# Digital Emblem Use Cases and Requirements

Rahel Fainchtein and Felix Linker

## Goals

- Use cases that illustrate a representative subset of requirements for digital emblem
- Arrive at a set of requirements identified across use cases (i.e. union)
   BEFORE we start defining structure
  - Include cases beyond initial charter scope
  - Aim for completeness
- Consensus on use cases and requirements document structure

## **Outline**

- 1. Representative Use Cases
- 2. Requirements Overview
- 3. Use Cases and Requirements Document Structure

## Representative Use Cases

Initial scope:

"The DIEM WG will develop an initial DNS-based discovery mechanism and associated validation procedure for digital emblems that explicitly identify their bearer by a Fully Qualified Domain Name (FQDN)."

We do not revisit this!

# Red Crescent, Cross, and Crystal

- Signal asset serves medical purpose and should be respected and protected
  - Should not be disrupted or attacked
  - Legal protection under IHL

## Requirements

- Label network-connected assets (servers, sets of assets as networks)
- Removable
- Authenticity
- Decentralized Authorization
- Accountability of Illicit Display
- Undetectable Validation

## Blue Shield

- Signal asset <u>has cultural significance</u> and should be respected and protected
  - Should not be disrupted or attacked
  - Legal protection under IHL

## Requirements

- Label network-connected assets (servers, sets of assets as networks)
- Removable
- Authenticity
- Decentralized Authorization
- Accountability of Illicit Display
- Undetectable Validation

## **Press**

- Identify individual, equipment or digital infrastructure as independent member of the press
  - Non-combatant in conflicts and entitled to legal protections

# Press Potential Requirements

- Characteristics of entity
  - Type
    - Person
    - Equipment
    - Network infrastructure
  - Organization
- Bearer ability to selectively enable and disable emblem

## Organization for the prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW)

- Protection of Schedule 1 chemicals in transit
  - Research
  - Medical
  - Pharmaceutical
  - Protective

## **OPCW Identified Requirements**

- Contain description of subject/bearer's characteristics
  - Location:
    - Dynamically e.g. Items in transit/motion
  - Textual description
  - Quantity
- Selective disclosure of characteristics information
- Support tracking, verification of chain of custody for emblem issuance and authorization

## Ramsar Wetlands

"provides the framework for intergovernmental cooperation on wetland issues[1]"

Country: Bulgaria
Site number: 1230

Area: 2,900.0 ha

Designation date: 11-11-2002

Coordinates: 42°29'49"N 27°23'44"E

#### National legal designation:

Protected Site - Vaya

#### Regional (international) legal designations:

EU Natura 2000

Last publication date: 20-01-2020

[1] Ramsar. The Convention on Wetlands. <a href="https://www.ramsar.org/">https://www.ramsar.org/</a>



# Ramsar Identified Requirements

- Support querying by location
  - Geographic shape/point
  - Wetland identifier
- Information on wetland characteristics
  - Textual description
    - Habitat information
  - Global designation
  - National legal designation
  - Geographic location
    - Coordinates
    - Area boundaries

# Requirements Overview - Illustrative Example

		Red Cr*	Blue Shield	Ramsar	Press	OPCW
Authenticity		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Authorization		X	X	X	X	X
Undetectable Validation		Х	Х		Х	
Removable		Х	X		Х	
Selective Disclosure						Х
Mark "Digital" Asset		Х	Х		Х	
Mark "Physical" Asset	Place			X		Х
	Person				Х	
	Object				X	Х

# Requirements Overview - Illustrative Example

		Red Cr*	Blue Shield	Ramsar	Press	OPCW	
Authenticity		X	Х	X	X	Х	
Authorization		Х	Х	Х	X	Х	
Undetectable Validation		X	Х		<ul> <li>Initial scope above the line</li> <li>May move up or down</li> <li>List not exhaustive!</li> </ul>		
Removable		Х	Х				
Selective Disclosure							
Mark "Digital" Asset		Х	Х				
Mark "Physical" Asset	Place			Х		Х	
	Person				Х		
	Object				X	Х	

# Use Cases and Requirements Document

#### Goals

- Document should be usable for future WG work
- Highlight what is in-scope
- Highlight which use cases has which requirements
- Clearly label requirements

# Use Cases and Requirements Document

#### Structure

- Terminology
- Use Case Descriptions (extensive)
- Requirements clearly labeled
- Table showing requirements per use case (including RFC 2119 terms)
- Other sections such as security and privacy considerations
- Appendix Use Case Descriptions (references)

# Summary

#### You saw...

- Representative use cases and requirements
- Structure of use cases and requirements document
- Standards can address individual use cases and requirements

#### Discussion...

- Did we misrepresent any use cases?
- Do the document structure and goals make sense?
- Anything else?