

Dynamic errors

by
Ramraj S ME.,
Assistant Professor
Department of Software Engineering

16 - Mar -2016

Agenda

- ▶ Static analysis vs Dynamic analysis
- ▶ Dynamic errors
- ▶ challenges in analysis
- ▶ PREfix - tool support
- ▶ PREfix analysis

Static analysis vs Dynamic analysis

- ▶ Static Analysis is analysis done on source code without actually executing it.E.g., Syntax errors are caught by static analysis.
- ▶ Dynamic Analysis is analysis done as a program is executing and is based on intermediate values that result from the programs execution.E.g., A division by 0 error is caught by dynamic analysis.

Current static analysis methods are inadequate for:

- ▶ Dead Variables: Detecting unreachable variables is unsolvable in the general case.
- ▶ Arrays: Dynamically allocated arrays contain garbage unless they are initialized explicitly.

Dynamic errors

- ▶ Invalid pointer reference
- ▶ Fault storage location
- ▶ use of uninitialized memory
- ▶ improper operation on resources

- ▶ c,c++ - 90 percentage of these kind errors are due to interaction of multiple functions
- ▶ compilers - only static errors, no memory leaks
- ▶ Lint - static analyzer
 - ▶ only with in procedure
 - ▶ cross function errors are not reported
- ▶ Annotation checkers - LCLint, Aspect, Extended, Static Checker - Need anotation from user for verification
- ▶ Abstract Interpretation - not feasable for large size of programs
- ▶ purify - debugging tool - work with test case

challenges for analysis

- ▶ False Negatives
 - ▶ Looking only in one function and miss errors across functions.
- ▶ False Positives
 - ▶ Reporting errors that cant really occur
 - ▶ Engineering effort (e.g. ESC/Java)
 - ▶ Requiring extensive program specifications
 - ▶ Execution overhead
 - ▶ Monitoring program may be impractical
 - ▶ Only as good as your test suite

An error detection tool for C and C++, called PREfix, was built based on simulation technique.

- ▶ Handle hard aspects of C-like languages - Pointers, arrays, unions, libraries, casts
- ▶ Don't require user annotations- Build on language semantics
- ▶ Avoid false positives - Use path-sensitive analysis
- ▶ Give the user good feedback - Why might an error occur? Show the user an example execution

PRefix Analysis

- ▶ Explore paths through function
- ▶ For each path:
- ▶ Symbolically execute path - Determine facts true along the path
- ▶ Compute a guard- What must be true for the path to be taken
- ▶ Compute constraints- Preconditions for successful execution of path
- ▶ Compute result - What is true of the return value?

```

char *f(int size) {
    char * ptr;
    if (size > 0)
        ptr=(char*)malloc(size);
    if (size == 1)
        return NULL;
    ptr[0] = 0;
    return ptr;
}

```

```

f (param size)
  alternate 0
    guard size <= 0
    constraint initialized(size)
    ARRAY ACCESS ERROR: ptr not initialized
  alternate 1
    guard size == 1
    constraint initialized(size)
    fact ptr==memory_new(size)
    result return==NULL
    MEMORY LEAK ERROR:
    memory pointed to by ptr is not reachable
    through externally visible state
  alternate 2
    guard size > 1
    constraint initialized(size)
    fact ptr=NULL
    ARRAY ACCESS ERROR: ptr is NULL
  alternate 3
    guard size > 1
    constraint initialized(size)
    fact ptr==memory_new(size)
    fact ptr[0] == 0
    result return == memory_new(size) && return[0] == 0
  alternate 4...

```

Figure : Analysis

Interprocedural

```
void exercise_deref() {  
    int v = 5;  
    int x = deref(&v);  
    int y = deref(NULL);  
    int z = deref((int *) 5);  
}
```

- Are there errors in this code?

```
int deref(int *p) {  
    if (p == NULL)  
        return NULL;  
    return *p;  
}
```

- Begin
deref (param p)

```
int deref(int *p) {  
    if (p == NULL)  
        return NULL;  
    return *p;  
}
```

- Use of p
deref (param p)
constraint initialized(p)

Figure :

```
int deref(int *p) {
    if (p == NULL)
        return NULL;
    return *p;
}
```

- Split path on value of p
deref (param p)
alternate return_0
guard p==NULL
constraint initialized(p)

```
int deref(int *p) {
    if (p == NULL)
        return NULL;
    return *p;
}
```

- Return statement
deref (param p)
alternate return_0
guard p==NULL
constraint initialized(p)
result return==NULL

```
int deref(int *p) {
    if (p == NULL)
        return NULL;
    return *p;
}
```

- Consider other path
- ```
deref (param p)
 alternate return_0
 guard p==NULL
 constraint initialized(p)
 result return==NULL
 alternate return_X
 guard p != NULL
 constraint initialized(p)
```

---

```
int deref(int *p) {
 if (p == NULL)
 return NULL;
 return *p;
}
```

- Dereference of p
- ```
deref (param p)
  alternate return_0
    guard p==NULL
    constraint initialized(p)
    result return==NULL
  alternate return_X
    guard p != NULL
    constraint initialized(p)
    constraint valid_ptr(p)
```

References I

- [1] Frank Buechner "*TestCaseDesignUsingTheClassificationTreeMethod* "

Thank you