# Using PCA on EEG Data to Distinguish Sleep Stages

Ida Hönigmann
Technical University Vienna, Austria
Email: e12002348@student.tuwien.ac.at

Abstract—[TODO]

#### I. INTRODUCTION

[TODO general introduction]

# A. EEG Data and Sleep Stages

Ganong [2] describes typical patterns observed in electroencephalogram (EEG) data of a sleeping person. He describes the EEG patterns associated with rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and non-REM (NREM) sleep.

NREM sleep is further partitioned into four (although some only use three) stages, termed Stage 1 (S1) to Stage 4 (S4). Example EEG data of these different sleep stages can be seen in Figure ?? [TODO image]. The EEG data of these stages is characterized as follows:

S1: low-amplitude, high-frequency

winner of competition [5]]

S2: appearance of sleep spindles (bursts of higher amplitude, lower frequency waves)

S3: increased amplitude, lower frequency

S4: maximal amplitude, minimal frequency

In REM sleep the EEG data is that of high frequency and low amplitude patterns, resembling the data observed in alert humans.

# II. STUDY OF LITERATURE

[Note:

first work on pca [9] and [4] given paper [6] when does pca fail? [11] and [12] (non-linear method) book containing sleep phases eeg [2] Review Paper on Sleep Stage Classification Methods [1] papers trying to solve similar problem [13] and [10] and [7] competition using similar data set [3]

A substantial body of scientific research has been devoted to exploring Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The foundation of this method was laid by Pearson [9] and Hotelling [4].

An introduction to PCA, as well as a good overview on how to derive the formula used to compute the Principal Components (PC) is given by Shlens [11]. Recent applications and variants of PCA are explored by Jolliffe et. al. [6].

Shlens discusses the limitations of PCA, as well as examples in which PCA fails [11], such as the requirement of linearly dependent data. Tenenbaum proposes a non-linear method to combat this problem[12].

Generally speaking the variables must not have third or higher order dependencies<sup>1</sup> between them. In some cases it is possible to reduce a problem with higher order dependencies to a second order one by applying a non-linear transformation beforehand. This method is called kernel PCA[11].

Another method for combating this problem is Independent Component Analysis (ICA) which is discussed by Naik et. al.[8].

The given problem of distinguishing sleep stages given some EEG data has been investigated by use of PCA, as well as neural networks. Some of these works are summarized below.

A review of different methods in the preprocessing, feature extraction and classification is given by Boostani et. al.[1]. They find that using a random forest classifier and entropy of wavelet coefficients as feature gives the best results.

Tăuţan et. al.[13] compare different methods of dimensionality reduction on EEG data, such as PCA, factor analysis and autoencoders. They conclude that PCA and factor analysis improves the accuracy of the model.

Putilov[10] used PCA to find boundaries between Stage 1, Stage 2 and Stage 3. Changes in the first two PC were related to changes between the Stage 1 and Stage 2, while changes in the fourth PC exhibited a change in sign at the boundary of Stage 2 and Stage 3. This suggests that changes between Stage 1 and Stage 2 are easier to detect that ones between Stage 2 and Stage 3.

Metzner et. al.[7] try to rediscover the different humandefined sleep stages. They find that using PCA on the results makes clusters apparent. These clusters could then be used as a basis for a redefinition of sleep stages.

The PhysioNet/Computing in Cardiology Challange 2018 was a competition using a similar data[3]. The goal was to identify arousal during sleep from EEG, EOG, EMG, ECG and SaO2 data given. The winning paper of this competition describes the use of a dense recurrent convolutional neural network (DRCNN) consisting of multiple dense convolutional layers, a bidirectional long-short term memory layer and a softmax output layer[5].

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>e.g.  $\mathbb{E}[x_i x_j x_k] \neq 0$  for some i, j, k assuming mean-free variables

As shown in this section, the utilization of PCA to analyze EEG data has been used with success.

# III. MATHEMATICAL BASICS

We define basic mathematical notation, which will be used in Section IV to define the PCA.

#### A. Covariance

Assume we have two sets of n observations of variables with mean 0. Let us call the first list of observations  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, ..., a_n)$  and the second  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, ..., b_n)$ .

Definition 1 (covariance): Let us define the covariance of a and b as

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{ab}}^2 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}^T.$$

From the definition it is obvious that the covariance is symmetric,  $\sigma_{ab} = \sigma_{ba}$ .

Definition 2 (covariance matrix): Generalizing to m variables  $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x_1}, ..., \mathbf{x_m}]$ , each having been observed n times, gives us the covariance matrix.

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{X}} := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\mathbf{x_1 x_1}} & \cdots & \sigma_{\mathbf{x_1 x_m}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{x_m x_1}} & \cdots & \sigma_{\mathbf{x_m x_m}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T$$

The covariance matrix is a symmetric  $m \times m$  matrix.

## B. Diagonalizable Matrix

Definition 3 (Diagonalizable Matrix): A square matrix **A** is called *diagonalizable*, if there exists a invertable matrix **P** and a diagonal matrix **D** such that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ .

*Lemma 1:* Every symmetric matrix is diagonalizable. [TODO]

## IV. PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

## V. SLEEP STAGES AND EEG DATA

# VI. DATA AND ALGORITHM

- 1) subdivide eeg signals in the temporal domain
- 2) apply fft transforming into frequency domain
- 3) pca
- 4) achive dimensinality reduction
- 5) classification of sleep stages
- 6) visulisation

## VII. RESULTS

#### VIII. CONCLUSION

## REFERENCES

- [1] Reza Boostani, Foroozan Karimzadeh, and Mohammad Nami. A comparative review on sleep stage classification methods in patients and healthy individuals. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 140:77 91, 2017. Cited by: 212.
- [2] William F. Ganong. Review of medical physiology. Appleton & Lange, Stamford, Conn, 18. ed edition, 1997.

- [3] Mohammad M Ghassemi, Benjamin E Moody, Li wei H Lehman, Christopher Song, Qiao Li, Haoqi Sun, Roger G Mark, M Brandon Westover, and Gari D Clifford. You snooze, you win: the physionet/computing in cardiology challenge 2018. 2018 Computing in Cardiology Conference (CinC), pages 1–4, 2018.
- [4] Harold Hotelling. Analysis of a complex of statistical variables into principal components. *Journal of educational psychology*, 24(6):417, 1933.
- [5] Matthew Howe-Patterson, Bahareh Pourbabaee, and Frederic Benard. Automated detection of sleep arousals from polysomnography data using a dense convolutional neural network. In 2018 Computing in Cardiology Conference (CinC), volume 45, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2018.
- [6] I. T. Jolliffe and J. Cadima. Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments. *Royal Society*, 374(2065), 2016.
- [7] Claus Metzner, Achim Schilling, Maximilian Traxdorf, Holger Schulze, Konstantin Tziridis, and Patrick Krauss. Extracting continuous sleep depth from eeg data without machine learning. *Neurobiology of Sleep and Circadian Rhythms*, 14, 2023. All Open Access, Gold Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [8] Ganesh R Naik and Dinesh K Kumar. An overview of independent component analysis and its applications. *Informatica*, 35(1), 2011.
- [9] Karl Pearson. Liii. on lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space. The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin philosophical magazine and journal of science, 2(11):559–572, 1901.
- [10] Arcady A. Putilov. Principal component analysis of the eeg spectrum can provide yes-or-no criteria for demarcation of boundaries between nrem sleep stages. *Sleep Science*, 8(1):16–23, 2015.
- [11] Jonathon Shlens. A tutorial on principal component analysis. 2014.
- [12] J.B. Tenenbaum, V. De Silva, and J.C. Langford. A global geometric framework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction. *Science*, 290(5500):2319 2323, 2000. Cited by: 10812.
- [13] Alexandra-Maria Tăuţan, Alessandro C. Rossi, Ruben de Francisco, and Bogdan Ionescu. Dimensionality reduction for eeg-based sleep stage detection: comparison of autoencoders, principal component analysis and factor analysis. *Biomedical Engineering / Biomedizinische Technik*, 66(2):125–136, 2021.