

# Notes on Miehe 2010

Updated on -

Ida Ang

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Definitions</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Stresses . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Isotropic elasticity</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Hyperelasticity</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Compressible neo-Hookean: Case I . . . . .	4
3.2	Incompressible neo-Hookean: Case I . . . . .	5
3.3	Summary . . . . .	5

# 1 Definitions

Young's Modulus:  $E$

Poisson's Ratio:  $\nu$

Shear Modulus or first Lamé Parameter:  $\mu$

$$\mu = \frac{E}{2(1 + \nu)} \quad (1.1)$$

Second Lamé Parameter:  $\lambda$

$$\lambda = \frac{E\nu}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} \quad (1.2)$$

Bulk Modulus:  $\kappa$

$$\kappa = \lambda + \frac{2}{3}\mu \quad (1.3)$$

Invariants of strain tensor  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \varepsilon_{ii} = \text{tr } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ E_2 &= \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} = \text{tr } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 \\ E_3 &= \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki} = \text{tr } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^3 \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

The derivative of the invariants with respect to the strain tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial E_1 / \partial \varepsilon_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} & \partial E_1 / \partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} &= \mathbf{I} \\ \partial E_2 / \partial \varepsilon_{ij} &= 2\varepsilon_{ij} & \partial E_2 / \partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} &= 2\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ \partial E_3 / \partial \varepsilon_{ij} &= 3\varepsilon_{ik}\varepsilon_{kj} & \partial E_3 / \partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} &= 3\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Deformation

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{I} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.7)$$

Invariants of deformation gradient

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \text{tr } \mathbf{F} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{2}[(\text{tr } \mathbf{F})^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{F}^2)] \\ I_3 &= \det \mathbf{F} = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Strain

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla^T \mathbf{u}) \quad (1.9)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F}^T - \mathbf{I}] \quad (1.10)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F}^T) - \mathbf{I} \quad (1.11)$$

which can also be written as:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F}^T) - \mathbf{I} \quad (1.12)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.13)$$

Therefore we can write certain invariant in terms of stretches

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \text{tr } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - 3 \\ E_1 &= \lambda_i - 3 \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &= \text{tr } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 \\ &= (\lambda_1 - 1)^2 + (\lambda_2 - 1)^2 + (\lambda_3 - 1)^2 \\ &= \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 - 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) + 3 \\ E_2 &= \lambda_i^2 - 2\lambda_i + 3 \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

This one is an invariant relationship

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^2 &= (\text{tr } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^2 \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - 3)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - 3) \\ &= \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 + 2(\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3) - 3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) + 9 \\ E_1^2 &= \lambda_i^2 + \frac{J}{\lambda_i} - 3\lambda_i + 9 \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

Right Cauchy-Green (CG) definition

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} \tag{1.17}$$

Invariants of right CG

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^{\mathbf{C}} &= \text{tr } \mathbf{C} = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 \\ I_2^{\mathbf{C}} &= \frac{1}{2}[(\text{tr } \mathbf{C})^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{C}^2)] \\ I_3^{\mathbf{C}} &= \det \mathbf{C} = \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \lambda_3^2 \end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

Lastly we have the following useful identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I_1^{\mathbf{C}}}{\partial \mathbf{F}} &= 2\mathbf{F} \\ \frac{\partial I_3}{\partial \mathbf{F}} &= I_3 \mathbf{F}^{-T} \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

## 1.1 Stresses

Pull-back operation

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{F}} \mathbf{F}^T \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \frac{1}{J} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^T \rightarrow \mathbf{P} = J \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{F}^T \end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

where for convenience we are using  $W$  instead of  $\psi_0$ , which is Miehe's notation

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \\ &= \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial E_1} \frac{\partial E_1}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial E_2} \frac{\partial E_2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial E_3} \frac{\partial E_3}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial E_1} \mathbf{I} + 2 \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial E_2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + 3 \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial E_3} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

and the nominal stress is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} &= \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{F}} \\
&= \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1^C} \frac{\partial I_1^C}{\partial \mathbf{F}} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_3} \frac{\partial I_3}{\partial \mathbf{F}} \\
\mathbf{P} &= 2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1^C} \mathbf{F} + I_3 \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_3} \mathbf{F}^{-T}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

## 2 Isotropic elasticity

Standard free energy

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_0(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{tr}^2[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] + \mu \text{tr}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2] \\
\psi_0(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) &= \frac{\lambda}{2} E_1^2 + \mu E_2
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Obtain stress

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 &= \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial E_1} \mathbf{I} + 2 \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial E_2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\
&= \lambda E_1 \mathbf{I} + 2\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 &= \lambda (\text{tr } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \mathbf{I} + 2\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Additive decomposition form of stored energy

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, d) = [g(d) + k] \psi_0^+(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \psi_0^-(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \tag{2.3}$$

The stress associated with this form

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \partial_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \psi \\
&= [(1-d)^2 + k] \frac{\partial \Psi_0^+(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\partial \Psi_0^-(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= [(1-d)^2 + k] \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0^+ - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0^-
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$g(d) = (1-d)^2 \tag{2.5}$$

## 3 Hyperelasticity

### 3.1 Compressible neo-Hookean: Case I

Strain energy function

$$W(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{\mu}{2} (I_1^C - 3 - 2 \ln I_3) + \frac{\kappa}{2} (I_3 - 1)^2 \tag{3.1}$$

Calculation of the 1st PK

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} &= 2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1^C} \mathbf{F} + I_3 \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_3} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \\
&= 2 \frac{\mu}{2} \mathbf{F} + I_3 \left[ \mu \frac{1}{I_3} + \frac{\kappa}{2} 2(I_3 - 1) \right] \mathbf{F}^{-T} \\
&= \mu \mathbf{F} + \mu \mathbf{F}^{-T} + \kappa I_3 (I_3 - 1) \mathbf{F}^{-T} \\
\mathbf{P} &= \mu (\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F}^{-T}) + \kappa I_3 (I_3 - 1) \mathbf{F}^{-T}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore, we can also calculate the Cauchy Stress

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \frac{1}{J} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^T \\
&= \frac{1}{I_3} \left[ \mu(\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F}^{-T}) + \kappa I_3 (I_3 - 1) \mathbf{F}^{-T} \right] \mathbf{F}^T \\
&= \frac{1}{I_3} \mu (\mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^T + \mathbf{F}^{-T} \mathbf{F}^T) + \kappa (I_3 - 1) \mathbf{F}^{-T} \mathbf{F}^T \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \frac{\mu}{I_3} (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{I}) + \kappa (I_3 - 1) \mathbf{I}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

### 3.2 Incompressible neo-Hookean: Case I

$$W(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{\mu}{2} (I_1^C - 3) + p(I_3 - 1) \tag{3.4}$$

Calculation of the 1st PK

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} &= 2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1^C} \mathbf{F} + I_3 \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_3} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \\
&= 2 \frac{\mu}{2} \mathbf{F} + I_3 p \mathbf{F}^{-T} \\
\mathbf{P} &= \mu \mathbf{F} + p I_3 \mathbf{F}^{-T}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, we can also calculate the Cauchy Stress

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \frac{1}{I_3} [\mu \mathbf{F} + p I_3 \mathbf{F}^{-T}] \mathbf{F}^T \\
&= \frac{1}{I_3} [\mu \mathbf{b} + p I_3 \mathbf{I}] \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \frac{\mu}{I_3} \mathbf{b} + p \mathbf{I}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

If  $\det \mathbf{F} = 1$ , then

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mu \mathbf{b} + p \mathbf{I} \tag{3.7}$$

### 3.3 Summary

Compressible neo-Hookean, case 1

$$\begin{aligned}
W(\mathbf{F}) &= \frac{\mu}{2} (I_1^C - 3 - 2 \ln I_3) + \frac{\kappa}{2} (I_3 - 1)^2 \\
\mathbf{P} &= \mu (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^{-T}) + \kappa I_3 (I_3 - 1) \mathbf{F}^{-T}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Compressible neo-Hookean, case 2

$$\begin{aligned}
W(\mathbf{F}) &= \frac{\mu}{2} (I_1^C - 3 - 2 \ln I_3) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\ln I_3)^2 \\
\mathbf{P} &= \mu (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^{-T}) + \lambda I_3 (\ln I_3) \mathbf{F}^{-T}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Incompressible neo-Hookean, case 1

$$\begin{aligned}
W(\mathbf{F}) &= \frac{\mu}{2} (I_1^C - 3) + p(I_3 - 1) \\
\mathbf{P} &= \mu \mathbf{F} + p I_3 \mathbf{F}^{-T}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Incompressible neo-Hookean, case 2

$$\begin{aligned} W(\mathbf{F}) &= \frac{\mu}{2}(I_1^{\mathbf{C}} - 3 - 2 \ln I_3) + p(I_3 - 1) \\ \mathbf{P} &= \mu(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^{-T}) + pI_3\mathbf{F}^{-T} \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$