## Collectness:

hearem:

for any binary tree Gwith h vertices the algorithm prints the left view of G

proof (by induction on h).

Let G=(V,E) be a binary tree s.t |V|=n
Bage (950:

Bago (950).

We have max\_level'=-1 < curr-level = 0,

therefore wellerder line a and print the new of r, which i's, the 18th Niew of G

induction step?

Assume (1< n) (1) Assume that the induction hypothesis helds for any

542tree G'=(V', E') of G S.Z |V' = h'<h

(2) Take all the nodes at the last level of G and remove them and all the edges that touches them From (1) we upon that the algorithm print the left view of Go Now attach back what was removed at (2) and run the

algorithm again.

Let 45 lean at the first recursive iteration were we (3) entering for the first time to the last level of G, say & Beeause of line 3 we unow that the first node we'll encouter at the last level is belong to the left view of C. from here and (3) we can conclude that
max\_level = curr\_level - 1 < curr\_level = L

therefore we'll print the roft most see (3) note in level &

End of induction

New we need to show that the algorithm prints only the lete riem at C.

Let ke be the first recursive call we entered, level i Let k > K; be any recursive call for which we entered level i again.

claim: s.t v belongs to level i

Viel v is encoutered in the his recursive call then ney[v] lon't gets printed.

proof (by contradiction)

Let ve V belong to level i

Assume that we visit v at the n recursive call and 3) ney[v] gets printed

We know that the left most node of level i got printed in the kith recursive call, therefore max-loves was uplated to in this recursive call, so in the kith recursive we'll have ¿ < max\_level and curr\_level = ¿,

therefore curr-level < max-level and we'll skip line 2. Of could that we got a contradiction to (3) and therefore our assumption is not correct. as required.