

Foundation of Cryptography (0368-4162-01), Lecture 7

MACs and Signatures

Iftach Haitner, Tel Aviv University

December 27, 2011

Section 1

Message Authentication Code (MAC)

Message Authentication Code (MAC)

Goal: message authentication.

Message Authentication Code (MAC)

Goal: message authentication.

Definition 1 (MAC)

A MAC is a tuple of PPT's $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$ such that

- 1 $\text{Gen}(1^n)$ outputs a key $k \in \{0, 1\}^*$
- 2 $\text{Mac}(k, m)$ outputs a "tag" t
- 3 $\text{Vrfy}(k, m, t)$ output 1 (YES) or 0 (NO)

Message Authentication Code (MAC)

Goal: message authentication.

Definition 1 (MAC)

A MAC is a tuple of PPT's $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$ such that

- 1 $\text{Gen}(1^n)$ outputs a key $k \in \{0, 1\}^*$
- 2 $\text{Mac}(k, m)$ outputs a "tag" t
- 3 $\text{Vrfy}(k, m, t)$ output 1 (YES) or 0 (NO)

We require

Consistency: $\text{Vrfy}(k, m, t) = 1$ for any $k \in \text{Supp}(\text{Gen}(1^n))$,
 $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $t = \text{Mac}(k, m)$

Unforgability: No PPT wins the MAC game with respect to
 $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$

Definition 2 (MAC game)

Let $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$ be a MAC and let $K_n = \text{Gen}(1^n)$. An oracle-aided algorithm A wins the MAC game with respect to $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$, if the following is not negligible:

$$(m, t) \leftarrow A^{\text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot), \text{Vrfy}(K_n, \cdot, \cdot)}(1^n) \wedge \text{Vrfy}(K_n, m, t) = 1 \\ \wedge \text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot) \text{ was not asked on } m$$

Definition 2 (MAC game)

Let $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$ be a MAC and let $K_n = \text{Gen}(1^n)$. An oracle-aided algorithm A wins the MAC game with respect to $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$, if the following is not negligible:

$$(m, t) \leftarrow A^{\text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot), \text{Vrfy}(K_n, \cdot, \cdot)}(1^n) \wedge \text{Vrfy}(K_n, m, t) = 1 \\ \wedge \text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot) \text{ was not asked on } m$$

- “Private key” definition

Definition 2 (MAC game)

Let $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$ be a MAC and let $K_n = \text{Gen}(1^n)$. An oracle-aided algorithm A wins the MAC game with respect to $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$, if the following is not negligible:

$$(m, t) \leftarrow A^{\text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot), \text{Vrfy}(K_n, \cdot, \cdot)}(1^n) \wedge \text{Vrfy}(K_n, m, t) = 1 \\ \wedge \text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot) \text{ was not asked on } m$$

- “Private key” definition
- Variable length messages?

Definition 2 (MAC game)

Let $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$ be a MAC and let $K_n = \text{Gen}(1^n)$. An oracle-aided algorithm A wins the MAC game with respect to $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$, if the following is not negligible:

$$(m, t) \leftarrow A^{\text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot), \text{Vrfy}(K_n, \cdot, \cdot)}(1^n) \wedge \text{Vrfy}(K_n, m, t) = 1 \\ \wedge \text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot) \text{ was not asked on } m$$

- “Private key” definition
- Variable length messages?
- Definition too strong? Any message? Use of Verifier?

Definition 2 (MAC game)

Let $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$ be a MAC and let $K_n = \text{Gen}(1^n)$. An oracle-aided algorithm A wins the MAC game with respect to $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$, if the following is not negligible:

$$(m, t) \leftarrow A^{\text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot), \text{Vrfy}(K_n, \cdot, \cdot)}(1^n) \wedge \text{Vrfy}(K_n, m, t) = 1 \\ \wedge \text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot) \text{ was not asked on } m$$

- “Private key” definition
- Variable length messages?
- Definition too strong? Any message? Use of Verifier?
- “Reply attacks”

Definition 2 (MAC game)

Let $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$ be a MAC and let $K_n = \text{Gen}(1^n)$. An oracle-aided algorithm A wins the MAC game with respect to $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$, if the following is not negligible:

$$(m, t) \leftarrow A^{\text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot), \text{Vrfy}(K_n, \cdot, \cdot)}(1^n) \wedge \text{Vrfy}(K_n, m, t) = 1 \\ \wedge \text{Mac}(K_n, \cdot) \text{ was not asked on } m$$

- “Private key” definition
- Variable length messages?
- Definition too strong? Any message? Use of Verifier?
- “Reply attacks”

Definition 3 (ℓ -time MAC)

Same as in Definition 1, but security is only required against ℓ -query adversaries.

constructions

Construction 4 (One-time MAC)

$\text{Gen}(1^n) = U_n$, $\text{Mac}(k, m) = k \oplus m$ and $\text{Vrfy}(k, m, t) = 1$ iff $t = k \oplus m$

constructions

Construction 4 (One-time MAC)

$\text{Gen}(1^n) = U_n$, $\text{Mac}(k, m) = k \oplus m$ and $\text{Vrfy}(k, m, t) = 1$ iff $t = k \oplus m$

Construction 5 ($\ell \in \text{poly-time MAC}$, Stateful)

Use ℓ random strings of length n

constructions

Construction 4 (One-time MAC)

$\text{Gen}(1^n) = U_n$, $\text{Mac}(k, m) = k \oplus m$ and $\text{Vrfy}(k, m, t) = 1$ iff $t = k \oplus m$

Construction 5 ($\ell \in \text{poly-time MAC}$, Stateful)

Use ℓ random strings of length n

Construction 6 ($\ell \in \text{poly-time MAC}$)

$\text{Gen}(1^n)$ return a random member in \mathcal{H}_n , where $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_n: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n\}$ is an efficient family of ℓ -wise independent hash functions.^a

Let $\text{Mac}(k, m) = k(m)$, and $\text{Vrfy}(k, m, t) = 1$ iff $t = k(m)$.

^aFor any distinct $x_1, \dots, x_\ell \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_\ell \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $\Pr h \leftarrow \mathcal{H}_n [h(x_1) = y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge h(x_\ell) = y_\ell] = 2^{-\ell n}$.

PRF-based MAC

Construction 7 (PRF-based MAC)

Same as Construction 6, but uses a family of length preserving function \mathcal{F} instead of \mathcal{H} .

Claim 8

Assuming that \mathcal{F} is a PRF, then Construction 7 is a (poly-time) MAC.

Proof:

PRF-based MAC

Construction 7 (PRF-based MAC)

Same as Construction 6, but uses a family of length preserving function \mathcal{F} instead of \mathcal{H} .

Claim 8

Assuming that \mathcal{F} is a PRF, then Construction 7 is a (poly-time) MAC.

Proof: Easy to prove if \mathcal{F} is a family of random functions.
Hence, also holds in case \mathcal{F} is a PRF. \square