

PowerNumbers.jl: a fast approach to automatic asymptotics

SHEEHAN OLVER, Imperial College

MATTHEW REES, University College London

We develop a scheme for quick arithmetic on asymptotic series, evaluated to just one or two terms. This generalizes the concept of dual numbers in automatic differentiation to support general algebraic powers, including negative powers to capture behaviour at infinity. We give a full description of the algebraic rules for these newly defined *power numbers*, with justification of guaranteed equivalence to asymptotic algebra. Some example applications follow, including evaluation of complicated rational functions at infinity defined in terms of linear algebra, hypergeometric functions, and Stieltjes transforms over intervals for functions with power-like singularities and the endpoints.

CCS Concepts: • **Mathematics of computing** → **Solvers**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: none

ACM Reference Format:

Sheehan Olver and Matthew Rees. 2020. PowerNumbers.jl: a fast approach to automatic asymptotics. 1, 1 (May 2020), 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

1 INTRODUCTION

Asymptotic expansions are important computational tools in applied mathematics, that can reduce complicated functions to simple forms with specified accuracy.

Dual numbers are a simple algebraic structure that underlies forward mode automatic-differentiation, with a convenient representation in terms of 2×2 matrices:

Definition 1.1. Let K be a field. The set of matrices

$$D^K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in K \right\}$$

are called the set of **dual numbers**. The elements are typically denoted by

$$a + b\epsilon \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The property that $\epsilon^2 = 0$ suffices to guarantee that general analytic matrix functions applied to dual numbers encode the derivative at the point a , namely:

$$f(a + b\epsilon) = f(a) + bf'(a)\epsilon$$

Thus any (?)

Authors' addresses: Sheehan Olver, s.olver@imperial.ac.uk, Imperial College, London, England, SW7 2AZ; Matthew Rees, matthew.rees.16@ucl.ac.uk, University College London, London, England, WC1E 6BT.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2020 Association for Computing Machinery.

XXXX-XXXX/2020/5-ART \$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

2 OUTLINE

In analogy to dual numbers, we seek a simple algebraic structure that encodes the leading-order information of Hahn-form asymptotic expansions. These $S \in \mathbb{S}$ are of the form:

$$S(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{\alpha_n} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0$$

where the $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ are strictly increasing.

By restricting these series to the terms most significant in the limit, we can define the set of **power numbers**. Specifically, we will be restricting to the first two terms with $\alpha \leq \beta$, so that we are essentially considering:

$$S(z) = az^{\alpha} + bz^{\beta} + o(z^{\beta})$$

By giving algebraic rules that are consistent with their counterparts in \mathbb{S} , we will allow for fast manipulation without compromising on accuracy or losing important order information.

In **Section 3** we will rigorously describe the algorithms that govern the basic operations on power numbers. These have been defined to correspond with what we expect from similar operations on \mathbb{S} , and we provide a theorem guaranteeing this symmetry.

In **Section 4** we will give some of the possible applications of the construction, including the evaluation of rational polynomials at infinity and finding limiting expressions of hypergeometric functions(?). We also provide an implementation written in the Julia language, "PowerNumbers.jl".

3 FORMAL DESCRIPTION

Definition 3.1. Let K be a field. The set of functions of $\epsilon \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\mathbf{PN}^K = \{a\epsilon^{\alpha} + b\epsilon^{\beta} : a, b \in K; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}; \alpha \leq \beta\}$$

is called the set of **power numbers**.

In the case $\alpha = \beta$, we write only one term $(a + b)\epsilon^{\beta}$. Notationally, ϵ^0 is omitted. While we do not have a one-to-one correspondence between \mathbf{PN}^K and \mathbb{S} (there is usually a choice between one or two terms), this is not a major concern.

We enforce the equality $0\epsilon^{\alpha} + b\epsilon^{\beta} = b\epsilon^{\beta}$. However, in general, $a\epsilon^{\alpha} + 0\epsilon^{\beta} \neq a\epsilon^{\alpha}$. By defining $(+, *)$ below, we acquire the double monoid

$$\mathbf{PN}^K = (\mathbf{PN}^K, +, *)$$

3.1 Basic Operations

Definition 3.2. Addition $+$: $\text{PN}^K \times \text{PN}^K \rightarrow \text{PN}^K$ is described by an algorithm.

Data: $a\epsilon^\alpha + b\epsilon^\beta, c\epsilon^\gamma + d\epsilon^\delta \in \text{PN}^K$; assume WLOG that $\beta \leq \delta$

Result: $(a\epsilon^\alpha + b\epsilon^\beta) + (c\epsilon^\gamma + d\epsilon^\delta) = p\epsilon^\zeta + q\epsilon^\eta \in \text{PN}^K$

if $\beta = \delta$ **then**

if $\gamma = \beta$ **then**

$p = a, q = b + c + d, \zeta = \alpha, \eta = \beta$

else if $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$ **then**

$p = a, q = c, \zeta = \alpha, \eta = \gamma$

else if $\gamma = \alpha$ **then**

$p = a + c, q = b + d, \zeta = \alpha, \eta = \beta$

else

$p = c, q = a, \zeta = \gamma, \eta = \alpha$

end

else

if $\beta < \gamma$ **then**

$p = a, q = b, \zeta = \alpha, \eta = \beta$

else if $\gamma = \beta$ **then**

$p = a, q = b + c, \zeta = \alpha, \eta = \beta$

else if $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$ **then**

$p = a, q = c, \zeta = \alpha, \eta = \gamma$

else if $\gamma = \alpha$ **then**

$p = a + c, q = b, \zeta = \alpha, \eta = \beta$

else

$p = c, q = a, \zeta = \gamma, \eta = \alpha$

end

end

Algorithm 1: Summing Power Numbers

The additive identity for Power Numbers is $0\epsilon^\infty$.

Definition 3.3. Multiplication $*$: $\text{PN}^K \times \text{PN}^K \rightarrow \text{PN}^K$ can be most simply expressed as addition of Power Numbers:

$$(a\epsilon^\alpha + b\epsilon^\beta) * (c\epsilon^\gamma + d\epsilon^\delta) = (ac\epsilon^{\alpha+\gamma} + ad\epsilon^{\alpha+\delta}) + (bc\epsilon^{\beta+\gamma} + bd\epsilon^{\beta+\delta}) = p\epsilon^\zeta + q\epsilon^\eta \in \text{PN}^K$$

The multiplicative identity for Power Numbers is $1 + 0\epsilon^\infty$.

While PN_ϵ^K is a monoid under both addition and multiplication, we can define two operations that have some of the properties we expect for subtraction and division.

Definition 3.4. Subtraction $-$: $\text{PN}^K \rightarrow \text{PN}^K$ is defined as:

$$-(a\epsilon^\alpha + b\epsilon^\beta) = (-a)\epsilon^\alpha + (-b)\epsilon^\beta$$

Naturally, $-$: $\text{PN}^K \times \text{PN}^K \rightarrow \text{PN}^K$ is defined as $A + (-B)$, where $A, B \in \text{PN}^K$.

Definition 3.5. The **multiplicative pseudo-inverse** $\text{inv} : \text{PN}^K \rightarrow \text{PN}^K$ is slightly more complicated.

Data: $a\epsilon^\alpha + b\epsilon^\beta \in \text{PN}^K$

Result:

$$\frac{1}{a\epsilon^\alpha + b\epsilon^\beta} = p\epsilon^\zeta + q\epsilon^\eta \in \text{PN}^K$$

if $\alpha = \infty$ **then**

 Not defined.

else if $\alpha = \beta$ **then**

$p = 0, q = \frac{1}{a+b}, \zeta = -\alpha, \eta = -\alpha$

else

$p = \frac{1}{a+b}, q = -\frac{b}{a^2}, \zeta = -\alpha, \eta = \beta - 2\alpha$

end

Algorithm 2: Multiplicative Inversion

Division $\div : \text{PN}^K \times \text{PN}^K \rightarrow \text{PN}^K$ is defined as $A \div B = A * (\text{inv}(B))$, where $A, B \in \text{PN}^K$.

This matches the Dual Numbers case, where $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$.

There is a special case wherein the pseudo-negative and -inverse are the true negative and inverse, which motivates the following

PROPOSITION 3.6. For $A = a\epsilon^\alpha + b\epsilon^\beta \in \text{PN}^K$, we have that $A - A = 0\epsilon^\infty$ and $A \div A = 1 + 0\epsilon^\infty$ if and only if $\beta = \infty$.

3.2 Exponentiation, Analytic Functions & Asymptotic Series

The definition of A^m for $A \in \text{PN}^K, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ follows naturally from the above definitions of multiplication and division.

Since we will generally consider ϵ to be small, we can extend any analytic function $h : K \rightarrow K$ to $h : \text{PN}_\epsilon^K \rightarrow \text{PN}_\epsilon^K$ for $\alpha \geq 0$. This is via Taylor series:

$$\begin{aligned} h(a\epsilon^0 + b\epsilon^\beta) &= h(a + b\epsilon^\beta) = h(a) + b\epsilon^\beta h'(a) \\ h(a\epsilon^\alpha + b\epsilon^\beta) &= h(0) + a\epsilon^\alpha h'(0) \quad \text{where } \alpha \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider an asymptotic series that is also a Hahn series; that is,

$$S(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{\alpha_n} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

where the $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ are strictly increasing. The primary significance of Power Numbers comes from the following

PROPOSITION 3.7. Let $f(z) = az^\alpha + bz^\beta + o(z^\beta), g(z) = cz^\gamma + dz^\delta + o(z^\delta)$ be series of the form in (1). Define the associated Power Numbers, $F = a\epsilon^\alpha + b\epsilon^\beta, G = c\epsilon^\gamma + d\epsilon^\delta$. Then, where \cdot is one of $(+, -, *, /)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \cdot g(z) &= pz^\zeta + qz^\eta + o(z^\eta) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \\ F \cdot G &= p\epsilon^\zeta + q\epsilon^\eta \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for any analytic function $h : K \rightarrow K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(f(z)) &= pz^\zeta + qz^\eta + o(z^\eta) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \\ h(F) &= p\epsilon^\zeta + q\epsilon^\eta \end{aligned}$$

4 EXAMPLES

4.1 Rational Polynomials at Infinity

We can get a simple system for evaluating a rational polynomial in the infinite limit. For example, consider:

$$f(z) = \frac{z^3 + z^2 + 1}{z^3 + z}$$

Taking the Power Number $z = \epsilon^{-1}$. By the arithmetic described above we have:

$$\frac{(\epsilon^{-3}) + (\epsilon^{-2}) + 1}{(\epsilon^{-3}) + (\epsilon^{-1})} = \frac{\epsilon^{-3}}{\epsilon^{-3}} = \epsilon^0$$

Which gives the correct limit when considering $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

5 CONCLUSION