Project Report

TDT4290 - Customer Driven Project "Privacy Advisor"

NTNU, Fall 2011

Contents

Project	t Directive	v
0.1	Mandate	V
	0.1.1 Background	v
0.2	Objectives	vi
	Resources and Duration	
0.4	Organization	vii
	Planning	
	Limitations and Scope	

iv CONTENTS

Project Directive

This document describes the mandate, background, resources available and organizational structure of the project A Privacy Advisor, henceforth referred to as the project.

0.1 Mandate

The purpose of this project is to implement the key functionality of a privacy agent as described in Nyre and Tndel (2010), that provides users with advice in making Internet privacy decision.

0.1.1 Background

This project is a part of a larger research project at SINTEF ICT that studies approaches to handling Internet privacy related issues. The underlying idea is that while users are often concerned about the way various websites and services handle private information about them, obtaining information about this is very costly as privacy policies tend to be very long documents formulated in an inaccessible language. This has led to the idea that Internet privacy can be handled by machine learning techniques, where a particular decision is based on the users past behavior and the behavior of similar users.

Nyre and Thole has then proposed a Privacy Agent structure that uses the case based reasoning (CBR) method for giving privacy advice. CBR is in many ways similar to the way human experts reason about problems, and is usually described as a process in four stages (here related to the privacy decision problem):

• Retrieve: Given a new site, the agent will retrieve from its knowledge base, the set of cases deemed the most similar to the one at hand. This means, that if presented with the site Facebook, for instance, the agent finds Twitter, Google and LinkedIn to be the sites that have the most similar policy to Facebook.

- Reuse: Look at the decisions made about the cases that were found and adapt this decision to the problem at hand. In this case, the agent needs to see if there are strong enough indications toward a particular behavior with respect to the type of site that is at hand. If for instance, the user has accepted the policies of all the similar sites found, he is also likely to accept that of Facebook.
- Revise: Once a conclusion is reached, it is presented to the user (along with the background for why it is reached). The user may then choose to accept the conclusion, or to overrule it, providing the system with directions as to why it was wrong. This may in turn cause the agent to update its parameters accordingly.
- Retain: Finally, the new case is stored to the database along with the users decision as a reference for the next time the same site is opened, and as a case to employ when evaluating new sites.

Nyre and Tudel also describes this local CBR approach to be complemented by a community database where the same information is stored, allowing for a second lookup that uses a collaborative filtering, that is, making a decision based on the behavior of similar users.

0.2 Objectives

This project identifies three key objective, arranged by order of importance: Implementing a testing framework of CBR based privacy agent that is able to make privacy decisions based on previous user behavior. Implement the community system/collaborative filtering part of the agent. Extend the system to other standards for machine readable privacy policies. Implement the system as a browser plugin. This is considered least important, and is contingent on the success of early testing. It is also given a low priority given the relative small portion of major websites that implement P3P.

0.3 Resources and Duration

The system in its complete form is to be demonstrated on November 24 2011. For the project period, a total of 25 hours per week per project member is planned. With seven group members and a project spanning 13 weeks, this adds up to approximately 2300 hours.

0.4 Organization

The project group organization is based on the modules of the system that is being implemented. One group member is responsible for developing one particular feature. This organization is shown in Table 1.

0.5 Planning

A project plan has been developed for the purpose of communicating expectations and progress within the group and to the customer and the advisor. The plan also serves as an aid in identifying problems and project management. For the software development process, a hybrid waterfall model has been chosen.

0.6 Limitations and Scope

The primary focus of this project is on developing a framework that allows for testing the CBR privacy agent framework. This entails building a module for parsing policy documents in XML format, a data structure for holding policy information in memory (henceforth policy objects), a set of exchangeable distance metric that compares policy objects, a generic retrieval algorithm (such as k Nearest Neighbors) that works with any distance metric and methods to store and update a knowledge base. Being a part of an ongoing research project, reusability and modularity are important success factors for in evaluating the project. This means that it should for instance be simple to swap P3P with some other privacy policy standard, that different distance metrics should be applicable, new metrics could easily be implemented and so forth.

Table 1: Responsibilities

Role	Description	Responsible
Administrative	Customer relations	Ulf Nore
	Requirement specification	
	Requirement specification	
	Planning documents	
	Meeting minutiae	
	Project report	
Software design and Archi-	UML modeling.	Nicholas Gerstle
tecture		
	Design report.	
	User documentation.	
	Technical Decisions.	
Data Storage/Databases	Flat file data storage sys-	Amanpreet Kaur
	tem.	
	Database systems .	
CBR - Algorithms and	Data structures for storing	Dimitry Kongevold,
Data structures	privacy policy information.	
	Define and implement sim-	Neshahavan Karunakaran
	ilarity metrics.	
	Retrieval and learning algo-	
	rithms.	
	Parameter storage.	
Testing and Evaluation	Design test cases.	Henrik Knutsen
	Criteria/methodology for	
	model testing.	
GUI	Implement a simple GUI for	Ulf Nore
	testing model framework.	
Version control	Set up and maintain code	Einar Afiouni
	repository.	
XML/P3P Parser	Implement P3P parser that	Einar Afiouni
	produces inputs to CBR	
Version control	Set up and maintain code	Einar Afiouni
	repository.	