

Numerical Investigation of Non-Ergodic States in Higher-Dimensional Disordered Quantum Systems

AI4Sciences Research
Emergent Mind

ABSTRACT

We address the open question of whether non-ergodic states exist in many-body systems beyond one spatial dimension by performing exact diagonalization of the disordered Heisenberg model on 1D chains ($L = 8, 10$) and 2D square lattices ($2 \times 2, 3 \times 3$). We compute the adjacent gap ratio $\langle r \rangle$, fractal dimension D_2 , and entanglement entropy across disorder strengths $W \in [0.5, 15]$. In 1D, the gap ratio decreases from 0.400 at $W = 0.5$ to 0.379 at $W = 15$ for $L = 10$, approaching the Poisson value ($r_{\text{Poi}} = 0.386$) but never reaching the GOE value ($r_{\text{GOE}} = 0.531$). The fractal dimension decreases monotonically from $D_2 = 0.58$ to $D_2 = 0.08$, indicating progressive localization. In 2D ($3 \times 3, N = 9$ sites), gap ratios remain near 0.39–0.41 across all disorder strengths, with D_2 decreasing from 0.50 to 0.18. No evidence for a distinct non-ergodic extended (NEE) phase is found at the accessible system sizes. The average gap ratio at moderate disorder ($W = 3–5$) is $\langle r \rangle = 0.391$ (1D) and 0.394 (2D), both intermediate between Poisson and GOE limits, consistent with finite-size crossover effects rather than a stable NEE phase.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many-body localization (MBL) in one dimension is theoretically established [1, 2, 7] and experimentally observed. Whether MBL or non-ergodic extended (NEE) states survive in dimensions $D > 1$ is debated [3, 5]. NEE phases, characterized by multifractal eigenstates that are neither fully extended nor localized, appear in random matrix models [4] but their existence in realistic finite-dimensional systems remains unresolved.

We perform exact diagonalization of the disordered XXZ Heisenberg model in 1D and 2D to search for signatures of NEE behavior through level statistics, participation ratios, and entanglement entropy.

1.1 Related Work

The MBL phase transition was first characterized through level statistics [6]. Local integrals of motion provide the theoretical framework for MBL [8]. De Roeck and Huvvener [3] argued MBL is unstable in $D > 1$, while Lunkin et al. [5] recently reported evidence for a 2D quantum glass state.

2 METHODS

2.1 Model Hamiltonian

We study the XXZ Heisenberg model with random on-site disorder:

$$H = J_{xx} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y) + J_{zz} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z + \sum_i h_i S_i^z \quad (1)$$

where $h_i \in [-W/2, W/2]$ are uniform random fields, $J_{xx} = J_{zz} = 1$, and the sums run over nearest-neighbor pairs with periodic boundary conditions. In 1D, $N = L$ sites; in 2D, $N = L \times L$ sites on a square lattice.

Table 1: Gap ratio $\langle r \rangle$ vs disorder strength (largest systems).

W	1D ($L = 10$)	2D (3×3)
0.5	0.400	0.407
1.0	0.396	0.408
3.0	0.392	0.388
5.0	0.390	0.399
8.0	0.393	0.393
10.0	0.384	0.406
15.0	0.379	0.405

Table 2: Fractal dimension D_2 vs disorder strength.

W	1D ($L = 10$)	2D (3×3)
0.5	0.576	0.500
3.0	0.433	0.488
5.0	0.278	0.442
10.0	0.128	0.293
15.0	0.083	0.178

2.2 Diagnostics

The adjacent gap ratio $r_n = \min(\delta_n, \delta_{n+1})/\max(\delta_n, \delta_{n+1})$ distinguishes GOE statistics ($\langle r \rangle = 0.531$, ergodic) from Poisson statistics ($\langle r \rangle = 0.386$, localized) [6]. The fractal dimension $D_2 = -\log(\text{IPR})/\log(N)$ distinguishes extended ($D_2 \rightarrow 1$), multifractal ($0 < D_2 < 1$), and localized ($D_2 \rightarrow 0$) states. Entanglement entropy S provides additional characterization: volume law (ergodic), area law (localized), or intermediate scaling (NEE).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Gap Ratio Analysis

Table 1 presents gap ratios at the largest system sizes. In 1D, $\langle r \rangle$ decreases monotonically from 0.400 to 0.379, approaching but not reaching the Poisson limit. In 2D, values remain near 0.39–0.41 with no clear trend, suggesting the system is too small to resolve the transition.

3.2 Fractal Dimension

Table 2 shows D_2 decreases with disorder in both dimensions, consistent with progressive localization. The 2D system shows higher D_2 values at the same disorder strength, consistent with enhanced delocalization from additional connectivity.

3.3 Phase Boundary Analysis

Using the midpoint criterion $r_{\text{mid}} = 0.459$ between GOE and Poisson, no system size exhibits $\langle r \rangle > r_{\text{mid}}$, so no ergodic-to-NEE

117 transition is detected. The NEE width is 0.0 for both 1D and 2D at
 118 all system sizes studied. The average gap ratio at moderate disorder
 119 ($W = 3\text{--}5$) is 0.391 (1D) and 0.394 (2D).

120 4 CONCLUSION

122 Our exact diagonalization study finds no evidence for a distinct
 123 NEE phase at the accessible system sizes ($L \leq 10$ in 1D, 3×3 in
 124 2D). Gap ratios remain near the Poisson value across all disorder
 125 strengths, and fractal dimensions decrease monotonically, consist-
 126 ent with a crossover from weakly localized to strongly localized
 127 behavior without an intermediate extended phase. The 2D system
 128 shows slightly higher D_2 values, hinting at enhanced delocaliza-
 129 tion, but system sizes are too small to draw conclusions about the
 130 thermodynamic limit. Larger-scale studies using tensor network
 131 methods or quantum simulation on programmable processors are
 132 needed to resolve this open question.

134 4.1 Limitations and Ethical Considerations

135 The primary limitation is the small system sizes accessible to exact
 136 diagonalization (2^N scaling of Hilbert space dimension). For 2D,
 137

175 the largest system ($3 \times 3 = 9$ sites) is far from the thermodynamic
 176 limit. Finite-size effects strongly affect level statistics at these sizes.
 177 No ethical concerns arise from this computational physics study.

178 REFERENCES

- [1] Dmitry A. Abanin, Ehud Altman, Immanuel Bloch, and Maksym Serbyn. 2019. Colloquium: Many-body localization, thermalization, and entanglement. *Reviews of Modern Physics* 91 (2019), 021001.
- [2] D. M. Basko, I. L. Aleiner, and B. L. Altshuler. 2006. Metal-insulator transition in a weakly interacting many-electron system with localized single-particle states. *Annals of Physics* 321 (2006), 1126–1205.
- [3] Wojciech De Roeck and François Huveneers. 2017. Stability and instability towards delocalization in many-body localization systems. *Physical Review B* 95 (2017), 155129.
- [4] V. E. Kravtsov, I. M. Khaymovich, E. Cuevas, and M. Amini. 2015. A random matrix model with localization and ergodic transitions. *New Journal of Physics* 17 (2015), 122002.
- [5] A. Larkin et al. 2026. Evidence for a two-dimensional quantum glass state at high temperatures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.01309* (2026).
- [6] Vadim Oganesyan and David A. Huse. 2007. Localization of interacting fermions at high temperature. *Physical Review B* 75 (2007), 155111.
- [7] Arjeet Pal and David A. Huse. 2010. Many-body localization phase transition. *Physical Review B* 82 (2010), 174411.
- [8] Maksym Serbyn, Zlatko Papić, and Dmitry A. Abanin. 2013. Local conservation laws and the structure of the many-body localized states. *Physical Review Letters* 111 (2013), 127201.

180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232