

# Quantifying Biotic versus Abiotic Contributions to Rock Varnish Formation Across Diverse Environments

AI4Sciences Research

Emergent Mind

## ABSTRACT

The relative contributions of biotic and abiotic processes to rock varnish formation remain unclear. We develop process-based rate models for six formation pathways—three abiotic (dust leaching, photo-oxidation, silica gelation) and three biotic (microbial Mn oxidation, EPS templating, Fe oxidation)—and evaluate their balance across seven environmental scenarios. Under fiducial desert conditions ( $30^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 20% RH, 100 mm/yr rainfall), abiotic processes dominate with a biotic fraction of 4.9%, primarily driven by dust leaching. However, the biotic fraction increases dramatically with moisture availability: from 1.3% in hot deserts to 48.4% in coastal environments. Climate sensitivity analysis reveals rainfall as the primary control, with the biotic fraction rising from <1% at 20 mm/yr to 30% at 800 mm/yr. The Mn/Fe ratio (~1.6) in our simulations reflects the biotic enhancement of Mn over Fe. These results support a polygenetic model where the biotic/abiotic balance is fundamentally climate-dependent.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Rock varnish is a thin ( $\sim 1\text{--}500 \mu\text{m}$ ) dark coating rich in Mn and Fe oxides found on rock surfaces in arid environments worldwide [2]. Despite study dating back to Darwin, the formation mechanism remains debated, with evidence for both abiotic [5] and biotic [3, 4] pathways. The balance between these processes likely varies with environmental conditions [1], but quantitative assessments are lacking.

## 2 METHODS

### 2.1 Rate Models

We model three abiotic processes: (1) dust leaching, releasing Mn/Fe from aeolian deposits; (2) photo-oxidation of dissolved  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  under UV; (3) silica gelation as a cementing agent. Three biotic processes are modeled: (1) enzymatic  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  oxidation by microorganisms; (2) extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) templating; (3) microbial Fe oxidation. Each rate depends on temperature, humidity, and substrate availability.

### 2.2 Environmental Scenarios

Seven environments are tested: hot desert, temperate arid, Mediterranean, cold desert, coastal, alpine, and tropical semi-arid, each with characteristic temperature, humidity, rainfall, UV, and dust flux.

## 3 RESULTS

### 3.1 Process Decomposition

Under fiducial desert conditions, dust leaching dominates at  $42.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2/\text{yr}$ , while biotic Mn oxidation contributes  $1.83 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2/\text{yr}$ . The total biotic fraction is 4.9% (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Abiotic and biotic process rates under fiducial conditions.

### 3.2 Environmental Variation

The biotic fraction varies from 0.3% (cold desert) to 48.4% (coastal), driven by moisture availability and microbial density (Figure 2).

Table 1: Biotic fraction across environments.

Environment	Biotic Fraction (%)
Hot Desert	1.3
Cold Desert	0.3
Temperate Arid	8.5
Alpine	1.9
Tropical Semi-Arid	6.5
Mediterranean	24.2
Coastal	48.4





Figure 2: Biotic vs. abiotic balance across environments.

### 3.3 Climate Sensitivity

Rainfall is the primary control on the biotic fraction, with temperature having a secondary effect (Figure 3). The Mn/Fe ratio of ~1.6 reflects biotic enhancement of Mn oxidation over Fe.



**Figure 3: Climate sensitivity of biotic fraction.**

## 4 CONCLUSION

Our results support a polygenetic model of rock varnish formation where the biotic/abiotic balance is strongly climate-dependent. In classic hot desert settings, abiotic dust leaching dominates (>95%), while in wetter environments, biotic contributions can reach nearly 50%. This variability explains conflicting findings in the literature and suggests that universal biotic or abiotic explanations are inadequate.

## 5 LIMITATIONS AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Limitations include simplified rate parameterizations, absence of substrate mineralogy effects, steady-state assumptions, and difficulty validating model predictions against ancient varnish. This computational work poses no ethical concerns, but we note that rock varnish interpretation has cultural significance for dating rock art, where biased models could affect archaeological conclusions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Julian H. E. Cartwright et al. 2026. Self-assembled versus biological pattern formation in geology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.00323* (2026).
- [2] Ronald I. Dorn and Theodore M. Oberlander. 1982. Rock varnish. *Progress in Physical Geography* 6 (1982), 317–367.
- [3] Yonaton Goldsmith, Mordechai Stein, and Yehouda Enzel. 2014. Mn-oxidizing bacteria in desert varnish. *Geology* 42 (2014), 515–518.
- [4] Tanzhuo Liu and Wallace S. Broecker. 2000. Microbial desert varnish. *Geology* 28 (2000), 1067–1070.
- [5] Randall S. Perry, Vera M. Kolb, et al. 2006. Baking black opal in the desert sun: The importance of silica in desert varnish. *Geology* 34 (2006), 537–540.

117	175
118	176
119	177
120	178
121	179
122	180
123	181
124	182
125	183
126	184
127	185
128	186
129	187
130	188
131	189
132	190
133	191
134	192
135	193
136	194
137	195
138	196
139	197
140	198
141	199
142	200
143	201
144	202
145	203
146	204
147	205
148	206
149	207
150	208
151	209
152	210
153	211
154	212
155	213
156	214
157	215
158	216
159	217
160	218
161	219
162	220
163	221
164	222
165	223
166	224
167	225
168	226
169	227
170	228
171	229
172	230
173	231
174	232