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**Abstract**

**Introduction**

Degraded air quality is a consequence of heightened emissions from a wide range of pollution sources, both anthropogenic, such as transportation or industry and natural, such as dust plumes, wildfires or vegetation. Dense urban centers and industrialized regions serve as “hotspots” in a continuum of concentrations, dictated by the rate by which all emitted substances undergo transport, diffusion, chemical transformation and deposition to the ground (Atmospheric chemistry and physics). Fossil fuel combustion processes that govern todays’ industrial activity and transportation are major emitters of gaseous pollutants to the troposphere, mainly sulfur dioxide (SO2), nitrogen oxides (NOx, nitrogen monoxide (NO) and dioxide (NO2)), carbon monoxide (CO) and dioxide (CO2), volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and others. Particulate pollutants, known as particulate matter (PM), are emitted as well in combustion processes and can be divided to primary particles (such as black carbon (BC)) and secondary particles (like sulfates and nitrates) which are formed in the atmosphere by oxidation of primary gaseous pollutants. (coarse/fine/ultrafine particles?) Another secondary pollutant is the ozone (O3). Like other secondary pollutants, it is produced naturally in the troposphere by photochemical oxidation of primary pollutants by the hydroxyl radical (OH). Many other anthropogenic pollutants are emitted from various industrial processes, among them are X that is emitted from Y, X1 from Y1.

The main incentive to reduce and control emission rates from anthropogenic sources is of course insuring (assuring?) population health. World health organization (WHO) estimates that 4.2 million premature deaths globally are linked to ambient air pollution, mainly from heart disease, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, lung cancer, and acute respiratory infections in children. Pollutants with the strongest evidence for public health concern include particulate matter (PM), ozone (O3), nitrogen dioxide (NO2) and sulfur dioxide (SO2) (WHO,2019).

Epidemiologic studies (others as well?) try to evaluate (assess?) past and present population exposure to air pollution and correlate the level of exposure to observed health effects in the population. The major challenge in this type of work is in producing accurate pollution concentration maps of high spatial and temporal resolution that can enable finding such correlations at a personal level (e.g. estimating concentrations in the exact place of residence of a subject). These studies rely on data of ambient pollution concentrations usually obtained by two methods; i) routine measurements reported by standard air quality monitoring (AQM) stations, ii) short-term measurement campaigns which usually utilize large number of sensors. Evaluations using the first method are considered very accurate, since AQM stations are equipped with expensive, pollutant-designated measuring devices, that are maintained and calibrated on a regular basis by regulatory authorities. However, these tend to suffer from a few apparent flaws; i) their span is usually sparse, and cannot represent well the spatial and temporal variability of a typical pollutant (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2233947/), ii) samples of air are taken a few meters above street level and hence cannot represent well the extent of exposure of a passerby, and iii) they are costly to maintain. Short-term measurement campaigns on the other hand can provide a higher spatial resolution of the desired region of research, but for a limited time span only, that is rarely adequate for a comprehensive epidemiological study.

WDESN… + CITIZEN SCIENCE

In the past couple of years, citizen science projects had become more common, and large amounts of air quality data are being collected today by individuals, usually using low-cost sensors of various types. Using such data however requires an exhaustive preprocessing work as reliability of some of the measurements might be questionable.

Spatial interpolation methods (Kriging, inverse distance weighting (IDW), spline) may help overcome sparse representation of concentration and can be used to produce pollution dense maps of certain locations and times. Land use regression (LUR) models can link environmental variables (road type, traffic volume, topography, land cover) with measurements in monitoring locations and then use these variables as model predictors at unmonitored locations, and possibly as predictors in time as well (?). Nevertheless, atmospheric transport and dispersion (ATD) models are the only models that can quantify the deterministic relationships between sources’ emissions and concentrations in space. ATD models can forecast the spread of the pollutants, when available results from monitoring data are used for calibration and evaluation of model performance. Population exposure estimation is one possible application of ATD models. Oftentimes, these models serve as a useful tool for regulatory authorities to assess baseline ambient concentrations, analyze the relative importance of various emission sources, or test emission reduction strategies (Stein, Ariel F).

For an accurate forecast, several variables are needed as input to ATD models, including: meteorological data, the strength of the release and its location. While meteorological data of reasonable resolution (of a few square kilometers and 15-30 minutes) are usually available­­ from local weather stations or from global models, the **strength**, **time or even location of the release** of the pollutant **are frequently unknown.** As a consequence, sensor measurements are often being used to determine source parameters in an inverse modelling problem of source-term estimation (STE) (review paper). In these methods, source parameters are modified until the difference between the calculated and observed measurements is minimal. A certain optimization technique is usually applied in order to search the solution space and reach an accurate evaluation. Once the source term is calculated, it is used as input to an ATD model that can generate high resolution concentration maps.

In Israel for example, many pollution releases from… are documented/decleared on an yearly basis/resolution, making it hard to use source emission rates in ATD models. a Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) is produced by the ministry of environmental protection every year. It is an inventory of pollutants released to the environment by all industrial businesses (production plants?) in a yearly resolution, and is based mainly on each plant’s statement. Some plants possess monitoring equipment that enables high resolution evaluation of pollutant emission rates, but the majority (?) rely on occasional samplings which only enable the calculation of a ratio between the emission rate of the pollutant and  the production rate during the time of the sampling.

The motivation behind estimating source parameters in an hourly resolution has two clear aspects - a health aspect and a regulatory aspect. Health impacts can be triggered by short-term exceedances of pollution thresholds, and these might be missed in studies that focus on long-term continuous exposure to average daily/monthly/yearly pollution levels. Regulatory authorities on their part may wish to enforce plants that temporarily exceed their emission permits, reveal leaks or new unknown sources.

The complexity of estimating the source term in the case of multiple sources, requires careful deployment of a WDESN to provide accurate depiction of the contamination levels in the field, which in turn can be effectively used to estimate the source term.

חסר הרבה מידע כאן על הנושא של איתור חריגות ממפעלים/בקרת איכות אוויר בכלליות.

כמו כן, קישור על נושא הצבת חיישנים או העובדה שאני צריכה הרבה חיישנים כדי להעריך את המקורות שלי.

The following proposed work offers a modelling tool that can serve stakeholders when either planning the positioning of a stationary network of sensors or planning a span of sensors during a routine sampling task. It is based on meteorological data

**Methods**

סוגי מודלים של דיספרסיה, והתמקדות במודל דיספרסיה גאוסי.

Five types of fundamental dispersion models exist along with a number of hybrids and extensions of them as below: scale of models

התייחסות לסוג המזהם

אלגוריתם אבולוציוניים

כלי האופטימיזציה בורג

מה זה PED, הפרדה בין מקורות

נתונים מטאורולוגיים - מהירות וכיוון רוח ויציבות אטמוספרית (מחושבת לפי… מעידה גם על קרינה סולארית וטמפרטורה בעקיפין?)

**בעתיד:**

**להשתמש במודל דיספרסיה מתוחכם יותר**

**להשתמש במקרה בעולם האמיתי. המעבר לעולם האמיתי הוא קשה. הוא דורש טופוגרפיה, כיסוי שטח, וידע על כל המקורות באזור המחקר וריכוזי רקע.**

**אולי לוותר על המודל דיספרסיה ולעשות ML ?**

**Literature review (placement of sensors, Borg (EA), )**

**Initial work**

A simulation using a synthetic set of stacks was performed in order to evaluate the proposed method for sensors’ placement. Usually, several constraints exist when placing static sensors; i) law requires sensors to be employed in populated areas, i.e., inside neighborhoods, ii) placing sensors inside the industrial region could have an effect on the network ability to separate between sources (?), iii) other physical constraints that may characterize the given study area (vegetation, public buildings).

This is especially important in the case of complex industrial site, where access to the leak source might require significant amount of resource such as trained personal, protection gear etc.

Basic idea:  using PED, using winds and atmospheric stability states that characterize the area.

**Simulation 1:** all sensors are the same and have perfect ( detection threshold is zero ?) sensitivity and infinite dynamic range (?).

**Simulation 2:** running a simulation for reasonable sets of detection thresholds and dynamic ranges. See what type of sensor suits.

**Simulation 3:** change the density of the stacks inside a defined area of constant size.

Assumptions: the optimization process is based on average emission rates of the stacks.So basically we don’t take into consideration activity hours of the plants. These are indirectly considered during the optimization process by PED calculations. Technically we could correlate weather conditions with stacks’ working hours.

Such work may serve regulators and stakeholders.

**What to display:**

1. Pollution dense map for an average wind direction, speed, and average emission rates of stacks for substance X.
2. Distribution of wind speed and direction of the area.
3. Distribution of stability classes.
4. Assuming a sensor in each node of the grid, what is the distribution of my concentrations?
5. Show a map of the weighted PED values (maybe higher resolution than the grid I run).
6. Show a map of solutions for X sensors - an average of 10 runs. Is it clustered in groups?
7. Perform random seed analysis
8. Show the average pareto front of 10 runs.
9. Show pareto fronts of different sensors types.
10. Results for different density of stacks.
11. What is the PED of my set of sensors I just chose, for different emission scenarios?

**Research objectives**

**Research contribution**

**Literature review**

Sensors placement, WDESN, optimization methods, dispersion model types used?

**Research plan**

**Methods**

**Initial results**

**Work schedule**
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