Media Types

Register media types useful for REST API and YAML

HTTPAPI-WG @ IETF-115

ietf-httpapi-mediatypes [see the specifications <u>I-D YAML</u>, <u>I-D REST-API</u>]

Goals

- increase interoperability when processing API specification
- leverage content negotiation when exchanging API resources
- simplify cooperative development and cataloguing of API and schemes
- do not disrupt current implementations, respect engaged communities: YAML, JSON Schema, OpenAPI, JSON-LD

Rationale

OpenAPI Specification relies on YAML and JSON Schema, but underlying media types have **not been registered**

No interoperable way of **content negotiating** OAS documents or JSON schema

YAML users do not have interoperability and security considerations

Media Types application/*

YAML: yaml & +yaml, provide interoperability and security considerations, foundation for openapi+yaml

OpenAPI: openapi+json & openapi+yaml

JSON Schema: schema+json & schema+yaml

JSON LD: YAML-LD moved to <u>W3C JSON-LD workgroup</u>, relies on I-D.ietf-httpapi-yaml-mediatypes

Legend: quick win, work needed, some disagreement

Changes from -03

YAML is in WGLC and there's positive feedback from Media Type folks:

- <u>#69</u> .yaml is the preferred extension, .yml is legacy
- <u>#67</u> Relations with mediaman-suffixes (no changes needed)

RESTAPLINE edsimore feedback from ISQN Schema community.

Open issues (YAML only)

#1 engaged with media-types ML when WGLC

 #66 Do we need clipboard identifiers for windows and MacOS?

Probably, we don't need this since these registration mechanisms seem to be proprietary.

We propose to move forward and publish the specifications.

Thanks!

Roberto Polli - robipolli@gmail.com

Eemeli Aro - eemeli@gmail.com

Erik Wilde - erik.wilde@dret.net

FAQ

Q: Do we need this?

A: Yes, we do:)

Backup slides

