13

Theorem 4.4 $A \in IR^{N \times n}$ with independent isotropic rows, $m := E \max_{i \leq N} ||A_{i}||_{L^{2}}^{2}$. Then $i \leq N$ $E \max_{j \leq n} ||S_{j}(A) - JN|| \leq C \sqrt{m \log \min(m, N)}$

Let Xi be a finite sequence of independent random vector in some Banach space and (Ei) be i.i.d. Symm Bernoulli, Then $E \| \Sigma (Xi - EXi) \| \le 2E \| \Sigma EiXi \|$

Lemma 4.6 Let Z be a non-negative Y.V.

Then
$$E[z^2-1] > \max(E[z-1], (E[z-1])^2)$$

Pf of Thm 4.4

E := E 11 - A*A - I 11 $= E \parallel \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \otimes A_i - I \parallel$

$$\leq \frac{2}{N} E \| \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \mathcal{E}_{i} A_{i} \otimes A_{i} \|$$

Lemma 4.5 Rudelson

Rudelson conditioning on A
$$= \frac{2}{N} E \left(C \sqrt{\log \min(N, n)} \cdot \max \|Ai\|_{2} \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} Ai \otimes Ai\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$
Cauchy iner
$$\leq C \sqrt{\frac{m \log(\min(N, n))}{N}} (E+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$E(\cdots) \leq m$$

 $\Rightarrow E \leq \sqrt{2^2 + \frac{2^4}{4}} + \frac{2^2}{2} \leq \max(32, 92^2).$ constants are not the best.

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} A^* A - I \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{N} U^* \begin{pmatrix} S_i^2(A) \\ \vdots \\ S_{\min(N,n)}(A) \end{pmatrix} U - I \right\|$$
Diagonalization

$$|\frac{1}{N}A^*A - 1|| = ||\frac{1}{N}U^*(S_{\min(N,n)}^2(A))U - I|$$
Diagonalization

unitary invariance
$$= ||\frac{1}{N}(S_1^2(A) - N)|$$

$$= \max\left(\left|\frac{S_{\min}^{2}(A)}{N}-1\right|,\left|\frac{S_{\max}^{2}(A)}{N}-1\right|\right)$$

$$= E \max \left(\left| \frac{S_{\min}^{2}(A)}{N} - 1 \right|, \left| \frac{S_{\max}^{2}(A)}{N} - 1 \right| \right)$$

$$\geq \max \left\{ E \left(\left| \frac{S_{\min}^{2}(A)}{N} - 1 \right| \right), E \left(\left| \frac{S_{\max}^{2}(A)}{N} - 1 \right| \right) \right\}$$

$$\geq \max \left\{ E \left(\left| \frac{S_{mén}^{2}(A)}{N} - 11 \right), E \left(\left| \frac{S_{max}^{2}(A)}{N} - 11 \right) \right\} \right\}$$

$$\geq \max \left\{ E \left[\frac{S_{mén}(A)}{\sqrt{N}} - 1 \right], \left(E \left| \frac{S_{min}(A)}{\sqrt{N}} - 1 \right| \right)^{2}, E \left[\frac{S_{max}(A)}{\sqrt{N}} - 1 \right], \left(E \left| \frac{S_{max}(A)}{\sqrt{N}} - 1 \right| \right)^{2} \right\}$$

Thus $E \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{S_{j}(A)}{\sqrt{N}} - 1 \right| = E \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\left| \frac{S_{\min}(A)}{\sqrt{N}} - 1 \right|, \left| \frac{S_{\max}(A)}{\sqrt{N}} - 1 \right| \right)$ $\leq E \left(\left| \frac{S_{\min}(A)}{\sqrt{N}} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{S_{\max}(A)}{\sqrt{N}} - 1 \right| \right)$

≤ 2 max (...)

≤ 62

Multiplying by IN complete the proof

(We will omit heavy-tail columns, do sub-gau/exp columns) 5. Random matrices With independent columns

Results of section 4 can be applied to matrices with independent columns.

(consider the transpose)

One obtains that with high probability \sqrt{n} - $CN \leq S_{min}(A) \leq S_{mex}(A) \leq S_{n}+CSN$ Lower bound is no longer meaningful for n < N.

We seek similar inequality with

— roles of n and N.

Why does the previous approach tail? The previous approach amounts, to analyzing the spectrum of $AA^* \in IR^{N\times N}$ If N>n, this matrix must be singular, So no sharp bounds can be expected. Instead, one should again studying $IR^{n\times n} \ni A^*A = (\langle A_j, A_k \rangle)_{j,k=1}$ Gram Mat

Gram Mat

It follows from Lemma 2.33 that it sufficies
to show that G is an approximate isometry.

For G, neither the Yow, Nor the Column are independent. However, in each of the entries two of the column enter in a (bi)linear fashion pattern.

This can be seen an analogy to

the chaos studied in Thuz.11

Try a variant of decoupling (Lemma 2.11)

different for subgaussian and heavy-tailed.

5.| Subgaussian columns Theorem 5-,| (Subgaussian columns)

Let A be an Nxn matrix (N≥n) whose colrumn Ai are independent subgaussian isotropic random vectors in IRN with 11 Aj 11z = IN a.s. Then for every t>0, one has we need a more strict assumption here, in formal one we N-CVn-t ≤ Smin (A) ≤ Sman (A) ≤ N + C√n tt only need a bound with probability > 1-2exp(-ct2), where $C = C_k$, $C = C_k > 0$ depend only on the subgaussian norm bound K:= max || A; 11 zp, of the columns.

Difference to Thm 4.1 Normalization of the columns (necessavity as we will see) Remark: By Lemma 2.33, the conclusion of the Theorem is equiv to $|1 \pm A^*A - I| \leq C \sqrt{N} + \frac{C}{\sqrt{N}}$. Hence $\| \frac{1}{N} G - I \| = O(\int_{N}^{n})$ w. high prob, the normalized Gram matrix is an approximate isometry.

For the proof, we decoupling for random subsets.

Definition 5.2 (Random Subsets)

Evan be arbitrary

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \{0, \dots, n\} := [n]$.

Consider independent $\{0,1\}$ -valued random variables S_1, \dots, S_n with $E(S_i) = \frac{m}{n}$, we call that these

are indepent selection.

Then we define a random subset of [n] of average size m to the set-valued r.v. given by $T = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}: S_i = 1\}$. Note that $E|T| = E\sum_{j=1}^n S_j = m$.

Lemma 5.3 (Decoupling With random subsets)

Consider a double array of real numbers $(a_{ij})_{i=1}^n$ s.t. $a_{ii}=0$ for all i. Then

∑ dij=4E∑ dij ijetno iot,jeto

Where T is a random subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with average size $\frac{n}{z}$.

T: V sug the definition of a random subset, we write $T=\{i \in [n], S:=1\}$ where the S: are independent, S:=1

 $ESi = \frac{1}{2}.$ We obtain

 $E \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in I^c} a_{ij} = E \sum_{i,j \in I,\dots,n} \sum_{j \in I^c} a_{ij} = \sum_{i,j \in I^c} a_{ij} = \sum_{i,j \in I^c} a_{ij}$

The second part follows by estimating E by max and min.

Proof of Thm J.1:

Step 1: W.l.o.g. assume that the columns Ai have zero mean.

Indeed, multipling all of the columns Ai by independent symmetric Bernoulli yandom variables & keeps zero mean while

not changing the singular of the matrix.