- 1. (10 %) **Free Blocks Management Using a Linked List** Consider a file system managing free blocks by using linked lists. The table below shows the final two blocks storing free blocks. Fill the empty tables below to show the changes which occur in the tables after the following scenarios. Highlight the changes using a color pencil.
 - (a) Five new blocks are allocated
 - (b) The block 22 is freed

Block #

(c) Another 5 blocks are allocated

17

- (d) Another block is allocated
- (e) Another three blocks are allocated
- (f) Four blocks (23456, 8345345, 56, and 634534) are freed

18

ΒΙΟCΚ π	1/	10						
Next Block	18	0						
	4589	24353						
	43546	98745						
	718	76345						
	345	9877						
	23456	7345						
	834534 5	34535						
	634534	154698						
	3478	967						
	56	8657						
Block #	17	18	Block #	17	18	Block #	17	18
Next Block	18	0	Next Block	18	0	Next Block	18	0
	4589	24353		4589	24353			24353
	43546	98745		43546	98745			98745
	718	76345		718	76345			76345
	345	9877		345	9877			9877
		7345		22	7345			7345
		34535			34535			34535
		154698			154698			154698
		967			967			967
		8657			8657			8657
Block #	18		Block #	18		Block #	634534	18
Next Block	0		Next Block	0		Next Block	18	0
	24353			24353				24353
	98745			98745				98745

76345		76345		76345
9877		9877		9877
7345		7345		7345
34535		34535		34535
154698				23456
967				8345345
17				56

2. **Free Blocks Management — Comparison** Given the two memory footprint scenarios for Free Blocks Management as presented in class. State the condition under which the linked list approach uses less space than the bitmap approach.

x:=free Blocks

LLBlocks(x) = x / 255BMBlocks(x) = 121 250

LLBlocks(x) = BMBlocks(x) X / 255 = 121 250 |*255 X = 30 918 750

X = 30918495

If(x <= 30 918 495)
LinkedListUses("less space");</pre>

Reason: less addresses to save = shorter linked list