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**AMENDMENT & RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Dear Examiner:

In response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed 2/03/2016, please amend the above-identified patent application as set forth below.

**Amendments to the Claims** begin on page **2** of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page **6** of this paper.

**AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:**

This listing of claims replaces all previously submitted claims as follows:

User enters the claims

**REMARKS[[1]](#footnote-1)**

In the outstanding Non-Final Office Action (“OA”), the Examiner has:

* Claims 1, 6-11, 13-16, 19-26, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(1) as being anticipated by Wilson et al. (US 2006/0143348), herein referred to as Wilson '348.
* Claims 2-5, 12, 17, 18, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Wilson '348 in view of Bjeljac et al. (US 2009/0326730), herein referred to as Bjeljac '730.
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1. **Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)(1)**
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1. **Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103**
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**CONCLUSION**

1. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)