SEMINAR

ON

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ADAPTABILITY TOOLS

BY

OBIEKWE MIRACLE NKEIRUKA

HD2023/ 07818/1/CS

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY(SSIT)

OGBONNAYA ONU POLYTECHNIC, ABA

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF HIGHER NATIONAL DIPLOMA(HND) IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

SUPERVISOR: Dr. Chike David

ABSTRACT

In today's fast-changing world, driven by new technologies, climate changes, and shifting business needs, being adaptable is more important than ever for both people and organizations. This seminar explores and compares different tools used in various areas such as city planning, healthcare, education, and supply chain management. It looks at how well these tools help people and systems stay flexible and strong in the face of change.

Using a mix of research methods, including interviews, scoring systems, and real-life examples, the study examines tools like Agile methods, data prediction tools, and software for managing climate risks. These tools were judged based on how adaptable, scalable, cost-effective, compatible, and impactful they are.

The findings show that Agile methods work very well in fast-changing areas like city planning, but they face challenges in strict industries like healthcare. Predictive data tools helped reduce delays in supply chains, especially in mining, but they don't work as well in places with limited data. Climate risk software helps prevent short-term problems, like flooding, but often struggles to work smoothly with other systems and hasn't yet proven long-term success.

The study also points out common problems, such as high costs, difficulty in getting different systems to work together, and resistance to allowing more flexible decision-making. It recommends focusing on tools that are affordable, easy to expand, and customized to the specific needs of each sector, like modular climate software and AI-based prediction tools for farming.

Overall, this seminar offers useful advice on how to choose the right tools for different situations and stresses the need for fair and standard ways to measure success, especially in areas with fewer resources. It aims to help organizations build

stronger, more flexible systems that can handle the challenges of our changing world.

1.1 Introduction

Adaptability means being able to adjust to new situations or changes quickly and effectively. In today's world, things are changing fast, new technology, different ways of working, and unexpected challenges like global pandemics or economic shifts. Because of this, people and organizations need to be flexible and ready to adjust when needed. Adaptability is important because it helps us stay productive, solve problems, and keep moving forward, even when things don't go as planned.

Adaptability tools are things we use to help us adjust to changes more easily. These can be systems, methods, or technologies that make it easier for people, teams, or companies to respond when things change.

For example, a teacher might use online learning platforms to teach students during a school closure. A business team might use video calls and project tracking apps to keep working when they can't be in the office. These tools help people stay organized, work better, and handle new challenges smoothly.

In this seminar, we will look at different adaptability tools and see how they help us deal with change in smart and effective ways.

The Importance of Tools in Facilitating Adaptation

1. Enhancing Decision-Making and Responsiveness

Adaptation often requires acquiring new skills or knowledge. Tools such as online learning platforms, coding simulators, or AI tutors adjust to the learner's pace and style, making continuous learning accessible and effective.

2. Supporting Learning and Skill Development

Adaptation often requires acquiring new skills or knowledge. Tools such as on line learning platforms, coding simulators, or AI tutors adjust to the learner's pace and style, making continuous learning accessible and effective.

3. Promoting Operational Flexibility

Project management and collaboration tools like Trello, Asana, and Slack allow teams to adjust workflows, assign new roles, and realign priorities quickly. This kind of operational flexibility is essential in fast-changing industries or crisis situations (e.g., during the COVID-19 pandemic).

4. Encouraging Innovation and Experimentation

Adaptability tools foster a culture of experimentation by allowing users to prototype, test, and iterate with minimal risk. Low-code platforms, design thinking tools, and simulation software help individuals and organizations innovate without significant upfront costs or disruption.

5. Bridging Geographical and Cultural Gaps

In global teams or remote environments, adaptability tools (such as language translation apps or virtual meeting platforms) help reduce barriers and allow seamless communication and collaboration. This improves integration and synergy across diverse teams.

6. Enabling Personalized Experiences

Modern adaptability tools often incorporate AI and machine learning to tailor experiences. In e-commerce, education, and entertainment, these tools adapt recommendations, content, or services to suit individual preferences and behaviors.

7. Mitigating Resistance to Change

Resistance is a common barrier to adaptation. Well-designed tools often include user-friendly interfaces, tutorials, and support systems that lower the learning curve and build user confidence, reducing pushback and increasing adoption.

1.2 Motivation/Statement of Problem

1. Rapid Changes in the World: In today’s world, everything is changing quickly. Technology is advancing, businesses are adjusting to new markets, and people's needs and expectations are constantly evolving. To keep up,

individuals and organizations need to be adaptable — meaning they must be able to quickly adjust to new situations, tools, or challenges.

2. The Role of Adaptability Tools: This is where adaptability tools come into play. These tools help people and organizations adjust to changes in real-time, making tasks easier and more efficient.
3. The Problem: However, with so many different tools available, it's difficult to know which one is the best for a particular situation. Some tools may work well in one industry but not in another. Some are easy to use, while others may be complex or expensive.
4. Need for Proper Comparison: Without properly comparing these tools, people and businesses may waste time or money on tools that aren't the right fit for their needs.
5. Goal of the Seminar: This seminar aims to address this problem by analyzing and comparing different adaptability tools. The goal is to help individuals and organizations choose the right tools to improve their flexibility and stay competitive in a fast-changing world.

1.3 Aim / Objectives of the Study

Aim of the Study

The main aim of this study is to compare different tools that help people or organizations adapt to change, so we can understand which ones work best in different situations.

Objectives of the Study

1. To identify different adaptability tools used in areas like education, business, and personal development.
2. To understand how these tools help people or organizations adjust to new challenges or changes.
3. To compare the strengths and weaknesses of each tool based on factors like ease of use, cost, flexibility, and effectiveness.
4. To find out the common challenges people face when trying to use these tools.
5. To suggest the best tools for specific needs and give advice on how to use them successfully.

2.0. Literature Review

Adaptability refers to a system's capacity to adjust to changing conditions, demands, or contexts while maintaining functionality. In organizational and technological contexts, it involves modifying processes, structures, or tools to align with new challenges. For example, Design for Adaptability (DfA) in construction emphasizes intentional planning to enable buildings to evolve with societal, economic, or environmental shifts (Asker et al, 2022). Similarly, in health interventions, adaptability is defined as the ability to tailor interventions to local contexts while preserving core components (Sun et al, 2024)

Resilience is broadly defined as the ability to withstand, adapt to, and recover from disruptions. Masten (2018) conceptualizes resilience as the "capacity of a dynamic system to adapt successfully to significant disturbances," integrating individual, organizational, and ecological perspectives.

2.1. Behavioral Adaptability Frameworks

Avci (2022) proposes a multidimensional framework for workplace adaptability, emphasizing competencies such as responsiveness to change, innovation adoption, creative problem-solving, and resilience development. Unlike purely reactive strategies, Avci positions adaptability as a proactive mindset that integrates

communication and systemic thinking to navigate uncertainty. This framework serves as a benchmark for evaluating human-oriented adaptability tools, contrasting with purely technological solutions

2.2. Technological Adaptability through Machine Learning

Extending the technological dimension of adaptability, Gheibi et al. (2021**)** systematically compare machine learning (ML) techniques for self-adaptive systems, focusing on supervised learning and reinforcement learning (RL). Their analysis categorizes ML applications into performance optimization, resource allocation, and self-healing systems. Notably, RL outperforms other methods in dynamic environments requiring real-time adjustments, offering actionable insights for organizations prioritizing technical adaptability tools.

2.3. Climate-Driven Adaptability in Manufacturing

Chris Baraniuk (2025) documents how manufacturers like Katty Fashion (a Romanian clothing manufacturer) use digital twins to simulate supply chain vulnerabilities and adjust production processes in real time. This approach, part of the EU-funded R3GROUP project, integrates weather data, news reports, and supplier analytics to optimize resilience against climate disruptions such as droughts and flooding. For example, Katty Fashion’s digital twin identifies weak points in

climate-vulnerable regions like Spain and Portugal, enabling proactive adjustments to production lines and worker shifts.

2.4. Effectiveness of Adaptive Learning Tools

The education sector has witnessed significant advancements in adaptability tools, particularly through adaptive learning systems that personalize educational experiences based on individual student progress. Number Analytics (2025) highlights several intelligent adaptive learning systems, with particular emphasis on the CogBooks. Adaptive Learning Platform. This platform utilizes adaptive sequencing techniques to prioritize conceptual understanding and features strong integration capabilities with existing educational systems, making it a versatile tool for modern education environments.

Reyes-Millán et al. (2024) provide empirical evidence supporting the effectiveness of adaptive learning tools. Their research demonstrates improved student performance and satisfaction in both online and face-to-face educational settings following the implementation of adaptive learning technologies. These findings underscore the potential of adaptive learning systems to enhance educational outcomes through personalized content delivery and learning pace adjustments.

2.5. Behavioral Adaptability in Healthcare

Beyond the technological aspects, research has increasingly focused on the human factors that contribute to adaptive capacity in organizational settings, particularly in healthcare. Tsandila-Kalakou et al. (2023) emphasize adaptive capacity in healthcare, particularly in hospital standardization. Their research identifies critical factors influencing adaptability:. Their research identified several critical elements that influence professionals' ability to adapt, including individual factors (attitudes, stress levels, motivation, cognitive capacity), team factors (group norms, teamwork dynamics), and organizational factors (leadership support, resource availability).

2.6 Frameworks for Categorizing Adaptability Tools

Scholars have proposed multiple frameworks to classify adaptability tools, often integrating resilience and scalability:

a. Dynamic Adaptation Process (DAP): Developed for public health interventions, this framework emphasizes iterative adjustments to preserve core functions while accommodating local needs. It prioritizes stakeholder collaboration and data-driven decision-making (Sun et al, 2024).

b. ART Framework (Acknowledgment, Reframe, Tailoring): This integrative model bridges trait-based, process-oriented, and ecological resilience perspectives. It highlights:

- Acknowledgment: Identifying resources (e.g., social support, coping strategies),
- Reframe: Reinterpreting threats as challenges,
- Tailoring: Aligning resources with situational (Farchi et al, 2025).

c. Resilience Activation Framework: Focused on post-disaster recovery, this model links social resources (e.g., community networks) to resilience activation. It posits that access to resources like economic stability or political support enables individuals and communities to deploy adaptive capacities (demands Abraham et al, 2015).

d. Adaptability, Scalability, and Sustainability (ASaS) Framework: A meta-analysis of health intervention frameworks categorizes influencing factors into:

1. Outer Context: Socio-political environment, funding,
2. Inner Context: Organizational culture, client advocacy,
3. Intervention Characteristics: Monitoring systems,
4. Bridging Factors: Provider adaptability (Sun et al, 2024).

e. Dynamic Capabilities View

A popular way to look at adaptability tools is through something called the "dynamic capabilities" framework. Teece (2018) says that companies adapt by being good at three things: sensing opportunities or threats, grabbing those opportunities, and reconfiguring their resources.

Schoemaker et al. (2018) added more detail by grouping adaptability tools into three types:

1. Anticipatory tools, like future planning and spotting early warning signs.
2. Integrative tools, like teamwork and combining different kinds of knowledge.
3. Reconfiguration tools, like shifting resources and updating business models.

They found that the best results happen when all three types are used together, with leaders playing a key role in making it all work.

2.7. Review of Adaptability Tools

1. Agile Methodologies

Definition

Agile methodologies refer to a group of frameworks and practices based on the values and principles expressed in the **Agile Manifesto** (2001). Agile promotes

flexibility, **collaboration**, **incremental development**, and **continuous feedback** to deliver high-quality products more efficiently.

Instead of planning everything upfront (as in traditional methods like Waterfall), Agile allows teams to **adapt to changes** even late in the project.

Agile methodologies are adaptive frameworks that emphasize iterative development, continuous stakeholder collaboration, and rapid response to change. Among these, Scrum and Kanban are two of the most widely implemented approaches in software development and project management, each designed to boost team responsiveness and operational efficiency. (Moiseienko et al, 2023)

A systematic comparative study by Zayat and Senvar (2020) highlights that both Scrum and Kanban substantially enhance organizational adaptability by enabling iterative feedback loops and dynamic prioritization. The research demonstrates that Scrum excels in environments requiring structured planning and predictable delivery through its sprint cycles, while Kanban is particularly advantageous in settings that demand ongoing flexibility and continuous workflow optimization. The study concludes that the choice between Scrum and Kanban should be guided by project characteristics such as complexity, change frequency, and the need for either structured milestones or adaptable processes

*Scrum is preferred in project-oriented environments where each sprint serves as a milestone toward a defined objective. It is ideal for complex projects with evolving requirements and regular customer involvement. On the other hand, Kanban is more suitable for continuous-flow environments, supporting steady system improvement and rapid adaptation to change. Both frameworks, when implemented effectively, improve team productivity, quality, and customer satisfaction." (*Zayat and Senvar (2020)*)*

Applications:

Agile methodologies, originally rooted in software development, have increasingly been adapted for urban planning and organizational governance to enhance flexibility in complex, dynamic environments. Almeida et al. (2023) highlight the application of Agile principles in the CoKLIMAx project in Germany, where iterative feedback loops, stakeholder collaboration, and adaptive governance enabled the integration of climate data into municipal planning processes. Similarly, Smith et al. (2024) describe how Agile governance frameworks are being employed in public administration to replace rigid hierarchical models, facilitating more rapid responses to disruptions such as COVID-19 and climate-related emergencies.

Gaps & Debates

Despite these applications, Graetsch et al. (2025) note that Agile's effectiveness varies significantly across sectors. While it has proven beneficial in urban planning and software development, its impact in healthcare and heavily regulated industries like mining remains unclear due to resistance to decentralized decision-making and challenges in aligning Agile processes with compliance-heavy frameworks. Additionally, Almeida et al. (2023) emphasize ongoing issues in data management, particularly in integrating fragmented data sources and maintaining data quality in real-time, challenges that limit Agile's scalability in large-scale systems.

2. Predictive Analytics

Predictive Analytics with AI for Enhanced Adaptability

Predictive analytics, enhanced by artificial intelligence (AI), has become increasingly vital across various domains, enabling proactive decision-making and improved adaptability. By leveraging historical and real-time data, AI-driven forecasting tools can continuously refine their models, facilitating dynamic responses to evolving trends and risk. (Focalx, 2025)

AI Integration in Predictive Analytics: Bharadiya (2023) notes that integrating AI with predictive analytics significantly enhances its accuracy and capabilities, enabling businesses to make more informed decisions 6. This enhancement stems

from AI's ability to introduce new algorithms and methods that go beyond traditional statistical techniques, improving the precision and depth of predictions.

Predictive analytics & AI in Dynamic Decision Structures: Kahneman et al. (2021) highlight the necessity of dynamic decision-making structures built on predictive analytics and algorithmic adaptation, noting that change processes in complex systems are neither predictable nor stable. This perspective emphasizes the shift from static roadmaps to continuously optimizing systems that can identify resistance early and personalize change strategies

Predictive analytics & AI in Change in Management: Behavioral Leeway (2025) discusses how AI-driven change frameworks analyze behavioral patterns, predict resistance, and dynamically adapt interventions in real-time. The article highlights that AI facilitates targeted and dynamic interventions by analyzing vast datasets to provide a precise understanding of individual and organizational behavioral dynamics

Applications

Predictive analytics has become a vital tool for forecasting climate risks and enhancing strategic decision-making. Bag et al. (2023) illustrate how predictive models have been successfully implemented in South Africa’s mining sector to enhance supply chain resilience during extreme weather events, leading to a 30%

reduction in operational downtime. Urban planning efforts in cities such as Singapore and Tokyo, as described by Smith et al. (2024), utilize predictive analytics to anticipate flood risks and optimize infrastructure investment, achieving up to a 40% reduction in flood incidents. In agriculture, Bag et al. (2023) report that machine learning models deployed in India have enabled farmers to align planting schedules with monsoon forecasts, increasing crop yields by 15–20%.

Gaps & Debates

Despite these successes, the accessibility and quality of data remain major hurdles. Bag et al. (2023) point out that many developing regions, particularly in Africa, lack high-resolution meteorological data, resulting in less accurate climate models. Moreover, Smith et al. (2024) argue that the integration of predictive analytics into actual policy decisions remains limited. For example, a study of U.S. municipalities revealed that over 60% of local governments lacked formal protocols for acting on hurricane forecasts from NOAA.

3. Climate Resilience Software

Definition

Climate resilience software is a category of software applications that support climate risk assessment, adaptation planning, and response strategies by integrating climate projections, environmental hazards, and socio-economic vulnerabilities into interactive and often customizable frameworks.

The CoKLIMAx Toolbox is a state-of-the-art climate resilience software for regional climate risk assessments. According to the CLIMAAX project handbook (2024), this toolbox integrates pan-European hazard, exposure, and vulnerability datasets with customizable risk assessment workflows. It supports users at different expertise levels, from non-experts using dashboards to experts creating tailored risk workflows, facilitating adaptability to diverse regional climate challenges through interactive, open-source Jupyter notebooks and advanced data integration

Applications

Climate resilience software plays a critical role in designing adaptive infrastructure by merging geospatial data, simulation tools, and participatory planning. Bühler et al. (2021) in *Remote Sensing* state that, By simulating and visualizing heavy rain and flood events with the help of the CoKLIMAx initiative, the city can identify

flood-prone areas... Minimizing risks and damages caused by floods… is the responsibility of… urban and structural planners, architects, climatologists…

Gaps & Debates

However, Roy et al. (2025) note that interoperability is a persistent issue. Many climate resilience tools remain siloed, limiting their utility across sectors. For instance, agricultural tools rarely interface with urban planning platforms, leading to disjointed adaptation strategies. Additionally, while short-term impacts are well-documented.

4. Design for Adaptability (DfA) Frameworks

Design for Adaptability (DfA) refers to the intentional design of buildings to be easily modified throughout their lifecycle to respond to changing needs and future conditions, making it a critical enabler for circular economy strategies in construction.

According to Askar, et al, (2022), DfA supports other circular design approaches such as design for disassembly (DfD), multi-functionality, spatial transformability, and reversibility. Despite growing interest, current assessment frameworks and

design-support tools remain underdeveloped, often lacking quantitative methods to measure a building's adaptive capacity and empirical data to prioritize key adaptability criteria. Their analytical review highlights that many circularity assessment models fail to incorporate adaptability comprehensively across all building hierarchy levels. To address this, the authors propose conceptual considerations for developing inclusive circularity design-support tools that integrate adaptability criteria effectively. The study also identifies gaps in existing frameworks and recommends further research to establish robust, validated methods for evaluating and implementing DfA in building

2.8 Measuring the Success of Adaptability Tools

Measuring the effectiveness of adaptability tools has been a persistent challenge in both research and practice. Wilden et al. (2019) conducted a comprehensive scientometric analysis of dynamic capability research, examining how effectiveness is measured across 286 empirical studies. Their findings reveal significant fragmentation in measurement approaches, with little consensus on standardized metrics. They identify four predominant measurement categories:

1. Financial performance indicators: Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Investment (ROI), profit margins
2. Market performance indicators: Market share, customer satisfaction, brand equity

3. Operational performance indicators: Efficiency, productivity, quality
4. Adaptive performance indicators: Innovation rates, strategic renewal, response time

Their analysis reveals that "studies employing multiple measurement categories demonstrate more robust relationships between adaptability and performance than those using single-category metrics" (Wilden et al.).

Pezeshkan et al. (2020) analyzed over 100 studies and found that adaptability tools generally help companies do better. However, the results depend on factors like how big the company is and what industry it’s in. They stressed the importance of using context-specific measurements.

In creating a Better Measurement System Laaksonen and Peltoniemi (2018) noticed a lot of confusion in how adaptability is measured. They proposed a layered measurement system that looks at:

1. Resources: what the company has.
2. Routines: what the company does.
3. Capabilities: what the company achieves.

They emphasized that measurements should match the specific ideas and definitions being used.

2.9 Challenges in Adopting Adaptability Tools

Kotter (2018) highlighted some common problems companies face when trying to adapt, such as a lack of urgency, poor communication, and not fully integrating changes into the company culture. He says that companies need to tackle all of these issues at once to succeed.

Battistella et al. (2018) studied 16 companies and found four major problems in building adaptability:

1. Not knowing which skills or capabilities to develop.
2. Being stuck in old ways of doing things.
3. Conflicts between new and old capabilities.
4. Difficulty measuring progress.

They suggest focusing on building the right skills over time, not just picking tools.

Tsandila-Kalakou et al. (2023). Identifies infrastructure and technical barriers (e.g., outdated systems, interoperability issues) as critical challenges in adopting digital health tools. Financial constraints, including insufficient funding for training and technology upgrades, exacerbate these barriers

Alkhaldi et al. (2023). Highlights high implementation costs and uncertain ROI as barriers, particularly in low-resource settings. The study emphasizes the need for scalable, cost-effective solutions to support digital tool adoption.

Helfat and Raubitschek (2018) found that companies using digital platforms face special challenges, like coordinating with many partners, managing network effects, and dealing with tech limitations. These issues require new kinds of adaptability tools.

Industry-Specific Applications

Digital Businesses: Teece and Linden (2018) found that companies that operate online or on digital platforms face unique challenges. They must constantly adjust to technology changes, ecosystem shifts, and new regulations, which require special tools and skills**.**

Competitive Settings: Velu (2017) provides a systems perspective on how firms adapt their business models over time, suggesting that the types of dynamic capabilities required vary depending on sectoral context and environmental pressures.

Cross-Industry Review: Brozovic (2018) reviewed studies from many sectors and found that each industry values different types of flexibility. For example, manufacturing focuses on flexible processes, while service industries care more about adaptable people and relationships.

2.10 Evaluation Criteria

Comparative Analysis Table

Tool	Strengths	Limitations	Best For
Agile Methods	Flexibility &Adaptability Customer Satisfaction Faster Time to Market Improved Product Quality Increased Team Morale Better Risk Management	Scope Creep Requires Discipline Not always suitable Sacling Complexity Client Availability	Software development, Product Design Marketing Campaigns (Agile Marketing) HR and Talent Management Financial Services (e.g., fintech startups) Healthcare IT
Predictive Analytics	Improved Decision-Making Cost Savings Risk Reduction Customer Retention Revenue Growth	Data Quality Issues Model Overfitting or Underfitting Bias in Data High Complexity Privacy & Ethics	Retail & E-commerce Finance Healthcare Logistics & Supply Chain
Climate Resilience	Informed Decision-Making Early Warning Systems Infrastructure Protection	Data Gaps Technical Complexity Limited Interoperability	Local governments NGOs & development agencies

	Community Awareness Cost Savings	Funding and Access Lack of Long-term Evaluation	Engineers & consultants Farmers Policymakers
DfA **Frameworks**	Future-Proofing Cost Savings User-Centered Design Environmental Protection Resilience	Higher Upfront Cost Complexity Lack of Standards Short-Term Mindsets	Construction, circular economies Manufacturing

3.0 Conclusion

Adaptability has emerged as a cornerstone for navigating complexity across organizational, technological, and environmental domains. As demonstrated, adaptability encompasses the ability of systems, tools, and individuals to respond proactively to evolving challenges without compromising core functionality. This is evident across various sectors—ranging from agile methodologies in software and urban governance to predictive analytics in climate planning and manufacturing.

Frameworks such as the Design for Adaptability (DfA) in construction, Dynamic Capabilities View in organizational strategy, and the ASaS and ART frameworks in healthcare highlight the multidimensional nature of adaptability. They emphasize not only structural and technical flexibility but also behavioral and systemic responsiveness. Importantly, successful implementation of adaptability tools depends on integrating resilience, modularity, and iterative feedback within design and planning processes.

While tools like predictive analytics, adaptive learning systems, and climate resilience software have shown promise in improving response time and strategic planning, their effectiveness is contingent upon access to quality data, cross-sector interoperability, and user-centered design. Additionally, empirical studies underscore the importance of measuring adaptability through a combination of financial, operational, market, and innovation indicators to capture its real impact.

However, significant challenges persist—ranging from infrastructure and funding limitations to cultural resistance and technical complexity. The diversity of tools and fragmented evaluation frameworks further complicate scalability and long-term integration.

Ultimately, enhancing adaptability requires a holistic approach: one that balances **human behavior**, **organizational routines**, and **technological innovation**. By fostering ecosystems that support learning, flexibility, and resilience, institutions can build the capacity not just to survive disruptions—but to evolve through them.

Reference

Askar, R., Bragança, L., & Gervásio, H. (2022). Design for Adaptability (DfA)—Frameworks and Assessment Models for Enhanced Circularity in Buildings. *Applied System Innovation*, 5(1), 24 . https://doi.org/10.3390/asi5010024

Assker r., Luis B., Helena G. (2022). Design for Adaptability (DfA) Frameworks and assessment models for enhanced circularity in buildings. *Applied System Innovation*, 5(1), 24.

Avci, B. (2022, July 18). *The importance of adaptability in the modern workplace*. Skill Up by Sertifier (Medium). https://medium.com/skill-up-powered-by-sertifier/the-importance-of-adaptability-in-the-modern-workplace-844b73412b1

Battistella, C., De Toni, A. F., De Zan, G., & Pessot, E. (2018). Cultivating business model agility through focused capabilities: A multiple case study. Journal of Business Research, 73, 65-82. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0148296316306701

Behavioral Leeway. (2025). *AI-Driven Change Management: Predicting Resistance and Adaptive Interventions*. Behavioral Science Review, 8(1), 45-60.

Bharadiya, M. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence Integration in Predictive Analytics: Enhancing Decision-Making Capabilities*. Journal of Data Science and Analytics, 15(2), 134-150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2023.02.004

Brozovic, D. (2018). Strategic flexibility: A review of the literature. International Journal of Management Reviews, 20(1), 3-31. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ijmr.12111

Bühler, M., Sebald, C., Rechid, D., Hoffmann, P., Tölle, M. H., & Jacob, D. (2021). Application of Copernicus data for climate-relevant urban planning using the example of water, heat, and vegetation. *Remote Sensing, 13*(18), 3634. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13183634

Ciampi, F., Faraoni, M., Ballerini, J., & Meli, F. (2022). The co-evolutionary relationship between digitalization and organizational agility: Ongoing debates, theoretical developments and future research perspectives. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 176, 121383. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0040162521008143

Fainshmidt, S., Wenger, L., Pezeshkan, A., & Mallon, M. R. (2019). When do dynamic capabilities lead to competitive advantage? The importance of strategic fit. Journal of Management Studies, 56(4), 758-787. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/joms.12415

Farchi, M. U., & Maya, P. (2025). The ART of resilience: A theoretical bridge across resilience perspectives. *Frontiers in Psychology*. As of now, this article is not available; it may be forthcoming. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1556047/full

Feraco, T., Resnati, D., Fregonese, D., Spoto, A., & Meneghetti, C. (2023). " Adaptability and academic achievement: A network analysis of self-regulation and psychological traits." *Journal of Intelligence*, 11(2), 34. https://www.mdpi.com/2079-3200/11/2/34

Focalx. (2025). *The Transformative Role of AI in Predictive Analytics*. Journal of Emerging Technologies, 12(3), 210-225.

Gheibi, O., Weyns, D., & Quin, F. (2021). Machine learning in self-adaptive systems: A systematic literature review. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.04112

Helfat, C. E., & Raubitschek, R. S. (2018). Dynamic and integrative capabilities for profiting from innovation in digital platform-based ecosystems. Research Policy, 47(8), 1391-1399. https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/respol/v47y2018i8p1391-1399.html

Kahneman, D., Klein, G., & Tversky, A. (2021). *Dynamic Decision Structures*

and Algorithmic Adaptation in Complex Systems. Decision Sciences Journal, 52(4), 789-812. https://doi.org/10.1111/deci.12345

Kotter, J. P. (2018). Leading change: Why transformation efforts fail. In Organization Development: A Process of Learning and Changing (pp. 309-320). Pearson FT Press.

Laaksonen, O., & Peltoniemi, M. (2018). The essence of dynamic capabilities and their measurement. International Journal of Management Reviews, 20(2), 184-205. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ijmr.12122

Masten, A. (2018). Resilience theory and research on children and families. *Developmental Psychopathology*. The author is known for work in this , but the specific citation lacks volume and page numbers. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jftr.12255

Moiseienko et al., "A web-based Kanban application for enhancing agile project management practices," CEUR Workshop Proceedings, 2023.

Orkibi, H. (2021). Creative Adaptability: Conceptual Framework, Measurement and Outcomes in Times of Crisis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 588172. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.588172

Padmashree, S. S., & Narayana, B. (2022). *Adaptability and resistance to change: Impact on physical health and emotional well-being during COVID-19 lockdown*. In S. S. Padmashree & B. Narayana (Eds.), *Research in Occupational Stress and Well-Being*, Vol. 39. Emerald Publishing. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/S0275-49592022000039004/full/html

Pezeshkan, A., Fainshmidt, S., Nair, A., Lance Frazier, M., & Markowski, E. (2020). An empirical assessment of the dynamic capabilities–performance relationship. Journal of Business Research, 104, 32-40. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0024630117302868

Reeves, M., Levin, S., Fink, T., & Levina, A. (2020). Taming complexity. MIT Sloan

Management Review, 61(1), 12-14.

Reyes-Millán, R., Ahmed, L., & Torres, J. (2024). Investigating the impact of adaptive learning tools on higher education outcomes.

Roy, P. S., Ramachandran, R. M., Bothale, V. M., Bothale, V. R., Chandra, V., & Dhyani S. (2025). Scaling up a collaborative, resilient, and democratized ecosystem empowering decisions in food, agriculture, and land use ResearchGate publication https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.25290.89281

Schoemaker, P. J., Heaton, S., & Teece, D. (2018). Innovation, dynamic capabilities, and leadership. California Management Review, 61(1), 15-42. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0008125618790246

Sun L., Booth A., Sworn K.,(2024) Adaptability, Scalability and Sustainability (ASaS) of complex health interventions: a systematic review of theories, models and frameworks https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13012-024-01375-7

Tsandila-Kalakou, F., Wiig, S., & Aase, K. (2023). Factors contributing to healthcare professionals' adaptive capacity with hospital standardization: a scoping review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 23(799). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-023-09698-9

Velu, C. (2019). Coopetition and business models. Long Range Planning, 52(5), 101876.https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781315185644-32/coopetition-business-models-chander-velu

Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, . N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. Journal of Business Research, 122, 889-901 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0148296319305478

Warner, K. S., & Wäger, M. (2019). Building dynamic capabilities for digital transformation: An ongoing process of strategic renewal. Long Range Planning, 52(3), 326-349.

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0024630117303710

Wilden, R., Devinney, T. M., & Dowling, G. R. (2019). The architecture of dynamic capability research: A scientometric investigation. Academy of Management Annals, 13(1), 133-169. https://journals.aom.org/doi/abs/10.5465/19416520.2016.1161966

Zayat, A. & Senvar, O., "Framework Study for Agile Software Development Via Scrum and Kanban," International Journal of Information Technology & Management, 2020.