

Exercise 6

Foundation of Cryptography, Fall 2011

Itay Berman

January 9, 2012

4.a Denote the view as $(r, in, \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t})$ where r is the random coins of D , in is D 's input and $\bar{a}_{1,\dots,t} \in (\{0, 1\}^n)^t$ are the first t oracle answers D received. Note that since both D^B and D^Π views includes r and in , then it is suffice to prove that $\Pr[B(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t}] = \Pr[\Pi(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t}]$, for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t}$ are the first t queries of D . We prove this using induction on t : the base case is clear. Assuming for $t - 1$ we will show that $\Pr[B(q_t) = a_t \mid B(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}] = \Pr[\Pi(q_t) = a_t \mid \Pi(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}]$. Consider two cases:

Case 1: $\exists i \in [t - 1]: q_t = q_i$. In this case, from the definition of B we get

$$\Pr[B(q_t) = a_t \mid B(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}] = \begin{cases} 1 & a_t = a_i \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and from the definition of Π we get

$$\Pr[\Pi(q_t) = a_t \mid \Pi(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}] = \begin{cases} 1 & a_t = a_i \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence, $\Pr[B(q_t) = a_t \mid B(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}] = \Pr[\Pi(q_t) = a_t \mid \Pi(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}]$.

Case 2: $\forall i \in [t - 1]: q_t \neq q_i$. In this case the conditioning above are irrelevant and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[B(q_t) = a_t \mid B(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}] &= \Pr[B(q_t) = a_t] \\ &= 2^{-n} \\ &= \Pr[\Pi(q_t) = a_t] \\ &= \Pr[B(q_t) = a_t \mid \Pi(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

The induction assumption yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[B(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t}] &= \Pr[B(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}] \cdot \Pr[B(q_t) = a_t \mid B(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}] \\ &= \Pr[\Pi(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}] \cdot \Pr[\Pi(q_t) = a_t \mid \Pi(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t-1}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t-1}] \\ &= \Pr[\Pi(\bar{q}_{1,\dots,t}) = \bar{a}_{1,\dots,t}], \end{aligned}$$

as required.

4.b: Assume towards a contradiction that $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ is not PRF, namely \exists PPT A , and $p \in \text{poly}$ such that

$$\left| \Delta_{\mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{G}_n, \Pi_n}^A \right| = \left| \Pr_{h \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{G}_n} [A^h(1^n)] - \Pr_{\pi \leftarrow \Pi} [A^\pi(1^n)] \right| \geq \frac{1}{p(n)},$$

for infinitely many n 's. Now, consider the following algorithms:

Algorithm 1 ($B_{\mathcal{F}}$).

Input: 1^n .

Oracle: Function $\phi: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n$.

1. Sample $g \leftarrow \mathcal{G}_n$.
2. Construct $o = \phi \oplus g$.
3. Emulate $A^o(1^n)$.

.....

Algorithm 2 ($B_{\mathcal{G}}$).

Input: 1^n .

Oracle: Function $\phi: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n$.

1. Sample $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$.
2. Construct $o = f \oplus \phi$.
3. Emulate $A^o(1^n)$.

.....

Note that since A is PPT and \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are efficient ensembles, then $B_{\mathcal{F}}, B_{\mathcal{G}}$ are PPT. Considering $B_{\mathcal{F}}$, if $\phi \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$ then $o \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{G}_n$ and if $\phi \leftarrow \Pi_n$ then $o \leftarrow \Pi_n$ (as xoring with random value gives a random value). Thus,

$$\left| \Delta_{\mathcal{F}_n, \Pi_n}^{B_{\mathcal{F}}} \right| = \left| \Delta_{\mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{G}_n, \Pi_n}^A \right| \geq \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

for infinitely many n 's. Considering $B_{\mathcal{G}}$, if $\phi \leftarrow \mathcal{G}_n$ then $o \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{G}_n$ and if $\phi \leftarrow \Pi_n$ then $o \leftarrow \Pi_n$. Thus,

$$\left| \Delta_{\mathcal{G}_n, \Pi_n}^{B_{\mathcal{G}}} \right| = \left| \Delta_{\mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{G}_n, \Pi_n}^A \right| \geq \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

for infinitely many n 's.

If \mathcal{F} is PRF then follows $B_{\mathcal{F}}$ we get a contradiction. If \mathcal{G} is PRF then follows $B_{\mathcal{G}}$ we get a contradiction. At any case we get a contradiction, thus $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ is PRF.