Uncertain Content

1. Insufficient Context

Problem: Assuming the AI already knows project details.

Examples:

- "Fix this issue." → Which specific issue?
- "Give me the results." → Which results, from what?
- "I want that!" → What does that refer to?
- "Add a function to calculate the metrics." → Which metrics?

Better examples:

"Give me the sales results from Q2."
"Fix the grammar and correct any typos in the introduction."

Solution: Dangling demonstratives are not your friend, and always provide full context—data structures, dependencies, and exact requirements. Be explicit about what to change or retrieve.

2. Ambiguity (Vagueness or Conflicting Requirements)

Problem: Prompt is too vague or contains conflicting instructions.

Examples:

- "Analyze customer behavior." → Which customers, which behaviors, which timeframe?
- "Improve the product." → Improve what—features, design, cost, or speed?
- "Make it detailed but brief." → Contradiction.
- "Be creative but follow this exact template." → Conflicting constraints.

Better examples:

- "Identify customers who purchased 2+ times last quarter but not in the past 30 days."
- "Suggest two UI changes to make the checkout process faster."
- "Write a detailed analysis in exactly 200 words."
- "Use this template structure but vary the language creatively."

Solution: Be specific, define boundaries, and clarify priorities when requirements conflict. **Quick check:**

- Can I imagine the output in my mind's eye?
- Would a colleague know exactly what to deliver?
- Do any requirements contradict each other?

3. Silent Logical Errors

Problem: Prompt looks clear but hides an unstated rule, leading to misleading results. **Examples:**

- "Assign a risk score to every client." → Is 0 safe and 10 risky, or the reverse?
- "List active users last week." → Does the week start Sunday or Monday?

Better examples:

- "Risk score 0–10, where 0 = no risk and 10 = highest risk."
- "List active users for Monday-Sunday, Aug 5-11."

Solution: Define rules and edge cases explicitly.

Quick check: Could two people interpret the request differently and both think they're right? If yes, add a rule.

4. Excessive/Irrelevant Context

Problem: Including unnecessary background information that obscures the actual request.

Examples:

"I've been working on this project for 3 months, my team consists of 5 people, we use agile methodology, our office is in Seattle, and we need to calculate the ROI." \rightarrow Only the last part matters.

Better examples:

"Calculate ROI using revenue=\$500k, costs=\$300k."

Solution: Strip away backstory and context that doesn't directly affect the output. Lead with the ask.

Quick check: If you removed this sentence, would the output change? If no, delete it.

Uncertain Form

5. Wrong Format

Problem: The format of the input or output is underspecified or assumed incorrectly. **Examples:**

- Dates assumed MM-DD-YYYY but file uses DD-MM-YYYY.
- "Give me a summary." → One sentence, three bullets, or a full page?
- "Export the results." → Format not specified (CSV, JSON, Excel, text).
- "Give me an image." → Format/size unclear (PNG/JPG/SVG, resolution?).

Better examples:

- "Input dates are in MM-DD-YYYY format."
- "Give me a three-bullet summary of the introduction."
- "Export a CSV with columns: name, age, score."
- "Generate a PNG at 800×600."

Solution: Always specify formats for inputs and outputs—column names, data types, units, length, style, or file formats.

6. Compatibility Issues

Problem: Output is correct but incompatible with the required environment, style, or conventions.

Examples:

- Programming: Model uses pandas but project uses polars.
- Writing: Revision doesn't match Victorian prose style.
- Design: Generated skeuomorphic 3D icons when flat icons were required.

Better examples:

- "Use polars library version 2.1+ for data processing."
- "Revise Chapter 3 in formal Victorian prose: ornate sentences, elaborate vocabulary, indirect dialogue, detailed descriptions."
- "Generate flat icons using Material Design guidelines."

Solution: Anchor outputs to the tools, libraries, conventions, or styles already in use.

Practical check: What tools, styles, or formats already exist so you can reuse/follow them?

Tractability

7. Excessive Scope

Problem: Request is too large to be realistic in one prompt.

Examples:

- "Build a complete mobile app with login, payments, and analytics."
- "Summarize modern history."

Better examples:

- "Design three layout ideas for the login screen."
- "Write a brief report on how alliances contributed to the start of World War I."

Solution: Break the request into smaller, focused tasks.

Quick check:

- Is the task bounded (clear end) or unbounded (could go on indefinitely)?
- Can I define a concrete deliverable (one screen, one feature, one section)?

8. Scalability

Problem: The approach works small but fails at scale.

Examples:

- Data: "Analyze sales data" → pandas works for 100k rows but fails for 100M (need Spark/Dask).
- Surveys: "Design a survey" \rightarrow 20 open-ended questions fine for 10 people, impossible for 10,000.

Better examples:

- "Analyze sales data with Spark; dataset has 100M+ rows."
- "Design a survey for 10,000 respondents with structured, aggregatable questions."

Solution: Always state the expected scale and environment.

Safety

9. Responsible Use

Problem: Prompt ignores safety, ethics, fairness, or security responsibilities. **Examples (bad prompting):**

- Security: "Write login code." → Model outputs insecure SQL injection code.
- Fairness: "Summarize hiring outcomes." → Model outputs biased conclusions.
- Ethics: "Write ads for sugary drinks targeted"
- Data misuse: "Analyze patient medical records." → Sensitive private data.
- Access misuse: "Give me system logs." → Confidential data exposure.

Better examples (responsible prompting):

- "Write secure login code using validated inputs and prepared queries."
- "Summarize hiring outcomes fairly, avoid generalizations, and note limitations and omissions."
- "Write ad copy for product X that complies with health guidelines Y and Z and explicitly excludes targeting children, minors, or other vulnerable populations."

Solution: State responsible-use requirements explicitly. Never include or request sensitive/private/confidential data.