{1}{2m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x^i) - y^i\right)^2$$

Gradient Descent (Parameters Learning)

repeat until convergence: {

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha\,\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})\cdot x_0^{(i)}$$

$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha\,\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})\cdot x_1^{(i)}$$

$$\theta_2 := \theta_2 - \alpha\,\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})\cdot x_2^{(i)}$$

...

}

Feature Scaling

Idea: make sure features are on a similar scale

x_1 = size (0-2000 feet^2)
x_2 = number of bedrooms (1-5)

$$x_1 = \frac{\text{size}(\text{feet}^2)}{2000}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\text{number of bedrooms}}{5}$$

$$0 \le x_1 \le 1$$

$$0 \le x_2 \le 1$$



Feature Scaling

Mean Normalization

$$x_i \leftarrow \frac{x_i - mean}{std}$$

So all features would have an average of zero and similar range

The problem of overfitting



Addressing overfitting

1) **Reduce number of features**

- Manually select which features to keep
- Model selection algorithms are discussed later (good for reducing number of features)
- But, in reducing the number of features we lose some information

2) **Regularization** เลือก delta น้อยๆ --> ML เป็นคนเรียนเอง

- Keep all features, but reduce magnitude of parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
- Works well when we have a lot of features, each of which contributes a bit to predicting y

Regularization

Intuition: making theta so small that is almost equivalent to zero

Penalize and make some of the θ parameters really smalle.g. here θ_3 and θ_4



$$\theta_0 + \theta_1 x + \theta_2 x^2 + \theta_3 x^3 + \theta_4 x^4$$



$$\theta_0 + \theta_1 x + \theta_2 x^2 + \theta_3 x^3 + \theta_4 x^4$$

$$\min_\theta \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2 + 1000\,\theta_3^2 + 1000\,\theta_4^2$$

delta3, delta4 มีค่าน้อยๆ จะทำให้กราฟเนียน กว่า

Ridge regression (L2 Regularization)

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x^i) - y^i\right)^2 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{\theta}_j^2$$

alpha = infinite :
delta = 0

- Small values for parameters corresponds to a simpler hypothesis (you effectively get rid of some of the terms)

- A simpler hypothesis is less prone to overfitting

- $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is the **regularization parameter**
  Controls a trade off between our two goals
  1. Want to fit the training set well
  2. Want to keep parameters small

This is what we call "Hyper-parameters"

Lasso regression (L1 Regularization)

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x^i) - y^i\right)^2 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\boldsymbol{\theta}_j\right|$$

delta มีโอกาสเป็น 0

- Very similar to L2 regularization.
- However, instead of a quadratic penalty term as in L2, we penalize the model by the absolute weight coefficients
- This is a subtle, but important change. Some of the coefficients may be shrunk exactly to zero.
- Perform both “Feature selection” and “Regularization”

Ridge (L2) vs Lasso (L1)

Ridge



paremeter != 0

Lasso



parameter = 0, ทำให้ลด remove parameter ที่ไม่ได้ใช้ออก



CLASSIFICATION

Classification

- Email: Spam/ Not Spam ?
- Online Transactions: Fraudulent (Yes/No) ?
- Tumor: Malignant/ Benign?

$y \in \{0, 1\}$

0: “Negative Class” (e.g., benign tumor)

1: “Positive Class” (e.g., malignant tumor)

How to develop a classification algorithm?



Can we use linear regression ? $h(x) = \boldsymbol{\theta_0} + \boldsymbol{\theta_1} x_1$

If $h(x) \geq 0.5$, predict "y = 1"
If $h(x) < 0.5$, predict "y = 0"

How to develop a classification algorithm?



Linear regression to a classification problem often isn't a great idea

Classification: y = 1 or 0

But for linear regression: $\mathrm{h}(x)$ can be > 1 or < 0

We want $0 \leq \mathrm{h}(x) \leq 1$

Logistic Regression Model

We want: $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{h}(x) \leq \mathbf{1}$

$$\mathbf{h}(x) = g(\boldsymbol{\theta_0} + \boldsymbol{\theta_1}\mathbf{x_1})$$

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{h}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\boldsymbol{\theta_0} + \boldsymbol{\theta_1}\mathbf{x_1})}}$$



The sigmoid/logistic function g(z)

Interpretation of Hypothesis Output

$$\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{e}^{-(\boldsymbol{\theta_0} + \boldsymbol{\theta_1}\mathbf{x_1})}}$$

$h(x)$ = estimated probability that y = 1 on input *x*

$h(x) = \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{y} = 1 | \mathrm{x})$

e.g. P(email is spam | number of word “discount” in the email)

Decision Boundary



$$h(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(-3+1x_1+1x_2)}}$$

Predict $y = 1$ if $h(x) \geq 0.5$

$equivilent\ to\ -3 + x_1 + x_2 \geq 0$

Logistic Regression Cost function

$$J(\theta) = \text{Cost}(h_\theta(x), y) = \begin{cases} -\log(h_\theta(x)) & \text{if } y = 1 \\ -\log(1 - h_\theta(x)) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$





Parameter Learning with Gradient Descent

$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{m}[\sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} \log h_\theta(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log (1 - h_\theta(x^{(i)}))]$$

Want $\min_\theta J(\theta)$:

Repeat $\{$

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

(simultaneously update all θ_j)

$\}$

Algorithm looks identical to linear regression!!