## PRACTICE EXERCISES: BIN-PACKING

THE SOLUTIONS WILL BE AVAILABLE IN 1-3 WEEKS

**Hardness and Inapproximability.** The objective of this exercise is to prove that the decision version of Bin-Packing is NP-complete and an inapproximability result. In the decision version of Bin-Packing we are given a set of items, a positive integer number k, and the goal is to decide wether is possible to pack the items in those k bins of capacity one. The proof comes from a reduction from the NP-complete  $Partition\ problem$ ,

**Partition**: Given a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  of non-negative integers, decide wether there is a subset  $S \subseteq [n]$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in S} a_j = \sum_{j \notin S} a_j.$$

**Theorem 1.** The decision version of Bin-Packing is NP-complete.

**Theorem 2.** There is no  $\alpha$ -approximation algorithm for Bin-Packing with  $\alpha < 3/2$ , unless P=NP.

- (1) Given an instance  $I_P$  to Partition, the following instance  $I_B$  for Bin-Packing is constructed: there are two bins and for each number  $a_j$  there is an item j of size  $s_j = 2a_j/A$ , where  $A = \sum_{j \in [n]} a_j$ .
  - (a) Prove that if  $I_P$  is a YES instance for Partition, then  $I_B$  is a YES instance for Bin-Packing.
  - (b) Prove that if  $I_B$  is a YES partition for Bin-Packing with two bins, then  $I_P$  is a YES instance for Partition.
  - (c) Conclude Theorem 1.
- (2) Suppose that exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an algorithm that is a  $(3/2 \varepsilon)$ -approximation for Bin-Packing. In particular, this algorithm can be run over those instances where the optimal packing uses two bins.
  - (a) Given an instance I for the partition problem, construct the same instance for Bin-Packing as before, and use  $(3/2 \varepsilon)$ -approximation to decide wether I is a YES instance.
  - (b) Conclude Theorem 2 using the fact that the algorithm runs in polynomial time, and that Partition is an NP-complete problem.

**FFD algorithm.** Given a Bin-Packing instance with n items and sizes  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ , we sort them according to non-increasing order. Consider the *First-Fit decreasing* algorithm: a bin j=1 is opened and if item 1 fits in this bin then it is packed in it. We continue with item 2, if it fits into the bin j=1 then it is packed there, and if not then a new bin j=2 is opened. In general, given an item i, it is packed into the first bin where it is possible to pack, and if not then a new bin is opened. Prove that this algorithm returns a packing using at most  $3/2 \cdot \text{opt} + 1$  bins.