

IDEA Growth Scorecard Documentation

Hilary Doe

2025-09-11

Table of contents

Introduction / Index	4
Purpose	4
Structure	4
1 School Performance Data	6
1.1 Meets STAAR Performance Standards for Math and ELA	6
1.1.1 Notes & Exclusions	6
1.1.2 Source	7
1.2 Accountability Ratings	7
1.2.1 Percentage of Schools Rated A & B and D & F	8
1.2.2 Multi-Year Failure	8
1.2.3 Source	9
2 Financial Viability	12
2.1 Source	12
2.2 Days Cash on Hand	12
2.3 Debt Service Coverage Ratio	12
2.4 Percent of Per Pupil Revenue Going to Regional Office Budget	13
3 Capital Capacity	14
3.1 Percentage Debt Coverage Across Entity	14
3.1.1 Source	14
4 Teacher Supply	15
4.1 Percent of Teaching Positions Hired by First Day of Instruction	15
4.1.1 Source	15
4.1.2 Notes & Exclusions	17
4.2 Year Over Year (YOY) Teacher Retention	17
4.2.1 Source	18
4.2.2 Notes & Exclusions	18
5 Leadership and Headquarters Bandwidth	23
5.1 Percentage of Leaders 3+ years in role	23
5.1.1 Percentage of executive directors 3+ years in role	23
5.1.2 Percentage of principals 3+ years in role	23
5.1.3 Percentage of APIs 3+ years in role	23

5.1.4	Notes & Exclusions	23
5.1.5	Source	23
5.2	API vacancy rate (expected + new)	23
5.2.1	Notes & Exclusions	23
5.2.2	Source	23
5.3	Number of CAP grads (2-year)	23
5.3.1	Notes & Exclusions	23
5.3.2	Source	23
6	Enrollment Readiness / Student Demand	25
6.1	Percentage Meeting Enrollment Goal	25
6.1.1	Notes & Exclusions	26
6.1.2	Source	26
6.2	Percentage of Schools/Campuses Under 85% Capacity (Model 1501)	28
6.2.1	Notes & Exclusions	29
6.2.2	Source	30
6.3	Student Annual Persistence	30
6.3.1	Notes & Exclusions	31
6.3.2	Source	31
	References	33

Introduction / Index

Purpose

The Growth Scorecards were designed for use by IDEA's Growth team to provide relevant data and insights to guide strategic decision making as IDEA Texas considers expansion, consolidation, and/or closure of schools and regions. Currently, the Growth Scorecards include only data from IDEA's Texas regions.

Although our goal is to establish a single source of relevant data, such as school performance, enrollment, and financial characteristics, not all desired data can easily be pulled and cleaned within R without reaching out to partners within IDEA.

The purpose of this resource is to document where each metric comes from, how it is defined, and how to reproduce calculations for future iterations.

Structure

Each chapter of this resource will contain information about a related section of metrics:

- Chapter [1](#)
 - Section [1.2](#)
 - Section [1.1](#)
 - Section [1.2.2](#)
- Chapter [2](#)
 - Section [2.2](#)
 - Section [2.3](#)
 - Section [2.4](#)
- Chapter [3](#)
 - Section [3.1](#)
- Chapter [4](#)
 - Section [4.1](#)

- Section [4.2](#)
- Chapter [5](#)
 - Section [5.1](#)
 - Section [5.2](#)
 - Section [5.3](#)
- Chapter [6](#)
 - Section [6.1](#)
 - Section [6.2](#)
 - Section [6.3](#)

1 School Performance Data

1.1 Meets STAAR Performance Standards for Math and ELA

The State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) assessments are Texas' annual standardized tests. For the Growth Scorecards, we are using results of all math and English Language Arts (ELA) standard assessments including the STAAR assessments of Reading and Math conducted in grades 3-8 and End-of-Course (EOC) assessments of Algebra I, English I, and English II.

“All STAAR assessments, excluding STAAR Alt 2, have three performance standards: Approaches, Meets, and Masters [...] These three performance levels are NOT mutually exclusive, meaning that students that achieve the Masters standard are included in the Meets count and the Approaches count, and students achieving the Meets standard are included in the Approaches count.” (IDEA RAP Team, n.d.)

Percentage of students Meeting performance standards for Math and ELA are calculated at the entity, region, and campus level as:

$$\% \text{ Meeting Standards} = \frac{\# \text{ of Students Meeting in Subject}}{\# \text{ of Students with Scored STAAR or EOC for Subject}} * 100$$

1.1.1 Notes & Exclusions

- Students may retake a STAAR assessment more than once within the same academic year. For students that had more than one score for the same assessment and academic year, we use the highest-scoring test. Meaning that if they scored in the “Approaches” range at one point and scored in the “Meets” range at another point, they are counted as “Meeting” the performance standards for that subject.
- This metric does not include STAAR Alternate 2 tests, which are typically given to students with significant cognitive disabilities, and for which the typical three-level performance standards do not apply.

- The data warehouse does not include any STAAR or EOC assessments for students above 5th grade at the Travis campus in Midland ISD (Travis Academy students are included).
 - IDEA Travis is a unique partnership between Midland ISD and IDEA Public schools, where the data is technically owned by Midland ISD and shared with IDEA.

1.1.2 Source

The STAAR data includes STAAR and high school level End-of-Course (EOC) assessments stored in IDEA's data warehouse(IDEA Public Schools Data Warehouse 2025b).

```
STAAR <- get_table(.table_name = "STAAR",
                  .database_name = "Dashboard",
                  .schema = "dbo",
                  .server_name = "RGVPDRA-DASQL"
                  ) %>%

filter(
  ## Includes STAAR but not STAAR Alt 2
  TestVersion == "S",
  ## Includes Scored assessments only
  ScoreCode == "S",
  ## Includes Math and English
  (SubjectCode == "Math" |
   SubjectCode == "Reading" |
   SubjectCode == "Algebra I" |
   SubjectCode == "English I" |
   SubjectCode == "English II"),
  ## Select desired school years
  (SchoolYear == "2024-2025" |
   SchoolYear == "2023-2024")
)
```

1.2 Accountability Ratings

Texas Education Agency (TEA) uses the an accountability rating system to evaluate the academic performance of all Texas public districts, including public school districts and open-enrollment charter schools (Texas Education Agency 2025b). Annual ratings are based on three domains:

1. Student Achievement (STAAR, EOC, College, Career, and Military Readiness, graduation rates)

2. School Progress (student academic growth, achievement relative to schools with similar economic disadvantage levels)
3. Closing the Gaps (progress among students from specific groups, e.g. racial/ethnic groups, special education, Emergent Bilingual or English learner, etc.)

Each district and campus receives an A-F letter grade for each of the three domains and one overall score with associated letter grade. For more information on how specific ratings are calculated by TEA, find the most recent Accountability Rating System manual (Texas Education Agency 2025b).

1.2.1 Percentage of Schools Rated A & B and D & F

For the percentage of schools with specific letter grade accountability ratings, each individual school (Academy, College Prep) has its own rating. The metrics are calculated at the entity and region level as follows:

$$\% \text{ of Schools Rated A or B} = \frac{(\# \text{ of Schools Rated A}) + (\# \text{ of Schools Rated B})}{\text{Total } \# \text{ of Schools with Rating in Region}} * 100$$

$$\% \text{ of Schools Rated D or F} = \frac{(\# \text{ of Schools Rated D}) + (\# \text{ of Schools Rated F})}{\text{Total } \# \text{ of Schools with Rating in Region}} * 100$$

1.2.2 Multi-Year Failure

For the multi-year failure metric, we considered schools with an overall rating <70 to be “failing” and any schools with a failing rating for two subsequent rated years to have experienced a multi-year failure. To be counted as being in multi-year failure for the 2024-2025 school year, a school must have an overall rating score of <70 in the 2024-2025 school year and the 2023-2024 school year.

We calculated the number and percentage of schools in each region multi-year failure for the 2024-2025 year.

$$\% \text{ of Schools with Multi-Year Failure} = \frac{\# \text{ of Schools with Multi-Year Failure}}{\text{Total } \# \text{ of Schools with Rating in Region}} * 100$$

Individual schools are marked as having a previous had multi-year failure if the school had a rating <70 for any two or more subsequently rated years prior to 2024-2025.

1.2.2.1 Notes & Exclusions

- No schools received ratings for the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years, because of the COVID-19 pandemic. This metric considers a school to have a multi-year failure if they were below the threshold in 2018-2019 and 2021-2022, because they were below the threshold for two subsequently rated years.

1.2.3 Source

For each of the school years, accountability ratings were downloaded from the [TEA Accountability Ratings](#) page, by selecting the appropriate year and navigating to the relevant Data Download page.

Although the download page structure differs some by year, in each case parameters were set to campus-level report, selecting the accountability summary (Texas Education Agency 2018, 2019, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025a), as seen in the screenshots below.

[Home](#) > [Performance Reporting Division](#) > [Accountability Rating System](#) > [2023 Accountability Ratings](#) > Data Download

2023 Data Download

This download application provides data used in Accountability Data for all districts and campuses.

► **Select a School Year**


2022-23 ▼


► **Select a Report Level**


Campus ▼

► **Select a Category of Data You Wish to Download**

▲ **Overall Performance**

☒ Accountability Summary 


☐ Accountability Component Scores 

☐ Distinction Designations 

▼ **Student Achievement Domain**

▼ **School Progress Domain**

▼ **Closing the Gaps Domain**

Note: Click the data elements icon  next to a category for a variable listing in HTML, PDF, or Excel.

► **Select Data Element(s)**

☒ Accountability Rating & Overall Score

☐ Student Achievement Domain Rating & Scores

☐ School Progress Domain Rating & Scores

☐ Closing the Gaps Domain Rating & Scores

☐ Flag Characteristics

☐ Grade Span & School Type

☐ Local Accountability Overall Score

☐ Pairing - Campus/District Pair Name and Pair Number

☐ Student Information

Select All Categories **Reset Categories**

► **Select a File Format**

Comma Delimited [.csv] ▼ **Download File**

Note: [Additional Download Information](#).

Figure 1.1: Screenshot of download parameters for 2022-2023 accountability ratings

For 2022-2023 through 2024-2025, we requested the Accountability Rating and Overall Score data elements, but such download options were not available for the earlier years.

2018 Data Download

This download application provides data used in the 2018 Accountability Data for all districts and campuses. For information on the data elements, see the [Master Reference for Data Elements used in the Accountability System](#). See also [Additional Download Information](#).

1. What type of data would you like to download?

- ☐ District-level Data
☒ Campus-level Data

2. Subset data by selecting the category of information you wish to download.

- ☒ Accountability Summary
☐ Distinction Designations

Student Achievement Domain

- ☐ STAAR Performance: All Subjects & ELA/Reading
☐ STAAR Performance: Mathematics & Writing
☐ STAAR Performance: Science & Social Studies
☐ College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)
☐ Graduation Rate: 4-Year, 5-Year, and 6-Year
☐ Graduation, Continuer, and TxCHSE Rate: 4-Year, 5-Year, and 6-Year
☐ Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 9-12)

School Progress Domain

- ☐ Academic Growth
☐ Relative Performance

Closing the Gaps Domain

- ☐ Status Table, Flags, Scores
☐ Data Table
☐ Participation

Figure 1.2: Screenshot of download parameters for 2017-2018 accountability ratings.

Although the script to call each year varies slightly, each includes a filter to maintain all IDEA TX schools, include any ratings of IDEA Travis schools that are a partnership with Midland ISD. At this time, IDEA Travis schools are considered one school, as “IDEA Travis Academy,” by TEA. If in the future, IDEA Travis schools are separated with TEA records, the filter shown below should hopefully help to retrieve any IDEA Travis schools when applied to the appropriate year.

```
ratings_25 <- read.csv(  
  "filepath/2025_Campus_Accountability_Summary.csv",
```

```

    header = TRUE,
    as.is = c("CAMPUS", "DISTRICT", "COUNTY", "REGION"),
    colClasses = "character"
) %>%
filter( # Keeps IDEA TX and the Travis Midland schools
  DISTRICT == "108807" |
  CAMPUS == "165901139" |
  CAMPUS == "165901138" |
  CAMPUS == "165901137" ) %>%
select(CAMPUS, CAMPNAME, DISTRICT, CDALLS) %>%
rename(
  Rating_2024_2025 = CDALLS,
  CAMPNAME25 = CAMPNAME) %>%
mutate(Rating_2024_2025 = as.numeric(Rating_2024_2025))

```

2 Financial Viability

2.1 Source

The financial viability data used for the scorecards are not readily available from the data warehouse. **We receive this data on request, directly from the Treasury team.**

According to Trevor Brooks,

“For now, the best way to get this information is to request the data from the Treasury leadership team (Trevor Brooks, Joseph Audino, and Michael Needham). The Business Office is currently developing our financial metrics in the PowerBI environment where it will become part of our monthly financial reporting process. Once the project moves to production, the metrics will be readily accessible following a monthly cadence.”

2.2 Days Cash on Hand

Days Cash on Hand refers to the number of days that IDEA Texas could continue if all funding ceased using unrestricted dollars. Through the year, the Days Cash on Hand includes currently liquid assets. However, in June, IDEA updates this metric to include the value of all available lines of credit. The values provided for the scorecard refer to the June end of year snap shot, including the lines of credit.

2.3 Debt Service Coverage Ratio

Debt Service Coverage Ratio estimates IDEA’s ability to cover its total debt obligations with its current income. A ratio above 1 indicates that there is enough income to fully cover all debt.

2.4 Percent of Per Pupil Revenue Going to Regional Office Budget

At the time of writing, we have not been able to access the data necessary to estimate percent of per pupil revenue going to region office budgets. Conversations are ongoing with members of the finance team to determine how we may be able to add this metric in the future.

3 Capital Capacity

3.1 Percentage Debt Coverage Across Entity

Percentage Debt Coverage is calculated as:

$$\% \text{ Debt Service Coverage Ratio} = \frac{\text{Lease Adjusted Debt Service}}{\text{Unaudited Total Revenue}}$$

3.1.1 Source

In Fall 2025, this metric was provided as an estimate from Michael Needham and may be available along with the Financial Viability measurements directly from the Treasury team in future iterations.

4 Teacher Supply

4.1 Percent of Teaching Positions Hired by First Day of Instruction

At the time of this writing (September 2025), this metric is a bit in flux. Our desire is to have some measure of how many teaching positions were filled versus vacant at the beginning of the employment or school year, to assess difficulties in staffing teaching positions.

In Fall 2025, we relied on values provided in a slide deck report created by Limla Paul. The metric used was labeled as “% of Staffed Teachers & Co-Teachers as of 9/24/25” with the date the percentage referring to the first day of instruction within each region. She states that the values were computed as:

$$\% \text{ Staffed} = \frac{\text{Headcount} + \text{Filled Vacancies}}{\text{Headcount} + \text{Filled Vacancies} + \text{Remaining Vacancies}} * 100$$

She also stated that in the future, Chris Thompson recommends instead using the calculation that is currently used in the staffing dashboard that Limla manages:

$$\% \text{ Staffed} = \left(1 - \frac{\# \text{ of Remaining Vacancies}}{\text{Active Count} + \# \text{ of Remaining Vacancies}} \right) * 100$$

Where Remaining Vacancies refers to the remaining positions with open requisitions, and Active Count refers to current active staff who have begun work. This is the best proxy for percentage of staffed positions, but may miss any positions that have been hired (and therefore not have an active requisition), but where the staff member has not begun work.

4.1.1 Source

In Fall 2025, the metric we used was from the from Slide 1 of the September [monthly staffing report](#).

In the future, IDEA may be moving away from using Jobvite and into different applications for managing job requisitions. If, however, the current dashboard that pulls from Jobvite remains active, the recommended way to retrieve the desired data would be to follow the instructions below, keeping in mind the a few important notes:

- To get Teacher positions on the **Instructional/Overall: % Staffed** page, be sure to unselect “Not Teacher Role” from the Teacher Label drop-down menu
- Be careful using the date selection slider! Changing the dates changes what you are going to see in some sections of the dashboard, but not others.

Instructions

1. Access the [Staffing Dashboard](#)
2. Navigate to the **Instructional/Overall: % Staffed** page
3. **Leave the date slider as is!**
4. Select desired region(s)
5. Select desired teacher label(s). Options include:
 - SpEd Teacher
 - SpEd RISE Teacher
 - SpEd Co-Teacher
 - Not Teacher Role (UNSELECT THIS!)
 - Flex Teacher
 - Co-Teacher
6. Scroll to **%Staffed by Week Start Date** table, and check the row you want.
 - WeekStartDate is the Monday of the week.
7. You can download the data by clicking the “...” button, selecting “Export Data,” and exporting with “Data with current layout.”

Note that we could select the week of school start for each region using its individual start date week or choose the same across regions. If we decide to choose the same date across regions, I *believe* we can receive the same percentage results by doing the following:

1. Access the [Staffing Dashboard](#)
2. Navigate to the **Instructional/Overall: % Staffed** page
3. Move the date slider end date to specific desired end date (I believe you can keep the start date as 7/1/2025 or move it without problems, as long as it is before the start of the week of our end date)
 - For example, we may want 8/15 across all regions, move the end date to 8/15/2025
4. Select *all* desired region(s)
5. Select desired teacher label(s). Options include:
 - SpEd Teacher
 - SpEd RISE Teacher
 - SpEd Co-Teacher
 - Not Teacher Role (UNSELECT THIS!)
 - Flex Teacher

- Co-Teacher
6. Scroll to **Teachers & Co-Teachers: %Staffed by Region & Location** table, and see the percent staffed for each teacher type and a combination across teacher types selected.
 - Note that RGV is split into subregions, so to get the full region, you would need to select only the 3 RGV regions and use the Total row.
 - The title for the table remains “Teachers & Co-Teachers” regardless of what role type you select.
 7. You can download the data by clicking the “...” button, selecting “Export Data,” and exporting with “Data with current layout.”

4.1.2 Notes & Exclusions

- Note in Fall 2025, the data for the Rio Grande Valley region (RGV) was only available divided into its three sub-regions (Lower, Upper, and Middle). The percentage provided for the full RGV region is an average across the sub-regions. Although it is not a true (weighted) average, the averaged percentages cover a very small range from 98.43% to 99.52%, so we expect that the weighted average would not differ greatly from the recorded value.

4.2 Year Over Year (YOY) Teacher Retention

Year Over Year (YOY) teacher retention is intended to represent the percentage of teaching staff hired in one school year that returned to a teaching position at IDEA Public Schools for the next school year. Each year, IDEA’s Human Assets team develops a comprehensive specifications for calculating staff retention, as detailed in their Standard Operating Procedures (SOP), stored on [here](#) on The Hub.

To my understanding (Hilary Doe), most of these specifications are carried out when labeling each individual as a Leaver or not prior to the data being pushed to the Data Warehouse. For example, individuals who do not return because they retire are not counted as Leavers according to the SOP (IDEA Public Schools 2024b). Individuals with an Exit Reason of “Voluntary Retirement” are not listed as Leavers within the reporting.employees data. A full list of specifications, copied from the 2024-2025 Staff Retention SOP are listed in Section [4.2.2](#).

4.2.1 Source

The YOY teacher retention data come from the reporting.employees table in the data warehouse (IDEA Public Schools 2024b) and are limited to staff in teaching positions (`filter(ISTEACHER == "1")`).

```
reporting.employees <- get_table(.table_name = "Employees",  
                                .database_name = "Staffing",  
                                .schema = "Reporting",  
                                .server_name = "RGVPDSD-DWPRD1") %>%  
collect()
```

4.2.2 Notes & Exclusions

The following details are taken directly from the 2024-2025 Staff Retention Standard Operating Procedures (IDEA Public Schools 2024b), however it is important to note that each year's SOP can be differ slightly, including the length of the staff retention year. For example, the 2023-2024 Staff Retention Year is defined as 7/1/2023 – 6/30/2024, whereas the 2024-2025 staff retention year is defined as 07/1/2024 – 08/14/2025 (IDEA Public Schools 2023, 2024b).

Staff Retention Definitions

- The formula for calculating retention is as follows:

$$\text{Retained} = \frac{1 - \text{Leavers}}{\text{Headcount}} * 100$$

- The 2024-25 Staff Retention Year is defined as 07/1/2024 – 08/14/2025 (specific employee categories may have a different start to their Staff Retention Year based on their work calendar, but will not be earlier than 07/01/2024 – details below).
- “Headcount” is defined as the sum of all full-time, permanent employees who worked at IDEA Public Schools between 07/1/2024 and 08/14/2025. Examples of staff job groups that fall into this category are Administrative Professionals, Campus Administrative Professionals, Clerical Technical, Instructional Support, Teachers, and Manual Trades.
 - Retention includes any staff member who is classified as full-time, permanent staff, including partial FTE staff. Descriptions of the employee classifications can be found in the Employee Handbook.
 - Chiefs are included in Executive Office retention, not the retention for their individual areas.
 - Principals-in-Residence count toward the retention of the school they are assigned to for their residency.

- Founding Teacher Fellows count toward the retention of the school at which they are assigned for their fellowship.
- All non-year-round staff who leave prior to the first day of their work calendar for the current staff retention year will be coded with an exit date prior to 08/15/2025 to ensure they do not count toward following staff retention year.
- Exclusions: Employees classified as anything other than full-time, permanent employees are excluded from Headcount and Leavers. This includes, but is not limited to, the following titles:
 - * Enrichment Instructor, Enrichment Specialist, 21st Century Enrichment Specialist
 - * Intern, including Summer Interns and Year-round Interns
 - * After School Care
 - * Parent Liaison
 - * Monitor, including Bus Monitor, Flex Monitor, Lunch Monitor, Recess Monitor, and School Monitor
 - * Camp Rio Program Staff & Camp Counselor
 - * FSS Substitute
 - * Tutor
 - * Athletic Coach
 - * IDEA Substitute Teacher
- “Leaver” is defined as the sum of all full-time, permanent employees who have an effective exit date between 07/1/2024 and 08/14/2025.
 - * Full-time, permanent employees who transition into non-full-time permanent roles during the current Retention Year will count as leavers.
 - * Full-time, permanent employees who retire will count as leavers.
 - * The last full-time role an employee holds is the position held accountable for retention. If an employee changes during the year from full time role A to full time role B then leaves the organization, they will be counted as a leaver for role B.
 - Example: An API transitions to teacher in October and decides to leave at the end of the year. They will be counted as a teacher leaver
 - * The last location an employee is based at and held a full-time role that they reported to is held accountable for retention of that employee. If an employee changes during the year from location A to location B, then leaves the organization, they will be counted as a leaver for location B as long as they reported to work at Location B.
 - Example: A teacher transfers from IDEA Pharr to IDEA Rise in December and then leaves at the end of the year. They will be counted as a teacher leaver for IDEA Rise.

- * Employees moving from a permanent, full-time position to another permanent, full-time position are not considered leavers.
 - * Full-time employees in a permanent in the current retention year who transition to a non-full-time permanent role during the same retention year will count as a leaver.
 - * Permanent, full-time and permanent, part-time employees who leave IDEA Public Schools and return to IDEA Public Schools in a permanent, full-time or permanent, part-time capacity during the same retention year will not count as leavers.
 - * Employees leaving and returning to the organization in a retention-eligible role with a start date in the same school year (re-hire) do not count as leavers. Those employees who return to work after the start of the next retention year will count as leavers.
 - * Positions that are eliminated due to budget constraints and/or grant-funded positions that are terminated because the grant concluded and was not continued will not count as leavers.
 - * Employees who are reassigned but decline the reassignment and leave the organization will count as leavers attributed to the manager/campus for which they were employed on their last day of employment.
 - * Positions that are eliminated for other reasons will count as leavers.
 - * Employees coded with the following termination codes will not be counted as leavers:
 - IN04 - Death, IN05 - Ineligible For Hire, IN08 - Failed I-9 Verification, OT01 - Entity Change, OT02 - Employee did Not Start.
 - OT02 – Employee did Not Start will only apply to new hires who did not begin a position at IDEA, not transfers.
 - o Staff in National roles will not be counted in regional staff retention. They will only count as headcount and leavers for their Chief Area team.
 - * Staff members eligible to retire through their state employee retirement program and submit required paperwork within the staff retention year deadlines will not count as leavers once their official retirement is verified.
 - o Leavers during the current staff retention year who are not exited before retention results for the current staff retention year are finalized, will count as leavers for the following staff retention year.
 - * Leaver role, status, or location changes not entered within the current staff retention year that are not entered before retention results for current staff retention year are finalized will not be captured in the final staff retention report of the current staff retention year.
- “School” is defined as either Academy or College Prep, typically the unit led by a principal.

- “Campus” is defined as Academy + College Prep; typically, both schools at one location.
- The final retention metric will be rounded to the nearest whole number based on the tenths digit. (If .xx is .50, then it will round up. If .xx is <.50, then it will round down.) For example:
 - 84.50% will round to 85%
 - 84.49% will round down to 84%
- Staff who are shared between Academy and College Prep will count 50% toward Academy, and 50% toward College Prep head count and leaver count. This includes all shared staff including, but not limited to, instructional staff, operations staff, and any school support staff. This ensures that shared staff, including operations, impact school retention. The formula is as follows:

$$\text{School Retention} = 1 - \frac{\text{School Leavers} + 0.5(\text{Shared Staff Leavers})}{\text{School Headcount} + 0.5(\text{Shared Staff Headcount})}$$

All IDEA Public Schools Teacher Retention

- “Headcount” is defined as the sum of all full-time, permanent employees in the Teacher Job Group who work at IDEA Public Schools between the start of their 2024-25 Work Calendar and 08/14/2025.
 - Instructional staff (teachers and co-teachers/instructional support) and campus administrative professionals will count toward the school at which they work, not the campus.
 - Campus support staff (i.e. administrative assistants, testing coordinators, 21st century site coordinators) will count toward campus and school retention if they are shared and toward school retention if they are not shared.
- “Leaver” is defined as the sum of all full-time, permanent Teachers who have an effective exit date between the first day of their 24-25 School Year work calendar (even if they participate in summer school or summer professional development) and 08/14/2025.
 - All teachers who leave prior 08/15/2025 will be coded with an exit date prior to 08/15/2025 to ensure they do not count toward 2025-2026 retention.
 - * Co-teachers and Founding Teacher Fellows do not count toward Teacher retention, to better align with PEIMS Teacher reporting, but do count toward All Staff retention. These roles will be included in the school All Staff retention but not in the school Teacher retention.
- The teacher retention year begins on the first day of their work calendar, not the first day of summer PD. If a returning teacher no-shows for the first days of all-staff BOY PD, even if s/he were part of summer PD, s/he will be counted as a leaver for the previous school year.

- If a New Hire is a no-show on the first day of their work calendar, they should be coded as OT02 – Employee did not start, which will exclude them from the count.
 - o If an employee shows up for the first day of their work calendar and subsequently leaves, they will count toward retention that year.
 - Flex Teachers and Flex Co-Teachers count toward the retention for the Region in which they were hired, unless they have officially transitioned to a permanent campus-based teaching role.
- Flex Teachers and Flex Co-Teachers will not count toward the retention for the home campus at which they are based before they are assigned to a permanent campus-based role.

5 Leadership and Headquarters Bandwidth

5.1 Percentage of Leaders 3+ years in role

5.1.1 Percentage of executive directors 3+ years in role

5.1.2 Percentage of principals 3+ years in role

5.1.3 Percentage of APIs 3+ years in role

5.1.4 Notes & Exclusions

5.1.5 Source

5.2 API vacancy rate (expected + new)

5.2.1 Notes & Exclusions

5.2.2 Source

5.3 Number of CAP grads (2-year)

5.3.1 Notes & Exclusions

5.3.2 Source

The STAAR data includes STAAR and high school level End-of-Course (EOC) assessments stored in IDEA's data warehouse(IDEA Public Schools Data Warehouse 2025b).

```

STAAR <- get_table(.table_name = "STAAR", .database_name = "Dashboard",
                  .schema = "dbo", .server_name = "RGVPDRA-DASQL") %>%
  filter(
    ## Includes STAAR but not STAAR Alt 2
    TestVersion == "S",
    ## Includes Scored assessments only
    ScoreCode == "S",
    ## Includes Math and English
    (SubjectCode == "Math" |
     SubjectCode == "Reading" |
     SubjectCode == "Algebra I" |
     SubjectCode == "English I" |
     SubjectCode == "English II"),
    ## Select desired school years
    (SchoolYear == "2024-2025" |
     SchoolYear == "2023-2024")
  )

```


6 Enrollment Readiness / Student Demand

Before explaining each of the metrics and their sources in detail, it is important to note that although two of the metrics within Enrollment Readiness / Student Demand may sound similar, they are actually quite different. Although enrollment goals may be similar to capacity at some schools and campuses, in many cases they differ in meaningful ways.

Enrollment targets vary by grade level and location, and are specific to an individual campus and context. Capacity, however, is based on a consistent estimate based on the current grade levels offered within a school. It is meant to measure how many students a school is currently educating versus how much they could this year. Enrollment goals may be well below the capacity for a given school or campus.

6.1 Percentage Meeting Enrollment Goal

Each year, each IDEA school has an assigned enrollment target or goal for each grade level they enroll, set by the Enrollment and School Launch Team. [Add information about who sets these and how].

For this metric, a single unit (grade level, school, campus, or region) is considered to have met its enrollment goal if the total number of students enrolled on the First Day Of Persistence (FDOP) is at or above the total enrollment goal for that unit.

If one school is under-enrolled but another is over-enrolled, a full campus may still meet its overall enrollment goal. For example, at FDOP 2025, enrollment at Austin Health Professions Academy was 13 students below its target (not meeting enrollment goal), but Austin Health Professions College Prep was 46 above its target (meeting enrollment goal). Therefore, the full Austin Health Professions campus was 33 students above its target and considered to be meeting its enrollment goal.

Table 6.1: Example of Under- and Over-Enrollment at Individual Schools with a Campus Meeting Enrollment Goal

Unit	Enrollment at FDOP	Target Enrollment	Meets Goal?
Health Professions Academy	335	348	No
Health Professions College Prep	434	388	Yes
Health Professions Campus Total	769	736	Yes

Unit	Enrollment at FDOP	Target Enrollment	Meets Goal?
------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------

The percentage meeting enrollment goal within a unit is based on the number of sub-units, rather than the total number of students and enrollment target. For example, percentage of schools within a region meeting enrollment goal is calculated as:

$$\% \text{ Schools Meeting Enrollment Goal} = \frac{\# \text{ of Schools Meeting Enrollment Goal}}{\text{Total \# of Schools within Region}} * 100$$

Whereas the percentage of campuses within a region meeting enrollment goal is calculated as:

$$\% \text{ Campuses Meeting Enrollment Goal} = \frac{\# \text{ of Campuses Meeting Enrollment Goal}}{\text{Total \# of Campuses within Region}} * 100$$

6.1.1 Notes & Exclusions

- Two IDEA schools, Travis Academy and A. W. Brown Academy, currently have PreK classes and enrollment goals. We have excluded PreK students and enrollment goals from this metric.

6.1.2 Source

The enrollment metric uses both enrollment targets and attendance data from the data warehouse (IDEA Public Schools 2024a, 2025; IDEA Public Schools Data Warehouse 2025a).

Because the enrollment data includes an enormous amount of data, one row for every day for each student, it takes a very long time to pull from the warehouse. Therefore, I built a few steps into the code that greatly increases the code length, but greatly decreases the time it takes to pull the data for us.

First, we pull only a few variables from the enrollment details table for the academic years of interest to help us determine the appropriate First Day of Persistence (FDOP) for each school. FDOP is the 11th day of school, which typically 14 calendar days after the First Day of School (FDOS). We use the FDOS to determine FDOP and then use that to create an incredibly long filter we can use to pull enrollment data only for each individual school's FDOP.

```

## Connect to Enrollment
enrollment_conn1 <- get_table(.table_name = "EnrollmentDetails",
                             .schema = "Dashboard",
                             .database_name = "Enrollment",
                             .server_name = "RGVPDSD-DWPRD1"
                             ) %>%

select(
  SchoolNumber,
  AcademicYear,
  FDOS,
  Instance
) %>%
filter(
  AcademicYear == "2024-2025" |
  AcademicYear == "2025-2026"
) %>%
distinct() %>%
collect()

## This creates a list we can copy and paste as a REALLY long filter.
enrollment_conn1 <- enrollment_conn1 %>%
  filter(str_detect(Instance, "TX")) %>%
  mutate(
    FDOP = case_when(
      FDOS == "2024-08-05" ~ "2024-08-19",
      FDOS == "2024-08-08" ~ "2024-08-22",
      FDOS == "2024-08-12" ~ "2024-08-26",
      FDOS == "2024-08-13" ~ "2024-08-27",
      FDOS == "2024-08-15" ~ "2024-08-29",
      FDOS == "2025-08-08" ~ "2025-08-22",
      FDOS == "2025-08-14" ~ "2025-08-28",
      FDOS == "2025-08-13" ~ "2025-08-27",
      FDOS == "2025-08-04" ~ "2025-08-18",
      FDOS == "2025-08-11" ~ "2025-08-25",
      FDOS == "2025-08-07" ~ "2025-08-21"
    ),
    filter = paste0("schoolnumber == ", SchoolNumber, " & AttDate == '", FDOP, "'")
  ) %>%
select(filter) %>%
distinct()

```

```
list <- paste(enrollment_conn1$filter, collapse = "|")

list
```

I copy and paste the list into Microsoft Word, add paragraph breaks after “|” for formatting, and then copy and paste that as a filter when pulling full enrollment data. The result is a filter that is hundreds of lines long.

```
enrollment_conn <- get_table(.table_name = "EnrollmentDetails",
                             .schema = "Dashboard",
                             .database_name = "Enrollment",
                             .server_name = "RGVPDSD-DWPRD1"
                             ) %>%
  filter(SchoolNumber == 109907025 & AttDate == '2024-08-29' |
         SchoolNumber == 108807043 & AttDate == '2024-08-29' |
         SchoolNumber == 108807028 & AttDate == '2024-08-27' |
         SchoolNumber == 109907018 & AttDate == '2025-08-28' |
         #...
         SchoolNumber == 108807025 & AttDate == '2024-08-27'
         ) %>%
```

Once the appropriate data has been pulled into R, the code sums the total number of students enrolled within each unit on FDOP (`Membership == 1` , indicating that the student was enrolled as a full-time student on that day) and compares to the enrollment targets for that unit.

6.2 Percentage of Schools/Campuses Under 85% Capacity (Model 1501)

Capacity metrics estimate the overall current capacity IDEA schools have if their buildings were full. You can think of it as how much IDEA could grow within a certain geographic region without having to build or acquire new buildings; areas which are far under capacity could continue to grow within their current physical spaces.

Capacity is determined by what we refer to as the Model 1501. Each grade level is assigned a consistent capacity across campuses, summing up to a campus capacity of 1,501 students (hence the title “Model 1501”).

Table 6.2: Model 1501 Capacity by Grade Level and School Type

School Type	Grade Level	Capacity
Academy	K	116
	1	116
	2	116
	3	116
	4	116
	5	116
Academy Total	K-5	696
College Prep	6	120
	7	120
	8	120
	9	120
	10	120
	11	108
	12	97
College Prep Total	6-12	805
<i>Campus Total</i>	<i>K-12</i>	<i>1501</i>

Historically, the model was set at a lower capacity, so you may occasionally hear references to a value of [1411?????].

The percentage meeting enrollment goal within a unit is based on the number of sub-units, rather than the total number of students and enrollment target. For example, percentage of schools within a region meeting enrollment goal is calculated as:

$$\% \text{ Away from Capacity} = \frac{\# \text{ of Students Enrolled at FDOP} - \text{Capacity for Unit}}{\text{Capacity for Unit}} * 100$$

Any school or campus with a % Away from Model 1501 Capacity less than -25% are marked as as “<85% of Capacity”, and percentage of units within region below 85% capacity is calculated as:

$$\% \text{ Units} < 85\% \text{ Capacity} = \frac{\# \text{ of Units} < 85\% \text{ Capacity}}{\text{Total } \# \text{ of Units within Region}} * 100$$

6.2.1 Notes & Exclusions

- Two IDEA schools, Travis Academy and A. W. Brown Academy, currently have PreK classes. We have excluded PreK students from this metric.

6.2.2 Source

The source for percentage below capacity is the same as the source for the enrollment target metric. See Section 6.1.2.

6.3 Student Annual Persistence

According to the RAP Manual (IDEA RAP Team, n.d.),

Student Persistence is one of the most important measures that Chiefs, VPs, and School Leaders are always monitoring to understand our efforts in providing high quality services to our students and families. In order for a student to actualize the benefits of IDEA, the student must persist through high school. We say a student persisted if the student was enrolled and attended school for the entire academic school year, returned the following school year, and was enrolled and attending on [the “First Day of Persistence”]. In other words, the student was enrolled and attended school on the “First Day of Persistence” (FDOP) for two consecutive years. The only exception to this are New Students that enroll after the FDOP, attend the entire year, return the following year, and are enrolled and attending for FDOP. These new students were not enrolled and attending for two consecutive FDOPs because they enrolled after the first FDOP but would be considered as persisting.

Persistence data can be found in a few different places. Official persistence data is stored in the Data Warehouse in the Fact.PersistenceCode and Fact.PersistenceCodeHistorical tables. These include clear indicators of whether a student is considered to be a Leaver, a student leaving before FDOP. However, at the beginning of the school year, when we are likely to update the persistence metrics for the Growth Scorecards, the current school years’ persistence data may not yet be in the PersistenceCode table. In Fall of 2025, we had to estimate persistence for the current academic year using attendance data.

According to the RAP Manual, there are two possible formulas for calculating persistence (IDEA RAP Team, n.d.), which provide slightly different estimates of persistence:

$$1) \% \text{ Persistence} = \left(1 - \frac{\# \text{ Leavers}}{\text{Total } \# \text{ of Students}} \right) * 100$$

$$2) \% \text{ Persistence} = \left(1 - \frac{\# \text{ FDOPLeavers}}{(\# \text{ FDOP Enrolled}) + (\# \text{ of FDOP New Entries})} \right) * 100$$

For the Fall 2025 Growth Scorecards tracking persistence from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025, we used Equation 1.

As stated above, when current data is not available from a persistence table, we must estimate student persistence using attendance data. This estimate is imperfect and does *not* account for students who enrolled after FDOP in year 1 (New Entries). Therefore, **student persistence calculated from the persistence tables are not directly comparable to student persistence estimated from attendance data.**

$$\% \text{ Persistence} = \left(1 - \frac{(\# \text{ Absent FDOP Year 2}) + (\# \text{ Not Enrolled on FDOP Year 2})}{\# \text{ Present on FDOP Year 1}} \right) * 100$$

6.3.1 Notes & Exclusions

- Across all options for persistence calculations, students enrolled in 12th grade in Year 1 who do not return for Year 2 are excluded from the calculations (from both the numerator and denominator).
- Two IDEA schools, Travis Academy and A. W. Brown Academy, currently have PreK classes and enrollment goals. We have excluded PreK students and enrollment goals from this metric.
- Persistence calculated from the official persistence tables is calculated differently than estimates calculated from attendance data. The later does *not* account for students who enrolled after FDOP in year 1 (New Entries). Therefore, student persistence calculated from the persistence tables are not directly comparable to student persistence estimated from attendance data.

6.3.2 Source

As described above, there are multiple different sources and calculation possibilities for student persistence. Ideally, we would use calculations from the official persistence tables (current or historical), but persistence tables are not always current at the beginning of the school year.

For the Fall 2025 scorecard, we used estimates from the attendance data. The code does, however, also pull the previous year's official persistence data and create a tab in the Excel output workbook with the relevant data.

Like with enrollment data, the attendance data includes a row for each day for each student. We take a similar approach as describe in Section 6.1 with creating a long filter to more efficiently pull the data.

```
# Using attendance on FDOP
attend_conn <- get_table(.table_name = "Students",
                        .schema = "Attendance",
                        .database_name = "PROD1",
```

```

        .server_name = "RGVPDSD-DWPRD1")

## Pull data for FDOP
attend_fdop <- attend_conn %>%
  select(AcademicYear,
         schoolnumber,
         StateSchoolNumber,
         SchoolShortName,
         SchoolType,
         RegionDescription,
         GradeLevelID,
         AttStudentKey,
         StudentNumber,
         WeekNumber,
         DateNumber,
         AttDate,
         Membership,
         Absences,
         ContinuouslyEnrolled,
         PersistenceType,
         Enroll) %>%
  filter(schoolnumber == 137 & AttDate == '2024-08-22' |
         schoolnumber == 108807123 & AttDate == '2024-08-27' |
         schoolnumber == 108807185 & AttDate == '2024-08-19' |
         # ..
         schoolnumber == 108807103 & AttDate == '2025-08-28'
         ) %>%
  collect()

```


References

- IDEA Public Schools. 2023. “2023-2024 Staff Retention Calculation Standard Operating Procedure.” https://ideapublicschoolsorg.sharepoint.com/:b:/r/HumanAssets/Shared%20Documents/2023-24%20Staff%20Retention%20SOP_FINAL_08.12.24.pdf?csf=1&web=1&e=Xkh56Z.
- . 2024a. “2024 Enrollment Targets.” https://ideapublicschoolsorg.sharepoint.com/:x:/s/EnrollmentTeam/EQYrtn-kqAlErstmV-Ek24MBRso_0VwOFMXHK1FIoPtAiA?e=aByUb6.
- . 2024b. “2024-2025 Staff Retention Calculation Standard Operating Procedure.” https://ideapublicschoolsorg.sharepoint.com/HumanAssets/SiteAssets/SitePages/Retention-Policy/24-25-TX_Staff-Retention-SOP_Final_09032024.pdf?web=1.
- . 2025. “2025 Enrollment Targets.” https://ideapublicschoolsorg.sharepoint.com/:x:/s/EnrollmentTeam/ESFRiuKetQVNgrRfRsOyAwhgBbGsH09Gjy9H_2l02tyYB4g?e=3Koj1M.
- IDEA Public Schools Data Warehouse. 2025a. “Enrollment.”
- . 2025b. “STAAR Assessment Data.”
- IDEA RAP Team. n.d. *IDEA r@a Manual*. https://idea-analytics.github.io/r_and_a_manual/index.html.
- Texas Education Agency. 2018. “2018 Accountability Ratings: 2019 Data Download.” <https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/account/2018/download.html>.
- . 2019. “2019 Accountability Ratings: 2019 Data Download.” <https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/account/2019/download.html>.
- . 2022. “2022 Accountability Ratings: 2022 Data Download.” <https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/account/2022/download.html>.
- . 2023. “2023 Accountability Ratings: 2023 Data Download.” https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/account/acct_download?year=2023.
- . 2024. “2024 Accountability Ratings: 2024 Data Download.” https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/account/acct_download?year=2024.
- . 2025a. “2025 Accountability Ratings: 2025 Data Download.” https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/account/acct_download?year=2025.
- . 2025b. *Accountability Manual for 2025 Ratings for Texas Public School Districts and Campuses*. <https://tea.texas.gov/texas-schools/accountability/academic-accountability/performance-reporting/2025-accountability-manual-full.pdf>.