Satoshi Ido

34788706

**Professor Craig** 

28 February, 2023

**STAT 582** 

## HW4a

Review Report: 7 - Project1 S515.pdf by Quan Nguyen Hien

1. Ideas/Content (Development)

Score: 5

**Reasons**: The report conveys the design of experiments and methods that they use clearly and why they use the approach. For example, the author of the report proposes the incomplete randomized design to "ensure that each treatment combination is replicated three times for each participant. It is recommended to employ a total of 84 different images, each repeated three times."

2. Organization

Score: 5

**Reasons**: The report holds logical and effective arrangement of the information and easy to follow the steps. I love the power calculation part following the design of the experiment part.

3. Voice

Score: 4

**Reasons:** The report does not include subjective viewpoints such as "I think~," instead, each sentence states its message strongly, sending the message to the reader directly. I noticed that the table in page 3 includes statistical jargon as column names which makes it hard for non-statistician to understand them.

4. Word Choice

Score: 4

**Reasons**: Sentences deliver the message concise and organized manner. However, each sentence might be shortened or be listed instead.

5. Sentence Fluency

Score: 5

**Reasons**: Each sentence has a clear message. A few of the sentences are redundant.

6. Conventions

Score: 5

**Reasons**: I do not see any grammatical issues nor misspelling in sentences.

7. Analytic Methods

Score: 5

**Reasons**: Overall, the proposed methods are reasonable. The report points out some technical obstacles and describes the way to avoid it in a well-mannered way.

8. Figures and Tables

Score: 4

**Reasons**: Overall, the report uses the reader-friendly word choices except the column names in the table for the power calculation.