openSAP

Enterprise Architecture in the Era of the Intelligent Enterprise

00:00:05	Welcome to the first unit of the openSAP course, Enterprise Architecture in the Era of Intelligent Enterprise.
00:00:12	I am Robert Heidasch, the Global SAP Digital Innovation Leader at IBM.
00:00:17	Together with me in the room are Allan Coulter, our Global SAP Chief Technology Officer at IBM,
00:00:24	and Mark Dudgeon, European Technology Officer at IBM for Business Services. In this unit, we would like to talk
00:00:32	about the vision Intelligent Enterprise is and what is the experience with our new mega trends and IBM.
00:00:40	Allan, maybe first coming to you. We heard about Intelligent Enterprise and all the phases.
00:00:48	What does it mean to IBM and to the work you do for SAP? At IBM, we use the phrase Cognitive Enterprise,
00:01:00	I think the same principle is there. What we're really talking about is really
00:01:08	how we drive different types of experiences. How we go beyond the traditional process at the
00:01:17	transaction level using these new exponential technologies to drive new types of insights and experiences.
00:01:26	The foundation of that type of intelligent, or cognitive, experience is data.
00:01:35	We talk a lot about data-driven transformation. Again, we've had this phrase of data is the new oil,
00:01:43	and what that really means for us is the fact that we're seeing data moving from being this
00:01:49	byproduct of a transaction to actually being this foundation for these types of new intelligent process experience.
00:01:57	So, how we use data to drive and look for new opportunities regarding process efficiency
00:02:01	or new data models or new trading models, like data monetization strategies and such like.
00:02:08	So, really what we're doing is going beyond the core of the traditional digital core,
00:02:14	which has been the heart of SAP for many years, into this notion of using data with AI and automation,
00:02:22	to really make this transitional shift to intelligent capabilities
00:02:27	and what we call intelligent workflows. SAP are on the same space.
00:02:32	So, we've seen, obviously, with recent acquisitions that SAP have made with the likes of Contextor and Recast.Al and so on,
00:02:41	that they're also moving into this notion of AI and automation to redefine how SAP is really also used
00:02:48	for the consumers of SAP as well. And, if you look for your experience,
00:02:54	how does this play into the architectural thinking? So, really, at the heart of the kind of architecture,
00:03:01	what we're really talking about is how do we create this new type of target operating model?
00:03:09	So, how do we look at redefining the way that processes will be executed?
00:03:16	We need to look at the new technologies that are being applied.





00:03:19	And, we need to look at the new skills that are needed. And that's what's going to drive the kind of change management behavior.
00:03:27	So I mean, the influence of intelligence into how we drive these new target operating models,
00:03:34	is because we can see the effect of processes by adding this new exponential intelligence capability.
00:03:41	So we see processes going from transactional to AI-infused intelligent workflows.
00:03:49	We can see the impact on technology. So, technology from SAP is going beyond
00:03:53	this traditional transaction platform to this notion of these experiential technologies of data,
00:04:00	AI, automation, IoT, Blockchain, whatever. And fundamentally, we can see the impact on the human,
00:04:07	on the skills required. So, we can see skills moving beyond ABAP coding
00:04:13	and configuration knowledge to data science or automation skills and code-native-type developments.
00:04:20	But we can also see the impact on the consumer. So, traditionally we can see
00:04:25	that the people have been logging into SAP and feeding this big beast for many years.
00:04:31	Tomorrow we can see actually the process shifting from a human experience
00:04:36	to a machine experience. So, we talk about changing the experience
00:04:41	from a data-processing experience to a data-consuming experience,
00:04:45	and these are kind of the big shifts in the target operating model
00:04:48	and we use enterprise architecture and those types of techniques to actually drive
00:04:52	that operating model shift. Sounds very interesting.
00:04:58	Mark, maybe coming to you. When you look at your experience,
00:05:02	what is the difference now? Why are we seeing the Intelligent Enterprise,
00:05:06	as the mega trend of SAP and not only SAP, and what is influencing customers?
00:05:12	Okay, well every year at IBM, our Institute for Business Value
00:05:19	conducts global market studies across thousands of organizations,
00:05:23	what we call the C-suite studies. And we see two key trends
00:05:31	emerging from that. As Allan mentioned earlier, there are
00:05:34	the exponential technologies, such as IoT, Big Data, automation, artificial intelligence,
00:05:43	and the pace of technology disruption, is offering up massive
00:05:47	opportunities for our customers to offer new products and
00:05:51	services to their customers. For example, new business platforms,
00:05:58	how you monetize and provide the ability to monetize your data,
00:06:04	and when you apply these technologies at scale, the combined impact will
00:06:10	reshape standard business operating models and change the core of organizations.
00:06:16	And secondly, there's a shift to the new consumer app experience.
00:06:23	We need to help to provide new insights, new value, new experiences
00:06:27	for the consumers, for our customers, and for our employers. We need to massively simplify
00:06:35	the consumption of IT services, what they do, how they do it,
00:06:41	and we have lots of conversations using the IBM rapid discovery advisory tool
00:06:47	to provide the new capabilities for our customers. This takes into account, design thinking
00:06:53	and the re-engineering of processes from the traditional, manual,
00:06:58	step-by-step analog processes to the intelligent workflows
00:07:02	that we heard about earlier on. You'll hear IBM and SAP use the term intelligent workflows.
00:07:10	It is redefining how we shape SAP and the resultant consumer experience.
00:07:15	We move away from the traditional SAP user acting as a data processor
00:07:21	to the data intelligence consumer to drive more value for their organization,

00:07:28	turning the expertise using artificial intelligence. The other factor is, obviously, that we understood,
00:07:37	as classic industries are constantly being redefined, their clients experience competitors
00:07:44	from the new digital disruptors, Airbnb, Uber, fintechs,
00:07:51	the organizations need to respond to survive, and quickly, to the new types of market threats.
00:07:57	They need to be able to turn their knowledge into insight
00:08:01	and action into a response to this new challenge. Okay, as you can see, the
00:08:08	Intelligent Enterprise today is having a huge influence
00:08:11	on the daily life of each enterprise. Allan, maybe coming to you,
00:08:17	so, how does business and technology disruption influence the way IBM architects solutions for our clients today?
00:08:26	Yeah so again, if you look at the way that we designed
00:08:34	the SAP types of solutions in the past, a lot of that was engineered around
00:08:39	how we designed the actual core, underlying, transactional platform.
00:08:44	But, so the biggest shift really, in how we are designing and building solutions
00:08:51	is the fact that we've got to design with intelligence in mind.
00:08:57	We've got to design the, when we do that kind of traditional process,
00:09:00	design and discovery activities, you know, where do we actually take advantages
00:09:06	in finance on a procurement, or on supply chain, with the likes of this
00:09:10	data AI automation block chain IoT capabilities. So, it's kind of redefining how we
00:09:17	design the actual process. Now, that process has to be underpinned
00:09:21	by a set of architectural building blocks. So, how do I make sure of the fact that
00:09:28	we can realize that kind of intelligent ambition level?
00.00.04	
00:09:31	So, what are the right technologies to use, how to make sure it's actually an open architecture,
00:09:31	so, what are the right technologies to use, how to make sure it's actually an open architecture, so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier?
00:09:36	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier?
00:09:36 00:09:40	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting.
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working,
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise.
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today?
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture.
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29 00:10:36	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture. A key point is organizations should be adopting a more enterprise architecture approach
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29 00:10:36 00:10:42	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture. A key point is organizations should be adopting a more enterprise architecture approach to really understanding the business ambitions, the business vision target operative model,
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29 00:10:36 00:10:42 00:10:50	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture. A key point is organizations should be adopting a more enterprise architecture approach to really understanding the business ambitions, the business vision target operative model, and what the end state should be. And more importantly, what is the sequence
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29 00:10:36 00:10:42 00:10:50 00:10:55	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture. A key point is organizations should be adopting a more enterprise architecture approach to really understanding the business ambitions, the business vision target operative model, and what the end state should be. And more importantly, what is the sequence based on their ambitions, which could vary by organization, based on pain points,
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29 00:10:36 00:10:42 00:10:50 00:10:55 00:11:01	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture. A key point is organizations should be adopting a more enterprise architecture approach to really understanding the business ambitions, the business vision target operative model, and what the end state should be. And more importantly, what is the sequence based on their ambitions, which could vary by organization, based on pain points, based on priorities, based on how they want to get to the end state.
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29 00:10:36 00:10:42 00:10:50 00:10:55 00:11:01 00:11:06	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture. A key point is organizations should be adopting a more enterprise architecture approach to really understanding the business ambitions, the business vision target operative model, and what the end state should be. And more importantly, what is the sequence based on their ambitions, which could vary by organization, based on pain points, based on priorities, based on how they want to get to the end state. For example, we've seen organizations start the journey with an SAP transformational event,
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29 00:10:36 00:10:42 00:10:50 00:10:55 00:11:01 00:11:06 00:11:12	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture. A key point is organizations should be adopting a more enterprise architecture approach to really understanding the business ambitions, the business vision target operative model, and what the end state should be. And more importantly, what is the sequence based on their ambitions, which could vary by organization, based on pain points, based on priorities, based on how they want to get to the end state. For example, we've seen organizations start the journey with an SAP transformational event, with a move to S/4HANA as the foundation platform to start that digital journey
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29 00:10:36 00:10:42 00:10:50 00:10:55 00:11:01 00:11:06 00:11:12	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture. A key point is organizations should be adopting a more enterprise architecture approach to really understanding the business ambitions, the business vision target operative model, and what the end state should be. And more importantly, what is the sequence based on their ambitions, which could vary by organization, based on pain points, based on priorities, based on how they want to get to the end state. For example, we've seen organizations start the journey with an SAP transformational event, with a move to S/4HANA as the foundation platform to start that digital journey to the Intelligent Enterprise. And we've seen others who've started an
00:09:36 00:09:40 00:09:52 00:09:58 00:10:05 00:10:08 00:10:14 00:10:21 00:10:25 00:10:29 00:10:36 00:10:42 00:10:50 00:10:55 00:11:01 00:11:06 00:11:12 00:11:18 00:11:21	so it's future and scalable and responsive, as Mark said earlier? We need to make sure of the fact that the system responds in line with the actual business threats as well. We cannot create the same types of SAP outcomes we had in the past, where the system is kind of working at a different pace from the wider business ambitions. That's why we ended up with things like the two speed architectures in recent years. Very interesting. And Mark, if you know, look on your experience. What you have today, how it's working, how you are working with the clients to become an Intelligent Enterprise. Are there some good examples that you can share with us today? Yeah, there are multiple entry points in creating the new architecture. A key point is organizations should be adopting a more enterprise architecture approach to really understanding the business ambitions, the business vision target operative model, and what the end state should be. And more importantly, what is the sequence based on their ambitions, which could vary by organization, based on pain points, based on priorities, based on how they want to get to the end state. For example, we've seen organizations start the journey with an SAP transformational event, with a move to S/4HANA as the foundation platform to start that digital journey to the Intelligent Enterprise. And we've seen others who've started an Intelligent Enterprise journey, integrating the new technologies and platforms

00:11:39	of the sustainability agenda, and this started as a Big Data initiative,
00:11:44	using data and artificial intelligence to shift from a classic B2B distributor model
00:11:51	to a more B2C approach, to monetize data and provide insights directly to the farmers
00:11:58	to increase the yield on their crops. And one of the key enablers is cloud.
00:12:06	In IBM, using our cloud advisory expertise, bolstered by our Red Hat acquisition,
00:12:14	we need to understand how cloud capabilities beyond the typical view of cloud
00:12:19	is providing the new infrastructure. The new intelligent infrastructure.
00:12:24	Cloud native apps. How we use SaaS applications to simplify workloads,
00:12:33	PaaS platforms, where we define the new cloud native, cloud first application developments,
00:12:40	leveraging the new technologies and APIs. And if you look at what IBM
00:12:47	see as the core building block for the Intelligent Enterprise, it's based on a secure, hybrid, multi-cloud foundation,
00:12:55	as we evolve to the new application architecture that generates data and brings intelligence
00:13:01	to the organization. Sounds very interesting.
00:13:05	Mark, thank you very much for this insight. I think this was very interesting to you,
00:13:12	how the link between cloud data AI inside SAP, as the foundation for the new
00:13:18	Intelligent Enterprise is working. Allan, coming maybe to you,
00:13:23	you mentioned you want this kind of discussion about data, data foundation.
00:13:29	This is the new oil of the company. How are you architecting the solutions?
00:13:35	Where are you doing that? How do you know what data you need
00:13:40	in your project in your engagement? Yeah, so again, if we refer back to the target operating model.
00:13:50	Today, we see, with the pace of change in most organizations, that largely speaking,
00:14:00	a lot of these new intelligent ambitions are evolving.
00:14:08	And therefore, we need to have a more progressive or iterative journey
00:14:12	towards that particular end state. So the companies really are evolving,
00:14:18	reinventing, whatever phrase you want to use. So as we move into more,
00:14:25	these digital experiences, and as we start to use more agile practices as a way of, you know,
00:14:31	really designing, really what the solutions need to be. So similarly, when we look at what data
00:14:38	is required to drive the exploitation, we need to evolve and look at the different
00:14:43	types of data sources that will drive, or improve the actual decision-making recommendations
00:14:49	that we give to the actual end user. So data is very similar to other things.
00:14:56	So as we start to ingest more data from different data sources, we can translate that
00:15:03	into insight and intelligence, and then use that as a foundation for action.
00:15:07	The more that we learn about other ways in which we can improve that recommendation type insight,
00:15:14	and then we'll look for other data sources. Right, so again, it's this whole notion of
00:15:19	driving this iterative design process, so the fact that we can improve the recommendation,
00:15:26	we can improve the action that we want the user to actually take, ultimately, to actually make sure
00:15:31	that we go from a very traditional, analog type process to an intelligent process,
00:15:36	which is augmented or automated, which then drives the efficient behavior for the company.
00:15:43	Allan, very interesting. So in summary, maybe Mark,
00:15:49	if you look now at the takeaways, what would you find on IBM side?
00:15:55	What is for you now the Intelligent Enterprise, as a summary to give you the best impression of
00:16:01	what we should do? Okay, thanks Robert.

00:16:05	I'd say for the folks looking at this session, the key takeaways are
00:16:10	the Intelligent Enterprise is the next evolution of your SAP journey
00:16:15	as we evolve beyond the classic view of SAP as a digital core.
00:16:20	Secondly, the Intelligent Enterprise is a multi-layer set of exponential technologies
00:16:25	with data at the core, driving new insights powered by artificial intelligence and other technologies.
00:16:33	We're creating new experiences for employees, customers, and the ecosystems as we shift beyond
00:16:40	processes to value change. And in leveraging the enterprise architecture
00:16:46	capabilities to align the Intelligent Enterprise with business strategy using our rapid discovery advisory offering,
00:16:53	and making sure that business and IT are aligned, we ensure that we have business architecture
00:16:59	with a technical and processes architecture, to maximize the outcomes,
00:17:04	infusing the skills of the information architecture and data science skills to turn this architecture
00:17:11	approach into the potential value that will form the basis of this new intelligent workflow,
00:17:18	are the key areas I would say, for the audience to take away from this.
00:17:22	Excellent. Allan, Mark, many thanks from my side,
00:17:26	from the side of our users, for sharing the great experience.
00:17:32	In the next unit, we would like to hear about enterprise architecture and enterprise architecture management,
00:17:39	this is presented by our colleagues from University in St. Gellen. And this brings us to the end of the unit.
00:17:48	Many thanks for listening, and I hope to discuss this topic with you
00:17:53	in our discussion forum. Many thanks.

00:00:05	Welcome to week one, unit two. My name is Rob Winter.
00:00:10	I'm a professor of information management at the University of St. Gallen.
00:00:13	And in this unit, I'm going to give you an introduction to our fundamental terms,
00:00:18	which are enterprise architecture and enterprise architecture management.
00:00:24	In the last unit, we learned about the Intelligent Enterprise and what the main components of the Intelligent Enterprise are.
00:00:31	These are, well, quite a number of different components already,
00:00:36	and if you imagine, it's a large, complex company, maybe in the dynamic context,
00:00:41	and maybe with a long history. You can imagine that we have quite a number,
00:00:46	maybe a huge number, maybe a number of several thousand of these components.
00:00:52	And so, talking about complexities, talking about the sheer number of things to care about,
00:00:59	it's basically something that comes very close to the idea of enterprise architecture
00:01:04	and the necessity of managing it. A specific problem is in this context,
00:01:08	that at the end it's not about basically, well, understanding the complexities that we have
00:01:13	and somehow dealing with it. What is of particular difficulty
00:01:18	is that we have more and more projects, and these projects all, basically, change this architecture,
00:01:25	check the things that we have in the enterprise. This is an example that we've learned from
00:01:31	the Swiss National Railways, which were a case study that we investigated.
00:01:36	And they basically made very nicely visible that with 200 projects per year,
00:01:42	that basically year after year after year, having 200 different projects,
00:01:47	changing many, many different components of the architecture that they have,
00:01:51	and that means that over the years, it's becoming more and more complex,
00:01:56	more and more need for understanding the dependencies, more and more difficulties
00:02:03	of having an idea about, well basically, how the things hang together
00:02:07	and what happens if we touch a certain thing, and whether it's dangerous or not dangerous,
00:02:12	and what the effects of these changes are. So at the end,
00:02:16	what we see is that even if the complexity seems to be manageable, the dynamics
00:02:22	and the changes that we apply lead to more and more problems in dealing with all that stuff that we have,
00:02:28	all the new stuff that we have, and the fact that usually in a project
00:02:31	no one cares too much about getting rid of stuff. So people like to build new things.
00:02:36	They don't like to somehow clean up after projects. And so we have more and more stuff to care about.
00:02:42	And over time in the large company, like in this case a big universal bank,
00:02:49	we're talking about 6,000 or even more IT applications that we have.
00:02:54	6,000 IT applications, and even one application consists of a number of components,
00:03:00	touches a lot of different data, involves a lot of different roles
00:03:04	and responsibilities and authorizations, and so having 6,000 of these,
00:03:08	and having a large number of projects means that we have basically not a real chance
00:03:14	to control redundancy, to control consistency, to basically stay on top of these dynamics
00:03:21	during the whole period of where we need to manage the architecture.
00:03:29	So, this is the problem that we have in enterprise architecture.

00:03:34	And the question is, well, will the Intelligent Enterprise be somehow safer from these problems?
00:03:39	Because now we are talking about traditional organizations. Not necessarily the ones that really comply
00:03:45	with our idea about the Intelligent Enterprise. If you remember in the last unit,
00:03:51	talking about the Intelligent Enterprise, is it really different in this regard?
00:03:56	We don't think so. We think it's even worse in the Intelligent Enterprise,
00:04:00	because we have more devices, we have more applications, much more data, much more data, many more things means
00:04:06	many more interdependencies, more variability because we have more customers,
00:04:12	we need to be involved more. Different shade between different customers,
00:04:16	understand the customer journeys, make individual offers,
00:04:20	and we have a higher speed of everything, of the project, of the change, of the dynamics.
00:04:25	So, if we have in traditional companies, a lot of complexity, a lot of problems with complexity,
00:04:31	in the Intelligent Enterprise it will not be different, it will be probably even worse.
00:04:36	So in the end, talking about, well, managing this complexity and somehow
00:04:43	treating the management systematically and understanding what we have
00:04:46	and what the dependencies are is a real problem, and that is basically what we try to address
00:04:54	with enterprise architecture management. And enterprise architecture management is based on
00:05:00	a definition of enterprise architecture, which you see here. It took quite a while, basically the enterprise architecture definition
00:05:06	was derived from a definition that comes from IT architecture, but it can be very nicely applied
00:05:13	and transferred to enterprise architecture. It is the fundamental organization
00:05:18	of a system, of a corporate system. It is basically carrying the organization,
00:05:25	as well as probably vendors and customers. It could be also a part of the organization,
00:05:32	but what is important are two words here in the definition. Fundamental and principles.
00:05:39	Fundamental means architecture means not, well, the full detail of all the models,
00:05:43	the full detail of things. If we have 6,000 applications,
00:05:46	these applications have probably several thousand parts and components, each of them,
00:05:50	which means we have millions of things and we cannot manage millions of things
00:05:55	all the time with all these projects. So it's about the fundamental organization
00:05:59	that is important, so an abstract view on what we have. And we shouldn't look only at the structures,
00:06:09	only at what we have, interdependencies, we also look at the principles,
00:06:12	and principles means, well, how do we deal with the structures?
00:06:16	How do we evolve the structures? What are the rules that we have to observe,
00:06:21	that we should observe that let us control complexity? For this enterprise architecture,
00:06:27	always remember fundamental and principles. What does enterprise architecture management mean?
00:06:32	Enterprise architecture management means it's the processes, it's the rules,
00:06:37	it's the methods, it's the tools that we use to control, to understand and control
00:06:44	enterprise architecture in a purposeful way. And purposeful means, well, we have goals.
00:06:51	We have to be very much aware of what we want to achieve,
00:06:54	what are our performance indicators, and we need to have processes,
00:06:59	processes to systematically arrive at these goals by interventions, by intervening.

00:07:05	And we need tools to support these processes. So these are the fundamental definitions
00:07:10	and we should always keep in mind that it's about the fundamental things.
00:07:14	It's not about the detail. What should be covered
00:07:20	by the enterprise architecture? Enterprise architecture is not IT architecture.
00:07:25	So enterprise architecture should cover also the business components.
00:07:29	And business components are all the things that somehow are related to strategy,
00:07:33	as well as the things that are related to organization, organizational structure,
00:07:37	as well as organizational processes. And then of course we have IT.
00:07:41	That's what people usually understand as IT architecture. So it's basically the fundamental structures
00:07:47	of the software and the fundamental structures of the IT infrastructure.
00:07:52	And what you see here is that, well, these things look quite different.
00:07:57	Some are very business-oriented. They are non-technical,
00:08:01	they have to do with customers, with strategy, with performance.
00:08:04	Some others are very technical. They have to do with traditional architectural things like
00:08:09	pieces of software and pieces of infrastructure. And these things, well, in the enterprise we have all of them,
00:08:16	and they are basically highly dependent on each other. In information systems, we talk about, well,
00:08:22	IT-reliant business systems or social technical systems, which means we have this business component
00:08:28	and we have the technical components, and we need to combine, to connect these components.
00:08:34	And that's the reason we also have something that we call an alignment architecture,
00:08:38	an alignment layer, and this means we have to understand, thoroughly understand, how the business things
00:08:44	and the IT things are interrelated. And interrelated means in both directions.
00:08:51	How do IT things support business artifacts? And how are the business goals, the business processes,
00:09:00	the business objects supported actually, or maybe in the planned state by IT components,
00:09:06	like software and infrastructure? So, a lot of different things,
00:09:11	and these things don't exist independently of each other. They are connected.
00:09:16	And these connections are somehow the little dotted lines that you see here
00:09:20	in that transparency, and these are very important because they show us how the things
00:09:26	are, basically, linked together. So, it's important that enterprise architecture
00:09:32	goes beyond business architecture, that it goes beyond IT architecture,
00:09:37	that it spans all this, kind of, business to IT stack, and, well, all the little dependencies
00:09:44	between very business-oriented things and very technical things.
00:09:48	But it's not only that, it's something more.
00:09:52	We shouldn't only understand within a solution how the things hang together.
00:09:56	We should also understand across solutions. What the fundamental processes,
00:10:01	the fundamental software pieces, the fundamental products, the fundamental infrastructure components
00:10:08	are that we have in the company? So we don't only have these kind of vertical dependencies
00:10:12	that we need to know, we also need to know the horizontal dependencies.
00:10:17	So we need to know all the important pieces and how they hang together,
00:10:21	the processes with other processes, the software with other software,

00:10:25	the products with other products, the KPIs with other KPIs. So that's a second dimension of enterprise architecture.
00:10:32	Not being only business to IT, but also being integrative
00:10:38	and understanding the dependencies within a level. Now is this enough?
00:10:42	No, it's not enough, because if we had only that, it would be, basically, a certain point in time
00:10:51	where we understand everything. But the thing evolves over time,
00:10:55	and so we also need to understand this evolution, we need to understand entire life cycles.
00:11:01	So we not only should know all the aspects and all the things, but also how it develops over time,
00:11:07	across the entire life cycle. And those are the three dimensions that are really important
00:11:12	always to have in mind about enterprise architecture. All aspects, all the things, all of our mental things,
00:11:19	and everything over time. So, enterprise architecture is quite a complex thing,
00:11:24	and I think now it's self-evident that as a consequence, we really have to deal with,
00:11:31	we can only deal with the most abstract things. We cannot deal with everything,
00:11:35	because to understand everything, all aspects of everything, all everythings, and even over time, that's not doable.
00:11:42	And so abstraction and aggregation is something that is very important in enterprise architecture
00:11:47	and enterprise architecture management. Structures, it's something that we have on all layers.
00:11:56	And if we want to differentiate between a business architecture, an organization architecture,
00:12:00	an IT architecture, of course on each of these layers we have architectures.
00:12:06	We should know the things that are important on the respective layers, and we should understand
00:12:10	how these things interrelate and how the layers interrelate.
00:12:15	But these are only the structures. This is like a photo.
00:12:18	But we also note a video, a video that goes back into the past and forwards into the future,
00:12:24	and that means that we should deal not only with the structures and how things are linked together,
00:12:29	but also what the changes are, like for example, in the upper right
00:12:33	you'll see something like a project portfolio. We should know what changes we basically are planning.
00:12:39	And we need, of course, to understand how the changes are interrelated.
00:12:42	So, we have not only these many different, many dependencies within the structures.
00:12:47	We also have the many dependencies across time within the projects.
00:12:51	And so that's basically the complexity that we have in the real world, and that we somehow
00:12:56	in an abstracted form need to understand in enterprise architecture management.
00:13:05	These were the basic definitions of enterprise architecture and enterprise architecture management.
00:13:10	They are not only, well, relevant in every organization, but especially also in the Intelligent Enterprise
00:13:17	for all the reasons we've looked at. And that brings me to the end of this unit,
00:13:21	and thank you for listening and I hope to connect with you on the discussion forum.

00:00:05	Hello, welcome to week one, unit three. I'm Stephan Aier, I'm the assistant professor
00:00:11	of information management at the University of St. Gallen in Switzerland
00:00:16	and today I will talk about the management of enterprise architecture.
00:00:23	So, in the last unit we talked about the holistic perspective of architecture, that is we want to cover
00:00:29	all elements of an artifact type, we want to align business processes
00:00:33	with information systems, and we want to have a certain transparency
00:00:38	on how architecture will develope over time. We want to do that in order to, at the end of the day,
00:00:47	realize the synergies, the potential for synergies that we have in the architecture.
00:00:52	To do that, we need to manage the development of the architecture so that it
00:00:57	develops purposefully towards our goals. If we think about the ideas and goals
00:01:04	of architecture management I think there are some certain basic goals that we have,
00:01:10	like creating transparencies, having an idea of where we actually are
00:01:14	with all our components of the enterprise architecture, which is the foundation for, at the end of the day,
00:01:22	also simplifying architecture and consolidating architecture.
00:01:28	If we have a certain transparency and a certain simplification of our architecture,
00:01:33	then another goal would be to create consistency of the architecture and to maintain it.
00:01:38	Consistency means that we should have consistent data, ideally we have consistent implementation
00:01:44	of information systems, and we have information systems
00:01:48	that also fit the business requirements. From an architectural perspective,
00:01:53	that is already quite challenging, creating transparency, simplification, and consistency,
00:01:58	but we think that's necessary to also create and to also achieve goals
00:02:03	like flexibility and agility for the business. That means really being able to implement
00:02:10	business innovation that benefits the customer. So how to do that.
00:02:19	So, what are underlying services and the products, so to say, that architects produce?
00:02:25	I think it all starts with the first product, which is transparency.
00:02:28	So, what we want to do is we want to create models that document this state,
00:02:35	the current state of the architecture. That is which business processes do we have,
00:02:39	which information systems do we have, which data do we have,
00:02:42	and how do they fit together? That transparency documents the current state,
00:02:50	but, of course, we also want to go one step further, and that is to think about
00:02:55	so, what is the goal tomorrow? Where do we want to be a year from now?
00:03:00	So, what we want is to have a certain target model, we want to have a roadmap on how to get there,
00:03:05	and we want to have a certain transformation plan of how to implement it.
00:03:10	That's the second product, that's planning. The third product is rules.
00:03:15	Why do we need them? We need them basically because
00:03:20	with the target model, you know, today you try to define where you want to be in a year,
00:03:27	but at the end of the day, things could develop very differently.
00:03:31	So, these rules and principles that we have, they function like kind of guardrails
00:03:37	to find out which decisions really to avoid, you know. A classic example of that is you desperately

00:03:45	want to avoid something like copying master data, because copying master data often leads
00:03:51	to inconsistency of the data in the long run. So these first three products and services
00:04:01	of enterprise architecture management, they are very helpful for creating good architecture
00:04:09	and they're obvious for architects that they are needed, but at the end of the day, the rest of the organization
00:04:15	does not necessarily understand why this is good because these plans and rules at the end,
00:04:21	they restrict the design freedom of the local units. And so the fourth product is that kind of communication,
00:04:29	so explaining through the organization why it is actually helpful and useful
00:04:34	to have these plans and principles in place. Which brings me to the final product,
00:04:41	we call it implementation. And implementation actually tries to take care
00:04:46	that the plans, rules, principles that we developed actually take effect
00:04:52	in the implementation of the actual architecture. For example, we have two means
00:04:59	of how to support that implementation. The first is, of course, we can review projects,
00:05:05	review projects for their compliance to the architecture principles and rules
00:05:10	that we defined beforehand. And in case of non-compliance, potentially
00:05:15	also to stop projects and ask them to correct it. On the other hand, there is the much more supportive mode,
00:05:23	where architects could actually work in the projects to make sure that projects deliver better results.
00:05:32	Now if we think of how to actually make all these means effective,
00:05:39	so how to actually implement architecture management, we would say there are two flavors of how to do that.
00:05:45	The first flavor, we call it the very classical, like, enforcement-centric enterprise architecture management,
00:05:51	where it's basically about defining rules and also taking care of sanctions that we may need
00:05:59	if the projects do not comply to these rules. On the other hand, we see the second flavor
00:06:08	of enterprise architecture management, which we will call influence-centric EAM,
00:06:12	where the goal is not so much to check for compliance with rules,
00:06:17	but at the end of the day to employ the social system and the social pressure that can be present in such a system
00:06:26	to make people aware of, basically, the side effects or the collateral damage that they may create
00:06:34	with non-compliant projects. And by that kind of visibility of successful
00:06:40	and good behavior, but also the visibility of the non-compliant behavior and their consequences,
00:06:47	the idea is to make people aware of and understand the consequences of their design decisions
00:06:53	beyond the immediate area of responsibility. Now we think it's not so much either or,
00:07:02	so it's not enforcement-centric or influence- centric architecture management we think it probably needs
00:07:08	a well-balanced combination of these two. If we think about the first flavor,
00:07:16	so what does it look like? What do enforcement-centric enterprise architecture management processes look like?
00:07:23	We think it always starts with the description of the as-is situations,
00:07:27	so where are we today with our organization? And, of course, the as-is situation is subject to change.
00:07:33	There are certain change drivers out there, like technology innovation, new legal requirements

00:07:39	that come up that we somehow have to deal with. And based on the as-is situation and the change requirements,
00:07:46	we want to derive a to-be situation. And then the question is, of course, how to implement
00:07:52	that new to-be, and that usually happens through a potentially larger number of projects
00:07:59	that need to be somehow aligned in a project portfolio for their dependencies that they may have,
00:08:06	for the resources that are available, and, of course, also the business priorities
00:08:11	that are associated with these projects. If we decided and aligned on a certain project portfolio
00:08:20	then of course these projects before they are actually implemented,
00:08:23	they need to be reviewed by architects again for their compliance with rules and principles.
00:08:28	If we go to the build phase in large projects, what often is necessary is also to check
00:08:35	again the projects during the build phase, because many of the architecturally relevant decisions
00:08:41	may only be taken during the implementation phase. After implementation, we run the new systems
00:08:48	for example, and they then become part of the new as-is architecture.
00:08:57	Well, how to make sure that this process is actually carried out according to plan?
00:09:05	Or put differently, how are these rules and approvals actually enforced?
00:09:11	So, the basic means to do that is what we would call governance, or particularly
00:09:18	enterprise architecture governance. So here I brought you an example from Swiss Re,
00:09:24	and in Swiss Re they tried to implement architecture governance through a means of words,
00:09:29	from more operational boards like the business domain, process management network,
00:09:35	to more strategic boards like the Enterprise Architecture Steering board.
00:09:40	And the idea of these boards is, basically, to have the important stakeholders on board
00:09:44	and to discuss architectural issues, compliance and non-compliance to rules and principles,
00:09:50	and to take decisions. And the governance basically describes who is allowed
00:09:54	to take which decisions. From a more academic perspective,
00:09:59	we would say that governance is just one of the means that we have to actually enforce or enact control
00:10:08	in the enterprise architecture so that we have certain means of controlling individual behavior
00:10:14	to align it to organization rules and goals. And these means that we usually have
00:10:21	are something like we can control impulse, like which resources do we have,
00:10:25	how many resources do we have? We can control outputs, like what should be the results.
00:10:31	And we can control the processes in between, so how should a project be delivered, for example.
00:10:39	This is what we would call the formal means of controls. But if we come to the second flavor
00:10:47	of architecture management, when we think about really influencing behavior
00:10:52	through norms and values, we also have a normal of informal means.
00:10:56	So, what we try with the second flavor of EAM, which we often refer to as architectural thinking,
00:11:03	is to better fit the requirements that we basically see in agile processes
00:11:11	and agile ways to build and deliver software, where there's not actually a thing like projects anymore
00:11:17	but there are local teams that, in sprints, develop new functionality and new software,
00:11:23	and therefore it would probably not be very helpful to have all these compliance checks
00:11:28	again and again and again with all these project teams, but we somehow need to find ways
00:11:34	that these local development teams basically understand what the consequences

00:11:39	of their design decisions are, and we need to make it transparent,
00:11:43	not only to them but also to their peers, what is good and what is not so good
00:11:48	design from the architectural perspective. One way to implement that,
00:11:55	and we come to that in more detail in week two, is through implementing nudges.
00:12:02	So, nudge is basically like you try to push someone in what you think is the right direction.
00:12:10	So for example, if you want to nudge people for more healthy nutrition, for example,
00:12:17	then one way to do that would be, like in our case in the university restaurant,
00:12:21	to just put healthy food before the checkout, in order to, kind of, nudge people to take an apple
00:12:31	and not the chocolate, for example. Banning just junk food would not be a nudge,
00:12:36	because then you would basically not have all decision options available anymore,
00:12:41	but you would basically force people to behave in a certain way, but it's not nudging anymore.
00:12:47	And we think that this kind of nudging is also quite helpful in the architecture management context,
00:12:54	because then we can try to nudge people to think about well what is the impact of my decision
00:12:59	beyond the project that I'm currently in and we've done that with the example
00:13:05	that you see on the right-hand side here, with what we call an architecture efficiency label.
00:13:11	That label may look familiar to you because it's basically the same idea
00:13:16	that is implemented in some energy efficiency labels that you know from buying a refrigerator
00:13:21	or buying a TV set in an electronics market, where the label indicates
00:13:28	basically how energy efficient is the particular device.
00:13:31	And, of course, people want to nudge you to rather maybe spend a little bit more
00:13:36	to buy the device that is more, well, energy efficient, in that case.
00:13:40	And we try to achieve a very similar goal with making sure, in this case,
00:13:46	which of the organizational units are to what an extent compliant,
00:13:51	we would say architecturally efficient, to the rules and expectations that we defined beforehand.
00:13:59	And through this label it's very easy to understand, it's accessible, it's visible in the organization.
00:14:07	We want to raise awareness also beyond the architects for the issue of, in this case, architectural compliance
00:14:14	and the increasing complexity that results from non-compliance.
00:14:20	So together with that, what we talked about in this unit
00:14:24	is architectural management, so how to actually manage the evolution of architecture.
00:14:31	We talked about the products and services that are necessary for that.
00:14:35	And we talked about the two flavors, that is the enforcement-centric
00:14:39	and the influence-centric flavor of architecture management, which we will continue to discuss in the following
00:14:47	units that we have. That brings me to the end of this unit.
00:14:51	I thank you very much for listening, and I hope to discuss with you in forum.
00:14:55	Thank you.

00:00:05	Welcome to week one, unit four. My name is Robert Winter
00:00:09	and I am a professor of information management at the University of St. Gallen.
00:00:14	In unit four, we will be covering modeling. Modeling, analyzing, and communicating
00:00:19	enterprise architecture. That fits quite nicely, because in the preceding units
00:00:24	we've talked about the definition and understanding of enterprise architecture and enterprise architecture management,
00:00:31	and then afterwards about managing enterprise architecture. And now we should talk about modeling,
00:00:37	because at the end managing requires that we have some representation in order to
00:00:42	understand enterprise architecture and also to communicate about
00:00:46	enterprise architecture with others. And I think it's a good idea to start first reflecting
00:00:53	a little bit on the scope we should have for modeling. And you already know from preceding units,
00:01:02	this general overview, let's call it this way, of enterprise architecture, in which someone visualizes
00:01:09	what kinds of things we should talk about, and we sometimes have to talk about
00:01:13	in enterprise architecture management. That starts on a very business-related level,
00:01:18	with business artifacts like business models and ecosystem models and business objectives and KPIs,
00:01:26	and goes all the way through the different layers, down to infrastructure component and network components.
00:01:31	And of course, we have to decide, well, do we need all of this?
00:01:36	And if we need all of this, how can we make sure that we, basically, understand
00:01:41	and are able to manage the connections between it? Now, why do we need all of this?
00:01:48	And what is special about the connection of these different things?
00:01:53	And therefore it's maybe a good idea to, well, talk a little bit about the life cycles
00:01:59	and the changes that we have on the different layers here of enterprise architecture.
00:02:04	So what we usually can observe, think again, or remember, we're talking about
00:02:09	fundamental structures here, not about the little things. Everywhere we have little things, constantly changing.
00:02:16	But the fundamental structures on the business layers, they change quite often and quite heavily.
00:02:21	So usually when we have a new CEO, it takes one, two, three,
00:02:26	a maximum of four years, hopefully, to somewhat fundamentally change
00:02:30	the way a business is positioned and business strategy. Processes, fundamental process changes,
00:02:37	they could be maybe even implemented within three months or six months.
00:02:41	So, business architecture is quite fast moving. What about IT?
00:02:47	Well, many people will blame me now for this view, the fundamental structures of IT,
00:02:55	they need many years to be changed. I'm not talking about IT projects in general,
00:03:00	or use-oriented or little changes in the IT systems. I'm talking about fundamental structures,
00:03:05	and fundamentally structuring the way integration systems work.
00:03:09	Fundamentally restructuring support systems on an enterprise-wide level.
00:03:14	That takes many years because we're talking about, well, enterprise-wide systems.
00:03:19	Many, many data that have to be updated, processes that have to be updated in IT.

00:03:25	So we have quite a long moving cadence here on the IT level.
00:03:31	And if you look at, basically, the way that these architectures are interconnected,
00:03:36	and the difference cadences of change, it becomes clear that we need something in between.
00:03:42	Enterprise architecture is not just a collection of business architecture and IT architecture,
00:03:47	but it needs something in the middle, a kind of stable core, in order somehow
00:03:51	to understand and to align all these difference changes. Align the fast-changing business architectures
00:03:58	with the slower changing IT architecture, and align IT changes and new IT potentials
00:04:04	with the business exploitation of them. So, basically the scope is always business to IT,
00:04:10	more or less, and that brings us to the question, well, if we need this big scope in enterprise architecture,
00:04:17	how much detail can we afford? And for that purpose, we have this visualization.
00:04:23	Here we have all the pyramids, some people see them as trees, but they're pyramids.
00:04:28	All these pyramids visualize a certain type of artifact, a certain type of structure, that can be viewed
00:04:35	on a very abstract level, then it's very few things we can talk about,
00:04:40	very few abstract things. Or that can be looked at, at a very detailed level
00:04:44	and then we have many, many, many things. So, at the bottom in the level of detail
00:04:48	we have many, many things. At the top, a high level of aggregation,
00:04:51	we have only very few things. In between we have all the different layers
00:04:55	of increasing detail and increasing number of things. And I think it becomes clearly visible
00:05:00	from this visualization. If you really want to understand business to IT,
00:05:06	the whole thing and all the interdependencies, we need to stay on the top.
00:05:10	We need to stay on the abstract level, why? We cannot be broad and deep at the same time.
00:05:17	It's just not capable for systems and not capable for humans.
00:05:22	So in enterprise architecture, if we want to understand business to IT,
00:05:26	the dependencies, if we understand how changes in the business, changes in processes,
00:05:31	changes in applications, changes in IT, how they interrelate, if we understand basically,
00:05:36	if we change something, where we have to look, then we need to stay on abstract level
00:05:41	and that means enterprise architecture needs to be much more aggregate, much more abstract
00:05:47	than the other architectures that we have in companies. So we cannot be, we must not be lost in details.
00:05:54	We have to dare to be aggregate, abstract, and manage enterprise architecture in addition
00:06:00	to all the other architecture management functions and roles that we already have.
00:06:07	Now, this could be possibly useful to many, many roles in the organization.
00:06:14	Who are the customers of enterprise architecture? Which types of models, which types of artifacts
00:06:21	should we look at? This brings us to the topic of,
00:06:24	well, how do we find the use cases for enterprise architecture management?
00:06:30	And how do we develop in which direction the concept of architecture management
00:06:34	depending on that analysis of, if you want to, the customers of EAM.
00:06:40	And this illustration somehow tries to sketch the broad range of potential customers
00:06:47	and potential users of enterprise architecture management that we have in a company.
00:06:52	And, of course, there are the obvious ones. The obvious one is IT.
00:06:56	Because IT needs to understand all these kinds of dependencies

00:06:59	in order to build systems according to business needs. So, they're basically the first and foremost customers
00:07:06	of enterprise architecture management. But there is much more beyond IT.
00:07:10	Like for example, compliance could be very interested in seeing whether the interplay
00:07:15	of all these IT pieces and all these business pieces is well managed and is well understood.
00:07:21	Business development has an interest in developing new solutions, innovative solutions,
00:07:26	business innovation, business transformation based on a thorough understanding on
00:07:30	how these bits and pieces fit together. Controlling should be very interested in understanding
00:07:37	how, for example, IT codes and business codes are linked together
00:07:42	and work together and have to be considered together, in order to better understand trade-offs
00:07:46	and better understand optimizations. So, we have quite a lot of potential customers
00:07:52	for enterprise architecture management. The question is always, well,
00:07:55	who is really supporting architecture management? Who really needs the outputs,
00:08:01	the products of enterprise architecture management? And these we should identify
00:08:07	and then create an EAM approach in a company according to that, if you like, market analysis.
00:08:15	So, the general way of developing an idea about what models we need
00:08:20	and what products we should deliver, that usually starts with understanding the stakeholders,
00:08:27	understanding their concerns, and deriving appropriate products and KPIs.
00:08:33	And then once we have understood whom to serve with EAM, then we can create the respective design of EAM,
00:08:41	which means, well, then we can decide which models we need, which frameworks we probably need,
00:08:47	which tools we need, and we can optimize and tailor our services and our value proposition
00:08:53	to these customers. So at the end, in summary, EAM is a service,
00:08:59	a support service like many support services in the business, and this can only be successfully developed
00:09:05	and successfully managed and operated by knowing your customer, understanding the customer,
00:09:10	and developing the value proposition based on that understanding.
00:09:14	So, we can have certain customers, many customers, depending on, basically, the marketing of EAM in the company.
00:09:25	The problem of that is that if we have different customers,
00:09:29	they may have a very different understanding of what we're talking about here in enterprise architecture.
00:09:34	Yes, we have a definition. The definition tells us, well, it's the fundamental things
00:09:39	and how they interrelate, and the principles, how they evolve. But if you think about a very business-oriented person
00:09:48	and a very technical person, if they look at the same thing,
00:09:51	at the same structures in the company, they might be interested in very different aspects.
00:09:56	They have a very different understanding. And this little cartoon on the right-hand side,
00:10:01	it illustrates this quite nicely. It's a classic.
00:10:04	It's from the early times of object orientation. It's a grandma and a physician or veterinarian
00:10:12	looking at a cat. And they look at the same cat and see totally different things.
00:10:17	The grandma has what we call the using view, so basically she sees the cat as something
00:10:22	that you have to feed and then you can pet it. And once you stop feeding the cat,
00:10:29	at a certain point in time, you cannot pet it anymore.

00:10:32	So that's the usage view, without really understanding why this happens.
00:10:35	That no food, no petting. The veterinarian on the other side,
00:10:41	she, basically, understands very well why the cat cannot be petted anymore if we don't feed it.
00:10:48	But on the other hand, she isn't really interested in petting the cat, and also not in feeding the cat.
00:10:54	She's interested in, basically, understanding how the cat works.
00:10:58	Feeding it basically, it's function, it's functioning, and if it's broken how to fix it.
00:11:05	And so they basically have very, very different understandings of the same thing.
00:11:09	And the same is true for enterprise architecture and enterprise architecture management.
00:11:12	We have business stakeholders, like controllers or business developers,
00:11:17	and we have IT people, we have project managers, they're all looking at enterprise architecture
00:11:22	and seeing very different things. And that's basically the core of modeling.
00:11:27	Because modeling means abstracting from the complexity of the real things
00:11:33	and reducing the representation to the things that are important
00:11:39	for the respective stakeholder and for his or her concerns.
00:11:43	So it's a process of extraction. It's a process of reduction of complexity,
00:11:50	and making things visible to a certain stakeholder, which, basically, is of interest to that stakeholder group.
00:11:57	As a consequence, models can never be right or true, they cannot be wrong or true,
00:12:02	they cannot be false, they can only be more or less valid, more or less useful
00:12:11	for the concerns that you have. And different stakeholders use different models,
00:12:16	they have different perceptions. They probably prefer different notations, and that means
00:12:21	there is not the way to model enterprise architecture, there is not the set of models
00:12:27	that basically communicate and visualize everything to everyone, there's just basically a lot
00:12:33	of different models, a lot of different viewpoints. And so they're all mappings, they're all representations,
00:12:39	they're all reductionist, but at the end we have to deal with a huge variety of models and notations,
00:12:45	because we have many stakeholders, we have many concerns, and the concerns differ.
00:12:51	What is a key characteristic of enterprise architecture is that we have models of the IT side,
00:12:59	models of the business side, and models of how these two interrelate.
00:13:03	So at the end, the scope should be more or less always the same.
00:13:07	Maybe some stakeholders are a little bit more technically motivated,
00:13:12	some other stakeholders may be more motivated in terms of changing things, like project managers.
00:13:17	Some others may be more interested in dependencies like controllers or compliance managers.
00:13:23	But at the end, overall enterprise architecture management should provide a scope of models
00:13:35	that capture the three dimensions that we've talked about in earlier units,
00:13:39	business to IT, all the relevant artifacts for a certain concern, and the entire life cycle,
00:13:45	to understand how things emerge and develop. Important is that all these models
00:13:52	don't exist separately from each other, but they are interlinked, they are interconnected.
00:13:57	And so, if we really want to do serious modeling and talk about serious modeling
00:14:02	in enterprise architecture management, we have to base our models on a,
00:14:07	well, we call it a meta-model, on an overarching foundational model,

00:14:12	that links together all these different models. So, what we need is a kind of understanding
00:14:17	that tells us and that defines how business positioning, how products, how services, how business objectives,
00:14:29	business objects, processes, structures, IT applications, IT infrastructures, software components,
00:14:36	how this is linked together. So we need a comprehensive meta-model.
00:14:40	That was a discussion in the earlier times of enterprise architecture, what is the appropriate,
00:14:45	what is the right meta-model. But in the end, no meta-model is always right
00:14:49	and always appropriate. It depends on, basically, whom you want
00:14:52	to deliver which results. But what the meta-model should provide
00:14:59	is a clear and sound basis for connecting the different partial models
00:15:06	and supporting this kind of dependency analysis and visualizations that we need for managing enterprise architecture.
00:15:15	We have the core model that is basically very holistic, very comprehensive, and then we have the concerns.
00:15:21	And all the concerns, we have here four concerns that we look at, like IT consolidation,
00:15:26	business IT alignment, component reuse, or compliance. All these concerns are basically then a subset
00:15:34	of that meta-model, but they are all based on the comprehensive meta-model
00:15:38	so that we basically can understand how they, basically, are connected.
00:15:43	But for every stakeholder, he or she only sees a certain subset
00:15:49	that is corresponding to his or her concerns. Thinking of models and talking of models,
00:15:56	these models are, well, not necessarily these maps with hundreds and thousands of boxes and arches
00:16:04	that you probably have in mind when you're thinking about architecture models.
00:16:08	There are many, many different models that we deal with. It could be simple lists of things.
00:16:15	Like the lists of KPIs you will observe, or the lists of business objectives,
00:16:19	or a list of applications, or a list of processes. A list is a model.
00:16:24	Because it abstracts for a certain artifact type what things we have.
00:16:28	It's a kind of repository. It could be maps, and maps not only list the things
00:16:33	but only show by the positioning of things what their character is and what their position is
00:16:38	and how much they are more peripheral or more central to our enterprise.
00:16:44	It could be calculations, it could be profile models, it could be structure models,
00:16:51	it could be flow charts, it could be configurators, it could be segmentation.
00:16:55	So, what the models basically represent is very different because we have these many different stakeholders
00:17:03	and different concerns. As a consequence, and you see it here on this visualization,
00:17:08	we have a huge variety of different models, some look like the ones that you expect
00:17:13	with a lot of boxes and arches. Some look totally different because
00:17:17	it's a different content that they represent. It's a different concern that they support,
00:17:23	and so at the end I would say a key performance indicator for successful enterprise architecture management
00:17:29	is to be able to adapt the modeling style, the notation, the color coding, to the stakeholders
00:17:36	and provide the model that the stakeholders like, the stakeholders are used to working with,
00:17:42	and these often look more like technical models, maybe for the IT stakeholders.
00:17:48	And sometimes these look like, basically, PowerPoint presentations
00:17:52	more to the business stakeholders. And we need support to support all of these,

00:17:55	all their complete range in enterprise architecture management.
00:18:01	One model I want, towards the end of this unit, to put a little bit more emphasis on,
00:18:07	and that's a model of capabilities. Why are capabilities so important?
00:18:12	Well, think about capability. Is it an IT thing or is it a business thing?
00:18:19	Well, we could differentiate between IT capabilities and business capabilities.
00:18:23	But we also could think, remember that alignment level,
00:18:27	we could also think about the capability to be something that links the business perspective
00:18:33	and the IT perspective. And that's the understanding that I want to propose here,
00:18:39	capability models are nice representations of what a business does.
00:18:44	They are not representing IT capabilities, pure IT capabilities,
00:18:48	they're not also representing business capabilities, they are basically a kind of common language
00:18:54	that is very useful to align business mappings and business designs to IT functionalities
00:19:03	and IT capabilities. So, it's a kind of common language
00:19:05	that is neither too much IT nor too much business, but that basically is able to link
00:19:12	and to map these different viewpoints. So capability models have been becoming very prominent
00:19:19	and very frequently used during the last decades, and especially in enterprise architecture management, why?
00:19:27	Because they are perfect examples for models and mechanisms that link the business perspective to the IT perspective.
00:19:35	And that's exactly what we need in these complex architectures.
00:19:39	And the Credit Suisse has quite nicely tried to visualize it. It visualized, basically, this kind of capability model
00:19:46	that they have as a way to map, like the map of Manhattan Island here in New York,
00:19:54	as a kind of abstract way of reducing the reality of a very complex thing,
00:19:59	like a very busy part of a very big and complex city, reducing it to a certain level
00:20:06	where it provides a kind of orientation for very different stakeholders.
00:20:11	Like a subway map provides an orientation for very different people that basically need
00:20:18	to move from A to B, the capability map might provide a very good orientation both for the business side
00:20:24	and for the IT side, what are we talking about? What kind of functionality are we talking about here?
00:20:29	Is it an IT functionality? Is it a business kind of functionality?
00:20:32	Or is this capability a common language for mapping these two?
00:20:38	And as a final slide of this unit, this is a real-life capability map.
00:20:44	It's not the most recent one, but it's basically on the highest level
00:20:47	and the second highest level, a map of the capabilities of a large universal bank.
00:20:54	You see the logo in the upper left, if you want to. And, of course, there is a third level
00:20:59	and a fourth level of capabilities if you look in more detail.
00:21:03	That's a very useful Esperanto, so to speak, a common language
00:21:09	where business and IT, where even also different business units,
00:21:14	different IT units, can match and map what they do, what impacts they have,
00:21:20	and you can, for example, also analyze which capabilities are supported by only one application,
00:21:26	which capabilities are supported by three or four applications different ways.
00:21:31	And so you can use this common language not only to talk, but also to identify where you have redundancies,

00:21:37	where you have gaps, and where you should probably direct the next housekeeping projects
00:21:43	or innovation projects towards. That brings me to the end of this unit.
00:21:48	Thank you for watching, and I hope to see you back in the discussion forum
00:21:53	for following up.

00:00:06	Hello, and welcome to unit five of week one on this
00:00:12	openSAP course. I think it has been very interesting
00:00:16	to hear from our St. Gallen colleagues about the use of enterprise architecture
00:00:22	and the relevant management approaches. Again, my name is Allan Coulter,
00:00:27	I'm the CTO for our SAP services in IBM.
00:00:34	So again, just following up from our first session, we've heard a lot about the Intelligent Enterprise,
00:00:41	and also indeed about IBM's own strategy around cognition
00:00:46	using artificial intelligence. So, how do we apply enterprise architecture approaches
00:00:53	in our engagements? One of the more common questions that we are hearing today,
00:01:02	especially from our SAP clients who have been using SAP for many years, and who invested very heavily in ECC,
00:01:09	is the previous transaction core platform. So the questions are really more about
00:01:16	why they should make the conversion to SAP S/4HANA, versus more around the how.
00:01:24	In this backdrop we developed our IBM advisory offering, what we call rapid discovery.
00:01:29	This was engineered to address these specific questions about how we help our customers make this shift to S/4.
00:01:38	At the heart of this offering is enterprise architecture practices.
00:01:43	It's aligning fundamentally the business strategy to the necessary capabilities that will be required,
00:01:52	The enterprise architecting approaches and frameworks we use today
00:01:56	need to evolve however with the realities around how we design and build for the future.
00:02:04	Again, for example, in IBM, we have invested heavily in our architecture education programs,
00:02:11	what we call agile EA, because we need to recognize the fact that as architects,
00:02:17	we can't spend months now defining concepts and standards, but we have to evolve with the realities of
00:02:24	how we apply architecture and methods into this new business environment.
00:02:31	However, the core of what we are still doing is going from this business strategy
00:02:35	to showing how this is fundamentally realized with the offerings from the new S/4HANA capabilities,
00:02:43	and the application of intelligent technologies. So, they go from how we drive business architectures,
00:02:52	to process architectures, technology architectures, and as we've said earlier, there's an increasing importance
00:02:59	in this use of information architecture. So, business architecture
00:03:04	is defining the new capability ambitions that will fundamentally underpin the strategy.
00:03:11	Again, for us as IBM, we have some amazing assets using our component business models.
00:03:17	We do this by process, by industry, by key functions. It helps us to understand
00:03:22	and define what's really important for our customers, what drives differentiation, what drives cost efficiencies
00:03:30	and what drives revenue opportunities. The capabilities are required,
00:03:37	and they need to be enabled by technology, by the new processes, by new skills.
00:03:43	All these are engineered to actually start to define the new target operating models
00:03:48	that we've also discussed in unit one. The skills are interesting as well.
00:03:57	The skills are largely how we go beyond the traditional change management approaches

00:04:03	when looking at the organization impact of this new shift to the Intelligent Enterprise.
00:04:10	And it's not just simply the user's skills. We also need to consider the skills of the IT organization.
00:04:17	We need IT to be ready to act as that transformation vehicle to support the business ambition.
00:04:25	And again, this is what we define as the business of IT assessment.
00:04:30	Once we understand the new target operating model, and once we understand the use of the digital core,
00:04:36	and the intelligent technologies, we can start to define the business case,
00:04:41	and the implementation plan. It is really important that we baseline these things
00:04:48	with the executives, aligning the ambition and also the reality
00:04:53	about how we align S/4HANA and these intelligent technologies
00:04:59	with the overall business strategy. So, let's take a deeper dive
00:05:05	around the technology architecture, specifically how we use the emerging adoption
00:05:10	of business platforms. There are many intelligent technologies involved
00:05:18	in this capability. We need to choose the right technologies,
00:05:23	define the right use of existing and new technical solutions.
00:05:27	We need to define how we are able to optimize not just SAP solutions,
00:05:32	but also integrate this with other capabilities. Essentially, how we fit SAP into today's
00:05:40	API economy. Ultimately, we are looking
00:05:45	at how we exploit the capabilities provided by these business platforms,
00:05:50	and how it's engineered to actually create fundamentally new consumer experiences.
00:05:56	This is not about look and feel. It's not about mobile applications.
00:06:01	It's about the whole persona experience. The relationship between the employee and the organization.
00:06:08	So fundamentally, what is their role now in the new target operating model?
00:06:13	How do they evolve from a data processor to someone who's using their extensive knowledge
00:06:19	to actually drive value? It's about the customer experiences,
00:06:24	so using the likes of conversational AI and shift-left approaches.
00:06:29	How we drive interactions via AI to understand what to buy, what to pay,
00:06:34	issue resolution, and such like. It's about the ecosystem, the connected ecosystem,
00:06:39	as we evolve our clients beyond the traditional boundaries of the enterprise.
00:06:46	In the context of SAP however, the predominant experience is the interaction
00:06:50	with the core back office operational processes, like finance, like procurement, sales supply chain,
00:06:57	and such like. So, by moving from transaction-driven processes
00:07:03	to intelligent workflows, we are in effect rendering the experience
00:07:08	of the traditional end user and their interaction with SAP
00:07:13	in completely new and different ways. So, when using the new cloud-native development capabilities,
00:07:22	for example on SAP Cloud Platform or on other cognitive business platforms from IBM,
00:07:28	we are providing the foundation for new intelligent solutions.
00:07:34	We could obviously interact with these through things like SAP Fiori,
00:07:37	or indeed through the likes of conversational AI, or indeed the interaction has shifted from human interaction
00:07:44	to just simply machine-driven automation. It's in all these things that we see the use
00:07:52	of SAP moving fundamentally beyond the digital core as a real foundation for driving the shift

00:07:59	to this thing we call the Intelligent Enterprise. If you look a bit deeper now,
00:08:07	around the use of business platforms and the key integration points between the digital core
00:08:12	and the business platform itself, ultimately, to create
00:08:16	these new cognitive AI-based experiences, we need this done on a solid data foundation.
00:08:24	The insights needed to be informed by data. So, we know that SAP's an amazing data source, right?
00:08:31	It's the foundation of our transactional core processing capabilities.
00:08:37	However, by augmenting SAP with external sources, and managing to ingest all this data
00:08:45	from ingested publish, via Data Hub, then we have the baseline data capability
00:08:51	for driving towards the intelligent outcomes. The word data lake is also very widely used.
00:08:58	We've kind of evolved really from this, moving to a more data foundation nomenclature.
00:09:06	However, from an architecture perspective, there are a number of principles here
00:09:09	that we need to design for, in order to optimize use of these data platforms.
00:09:16	So things, for example, like aligning the data storage with the right use case,
00:09:20	defining polyglot storage approaches. We often use this analogy of a car.
00:09:26	So, we have a Porsche, we have a 4X4, and we have a Mini. If you have access to all three,
00:09:32	you would choose the right one for the right use case. So, we use the Mini for in town driving,
00:09:37	the 4X4 for in the country and off-road, and the Porsche for driving like a madman
00:09:42	between Frankfurt and Walldorf. We need to use the right APIs
00:09:48	that can drive the highest level of intelligent insight and precision.
00:09:54	APIs understand the sentiment, the natural language processing,
00:09:57	visual recognition, and much more. In unit two in week two,
00:10:02	we will explore more about this in the use of, for example, IBM Watson APIs with SAP,
00:10:08	to drive these AI-based insights, integrating with the core SAP processes.
00:10:16	So, in summary, I think it's important that we see this shift
00:10:19	to business platforms in the right context. In this era of platformification,
00:10:25	these business platforms give us the key ingredients, the exponential technologies,
00:10:32	to help us evolve from back office processing to these new experiences,
00:10:37	for our employees, for our customers, and for our ecosystem partners alike.
00:10:44	Certainly, from an IBM perspective, we are placing significant emphasis
00:10:50	on the creation of these new experiences that redefine how we use SAP.
00:10:58	We see the evolution from these core manual processing activities
00:11:02	to intelligent workflows. And we are building these into our core SAP templates,
00:11:07	what we as IBM call our impact offerings. The reality is that
00:11:13	without these business platform capabilities, then the shift to intelligence
00:11:17	will be far harder to engineer. Ultimately, the platforms give us
00:11:21	a technical architectural framework for enabling this shift. So again, business platforms, like SAP Cloud Platform
00:11:29	or IBM cognitive platforms, are not just simply a new innovation.
00:11:35	They're not just simply nice to have. They are absolutely fundamental
00:11:40	as we evolve into this next generation of our client experience in the use of SAP.
00:11:47	And with that, thank you and goodbye.

00:00:05	Hello. Welcome to the last unit of this week.
00:00:10	In this unit, we will talk about how EA management can actually support the large-scale transformation
00:00:17	towards the Intelligent Enterprise. So, what we've looked at so far
00:00:25	is kind of the characteristics of the Intelligent Enterprise, and we've seen that basically data becomes
00:00:32	a very important asset in the Intelligent Enterprise that we more and more think
00:00:38	about platform and platform business models within the organization and beyond the organization
00:00:46	and we think about how we in the organizations become part of business ecosystems.
00:00:53	And so this transformation, which is necessary, typically goes far beyond an individual project
00:01:01	or even number of projects or even beyond programs and so we think it's important on this journey
00:01:10	towards the Intelligent Enterprise to kind of deal with all the overlaps
00:01:16	and conflicts of projects that we will have along the way
00:01:20	and to somehow manage all the dependencies between these projects
00:01:28	and in these projects that basically will be of very heterogenous nature.
00:01:33	So, some projects may be dealing with IT renewal. It will be about new organizational structures
00:01:41	that we need to find. It will be also about new products,
00:01:45	processes, new partners and at the end of the day, it may be also about different cultures and mindsets
00:01:52	that we will need to kind of implement or institutionalize in the organization.
00:01:58	And inevitably, these kind of rather fundamental changes that go across the organization
00:02:05	will also have the need to involve quite diverse stakeholders
00:02:11	from the organization and to develop a kind of holistic perspective
00:02:17	on here we are and where we want to be. So, if we talk about this kind of transformation,
00:02:23	then we refer to it as enterprise transformation. So in summary, an enterprise transformation
00:02:32	is something that is rather revolutionary, as opposed to just being evolutionary
00:02:37	or being just some kind of routine change. So we change things fundamentally.
00:02:43	And this change is not, basically, a result of emerging change that just happens over the years,
00:02:51	but it's actually well planned and well managed change towards a vision
00:02:57	that we have of the Intelligent Enterprise. And this change is fundamental
00:03:02	in a way that it is not incremental, so there are not small changes here or there
00:03:08	or just small optimizations, but it's really a fundamental change
00:03:13	within the foundations of the organization, the way we work, the products we create,
00:03:19	the way we work with customers or partners, and kind of the organizational goals
00:03:25	that may be related to it. So, what are examples of these kind
00:03:33	of enterprise transformations that we talked about?
00:03:35	And basically they may all happen in our journey towards the Intelligent Enterprise.
00:03:40	It may be something like mergers and acquisitions, so where we think about which capabilities
00:03:44	may not be needed anymore, or which other capabilities

00:03:47	or technologies we may acquire from partners or even competitors.
00:03:54	It is the adaptation to the kind of fundamentally changing environment
00:03:58	so if we're talking about digitalization, if we talk about new technologies
00:04:02	and maybe new regulatory requirements, they are also part of that kind of enterprise transformation.
00:04:10	We're talking about fundamental reorganizations for improving efficiency
00:04:15	and basically very different ways of how we work with customers or partners.
00:04:20	So, some simple examples are self-service, which we now see everywhere,
00:04:24	where basically customers or partners take care of their own data of their orders
00:04:29	of their changing metadata that they may have. But we're also involved in kind of co-creation activities.
00:04:36	So, where we basically try to leverage the knowledge and experience of customers also
00:04:41	for the improvement of our own products and services. And at the end of the day,
00:04:49	to implement that kind of journey towards the Intelligent Enterprise,
00:04:53	it will be necessary to fundamentally change our business software
00:04:57	that all our business is built upon. So to summarize,
00:05:03	it is not just some large-scale improvement program like you would see in quality management initiatives,
00:05:08	for example, or it's not just a, although fundamental, project
00:05:13	in a single business unit, but it's enterprise wide
00:05:16	and it's fundamental throughout the organization. Just to give you a few, or two actually, real-world examples
00:05:23	of what could be enterprise transformation, let me briefly mention two cases.
00:05:29	One could be the case of SAP. So a few years back,
00:05:33	SAP was thinking about how to develop the service portfolio
00:05:38	that they offer to their customers. And they did a complete redefinition of which kind
00:05:45	of services they offer, so it was not just consulting services anymore
00:05:50	but they were thinking about different levels of service basically that they have.
00:05:53	So, they're thinking about classic engineering services where they, basically, try to implement the best solution
00:05:59	for the customers, or some high-value services that actually have business transformation components in there already,
00:06:07	or really innovation services, where we want to try new things that have not been tried before,
00:06:11	and certainly require very different skillsets from the people involved in it.
00:06:17	And with that redefinition, of course, all the products, all the offerings,
00:06:23	all the organizational structures in that part of SAP were changed and transformed.
00:06:31	The second example may be that of DHL. So it's basically a large, international,
00:06:37	grown logistics company, and they have a very classic business model
00:06:42	that is transporting goods from A to B and maybe to C and back to A.
00:06:49	And that business model basically will somewhat remain the same,
00:06:54	but with the opportunities and the technologies that we now have available globally,
00:07:04	of course, we need to implement that business model differently,
00:07:07	or DHL needed to implement it differently. So, what they were thinking about
00:07:11	is how they can really kind of harmonize and standardize their products globally,
00:07:18	their processes globally that are needed to basically produce these products
00:07:23	and of course, also their IT platforms, where everything is running.

00:07:29	And that was necessary to also meet the expectations that customers nowadays typically have
00:07:36	if they want to deal with DHL. So, if they're really doing global business
00:07:41	with global customers, then it needs a certain level of integration.
00:07:45	And again, as for SAP, for DHL, that changed, basically, many things
00:07:51	in the organization, so it was a fundamental transformation and it was enterprise wide.
00:07:59	So a few years ago, we did a study on these kind
00:08:03	of large-scale transformation initiatives, on these large-scale enterprise transformations,
00:08:09	and we were thinking about, so basically, what are very important capabilities
00:08:16	that you need to have as an organization to deliver these kinds of transformations?
00:08:21	And among these very important ones, which are the ones
00:08:24	that are basically not yet sufficiently mature, or we would say, the least mature ones?
00:08:31	And what we found out first was that, basically, identifying
00:08:36	and also dealing with all the interdependencies that you have among all these change projects
00:08:42	and maybe even programs that are part of such a journey,
00:08:46	how to manage these interdependencies is one of the big challenges that companies face.
00:08:52	A second one is although probably many of them are very good in classic project
00:08:57	or program management, what they deal with and what they're challenged with
00:09:02	is, at the end of the day, also to deliver the business value that was initially intended
00:09:07	with that kind of transformation so making sure that the stakeholders
00:09:11	and the intended benefits actually arrive at the stakeholders.
00:09:17	The third one, which seems to be like a classic challenge but it's still not sufficiently solved,
00:09:24	is thinking about process optimization and also innovation that needs to happen
00:09:31	in these kinds of transformation programs. We think that from an architectural perspective,
00:09:38	actually at least the first and the second challenge are some kind of home turf for enterprise architects,
00:09:46	so actually architects with their architectural perspective, they should have this global perspective,
00:09:51	and they should be able to identify and deal with the dependencies and interdependencies
00:09:57	of all of these change programs taking place. And with a business-to-IT view,
00:10:03	they should also be able to kind of make sure or at least support the program
00:10:09	in making sure that the program value is actually delivered. So with that starting point,
00:10:17	we were thinking about which kind of capabilities would be needed
00:10:23	to actually deliver enterprise transformation? And the result of that exercise
00:10:31	was what we call the Transformation Intelligence Capability Catalogue,
00:10:36	which you see here. The catalog has, basically, five dimensions
00:10:44	and these are basically five dimensions that you can have, five perspectives
00:10:48	that you can have while looking at a large-scale transformation program.
00:10:53	So, we start at the very left. It's called the Strategy Perspective.
00:10:57	So, here the idea is to actually define and make people aware of the basic reason
00:11:05	for running this huge transformation initiative. And that's not only important at the beginning
00:11:11	but it's also important during the project to also justify certain design decisions
00:11:16	that you take along the way. The second perspective we refer to as the
00:11:20	Value and Risk Perspective, which is very much related
00:11:24	to delivering the tenant business value I just talked about, and here again, it's making sure
00:11:30	that we really understand, what are the intended benefits of all the involved stakeholders?

00:11:35	Because a benefit is always stakeholder dependent, so different stakeholders
00:11:39	will have very different expectations for this kind of transformation program.
00:11:46	And of course, it's not only important to be clear about these benefits in the beginning
00:11:51	when we plan a transformation, but it's also very important while actually executing
00:11:56	and running the transformation to make sure that we really implement the things
00:12:01	that are necessary to deliver the intended benefits. The Risk Perspective, of course,
00:12:06	is then so making sure that we understand the risks towards not delivering these kinds of intended values
00:12:17	and benefits that we have and making sure we find out
00:12:20	when a risk actually materializes and find out or be prepared,
00:12:25	so what to do in that case. The third perspective is what we call the Design Perspective,
00:12:32	and that's actually quite similar to traditional enterprise engineering
00:12:39	and enterprise architecture perspectives, so it's basically making sure
00:12:43	that the requirements that we now have well understood actually then are taken into account
00:12:53	when designing the to-be solution that we have. And then finally, there is this Implementation Perspective
00:13:01	where we make sure that it's not just the programs, the individual projects and programs,
00:13:07	that are managed accordingly, but that also the entire transformation initiative
00:13:14	will be successful. And then on the bottom, we have the fifth
00:13:19	and last perspective, and that's the perspective of change management,
00:13:23	and when we say change management, what we mean is that for such fundamental transformations,
00:13:31	it's so important, basically, to take all the people with you, so the employees in the organization,
00:13:38	because for them, it will be also a tough journey because things they got used to
00:13:44	will not exist anymore and it's along the journey quite unclear
00:13:49	what things will look like in the future. And to make the old transformation a success,
00:13:54	that's the fifth important perspective. Now, from an architecture perspective,
00:14:04	we now have these, kind of, five perspectives and although that may look a little bit like a face model
00:14:10	or something, from strategy to implementation, it's actually not.
00:14:13	It's five perspectives on the same thing, that is the transformation,
00:14:17	that are relevant, basically, at all times of this transformation endeavor
00:14:22	and what architects are good at, of course, they can support one
00:14:27	or the other of these perspectives but in particular, they should make sure
00:14:31	that these different perspectives, at the end of the day, fit together,
00:14:35	and by that they can actually then support transformation management.
00:14:39	So, architects are not the ones necessarily leading this transformation or even steering it,
00:14:44	but delivering a kind of steering support, management support for successfully
00:14:51	running the transformation. Now, in putting these two concepts next to each other,
00:14:56	enterprise transformation and the capabilities that are required for doing that,
00:15:00	and enterprise architecture management on the other hand, it hopefully becomes clear
00:15:05	why, as architects, we are in the particular and the special situation
00:15:08	of supporting these kinds of transformations. While transformations are fundamental,
00:15:13	they basically cover many aspects, many things with many different stakeholders, architecture
00:15:19	and architecture management particularly deliver this kind of architectural perspective,

00:15:24	not only on the organization but also therefore on the transformation taking place
00:15:29	in that organization. And architects should be that kind of supporter,
00:15:35	with their own global perspective of making sure this transformation, at the end of the day, reaches its goals.
00:15:45	So in summary, what we have here is we have enterprise transformation
00:15:50	is a fundamental change. All aspects on all levels
00:15:55	with certain long-term goals and effects. And then we have architectural coordination
00:16:02	of that transformation where it really is about supporting the decision makers
00:16:09	with the relevant information to take the best decisions for making the transformation a success.
00:16:15	And we do that through integrating and aggregating all these local perspectives,
00:16:20	all these local details and kind of building the big picture
00:16:25	for doing that from many perspectives, such as financial perspectives, structural perspectives,
00:16:29	skill perspectives, but also cultural perspectives. And again, at the end,
00:16:35	it's not architecture management steering these kind of transformation programs
00:16:40	but it really is about supporting the better decision making.
00:16:47	And with that, we're basically at the end of this week. We wish you great success with this week's assignment
00:16:56	and hope to see you in the next week.

www.sap.com/contactsap

© 2020 SAP SE or an SAP affiliate company. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or for any purpose without the express permission of SAP SE or an SAP affiliate company.

The information contained herein may be changed without prior notice. Some software products marketed by SAP SE and its distributors contain proprietary software components of other software vendors. National product specifications may vary.

These materials are provided by SAP SE or an SAP affiliate company for informational purposes only, without representation or warranty of any kind, and SAP or its affiliated companies shall not be liable for errors or omissions with respect to the materials. The only warranties for SAP or SAP affiliate company products and services are those that are set forth in the express warranty statements accompanying such products and services, if any. Nothing herein should be construed as constituting an additional warranty.

In particular SAP SE or its affiliated companies have no obligation to pursue any course of business outlined in this document or any related presentation, or to develop or release any functionality mentioned therein. This document, or any related presentation, and SAP SE or its affiliated companies' strategy and possible future developments, products, and/or platform directions and functionality are all subject to change and may be changed by SAP SE or its affiliated companies at any time for any reason without notice. The information in this document is not a commitment, promise, or legal obligation to deliver any material, code, or functionality. All forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, and they should not be relied upon in making purchasing decisions.

SAP and other SAP products and services mentioned herein as well as their respective logos are trademarks or registered trade marks of SAP SE (or an SAP affiliate company) in Germany and other countries. All other product and service names mentioned are the trademarks of their respective companies. See www.sap.com/copyright for additional trademark information and notices.

