Testing functional agent-based simulations

A functional approach

Jonathan Thaler
Thorsten Altenkirch
jonathan.thaler@nottingham.ac.uk
thorsten.altenkirch@nottingham.ac.uk
University of Nottingham
Nottingham, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

10

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

37

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54 55

56

57

58

TODO: this would be ideal to submit to a conference where i can also discuss functional programming

TODO: write introduction TODO: implement case study 1: property-testing of SIR TODO: implement case study 2: property-testing of Sugarscape TODO: Show the use of Haskell Titan TODO: write discussion TODO: write background TODO: write related research TODO: write conclusion & further research

KEYWORDS

Agent-Based Simulation, Functional Reactive Programming, Property-Based Testing, Haskell

ACM Reference Format:

1 INTRODUCTION

We feel that code testing is still lacking in ABS and that there does not exist much research and work on it. We propose a new method, called property-based testing, from which we hypothesise that it is more expressive and allows testing ABS models more umfassend.

We use two models as case-studies: - SIR, explanatory model: formulate formal model-specifications in property-tests - Sugarscape, exploratory model: formulate the hypotheses in property tests

The aim of this paper is to investigate the potential of propertybased testing which allows to directly express model-specifications in code and test them.

main message: testing of functional abs is easier due to composability and controlled side effects. also property-based testing is much more expressive, allowing a specification based testing. Contribution: first to look into the potential of property-based testing for agent-based simulation verification.

2 RELATED WORK

TODO: Test-driven agent-based simulation development [3] TODO: Back To the Future: Time Travel in FRP [4] TODO: Testing and Debugging Functional Reactive Programming [5]

3 BACKGROUND

TODO: List of Common Bugs and Programming Practices to avoid them [6] TODO: QuickCheck: A Lightweight Tool for Random Testing of Haskell Programs [1] TODO: Testing Monadic Code with QuickCheck [2] 60

61

72

73

74

75

80

81

86

94

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

113

114

115

116

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank

REFERENCES

- Koen Claessen and John Hughes. 2000. QuickCheck: A Lightweight Tool for Random Testing of Haskell Programs. In Proceedings of the Fifth ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '00). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 268–279. https://doi.org/10.1145/351240.351266
- [2] Koen Claessen and John Hughes. 2002. Testing Monadic Code with QuickCheck. SIGPLAN Not. 37, 12 (Dec. 2002), 47–59. https://doi.org/10.1145/636517.636527
- [3] N. Collier and J. Ozik. 2013. Test-driven agent-based simulation development. In 2013 Winter Simulations Conference (WSC). 1551–1559. https://doi.org/10.1109/ WSC.2013.6721538
- [4] Ivan Perez. 2017. Back to the Future: Time Travel in FRP. In Proceedings of the 10th ACM SIGPLAN International Symposium on Haskell (Haskell 2017). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 105–116. https://doi.org/10.1145/3122955.3122957
- [5] Ivan Perez and Henrik Nilsson. 2017. Testing and Debugging Functional Reactive Programming. Proc. ACM Program. Lang. 1, ICFP (Aug. 2017), 2:1–2:27. https://doi.org/10.1145/3110246
- [6] V. Vipindeep and Pankaj Jalote. 2005. List of Common Bugs and Programming Practices to avoid them. Technical Report. Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur.

Received May 2018

IFL'18, August 2019, Lowell, MA, USA 2019. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnn

1