Supplementary material: Forecasting water temperature in lakes and reservoirs using seasonal climate prediction

Daniel Mercado-Bettín^{a,b}, François Clayer^e, Muhammed Shikhani^g, Tadhg N. Moore^d, María Dolores Frías^c, Leah Jackson-Blake^e, James Sample^e, Magnus Dahler Norling^e, Maialen Iturbide^c, Sixto Herrera^c, Andrew S. French^f, Karsten Rinke^g, Rafael Marcé^{a,b}

^aCatalan Institute for Water Research (ICRA), Girona, Spain

^bUniversitat de Girona, Girona, Spain

^cGrupo de Meteorología. Dpto. de Matemática Aplicada y Ciencias de la Computación.

Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

^dDundalk Institute of Technology, Dundalk, Co. Louth, Ireland

^eNorwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), Oslo, Norway

^fForas na Mara - Marine Institute, Furnace, Newport, Co. Mayo, Ireland

^gDepartment of Lake Research, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research,

Magdeburg, Germany

1 1. Catchment-lake systems

Table 1: Main characteristics of lakes and reservoirs studied

$Case\ study$	Country	$Altitude\ (m)$	Surface	Volume	$Water\ retention$	Max.	Mixing
			$area\ (ha)$	(hm^3)	$time\ (years)$	depth (m)	regime
Sau	Spain	425	575	165	0.20	60	monomictic
Mt. Bold	Australia	244	254	46.4	0.2 - $0.6~\mathrm{years}$	44.5	monomictic
Vansjø	Norway	26	3600	252	1.1 years	19	dimictic
Wupper	Germany	250	211	26	0.20 years	31	dimictic

1.1. Sau Reservoir (Spain)

Sau reservoir is part of a chain of reservoirs that form the water supply system to the Barcelona metropolitan area, while it is also used for recreation. The reservoir has a capacity of $165 \ hm^3$ and a mean inflow of $14 \ m^3/s$. Sau reservoir is part of the Ter River catchment, which has an area of $1680 \ m^2$ and is the main source of water for this reservoir. This particular catchment/lake system was selected due to its relevant role on water supply and the availability of long-term monitoring data. There is a growing interest to have improved tools to inform the water quality management decisions taken by stakeholders at Sau reservoir, owing to recurring water quality impairment episodes related to anoxia development and algal blooms (Marcé and Joan, 2010).

14 1.2. Mt. Bold Reservoir (Australia)

Mount Bold (Mt. Bold) reservoir is the largest reservoir in South Australia. It has a capacity of 0.046 hm^3 and was completed in 1938. At full water level (41.5 m) the reservoir has a surface area of 2.5 km^2 . It receives water from the Onkaparinga catchment (325 km^2) and the Echunga Creek Catchment (32 km^2). In addition to these inflows, the Onkaparinga river is supplemented with water from the Murray River via a pipeline. This pipeline crucially provides water during the summer and autumn seasons where there is little to no precipitation. Mt. Bold reservoir provides water to the Happy Valley reservoir further downstream which is a drinking water reservoir for Adelaide and the surrounding Mount Lofty Ranges. Mt. Bold reservoir was selected as a case study because the seasonal variations in water level are critically important for managing the quantities of water that are released

downstream. Moreover, pumping water from the Murray is a large economic expense so having prior knowledge with regards to how the hydrology of the catchment is going to respond can inform decisions on whether or not to pump the water into the Onkaparinga. In addition, there have been historical issues with regards to high levels of re-suspension of phosphorus from the sediments which have contributed to the historic occurrence of algal blooms in Happy Valley reservoir.

34 1.3. Lake Vansjø (Norway)

Lake Vansjø (36 km^2 ; 252.2 hm^3), located in southeastern Norway, provides drinking water to three municipalities (~ 60000 inhabitants) and is a major recreational and fishing area in the region. Its catchment (690 km^2) comprises mainly forest (78%), agricultural area (15%), and open water (7%; (Skarbøvik et al., 2019). The lake is composed of several sub-basins, of which the two largest are Storefjorden (eastern basin, sub-catchment of 244 km^2 , surface area of 23.8 km^2), and Vanemfjorden (western basin, sub-catchment of 58 km^2 , surface area: 12.0 km^2). The water flows through the deeper Storefjorden basin (max depth: 41 m, mean depth: 8.7 m, and residence time: 0.85 year) through a channel to the shallower Vanemfjorden basin (max depth: 19.0 m, mean depth 3.8 m, and residence time: 0.21 year). The physicochemical and ecological status of Vanemfjorden is typically moderate (Haande et al., 2011), and remediation measures implemented in the past few years in the catchment have only partially improved this status (Skarbøvik and Skjelbred, 2019). Several blooms of cyanobacteria have been recorded in the 2000's causing beach closures (Moe et al., 2016). Lake Vansjø, which has been monitored since 1980, is thus a case study of high interest

for stakeholders to implement sustainable measures to improve its ecological status and understand possible risks of deterioration.

54 1.4. Wupper Reservoir (Germany)

The Wupper Reservoir is located in the West of Germany near Cologne 55 (51.2N, 7.3E) at an altitude of 251 m.a.s.l. The reservoir dams the river Wupper and receives water from an upstream catchment of about 215 km^2 . At full storage (maximum depth 31m), the reservoir has a maximum surface of $2.12km^2$ and a maximum volume of $26 hm^3$. The dimictic reservoir has a canyon-like shape, a mean depth about of 11m, a residence time of 0.2 years, and a stratification period between May and September (Scharf, 2008b). The main purposes are flood control, environmental flows, and recreation. Accordingly, water level fluctuations are large with the highest levels in spring and lowest in autumn (Scharf, 2008a). Management of Wupper reservoir would benefit from prior information at seasonal scales with respect to the identification of optimum storage dynamics, balancing the needs of flood protection (i.e., maintenance of excess storage capacity to absorb large inflow events) and environmental flows (i.e., maintenance of sufficient stored water for supplementing outlets during summer). Furthermore, reservoir operators want to use seasonal forecasts to help avoid strong water level drawdowns associated with the occurrence of cyanobacterial blooms during hot summers and low water levels.

2. Hydrologic modeling

2.1. Mesoscale Hydrologic Model (mHM)

The mesoscale Hydrologic Model (mHM v5.9: http://www.ufz.de/mhm)
was used to implement the hydrologic simulations in the Ter River catchment in the Sau Reservoir case study. This is an open source and spatially
distributed model with grid pixel as the main hydrologic unit and a multiscale parameter regionalization approach. It has the capacity to represent the main physical processes for the temporal and spatial scales of this
study (e.g, soil moisture dynamics, infiltration and surface runoff, subsurface
processes, canopy interception, and snowmelt processes). Apart from being
driven by meteorological variables (precipitation, temperature and potential
evaporation), it also depends on land cover, leaf area index (LAI), soil, and
hydrogeologic maps.

The model has three levels of resolution to represent the surface characteristics (i.e, soil, land cover, terrain), the hydrologic processes and geological
formations, and the variability of the meteorological forcing. Accordingly,
the model was set up using the resolutions 100, 1000 and 10000 meters, respectively. These resolutions were selected according to (i) the area of our
catchment and terrain resolution, (ii) the resolution of the meteorological
forcing used and (iii) the suggestions from the user manual of the model.
Additionally, the Jarvis equation (Jarvis, 1989) to represent soil moisture
processes and the Muskingum approach (McCarthy, 1939) to represent the
routing conditions were selected.

The hydrologic model was auto-calibrated using a Shuffled Complex Evolution optimization algorithm and NSE (Nash–Sutcliffe model efficiency coefficient) as objective function (1.0 - 0.5 * (NSE + log(NSE))), to calibrate high and low flows. The observed data to implement the calibration was provided by the water treatment plant company in charge of the reservoir (Ens d'Abastament Ter-Llobregat (ATL)). More details of calibration and validation results are found in the Table 1 of the main paper in the "Hydrologic and lake temperature modeling" section, where the NSE and Kling-Gupta efficiency (KGE) metrics are calculated.

105 2.2. GR4J & GR6J

To model the inflows for the Wupper Reservoir and the Mt Bold Reservoir (Onkaparinga and Echunga Creek), the *Génie Rural* (GR) models were used within the R package "airGR" (Coron et al., 2017). These are a range of lumped conceptual rainfall-runoff models that can be applied at varying timescales from annual to hourly (Perrin et al., 2013). These models have been demonstrated to accurately simulate hydrologic flow regimes across a variety of different catchments such as mountainous terrain (Coron et al., 2017), near-natural catchments with high precipitation (Broderick et al., 2016) and across climatic shifts (Brulebois et al., 2018).

The GR4J and GR6J models are parsimonous model which are forced by precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (PET). Catchment size is the other required variable that is used in the computation of discharge. There are four parameters that can be calibrated within GR4J: production store capacity, intercatchment exchange coefficient, routing store capacity and unit hydrograph time constant. While GR6J (Pushpalatha et al., 2011) includes the same four parameters it comes along with two extra parameters: intercatchment exchange threshold and coefficient for emptying exponential

123 store.

To calibrate the model, first a manual screening process was performed using a predefined grid to identify a 'good parameter set'. This is then used as the initial conditions for starting a steepest descent local search algorithm. Similarly to mHM, NSE was the objective function used within the calibration algorithm. However, for the German case study, the GR6J was calibrated using KGE as an objective function in order to ensure better representation of base flows since the reservoir was otherwise prone to drying out. More details of calibration and validation results are found in Table 1 of the main paper in the "Hydrologic and lake temperature modeling" section.

2.3. Simply Q

SimplyQ, used to model the inflows to Lake Vansjø (Norway), is the 134 hydrologic module of the catchment model for phosphorus SimplyP and de-135 scribed in detail by Jackson-Blake et al. (2017). Briefly, SimplyQ is forced by precipitation and air temperature, and computes snow accumulation and melt, evapotranspiration, terrestrial (soil, quick-surface and groundwater flows) and in-stream hydrologic processes. Six parameters were manually 139 calibrated: degree-day evapotranspiration, degree-day factor for snow melt, 140 proportion of precipitation that contributes to quick flow, baseflow index, groundwater time constant and soil water time constant. As for the other models, NSE was the objective function used during calibration, more details of calibration and validation results are found in Table 1 of the main paper in the "Hydrologic and lake temperature modeling" section.

3. Lake temperature modeling

3.1. General Ocean Turbulence Model (GOTM)

The General Ocean Turbulence Model (GOTM: http://gotm.net) was 148 used for simulating the thermal dynamics of Sau Reservoir (Spain) and Lake 149 Vansjø (Norway). GOTM is an open source ocean model adapted to lakes, which assumes a one-dimensional water column model for studying hydrodynamic and biogeochemical processes in marine and limnic waters. It models the state-of-the-art of the main physical processes in lakes: vertical turbulent fluxes of momentum, heat, and dissolved and particulate matter. To 154 execute, it must be forced by meteorological data (precipitation, winds, pres-155 sure, air temperature, relative humidity, cloud fraction and solar radiation) and associated river inflow data (river discharge and water temperature). 157 Additionally, for the Spanish case study, the water level fluctuations in the 158 lake depend also on the historical outflow controlled by the water supply 159 company, which was supplied as an observed forcing. The model was calibrated against observed water temperature profiles us-161 ing the ParSAC autocalibration tool (https://bolding-bruggeman.com/portfolio/parsac/) 162 and the Maximum Likelihood optimization method. The parameters consid-163 ered during calibration were the scale factor for short-wave solar radiation, 164 scale factor for surface heat fluxes, scale factor for wind, minimum turbulent kinetic energy (TKE), and the light extinction coefficient. For Lake Vansjø, two additional parameters were calibrated for the ice dynamics: the 167 ice albedo and the minimum threshold ice thickness. 168 The same parameters from the calibration were then used to run all time 169

period for the water temperature data period using ERA5. The outflows are

managed everyday according to the real-time changes in the water quality column in SAU reservoir and it reproduces a natural flow in the Vansjo lake. In Sau reservoir then, any difference between ERA5 inflows from mHM model (hydrologic) could lead to a dry out in the GOTM model (lake).

According to the most common statistical parameters (Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) and Root-Mean-Square Error (RMSE)) to evaluated calibration
and validation in lake modeling (see Table 1 of the main paper in the "Hydrologic and lake temperature modeling" section), the fit between modelled
and observed temperatures is better when closer to surface. However, it has
to be noticed that when going deeper the amount of observations decreased
affecting the statistical parameters to evaluate the fitting.

2 3.2. General Lake Model (GLM)

The General Lake Model (GLM) is a 1-D lake model that calculates the 183 water balance and models thermal stratification within lake water bodies 184 (Hipsey et al., 2019). It can be coupled to ecological and biogeochemical 185 models through the Framework for Aquatic Biogeochemical Models (FABM) and also has an own Aquatic Ecosystems Dynamics library (AED) (Hipsey 187 et al., 2013). It includes the impact of inflows, outflows, internal mixing, 188 heat fluxes and ice formation. Within the model, a flexible Lagrangian layer 189 structure is incorporated, which allows the layer thickness to change in re-190 sponse to inflows, outflows, internal mixing and heat and mass fluxes. It 191 has been used to model lake hydrodynamics at regional scales (Read et al., 192 2014), reservoir operation (Feldbauer et al., 2020), lake management strategies (Ladwig et al., 2018), and has undergone rigorous stress testing across 194 32 lakes globally distributed (Bruce et al., 2018).

The model was calibrated slightly differently at Wupper Reservoir and Mt. Bold. In both cases, modelled temperatures were compared to observed temperatures but also considerable effort was made to ensure that the water balance and thus the water level simulated within the model reasonably replicated observed changes. Accurately capturing the water balance is critically important owing to the sensitivity of the heat budget to the volume of water.

For Mt. Bold Reservoir, assumptions were made in regards to the with-203 drawal and the Murray Bridge pipeline delivering water to the Onkaparinga. Using historically observed data, an average annual cycle was calculated for 205 both and then replicated throughout the entire timeseries. While this as-206 sumption does not allow for inter-annual variation, it allowed for simulation 207 of water level fluctuation each year that represented the seasonal cycle apparent within Mt. Bold. For calibration, residuals were visualized and it 209 was identified that mixing of heat to lower depths was the largest. Using 210 an automatic calibration for two parameters, scaling factor on the wind and 211 scaling factor on the incoming long-wave radiation a RMSE of 1.17 degrees 212 for the calibration period was achieved.

For Wupper Reservoir, a statistical model was developed to calculate the reservoir's outflow based on the inflow using the historical observations for each discharge simulation of the catchment model. Such an approach allows mimicking the outflow decision and approximately resembling the observed water-level to avoid the cases of dry-outs or exceedingly low volumes of water due to inflow underestimation. Moreover, this method could also help in future operational forecastings, aiming to represent a realistic water balance

215

216

217

- while respecting the reservoir's operational rules during the system run-time.
- The calibration function of the R package "glmtools" was used to set the
- values of the wind factor, light extinction coefficient, and long-wave radiation.
- 224 Since the reservoir has a short residence time and is substantially affected
- by the inflow dynamics, the inflow parameters (i.e. streams drag coefficient,
- slope, and width angle) were also calibrated.

227 Acknowledgments

- This is a contribution of the WATExR project (watexr.eu/), which is
- part of ERA4CS, an ERA-NET initiated by JPI Climate, and funded by
- 230 MINECO-AEI (ES), FORMAS (SE), BMBF (DE), EPA (IE), RCN (NO),
- and IFD (DK), with co-funding by the European Union (Grant 690462).
- 232 MINECO-AEI funded this research through projects PCIN-2017-062 and
- 233 PCIN-2017-092.

234 References

- Broderick, C., Matthews, T., Wilby, R.L., Bastola, S., Murphy, C., 2016.
- 236 Transferability of hydrological models and ensemble averaging methods
- between contrasting climatic periods. Water Resources Research 52, 8243–
- 8373. doi:10.1111/j.1752-1688.1969.tb04897.x.
- Bruce, L.C., Frassl, M.A., Arhonditsis, G.B., Gal, G., Hamilton, D.P.,
- Hanson, P.C., Hetherington, A.L., Melack, J.M., Read, J.S., Rinke, K.,
- Rigosi, A., Trolle, D., Winslow, L.A., Adrian, R., Ayala, A.I., Bocaniov,
- S.A., Boehrer, B., Boon, C., Brookes, J.D., Bueche, T., Busch, B.D.,
- ²⁴³ Copetti, D., Cortés, A., de Eyto, E., Elliott, J.A., Gallina, N., Gilboa,

- Y., Guyennon, N., Huang, L., Kerimoglu, O., Lenters, J.D., MacIntyre,
- S., Makler-Pick, V., McBride, C.G., Moreira, S., Özkundakci, D., Pilotti,
- M., Rueda, F.J., Rusak, J.A., Samal, N.R., Schmid, M., Shatwell, T.,
- Snorthheim, C., Soulignac, F., Valerio, G., van der Linden, L., Vetter,
- M., Vincon-Leite, B., Wang, J., Weber, M., Wickramaratne, C., Woolway,
- R.I., Yao, H., Hipsey, M.R., 2018. A multi-lake comparative analysis of
- the General Lake Model (GLM): Stress-testing across a global observa-
- tory network. Environmental Modelling & Software 102, 274–291. URL:
- http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1364815216311562,
- doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.11.016.
- ²⁵⁴ Brulebois, E., Ubertosi, M., Castel, T., Richard, Y., Sauvage, S., Perez, S.,
- Moine, L., 2018. Robustness and performance of semi-distributed (SWAT)
- and global (GR4J) hydrological models throughout an observed climatic
- shift over contrasted French watersheds. Open Water Journal 5.
- ²⁵⁸ Coron, L., Thirel, G., Delaigue, O., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., 2017. The
- suite of lumped GR hydrological models in an R package. Environmental
- Modelling and Software 94, 166–171. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.05.002.
- Feldbauer, J., Kneis, D., Hegewald, T., Berendonk, T.U., Petzoldt, T.,
- 2020. Managing climate change in drinking water reservoirs: potentials
- 263 and limitations of dynamic withdrawal strategies. Environmental Sciences
- Europe 32. URL: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-020-00324-7,
- doi:10.1186/s12302-020-00324-7.
- Haande, S., Solheim, A.L., Moe, J., Brænden, R., 2011. Klassifisering av

- økologisk tilstand i elver og innsjøer i vannområde morsa iht. Vanndirek-
- tivet. NIVA-rapport, 39.
- Hipsey, M.R., Bruce, L.C., Boon, C., Busch, B., Carey, C.C., Hamilton, D.P.,
- 270 Hanson, P.C., Read, J.S., Sousa, E.D., Weber, M., Winslow, L.A., 2019.
- A General Lake Model (GLM 3 . 0) for linking with high-frequency sensor
- data from the Global Lake Ecological Observatory Network (GLEON).
- Geoscientific Model Development.
- Hipsey, M.R., Bruce, L.C., Hamilton, D.P., 2013. Aquatic Eco-
- dynamics (AED) Model Library Science Manual , 34URL:
- http://aed.see.uwa.edu.au/research/models/AED/Download/AED_ScienceManual_v4_draf
- Jackson-Blake, L.A., Sample, J.E., Wade, A.J., Helliwell, R.C., Skeffington,
- 278 R.A., 2017. Are our dynamic water quality models too complex? a com-
- parison of a new parsimonious phosphorus model, s imply p, and inca-p.
- Water Resources Research 53, 5382–5399.
- Jarvis, N., 1989. A simple empirical model of root water uptake. Journal of
- Hydrology 107, 57–72.
- Ladwig, R., Furusato, E., Kirillin, G., Hinkelmann, R., Hupfer, M., 2018. Cli-
- mate change demands adaptive management of urban lakes: Model-based
- assessment of management scenarios for Lake Tegel (Berlin, Germany).
- ²⁸⁶ Water (Switzerland) 10. doi:10.3390/w10020186.
- Marcé, R., Joan, A., 2010. Water Scarcity in the Mediterranean: Perspectives
- under Global Change. Chapter 5: Water Quality in Reservoirs under a
- 289 Changing Climate.

- McCarthy, G.T., 1939. The Unit Hydrograph and Flood Routing. Army Engineer District, Providence.
- Moe, S.J., Haande, S., Couture, R.M., 2016. Climate change, cyanobacte-
- ria blooms and ecological status of lakes: a bayesian network approach.
- Ecological modelling 337, 330–347.
- Perrin, C., Michel, C., Andréassian, V., 2013. A set of hydrological models.
- 296 Mathematical Models 2, 493–509. doi:10.1002/9781118557853.ch16.
- Pushpalatha, R., Perrin, C., Le Moine, N., Mathevet, T., Andréassian, V.,
- 298 2011. A downward structural sensitivity analysis of hydrological models
- to improve low-flow simulation. Journal of Hydrology 411, 66–76.
- Read, J.S., Winslow, L.A., Hansen, G.J.A., Van Den Hoek, J., Hanson, P.C.,
- Bruce, L.C., Markfort, C.D., 2014. Simulating 2368 temperate lakes reveals
- weak coherence in stratification phenology. Ecological Modelling 291, 142–
- 303 150. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.07.029,
- doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.07.029.
- 305 Scharf, W., 2008a. Development of the fish stock and its manageability in
- the deep, stratifying wupper reservoir. Limnologica 38, 248–257.
- Scharf, W., 2008b. The use of nutrient reduction and food-web management
- to improve water quality in the deep stratifying wupper reservoir, germany.
- 309 Hydrobiologia 603, 105–115.
- 310 Skarbøvik, E., Haande, S., Bechmann, M., Skjelbred, B., 2019. Van-
- novervåking i morsa 2018. innsjøer, elver og bekker, november 2017-
- oktober 2018. NIBIO Rapport .

- Skarbøvik, E., H.S.B.B., Skjelbred, M., 2019. Monitoring in morsa 2017-2018.
- $\,$ Norwegian research institute (NIBIO) .