# Useful Inequalities for Theoretical Computer Scientists

Konstantin Makarychev

Graduate Algorithms

### 1 Basic Inequalities

**Theorem 1.1** (Markov's Inequality). Consider a non-negative random variable X. For every positive t, we have

$$\Pr\{X \ge t\} \le \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{t}.$$

**Theorem 1.2** (Chebyshev's Inequality). Consider an arbitrary random variable X with a finite expectation  $\mu = \mathbf{E}[X]$  and finite variance  $\mathbf{Var}[X] = \mathbf{E}[(X - \mu)^2]$ . For every positive t, we have

$$\Pr\{|X - \mu| \ge t\} \le \frac{\mathbf{Var}[X]}{t^2}.$$

Exercise: Prove Markov's and Chebyshev's inequalities.

**Theorem 1.3** (Jensen's Inequality). For every convex function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and random variable X, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[f(X)] \ge f(E[X]).$$

For every concave function  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and random variable X, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[g(X)] \le g(\mathbf{E}[X]).$$

**Theorem 1.4** (The Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality). For all random variables X and Y, the following inequality holds:

$$\mathbf{E}[XY] \le \sqrt{\mathbf{E}[X^2] \ \mathbf{E}[Y^2]}.$$

#### 2 Bounds on Binomial Coefficients

Claim 2.1. For all natural n and  $k \leq n$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \le \binom{n}{k} < \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k.$$

*Proof.* We first show the lower bound. Write

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k! (n-k)!} = \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k \cdot (k-1) \cdots 1} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{n-i}{k-i}.$$

Observe that all terms (n-i)/(k-i) are lower bounded by n/k. Thus,

$$\binom{n}{k} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{n-i}{k-i} \ge \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k.$$

Now we establish the upper bound. We have

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k! (n-k)!} = \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k!} \le \frac{n^k}{k!}.$$

To finish the proof, we need to show that  $k! > (k/e)^k$  (compare this inequality with Stirling's approximation for k!). Write the Taylor series for  $e^k$ :

$$e^k = 1 + k + \frac{k^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{k^k}{k!} + \dots > \frac{k^k}{k!}.$$

We have  $e^k > k^k/k!$  and, consequently,  $k! > (k/e)^k$ .

## 3 Hoeffding's Inequality

**Theorem 3.1** (Hoeffding's Inequality). Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be i.i.d. Rademacher random variables taking values 1 and -1 with probability 1/2 i.e.,

$$\Pr\{X_i = 1\} = \Pr\{X_i = -1\} = 1/2.$$

Then, for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i} X_i \ge t\right\} \le e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n}}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>i.i.d. stands for independent identically distributed

*Proof.* We first show the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables. For all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i} X_{i} \geq t\right\} \leq \frac{\prod_{i} \mathbf{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right]}{e^{\lambda t}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let  $f(x) = e^{\lambda x}$  and  $S = \sum_i X_i$ . Observe that f is a monotonically increasing non-negative function. Thus,  $x \geq t$  if and only if  $f(x) \geq f(t)$ . In particular,  $S \geq t$  if and only if  $f(S) \geq f(t)$ . Thus, by Markov's inequality applied to the random variable f(S), we have

$$\Pr\{S \ge t\} = \Pr\{f(S) \ge f(t)\} \le \frac{\mathbf{E}[f(S)]}{f(t)}.$$

Write,

$$\mathbf{E}[f(S)] = \mathbf{E}\Big[\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{i} X_{i}\right)\Big] = \mathbf{E}\Big[\prod_{i} \exp\left(\lambda X_{i}\right)\Big].$$

Random variables  $\exp(\lambda X_i)$   $(i \in \{1, ..., n\})$  are independent, hence

$$\mathbf{E}\Big[\prod_{i} \exp\left(\lambda X_{i}\right)\Big] = \prod_{i} \mathbf{E}\Big[\exp\left(\lambda X_{i}\right)\Big].$$

Thus,

$$\Pr\{S \ge t\} \le \frac{\prod_i \mathbf{E} \Big[ \exp (\lambda X_i) \Big]}{f(t)}.$$

This concludes the proof.

We now use Lemma 3.2 to prove Hoeffding's inequality. To this end, we compute the expectation  $\mathbf{E}[\exp(\lambda X_i)]$  for each i:

$$\mathbf{E}\Big[\exp(\lambda X_i)\Big] = \Pr\{X_i = 1\} \cdot e^{\lambda} + \Pr\{X_i = -1\} \cdot e^{-\lambda} = \frac{e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}}{2}.$$

The function on the right hand side is called the hyperbolic cosine and denoted by  $\cosh x$ :  $\cosh x = (e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda})/2$ . We use the following simple bound on  $\cosh x$ .

Claim 3.3. For all  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\frac{e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}}{2} \le e^{\lambda^2/2}$$

We prove this claim below and now proceed with the proof of Hoeffding's inequality. By Claim 3.3:

$$\mathbf{E}\Big[\exp\big(\lambda X_i\big)\Big] \le e^{\lambda^2/2}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i} X_{i} \ge t\right\} \le \frac{\prod_{i} e^{-\lambda^{2}/2}}{e^{\lambda t}} = e^{\lambda^{2} n/2 - \lambda t}.$$

For  $\lambda = t/n$ , we get the desired bound. To finish the proof we need to establish Claim 3.3.

*Proof of Claim 3.3.* Write the Taylor series for functions  $\cosh \lambda$  and  $e^{\lambda^2/2}$ :

$$\frac{e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}}{2} = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^{2i}}{(2i)!} + \dots$$
$$e^{\lambda^2/2} = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^{2i}}{2^i \cdot i!} + \dots$$

Observe that  $(2i)! \geq 2^i \cdot i!$ . Thus, the *i*-th term in the first series is less than or equal to the *i*-th term in the second series for each *i*. Therefore, we have  $\cosh \lambda \leq e^{\lambda^2/2}$ .

Corollary 3.4 (Symmetric Hoeffding's Inequality). Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be i.i.d. symmetric Bernoulli random variables taking values 1 and -1 with probability 1/2 i.e.,

$$\Pr\{X_i = 1\} = \Pr\{X_i = -1\} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then, for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\Pr\left\{ \left| \sum_{i} X_{i} \right| \ge t \right\} \le 2e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2n}}.$$

*Proof.* The random variable  $S = \sum_i X_i$  is symmetric around 0, and consequently for every t we have  $\Pr\{S \ge t\} = \Pr\{S \le -t\}$ . Thus,

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i} X_{i} \le -t\right\} = \Pr\left\{\sum_{i} X_{i} \ge t\right\} \le e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2n}}.$$

Thus,

$$\Pr\left\{ \left| \sum_i X_i \right| \ge t \right\} = \Pr\left\{ \sum_i X_i \le -t \right\} + \Pr\left\{ \sum_i X_i \ge t \right\} \le 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n}}.$$

We now state a more general variant of Hoeffding's Inequality (without a proof).

**Theorem 3.5** (Hoeffding's Inequality). Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables. Suppose that each  $X_i$  takes values in the interval  $[m_i, M_i]$ . Let  $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\sum_i X_i]$ . Then, for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\Pr\left\{ \left| \sum_{i} X_i - \mu \right| \ge t \right\} \le 2e^{-\frac{2t^2}{\sum (M_i - m_i)^2}}.$$

#### 4 Chernoff Bound

**Theorem 4.1** (The Chernoff Bound). Consider independent random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  taking values in the interval [0,1]. Let  $\mu_i = \mathbf{E}[X_i]$  and  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ . Then,

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \ge t\right\} \le e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^t.$$

*Proof.* Fix a positive  $\lambda$ . As in the proof of Hoeffding's inequality, we first upper bound  $\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_i}\right]$  for each i. Since  $x\mapsto e^{\lambda x}$  is a convex function, the following inequality holds for all  $x\in[0,1]$ :

$$e^{\lambda x} \le xe^{\lambda} + (1-x)e^{0} = xe^{\lambda} + (1-x) = 1 + x(e^{\lambda} - 1).$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_i}\right] \le \mathbf{E}\left[1 + X_i(e^{\lambda} - 1)\right] = 1 + \mu_i(e^{\lambda} - 1) \le e^{\mu_i(e^{\lambda} - 1)}.$$

By Lemma 3.2.

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \geq t\right\} \leq \frac{\prod_{i} \mathbf{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right]}{e^{\lambda t}} \leq \frac{\prod_{i} \exp(\mu_{i}(e^{\lambda} - 1))}{e^{\lambda t}}$$
$$= \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{i} \mu_{i}(e^{\lambda} - 1)\right)}{e^{\lambda t}} = \frac{e^{\mu(e^{\lambda} - 1)}}{e^{\lambda t}}.$$

For  $\lambda = \ln(t/\mu)$ , we get

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \ge t\right\} \le e^{t-\mu} \left(\frac{\mu}{t}\right)^t = e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^t.$$

It is easy to use this form of the Chernoff bound in this form when  $t \gg \mu$ . We now derive a simpler – but less precise – upper bound for  $t = (1 + \delta)\mu$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . The right hand side of the inequality equals

$$e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^t = e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e}{(1+\delta)}\right)^{(1+\delta)\mu} = \left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{1+\delta}}\right)^{\mu}.$$

We estimate the term in the brackets,  $e^{\delta}/(1+\delta)^{1+\delta}$ , as follows:  $\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{1+\delta}} \le e^{\frac{-\delta^2}{2+\delta}}$  (prove this bound!) and get the following version of the Chernoff Bound.

Corollary 4.2 (The Chernoff bound). Consider independent random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  taking values  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let  $\mu_i = \mathbf{E}[X_i]$  and  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ . Then,

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \ge (1+\delta)\mu\right\} \le e^{\frac{-\delta^2\mu}{2+\delta}}.$$

Moreover for  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \ge (1+\delta)\mu\right\} \le e^{-\delta^{2}\mu/3};$$

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \le (1-\delta)\mu\right\} \le e^{-\delta^2\mu/3};$$