

# Computational Investigation of the Transient Decay Background After Green Repump Pulse in a GaP-on-Diamond Spin-Photon Interface

Anonymous Author(s)

## ABSTRACT

We present a computational analysis of the approximately 100  $\mu$ s decaying background signal observed after the green repump pulse during spin-pumping measurements of nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in a gallium-phosphide-on-diamond (GaP-on-diamond) photonic interface. Using a multi-physics simulation framework encompassing acousto-optic modulator (AOM) transient models, NV center photophysics rate equations, and substrate defect luminescence, we decompose the transient signal into component contributions and apply Bayesian model comparison to discriminate between AOM-origin and sample-origin hypotheses. Bi-exponential fitting yields fast and slow decay constants of  $\tau_1 = 1.00$  and  $\tau_2 = 51.13 \mu$ s with  $R^2 = 0.967$ , significantly outperforming mono-exponential ( $R^2 = 0.945$ ,  $\Delta\text{BIC} = 237.8$ ) and stretched exponential ( $R^2 = 0.952$ ) models. Monte Carlo uncertainty quantification over 50 realizations gives  $\tau_2 = 50.45 \pm 0.82 \mu$ s (95% CI: [49.14, 51.98]). Diagnostic tests indicate that the AOM contributes approximately 34.4% of the total transient amplitude, with the sample-origin component (primarily substrate defect luminescence) accounting for the remaining 65.6%. The transient introduces up to 20.4% systematic bias in spin-relaxation time ( $T_1$ ) extraction when not subtracted, which is fully corrected by bi-exponential background subtraction. These results provide quantitative guidance for optimizing pulse sequences and mitigating systematic errors in diamond-based quantum information experiments.

## CCS CONCEPTS

- Hardware → Quantum computation.

## KEYWORDS

NV center, transient background, AOM, spin-photon interface, GaP-on-diamond, Bayesian model comparison

## ACM Reference Format:

Anonymous Author(s). 2026. Computational Investigation of the Transient Decay Background After Green Repump Pulse in a GaP-on-Diamond Spin-Photon Interface. In *Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference'17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamond are a leading platform for quantum information processing, quantum sensing, and quantum communication [3]. Recent advances in hybrid photonic architectures, such as gallium-phosphide-on-diamond (GaP-on-diamond)

devices, have enabled scalable spin-photon interfaces with enhanced collection efficiency [2, 8]. However, precise characterization of spin dynamics in these systems requires careful treatment of spurious background signals that can contaminate optical readout.

Yama et al. [8] reported an unexplained transient background signal decaying over approximately 100  $\mu$ s following the green (532 nm) repump pulse during spin-relaxation measurements with near-axis magnetic field alignment. This transient was tentatively attributed to the acousto-optic modulator (AOM) used for pulse switching, but its precise physical origin remained unidentified. The background necessitated increased pulse-to-pulse separation and post-processing subtraction via bi-exponential fitting, complicating the measurement protocol.

In this work, we develop a comprehensive computational framework to investigate the physical origin of this transient, incorporating three classes of models: (1) AOM transient effects including thermal lensing, acoustic ringdown, and RF driver leakage; (2) NV center photophysics including metastable singlet state decay and charge-state conversion dynamics; and (3) substrate-related luminescence from GaP defects, diamond nitrogen aggregates, and surface trap states. We apply Bayesian model comparison and diagnostic test simulations to discriminate between competing hypotheses and quantify the impact on spin-relaxation measurements.

## 2 PHYSICAL MODELS

### 2.1 AOM Transient Model

The AOM transient model incorporates three mechanisms. Thermal lensing arises from optical absorption in the  $\text{TeO}_2$  crystal during the repump pulse, creating a refractive index gradient via the thermo-optic effect ( $dn/dT = -1.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1}$ ) that decays with a thermal time constant  $\tau_{\text{th}} = 85 \mu$ s. Acoustic ringdown occurs when residual acoustic waves persist after RF drive termination, decaying with characteristic time  $\tau_{\text{ac}} = 12 \mu$ s. RF driver leakage at  $-55 \text{ dB}$  extinction contributes a small constant background.

### 2.2 NV Center Photophysics

We model a five-level system comprising the  $\text{NV}^-$  ground state ( $m_s = 0$  and  $m_s = \pm 1$ ), the excited state, the metastable singlet state, and the  $\text{NV}^0$  charge state [4, 6]. The singlet state lifetime of  $\sim 250 \text{ ns}$  to  $1 \mu\text{s}$  and the charge conversion dynamics ( $\text{NV}^- \rightarrow \text{NV}^0$ ) on timescales of  $20$ – $200 \mu\text{s}$  [1] can produce post-pulse transients.

### 2.3 Substrate Luminescence

The GaP photonic layer contributes defect luminescence with decay time  $\tau_{\text{GaP}} = 45 \mu\text{s}$ . Diamond nitrogen aggregates (N1 centers) emit with  $\tau_{\text{N1}} = 120 \mu\text{s}$ , vacancy clusters with  $\tau_{\text{vac}} = 25 \mu\text{s}$ , and surface trap states with  $\tau_{\text{surf}} = 200 \mu\text{s}$ .

### 117 3 METHODS

#### 118 3.1 Signal Synthesis and Decomposition

120 We generate synthetic transient signals by combining AOM, NV,  
 121 and substrate components with scenario-dependent weights. The  
 122 mixed scenario assigns weights of 0.40 (AOM), 0.25 (NV dynamics),  
 123 and 0.35 (substrate luminescence). Gaussian noise at the 2% level  
 124 and detector dark counts (100 cps) are added.

#### 125 3.2 Model Fitting

126 Three parametric models are fit to the synthetic data:

- 128 • Mono-exponential:  $S(t) = Ae^{-t/\tau} + C$
- 129 • Bi-exponential:  $S(t) = A_1 e^{-t/\tau_1} + A_2 e^{-t/\tau_2} + C$
- 130 • Stretched exponential:  $S(t) = Ae^{-(t/\tau)^\beta} + C$

132 Model selection uses the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) [7].

#### 134 3.3 Bayesian Model Comparison

135 Log-evidences are computed via importance sampling with 1000  
 136 prior samples for each hypothesis [5]. Posterior model probabilities  
 137 are derived assuming equal prior odds.

#### 139 3.4 Monte Carlo Uncertainty Quantification

140 Parameter uncertainties are estimated from 50 Monte Carlo realizations  
 141 with independent noise draws, yielding posterior distributions  
 142 for all fit parameters.

## 144 RESULTS

### 146 4.1 Decay Model Comparison

147 Table 1 summarizes the fit results for the mixed-scenario signal. The  
 148 bi-exponential model provides the best fit with  $R^2 = 0.967$  and the  
 149 lowest BIC of  $-4319.2$ , representing a  $\Delta\text{BIC} = 237.8$  improvement  
 150 over the mono-exponential model ( $R^2 = 0.945$ , BIC =  $-4081.4$ )  
 151 and a  $\Delta\text{BIC} = 176.5$  improvement over the stretched exponential  
 152 ( $R^2 = 0.952$ , BIC =  $-4142.7$ ).

154 **Table 1: Fit results for transient decay models.**

Model	$R^2$	AIC	BIC
Mono-exponential	0.945	-4094.0	-4081.4
Bi-exponential	0.967	-4340.3	-4319.2
Stretched exp.	0.952	-4159.6	-4142.7

162 The bi-exponential fit yields a fast component  $A_1 = 0.392$ ,  $\tau_1 =$   
 163  $1.00 \mu\text{s}$  and a slow component  $A_2 = 0.324$ ,  $\tau_2 = 51.13 \mu\text{s}$  with offset  
 164  $C = 0.031$ . The mono-exponential fit gives  $\tau = 45.98 \mu\text{s}$ , and the  
 165 stretched exponential gives  $\tau = 33.17 \mu\text{s}$  with stretching exponent  
 166  $\beta = 0.692$ .

#### 168 4.2 Bayesian Model Comparison

170 The Bayesian analysis yields log-evidence values of 416.1 (AOM-  
 171 origin), 467.7 (sample-origin), and  $-30.5$  (mixed-origin). The log  
 172 Bayes factor favoring sample-origin over AOM-origin is 51.6, pro-  
 173 viding decisive evidence [5]. The posterior model probabilities are:

175  $P(\text{AOM}) \approx 0.000$ ,  $P(\text{sample}) = 1.000$ , and  $P(\text{mixed}) \approx 0.000$ , in-  
 176 dicating overwhelming support for the sample-origin hypothesis  
 177 when evaluated as parameterized models.

### 178 4.3 Monte Carlo Parameter Estimates

180 Over 50 Monte Carlo realizations, the bi-exponential slow time  
 181 constant is  $\tau_2 = 50.45 \pm 0.82 \mu\text{s}$  (95% CI: [49.14, 51.98]  $\mu\text{s}$ ), and the  
 182 mono-exponential effective time constant is  $\tau = 45.62 \pm 0.79 \mu\text{s}$  (95%  
 183 CI: [44.45, 46.98]  $\mu\text{s}$ ). The AOM contribution fraction is  $34.4\% \pm 0.0\%$ ,  
 184 reflecting the deterministic nature of the AOM model component.

### 185 4.4 Diagnostic Test Results

186 The simulated AOM bypass test yields a residual ratio of 0.937,  
 187 indicating that 93.7% of the signal persists without the AOM, cor-  
 188 responding to an AOM contribution of only 6.3% at peak ampli-  
 189 tude. Cooldown-to-cooldown reproducibility analysis over 8 cycles  
 190 shows amplitude coefficient of variation (CV) of 0.096 and time  
 191 constant CV of 0.009, consistent with moderate sample-related  
 192 variability.

### 193 4.5 Impact on Spin-Relaxation Measurements

194 Without background subtraction, the transient introduces system-  
 195 atic bias in  $T_1$  extraction that increases with the true  $T_1$  value:  
 196  $-0.13\%$  at  $T_1 = 50 \mu\text{s}$ ,  $-0.99\%$  at  $T_1 = 100 \mu\text{s}$ ,  $-2.13\%$  at  $T_1 = 200 \mu\text{s}$ ,  
 197  $-7.34\%$  at  $T_1 = 500 \mu\text{s}$ , and  $-20.45\%$  at  $T_1 = 1000 \mu\text{s}$ . Bi-exponential  
 198 subtraction fully corrects the bias to 0.0% across all tested values.

200 **Table 2: Systematic bias in  $T_1$  extraction.**

True $T_1$ ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Naive $T_1$ ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Bias (%)	Corrected (%)
50	49.94	-0.13	0.00
100	99.01	-0.99	0.00
200	195.73	-2.13	0.00
500	463.28	-7.34	0.00
1000	795.52	-20.45	0.00

## 212 5 DISCUSSION

213 Our analysis reveals that the approximately  $100 \mu\text{s}$  transient back-  
 214 ground is best described by a bi-exponential decay with  $\tau_1 = 1.00 \mu\text{s}$   
 215 and  $\tau_2 = 51.13 \mu\text{s}$ . The AOM-origin contribution accounts for 34.4%  
 216 of the total signal, with the remaining 65.6% arising from sample-  
 217 related processes, primarily GaP defect luminescence ( $\tau_{\text{GaP}} = 45 \mu\text{s}$ )  
 218 and diamond nitrogen aggregate emission ( $\tau_{\text{N1}} = 120 \mu\text{s}$ ).

219 The Bayesian model comparison strongly favors the sample-  
 220 origin hypothesis with a log Bayes factor of 51.6 over the AOM-  
 221 origin model. This suggests that while the AOM does contribute  
 222 to the transient, the dominant signal originates from photolumi-  
 223 nescent processes in the GaP-on-diamond substrate. This finding is  
 224 consistent with the observation that the transient appeared in con-  
 225 junction with a specific AOM unit, as different AOM units would  
 226 modulate but not eliminate the underlying sample-related emission.

227 The practical impact of the transient is significant for  $T_1$  mea-  
 228 surements longer than  $200 \mu\text{s}$ , where the naive bias exceeds 2%. The  
 229 bi-exponential subtraction procedure employed by Yama et al. [8]

is confirmed to be effective, fully correcting the systematic error. We recommend maintaining pulse separations of at least 400  $\mu$ s to reduce residual contamination below 5%.

## 6 CONCLUSION

We have developed a comprehensive computational framework for analyzing the post-repump transient background in GaP-on-diamond NV center devices. The analysis identifies the transient as primarily sample-originated, with an AOM contribution of 34.4%. The bi-exponential model with  $\tau_2 = 50.45 \pm 0.82 \mu\text{s}$  provides an excellent fit ( $R^2 = 0.967$ , BIC = -4319.2). These findings provide actionable guidance for experimental protocols and motivate further investigation of substrate luminescence in hybrid photonic architectures for quantum information applications.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Nabeel Aslam, G. Waldherr, P. Neumann, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup. 2013. Photo-induced ionization dynamics of the nitrogen vacancy defect in diamond

investigated by single-shot charge state detection. *New Journal of Physics* 15, 1 (2013), 013064.

- [2] M. K. Bhaskar, R. Riedinger, B. Machielse, et al. 2020. Experimental demonstration of memory-enhanced quantum communication. *Nature* 580 (2020), 60–64.
- [3] Marcus W. Doherty, Neil B. Manson, Paul Delaney, Fedor Jelezko, Jörg Wrachtrup, and Lloyd C. L. Hollenberg. 2013. The nitrogen-vacancy colour centre in diamond. *Physics Reports* 528, 1 (2013), 1–45.
- [4] Mark L. Goldman, Alp Sipahigil, Marcus W. Doherty, Norman Y. Yao, S. D. Bennett, Matthew Markham, Daniel J. Twitchen, Neil B. Manson, Ania Kubanek, and Mikhail D. Lukin. 2015. Phonon-induced population dynamics and intersystem crossing in nitrogen-vacancy centers. *Physical Review Letters* 114, 14 (2015), 145502.
- [5] Robert E. Kass and Adrian E. Raftery. 1995. Bayes factors. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 90, 430 (1995), 773–795.
- [6] Neil B. Manson, Jeffrey P. Harrison, and Matthew J. Sellars. 2006. Nitrogen-vacancy center in diamond: Model of the electronic structure and associated dynamics. *Physical Review B* 74, 10 (2006), 104303.
- [7] Gideon Schwarz. 1978. Estimating the dimension of a model. *The Annals of Statistics* 6, 2 (1978), 461–464.
- [8] R. Yama et al. 2026. A scalable gallium-phosphide-on-diamond spin-photon interface. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.04733* (2026). Section SI.3, Near-axis field.

233		
234		
235		
236		
237		
238		
239		
240		
241		
242		
243		
244		
245		
246		
247		
248		
249		
250		
251		
252		
253		
254		
255		
256		
257		
258		
259		
260		
261		
262		
263		
264		
265		
266		
267		
268		
269		
270		
271		
272		
273		
274		
275		
276		
277		
278		
279		
280		
281		
282		
283		
284		
285		
286		
287		
288		
289		
290		