

# HTTP Problem Types for Digest Fields

Marius Kleidl, Lucas Pardue, Roberto Polli  
IETF 123, 23 July 2025

[draft-ietf-httpapi-digest-fields-problem-types](#)

# RFC 9530: Digest Fields

- Request can include integrity fields with one or multiple digests
- `Repr-Digest` for representation data
- `Content-Digest` for message content
- No standard method for signaling integrity-related errors back to client

```
PUT /items/123 HTTP/1.1
```

```
Host: foo.example
```

```
Content-Type: application/json
```

```
Repr-Digest:
```

```
sha-256=:RK/0qy18MlBSVnWgjwz6lZEWjP
```

```
/1F5HF9bvEF8FabDg==:
```

```
{"hello": "world"}
```

# RFC 9457: Problem Details for HTTP APIs

- Machine-readable description of problem
- Encodable in JSON or XML
- Problem is identified by a specific type  
(maybe from the problem details registry)
- Problem may include additional details

HTTP/1.1 403 Forbidden

Content-Type: **application/problem+json**

Content-Language: en

```
{
  "type": "https://[...]/prob/out-of-credit",
  "title": "You do not have enough credit.",
  "detail": "Your current balance is 30, but
that costs 50.",
  "instance": "/account/12345/msgs/abc",
  "balance": 30,
  "accounts": ["/account/12345",
               "/account/67890"]
}
```

# Example: Mismatching digest values

## Request:

PUT /items/123 HTTP/1.1

Host: foo.example

Content-Type: application/json

**Repr-Digest:** sha-256=:RK/0qy18MlBSVnWgjwz6lZEWjP/1F5HF9bvEF8FabDg==:

**Content-Digest:** sha-256=:RK/0qy18MlBSVnWgjwz6lZEWjP/1F5HF9bvEF8FabDg==:

{"hello": "wo**XYZ**"}

# Example: Mismatching digest values

## Response:

HTTP/1.1 400 Bad Request

Content-Type: application/problem+json

```
{
  "type": "https://iana.org/assignments/http-problem-types#digest-mismatching-values",
  "title": "Mismatching digest values",
  "mismatching-digests": [
    {
      "algorithm": "sha-256",
      "provided-digest": ":RK/0qy18MlBSVnWgjwz6lZEWjP/1F5HF9bvEF8FabDg=",
      "header": "Repr-Digest"
    },
    {
      "algorithm": "sha-256",
      "provided-digest": ":RK/0qy18MlBSVnWgjwz6lZEWjP/1F5HF9bvEF8FabDg=",
      "header": "Content-Digest"
    }
  ]
}
```

## Allow reporting problems on multiple digests ([#5](#))

- Requests can include multiple integrity fields (`Content-Digest`, `Repr-Digest`, `Want-Content-Digest`, `Want-Repr-Digest`)
- Each field can contain multiple digests/algorithms
  - Multiple problems of same type in one request are possible
  - Response can now carry information about multiple instances of the same problem

## New digest: Unencoded-Digest

- Digest of unencoded representation (i.e. without Content-Encoding)
  - [draft-pardue-httpbis-identity-digest](#) adopted by httpbis WG
- Do we have to update this draft (or wait)?

# New digest: Unencoded-Digest

- Digest of unencoded representation (i.e. without Content-Encoding)
- [draft-pardue-httpbis-identity-digest](#) adopted by httpbis WG
- Do we have to update this draft (or wait)?
- I don't think so.
- RFC 9530 defines *integrity (preference) fields*
- draft-ietf-httpapi-digest-fields-problem-types used these definitions
- draft-pardue-httpbis-identity-digest would update these definitions
- We are good to go for the future



# Next steps

- WGLC