Solutions to example questions:

- 1. The k-means algorithm stops when the partition to clusters stays the same. This happens when the partition is
  - (i)  $C_1 = (1), C_2 = (2, 2.5, 3);$  the centers are 1, 2.5. The loss is  $0 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^2} = \frac{1}{2}$ .
  - (ii)  $C_1 = (1,2), C_2 = (2.5,3);$  the centers are 1.5, 2.75. The loss is  $2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^2} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4^2} = \frac{5}{8}$ .

For example the partition  $C_1 = (1, 2, 2.5)$ ,  $C_2 = (3)$  is not possible since the centers are  $\frac{11}{6}$ , 3 and 2.5 is closer to 3 than to  $\frac{11}{6}$ . The optimal partition is (i):  $C_1 = (1)$ ,  $C_2 = (2, 2.5, 3)$ .

2. a. Let  $\Delta$  be a random variables that assumes the values 1 and 2;  $\Delta$  represents the cluster from which the observation is drawn. We have that the conditional density is  $f(x|\Delta=1)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}}\exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right)$  and  $f(x|\Delta=2)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}}\exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right)$ . Also,  $P(\Delta=1)=\pi$ . Therefore, the density of X is

$$f(x) = f(x|\Delta = 1)P(\Delta = 1) + f(x|\Delta = 2)P(\Delta = 2) = \pi \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right) + (1-\pi)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right),$$

and the log likelihood is

$$\ell(X_1, \dots, X_n; \pi, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left\{ \pi \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-X_i^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right) + (1-\pi) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-X_i^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right) \right\}.$$

b. Start with initial estimate  $\hat{\pi}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2$ . The EM algorithm iterates between the E- and M- steps.

The E step is to compute estimate of  $\Delta_i$  (the cluster of observation i) when the value of parameters is  $\hat{\pi}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2$ . Using Bayes rule, the estimate is

$$\begin{split} \hat{P}(\Delta_i = 1) &= P_{\hat{\pi}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2}(\Delta_i = 1 | X_i) = \frac{P_{\hat{\pi}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2}(X_i | \Delta_i = 1) P_{\hat{\pi}}(\Delta_i = 1)}{P_{\hat{\pi}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2}(X_i | \Delta_i = 1) P_{\hat{\pi}}(\Delta_i = 1) + P_{\hat{\pi}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2}(X_i | \Delta_i = 2) P_{\hat{\pi}}(\Delta_i = 2)} \\ &= \frac{\pi \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_1^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-X_i^2}{2\hat{\sigma}_1^2}\right)}{\pi \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_1^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-X_i^2}{2\hat{\sigma}_1^2}\right) + (1 - \pi) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_2^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-X_i^2}{2\hat{\sigma}_2^2}\right)}. \end{split}$$

The M step is based on computing maximum likelihood estimates based on  $\hat{P}(\Delta_1 = 1), \dots, \hat{P}(\Delta_n = 1)$ . If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$  are i.i.d  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ , then the MLE is  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i^2$ . Hence, if we knew  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$  then the estimates would be

$$\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I(\Delta_i = 1) Y_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n I(\Delta_i = 1)}, \ \hat{\sigma}_2^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I(\Delta_i = 2) Y_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n I(\Delta_i = 2)}.$$

Since the  $\Delta$ 's are unknown the estimates of  $\Delta$  are plugged-in and the new estimates of  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma_1^2$ ,  $\sigma_2^2$  are

$$\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{P}(\Delta_i = 1) Y_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{P}(\Delta_i = 1)}, \ \hat{\sigma}_2^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \{1 - \hat{P}(\Delta_i = 1)\} Y_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \{1 - \hat{P}(\Delta_i = 1)\}}, \ \hat{\pi} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{P}(\Delta_i = 1)}{n}.$$

3. The Lasso estimates  $\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$  are the minimizers of the function

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j X_{ij})^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_j|.$$

Since  $\beta_0$  does not appear in the penalty term the minimizer is obtained when the derivative of the first part is zero, i.e., when

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\beta}_j X_{ij}) = 0.$$

dividing the last equation by 2n yields the desired result.

4. a. According to model  $A, Y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$  and the LSE is  $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y}$ . By a theorem from class an unbiased estimate of the testing error is

$$\widehat{MSE}_{te}(A) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 + 2\hat{\sigma}^2 \cdot 1/n = TSS/n + 2\hat{\sigma}^2/n.$$

Similarity,

$$\widehat{MSE}_{te}(B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_i)^2 + 2\hat{\sigma}^2 \cdot 2/n = RSS/n + 4\hat{\sigma}^2/n.$$

Model A is selected iff

$$\widehat{MSE}_{te}(A) < \widehat{MSE}_{te}(B) \iff TSS + 2\hat{\sigma}^2 < RSS + 4\hat{\sigma}^2.$$

- b. We showed in class that  $R^2 = \frac{TSS RSS}{TSS} = r^2$ . Therefore,  $TSS RSS = r^2TSS$ .
- c. We have that

$$r^2TSS = \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})Y_i\right]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})Y_i\right]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2.$$

Therefore, Model A is selected iff

$$TSS + 2\hat{\sigma}^2 < RSS + 4\hat{\sigma}^2 \Longleftrightarrow TSS - RSS < 2\hat{\sigma}^2 \Longleftrightarrow \hat{\beta}_1^2 < \frac{2\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}.$$