Application of Information Theory, Lecture 7 Relative Entropy

Iftach Haitner

Tel Aviv University.

December 1, 2015

Part I

▶ Let $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ be distributions over [m]

- ▶ Let $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ be distributions over [m]
- ► Their statistical distance (also known as, variation distance) is defined by

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in [m]} |p_i - q_i|$$

- ▶ Let $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ be distributions over [m]
- ▶ Their statistical distance (also known as, variation distance) is defined by

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in [m]} |p_i - q_i|$$

 \triangleright This is simply the L_1 norm between the distribution vectors

- ▶ Let $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ be distributions over [m]
- ▶ Their statistical distance (also known as, variation distance) is defined by

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in [m]} |p_i - q_i|$$

- ► This is simply the L₁ norm between the distribution vectors
- We will see other "distance" measures for distributions next lecture

- ▶ Let $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ be distributions over [m]
- ▶ Their statistical distance (also known as, variation distance) is defined by

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in [m]} |p_i - q_i|$$

- ► This is simply the L₁ norm between the distribution vectors
- ▶ We will see other "distance" measures for distributions next lecture
- ▶ For $Z \sim p$ and $Y \sim q$, let SD(X, Y) = SD(p, q)

- ▶ Let $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ be distributions over [m]
- ▶ Their statistical distance (also known as, variation distance) is defined by

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in [m]} |p_i - q_i|$$

- ► This is simply the L₁ norm between the distribution vectors
- We will see other "distance" measures for distributions next lecture
- ▶ For $Z \sim p$ and $Y \sim q$, let SD(X, Y) = SD(p, q)
- ► Claim (HW): $SD(p, q) = \max_{S \subset [m]} (\sum_{i \in S} p_i \sum_{i \in S} q_i)$

- ▶ Let $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ be distributions over [m]
- Their statistical distance (also known as, variation distance) is defined by

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in [m]} |p_i - q_i|$$

- ► This is simply the L₁ norm between the distribution vectors
- We will see other "distance" measures for distributions next lecture
- ► For $Z \sim p$ and $Y \sim q$, let SD(X, Y) = SD(p, q)
- ► Claim (HW): $SD(p, q) = \max_{S \subseteq [m]} (\sum_{i \in S} p_i \sum_{i \in S} q_i)$
- ► Hence, $SD(p,q) = \max_{D} (Pr_{X \sim p}[D(X) = 1] Pr_{X \sim q}[D(X) = 1])$

- ▶ Let $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ be distributions over [m]
- ▶ Their statistical distance (also known as, variation distance) is defined by

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in [m]} |p_i - q_i|$$

- ► This is simply the L₁ norm between the distribution vectors
- ▶ We will see other "distance" measures for distributions next lecture
- ▶ For $Z \sim p$ and $Y \sim q$, let SD(X, Y) = SD(p, q)
- ► Claim (HW): $SD(p, q) = \max_{S \subseteq [m]} (\sum_{i \in S} p_i \sum_{i \in S} q_i)$
- ► Hence, $SD(p, q) = \max_{D} (Pr_{X \sim p}[D(X) = 1] Pr_{X \sim q}[D(X) = 1])$
- Interpretation

▶ Let X be rv over [m]

- ► Let X be rv over [m]
- ► $H(X) \leq \log m$

- ▶ Let X be rv over [m]
- ► $H(X) \leq \log m$
- ▶ $H(X) = \log m \longleftrightarrow X$ is uniform over [m]

- ► Let X be rv over [m]
- ► $H(X) \leq \log m$
- ▶ $H(X) = \log m \longleftrightarrow X$ is uniform over [m]

Theorem 1 (this lecture)

Let X rv over [m]. Assume $H(X) \ge \log m - \varepsilon$, then

$$SD(X, \sim [m]) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\ln 2}{2}} = O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$$

Part II

Relative entropy Distance

Section 1

Definition and Basic Facts

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

$$0\log\frac{0}{0}=0$$
, $p\log\frac{p}{0}=\infty$

► For $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$, let

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

$$0\log\frac{0}{0}=0$$
, $p\log\frac{p}{0}=\infty$

The relative entropy of pair of rv's, is the relative entropy of their distributions.

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

$$0\log\tfrac{0}{0}=0,\,p\log\tfrac{p}{0}=\infty$$

- The relative entropy of pair of rv's, is the relative entropy of their distributions.
- Names: Entropy of p relative to q, relative entropy, information divergence, Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence/distance

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

$$0\log\tfrac{0}{0}=0,\,p\log\tfrac{p}{0}=\infty$$

- The relative entropy of pair of rv's, is the relative entropy of their distributions.
- Names: Entropy of p relative to q, relative entropy, information divergence, Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence/distance
- Many different interpretations

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

$$0\log\tfrac{0}{0}=0,\,p\log\tfrac{p}{0}=\infty$$

- The relative entropy of pair of rv's, is the relative entropy of their distributions.
- Names: Entropy of p relative to q, relative entropy, information divergence, Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence/distance
- Many different interpretations
- Main interpretation: the information we gained about X, if we originally thought $X \sim q$ and now we learned $X \sim p$

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

- ► $D(p||q) = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{8}} + 0 \log 0$

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

- ► $D(p||q) = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{8}} + 0 \log 0$

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

- $D(p||q) = \frac{1}{4}\log\frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{4}\log\frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{8}} + 0\log 0 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot (-1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{2}$

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

- $p = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, 0), q = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$
- $D(p||q) = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{8}} + 0 \log 0 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot (-1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{2}$
- $D(q||p) = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{4}\log\frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{8}\log\frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{8}\log\frac{\frac{1}{8}}{0}$

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

- $p = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, 0), q = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$
- $D(p||q) = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{8}} + 0 \log 0 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot (-1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{2}$
- $D(q||p) = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{4}\log\frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{8}\log\frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{8}\log\frac{\frac{1}{8}}{0}$

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

- ▶ $D(q||p) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{8} \log \frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{8} \log \frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{6}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot (-1) + \frac{1}{8} \cdot (-1) + \infty = \infty$

► X rv over [m]

- ► X rv over [m]
- \vdash H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X

- ► X rv over [m]
- \rightarrow H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)

- ► X rv over [m]
- \rightarrow H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$

- ► X rv over [m]
- \rightarrow H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
- \vdash H(X) = 1, $\log m H(X) = 2 1 = 1$

- ➤ X rv over [m]
- \blacktriangleright H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ log m H(X) measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
- \vdash $H(X) = 1, \log m H(X) = 2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Indeed, we know $X_1 \oplus X_2$

- ➤ X rv over [m]
- \vdash H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
- \vdash H(X) = 1, $\log m H(X) = 2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Indeed, we know $X_1 \oplus X_2$
- $H(\sim [m]) H(p_1, \ldots, p_m) = \log m H(p_1, \ldots, p_m)$

- ➤ X rv over [m]
- \vdash H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
- \vdash H(X) = 1, $\log m H(X) = 2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Indeed, we know $X_1 \oplus X_2$
- $H(\sim [m]) H(p_1, \ldots, p_m) = \log m H(p_1, \ldots, p_m)$

- ► X rv over [m]
- \vdash H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
- \vdash H(X) = 1, $\log m H(X) = 2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Indeed, we know $X_1 \oplus X_2$

$$H(\sim [m]) - H(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \log m - H(p_1, \dots, p_m)$$
$$= \log m + \sum_i p_i \log p_i$$

- ► X rv over [m]
- \rightarrow H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
- \vdash $H(X) = 1, \log m H(X) = 2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Indeed, we know $X_1 \oplus X_2$

$$H(\sim [m]) - H(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \log m - H(p_1, \dots, p_m)$$

$$= \log m + \sum_i p_i \log p_i = \sum_i p_i (\log p_i - \log \frac{1}{m})$$

- ► X rv over [m]
- \vdash H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
- ► H(X) = 1, $\log m H(X) = 2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Indeed, we know $X_1 \oplus X_2$

$$H(\sim [m]) - H(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \log m - H(p_1, \dots, p_m)$$

$$= \log m + \sum_i p_i \log p_i = \sum_i p_i (\log p_i - \log \frac{1}{m})$$

$$= \sum_i p_i \log \frac{p_i}{\frac{1}{m}}$$

- ► X rv over [m]
- \vdash H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
- \vdash H(X) = 1, $\log m H(X) = 2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Indeed, we know $X_1 \oplus X_2$

$$H(\sim [m]) - H(p_1, ..., p_m) = \log m - H(p_1, ..., p_m)$$

$$= \log m + \sum_{i} p_i \log p_i = \sum_{i} p_i (\log p_i - \log \frac{1}{m})$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{\frac{1}{m}} = D(p||\sim [m])$$

- ► X rv over [m]
- \vdash H(X) measure for amount of information we do not have about X
- ▶ $\log m H(X)$ measure for information we do have about X (just by knowing its distribution)
- ► Example $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ over $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
- H(X) = 1, $\log m H(X) = 2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Indeed, we know $X_1 \oplus X_2$

$$H(\sim [m]) - H(p_1, ..., p_m) = \log m - H(p_1, ..., p_m)$$

$$= \log m + \sum_{i} p_i \log p_i = \sum_{i} p_i (\log p_i - \log \frac{1}{m})$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{\frac{1}{m}} = D(p||\sim [m])$$

▶ $D(X|| \sim [m])$ — measures the information we gained about X, if we originally thought it is $\sim [m]$ and now we learned it is $\sim p$

• (generally) $D(p||q) \neq H(p) - H(q)$

- (generally) $D(p||q) \neq H(p) H(q)$
- \vdash H(q) H(p) is not a good measure for information change

- (generally) $D(p||q) \neq H(p) H(q)$
- \vdash H(q) H(p) is not a good measure for information change
- Example: q = (0.01, 0.99) and p = (0.99, 0.01)

- (generally) $D(p||q) \neq H(p) H(q)$
- \vdash H(q) H(p) is not a good measure for information change
- Example: q = (0.01, 0.99) and p = (0.99, 0.01)
- ▶ We were almost sure that X = 1 but learned that X is almost surely 0

- (generally) $D(p||q) \neq H(p) H(q)$
- \vdash H(q) H(p) is not a good measure for information change
- Example: q = (0.01, 0.99) and p = (0.99, 0.01)
- ▶ We were almost sure that X = 1 but learned that X is almost surely 0
- ▶ But H(p) H(q) = 0

- (generally) $D(p||q) \neq H(p) H(q)$
- \vdash H(q) H(p) is not a good measure for information change
- Example: q = (0.01, 0.99) and p = (0.99, 0.01)
- ▶ We were almost sure that X = 1 but learned that X is almost surely 0
- ▶ But H(p) H(q) = 0
- ▶ Also, H(q) H(p) might be negative

- (generally) $D(p||q) \neq H(p) H(q)$
- \vdash H(q) H(p) is not a good measure for information change
- Example: q = (0.01, 0.99) and p = (0.99, 0.01)
- ▶ We were almost sure that X = 1 but learned that X is almost surely 0
- ▶ But H(p) H(q) = 0
- ▶ Also, H(q) H(p) might be negative
- ▶ We understand D(p||q) as the information we gained about X, if we originally thought it is $\sim q$ and now we learned it is $\sim p$

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

How can a distribution change?

► Typically, this happens by learning additional information

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

- Typically, this happens by learning additional information
- $q_i = \Pr[X = i]$ and $p_i = \Pr[X = i | E]$

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

- ► Typically, this happens by learning additional information
- $ightharpoonup q_i = \Pr[X = i | E]$
- ► Example $X \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$; someone saw X and tells us that $X \leq 2$

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

- Typically, this happens by learning additional information
- $ightharpoonup q_i = \Pr[X = i | E]$
- ► Example $X \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$; someone saw X and tells us that $X \leq 2$
- ▶ The distribution changes to $X \sim (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

- ► Typically, this happens by learning additional information
- $q_i = \Pr[X = i]$ and $p_i = \Pr[X = i|E]$
- ► Example $X \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$; someone saw X and tells us that $X \leq 2$
- ▶ The distribution changes to $X \sim (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$
- Another example

x	1	2	3	4
0	1/4	1/4	0	0
1	1/4	0	1/4	0

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

How can a distribution change?

- ► Typically, this happens by learning additional information
- $q_i = \Pr[X = i]$ and $p_i = \Pr[X = i|E]$
- ► Example $X \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$; someone saw X and tells us that $X \leq 2$
- ▶ The distribution changes to $X \sim (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$
- Another example

XY	1	2	3	4
0	1/4	1/4	0	0
1	1/4	0	1 4	0

• $Y \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$, but

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

- ► Typically, this happens by learning additional information
- $q_i = \Pr[X = i]$ and $p_i = \Pr[X = i|E]$
- ► Example $X \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$; someone saw X and tells us that $X \leq 2$
- ▶ The distribution changes to $X \sim (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$
- ► Another example

XY	1	2	3	4
0	1/4	1/4	0	0
1	1/4	0	1 4	0

- $Y \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$, but
- $Y \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ conditioned on X = 0

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

- Typically, this happens by learning additional information
- $ightharpoonup q_i = \Pr[X = i | E]$
- ► Example $X \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$; someone saw X and tells us that $X \leq 2$
- ▶ The distribution changes to $X \sim (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$
- ► Another example

XY	1	2	3	4
0	1/4	1/4	0	0
1	1/4	0	1/4	0

- $Y \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$, but
- $Y \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ conditioned on X = 0
- $Y \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ conditioned on X = 1

What does it mean: originally thought X ~ q and now we learned X ~ p?

- Typically, this happens by learning additional information
- $q_i = \Pr[X = i]$ and $p_i = \Pr[X = i | E]$
- ► Example $X \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$; someone saw X and tells us that $X \leq 2$
- ▶ The distribution changes to $X \sim (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$
- ► Another example

XY	1	2	3	4
0	1/4	1/4	0	0
1	1/4	0	1 4	0

- $Y \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$, but
- $Y \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ conditioned on X = 0
- $Y \sim (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ conditioned on X = 1
- Generally, a distribution can change if we condition on event E

•
$$0 \log \frac{0}{0} = 0$$
, $p \log \frac{p}{0} = \infty$ for $p > 0$

- $0 \log \frac{0}{0} = 0$, $p \log \frac{p}{0} = \infty$ for p > 0
- ▶ $\exists i$ s.t. $p_i > 0$ and $q_i = 0$, then $D(p||q) = \infty$

- $0 \log \frac{0}{0} = 0$, $p \log \frac{p}{0} = \infty$ for p > 0
- ▶ $\exists i$ s.t. $p_i > 0$ and $q_i = 0$, then $D(p||q) = \infty$
- ▶ If originally Pr[X = i] = 0, then it cannot be more than 0 after we learned something.

- ▶ $0 \log \frac{0}{0} = 0$, $p \log \frac{p}{0} = \infty$ for p > 0
- ▶ $\exists i$ s.t. $p_i > 0$ and $q_i = 0$, then $D(p||q) = \infty$
- If originally Pr[X = i] = 0, then it cannot be more than 0 after we learned something.
- ▶ Hence, it make sense to think of it as infinite amount of information learnt

- ▶ $0 \log \frac{0}{0} = 0$, $p \log \frac{p}{0} = \infty$ for p > 0
- ▶ $\exists i$ s.t. $p_i > 0$ and $q_i = 0$, then $D(p||q) = \infty$
- If originally Pr[X = i] = 0, then it cannot be more than 0 after we learned something.
- Hence, it make sense to think of it as infinite amount of information learnt
- Alteratively, we can define D(p||q) only for distribution with $q_i = 0 \implies p_i = 0$ (recall that $\Pr[X = i] = 0 \implies \Pr[X = i|E] = 0$, for any event E

- ▶ $0 \log \frac{0}{0} = 0$, $p \log \frac{p}{0} = \infty$ for p > 0
- ▶ $\exists i$ s.t. $p_i > 0$ and $q_i = 0$, then $D(p||q) = \infty$
- If originally Pr[X = i] = 0, then it cannot be more than 0 after we learned something.
- Hence, it make sense to think of it as infinite amount of information learnt
- Alteratively, we can define D(p||q) only for distribution with $q_i = 0 \implies p_i = 0$ (recall that $\Pr[X = i] = 0 \implies \Pr[X = i|E] = 0$, for any event E
- ▶ If p_i is large and q_i is small, then D(p||q) is large

- ▶ $0 \log \frac{0}{0} = 0$, $p \log \frac{p}{0} = \infty$ for p > 0
- ▶ $\exists i$ s.t. $p_i > 0$ and $q_i = 0$, then $D(p||q) = \infty$
- If originally Pr[X = i] = 0, then it cannot be more than 0 after we learned something.
- Hence, it make sense to think of it as infinite amount of information learnt
- Alteratively, we can define D(p||q) only for distribution with $q_i = 0 \implies p_i = 0$ (recall that $\Pr[X = i] = 0 \implies \Pr[X = i|E] = 0$, for any event E
- ▶ If p_i is large and q_i is small, then D(p||q) is large
- ▶ $D(p||q) \ge 0$, with equality iff p = q (hw)

▶
$$q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$$
 with $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 2^{-k}$ (i.e., $n < m$)

▶
$$q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$$
 with $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 2^{-k}$ (i.e., $n < m$)

- ▶ $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 2^{-k}$ (i.e., n < m)
- ▶ $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ the distribution of q conditioned on the event $i \in [n]$

- ▶ $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 2^{-k}$ (i.e., n < m)
- ▶ $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ the distribution of q conditioned on the event $i \in [n]$
- ► $D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log 2^k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i k = k$

- ▶ $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 2^{-k}$ (i.e., n < m)
- ▶ $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ the distribution of q conditioned on the event $i \in [n]$
- ► $D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log 2^k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i k = k$
- We gained k bits of information

- ▶ $q = (q_1, ..., q_m)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 2^{-k}$ (i.e., n < m)
- ▶ $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ the distribution of q conditioned on the event $i \in [n]$
- ► $D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log 2^k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i k = k$
- ▶ We gained *k* bits of information
- ► Example: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i = \frac{1}{2}$, and we were told that $i \leq n$ or i > n, we got one bit of information

Section 2

Axiomatic Derivation

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$ is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

Let \tilde{D} is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

$$\tilde{D}(p||q) = D((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)|| \\ (\alpha_{1,1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}q_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}q_m)), \text{ for } \sum_j \alpha_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{i,j \geq 0}$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

- $\tilde{D}(p||q) = D((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)||$ $(\alpha_{1,1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}q_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}q_m)), \text{ for } \sum_j \alpha_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{i,j \geq 0}$
- ▶ Taking α 's s.t. $\alpha_{i,1} = \alpha_{i,2} \dots, \alpha_{i,k_i} = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i q_i = \frac{1}{M}$,

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

- $\tilde{D}(p||q) = D((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)||$ $(\alpha_{1,1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}q_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}q_m)), \text{ for } \sum_j \alpha_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{i,j \geq 0}$
- ▶ Taking α 's s.t. $\alpha_{i,1} = \alpha_{i,2} \dots, \alpha_{i,k_i} = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i q_i = \frac{1}{M}$,

Let \tilde{D} is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

- $\tilde{D}(p||q) = D((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)|| \\ (\alpha_{1,1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}q_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}q_m)), \text{ for } \sum_j \alpha_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{i,j \geq 0}$
- ▶ Taking α 's s.t. $\alpha_{i,1} = \alpha_{i,2} \dots, \alpha_{i,k_i} = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i \mathbf{q}_i = \frac{1}{M}$, it follows that

$$\tilde{D}(p||q) = \log M - H((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m))$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

- $\tilde{D}(p||q) = D((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)|| \\ (\alpha_{1,1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}q_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}q_m)), \text{ for } \sum_j \alpha_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{i,j \geq 0}$
- ► Taking α 's s.t. $\alpha_{i,1} = \alpha_{i,2} \dots, \alpha_{i,k_i} = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i \mathbf{q}_i = \frac{1}{M}$, it follows that

$$\tilde{D}(p||q) = \log M - H((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m))
= \sum p_i \log M + \sum_i p_i \log \alpha_i p_i$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

- $\tilde{D}(p||q) = D((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)|| \\ (\alpha_{1,1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}q_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}q_m)), \text{ for } \sum_j \alpha_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{i,j \geq 0}$
- ▶ Taking α 's s.t. $\alpha_{i,1} = \alpha_{i,2} \dots, \alpha_{i,k_i} = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i \mathbf{q}_i = \frac{1}{M}$, it follows that

$$\tilde{D}(p||q) = \log M - H((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m))
= \sum p_i \log M + \sum_i p_i \log \alpha_i p_i = \sum_i p_i (\log M + \log \frac{p_i}{q_i M})$$

Let \tilde{D} is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

- $\tilde{D}(p||q) = D((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)|| \\ (\alpha_{1,1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}q_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}q_m)), \text{ for } \sum_j \alpha_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{i,j \geq 0}$
- ▶ Taking α 's s.t. $\alpha_{i,1} = \alpha_{i,2} \dots, \alpha_{i,k_i} = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i \mathbf{q}_i = \frac{1}{M}$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{D}(p\|q) &= \log M - H((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)) \\ &= \sum p_i \log M + \sum_i p_i \log \alpha_i p_i = \sum_i p_i (\log M + \log \frac{p_i}{q_i M}) = \sum_i p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}. \end{split}$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a continuous and symmetric (wrt each distribution) function such that

- **1.** $\tilde{D}(p|| \sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$
- **2.** $\tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_m)) = \tilde{D}((p_1,\ldots,p_{m-1},\alpha p_m,(1-\alpha)p_m)\|(q_1,\ldots,q_{m-1},\alpha q_m,(1-\alpha)q_m)),$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$

then $\tilde{D} = D$.

Interpretation

Proof:

- $\tilde{D}(p||q) = D((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)|| \\ (\alpha_{1,1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}q_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}q_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}q_m)), \text{ for } \sum_j \alpha_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{i,j \geq 0}$
- ▶ Taking α 's s.t. $\alpha_{i,1} = \alpha_{i,2} \dots, \alpha_{i,k_i} = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i \mathbf{q}_i = \frac{1}{M}$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{D}(p\|q) &= \log M - H((\alpha_{1,1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{1,k_1}p_1, \dots, \alpha_{m,1}p_m, \dots, \alpha_{m,k_m}p_m)) \\ &= \sum p_i \log M + \sum_i p_i \log \alpha_i p_i = \sum_i p_i (\log M + \log \frac{p_i}{q_i M}) = \sum_i p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}. \end{split}$$

Zeros and non-rational qi's are dealt by continuity

Section 3

Relation to Mutual Information



▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $(X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $(X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$
- $(X|Y=1) \sim p_1 = (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}), \qquad p_{1,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=1]$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $(X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \ldots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$
- $(X|Y=1) \sim p_1 = (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}), \qquad p_{1,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=1]$
- ▶ If we learned Y = j, we gained $D(p_j || q)$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $(X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$
- $(X|Y=1) \sim p_1 = (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}), \qquad p_{1,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=1]$
- ▶ If we learned Y = j, we gained $D(p_i || q)$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{Y}{\mathsf{E}} \left[D(p_{Y} \| q) \right] = \mathsf{Pr} \left[Y = 0 \right] \cdot D(p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m} \| q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & + \mathsf{Pr} \left[Y = 1 \right] \cdot D(p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m} \| q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \end{split}$$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $ightharpoonup (X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$
- $(X|Y=1) \sim p_1 = (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}), \qquad p_{1,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=1]$
- ▶ If we learned Y = j, we gained $D(p_i||q)$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{Y}{\mathsf{E}} \left[D(p_{Y} \| q) \right] = \mathsf{Pr} \left[Y = 0 \right] \cdot D(p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m} \| q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & + \mathsf{Pr} \left[Y = 1 \right] \cdot D(p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m} \| q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \end{split}$$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $(X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \ldots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$
- $(X|Y=1) \sim p_1 = (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}), \qquad p_{1,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=1]$
- ▶ If we learned Y = j, we gained $D(p_i || q)$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{Y}{\mathsf{E}}[D(p_{Y}\|q)] = \mathsf{Pr}\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot D(p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & + \mathsf{Pr}\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot D(p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & = \mathsf{Pr}\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log \frac{p_{0,i}}{q_{i}} + \mathsf{Pr}\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log \frac{p_{1,i}}{q_{i}} \end{split}$$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $(X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \ldots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$
- $(X|Y=1) \sim p_1 = (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}), \qquad p_{1,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=1]$
- ▶ If we learned Y = j, we gained $D(p_i || q)$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{Y}{\text{E}}\left[D(p_{Y}\|q)\right] = \text{Pr}\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot D(p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & + \text{Pr}\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot D(p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & = \text{Pr}\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log \frac{p_{0,i}}{q_{i}} + \text{Pr}\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log \frac{p_{1,i}}{q_{i}} \\ & = \text{Pr}\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log p_{0,i} + \text{Pr}\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log p_{1,i} \\ & - \text{Pr}\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log q_{i} - \text{Pr}\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log q_{i} \end{split}$$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $(X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \ldots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$
- $(X|Y=1) \sim p_1 = (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}), \qquad p_{1,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=1]$
- ▶ If we learned Y = j, we gained $D(p_i || q)$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{Y}{\mathsf{E}}\left[D(p_{Y}\|q)\right] = \Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot D(p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & + \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot D(p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & = \Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log \frac{p_{0,i}}{q_{i}} + \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log \frac{p_{1,i}}{q_{i}} \\ & = \Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log p_{0,i} + \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log p_{1,i} \\ & - \Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log q_{i} - \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log q_{i} \\ & = -H(X|Y) - \sum_{i} (\Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot p_{0,i} + \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot p_{1,i}) \log q_{i} \end{split}$$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $ightharpoonup (X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$
- $(X|Y=1) \sim p_1 = (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}), \qquad p_{1,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=1]$
- ▶ If we learned Y = j, we gained $D(p_i || q)$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{Y}{\mathbb{E}}\left[D(p_{Y}\|q)\right] = \Pr[Y = 0] \cdot D(p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & + \Pr[Y = 1] \cdot D(p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & = \Pr[Y = 0] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log \frac{p_{0,i}}{q_{i}} + \Pr[Y = 1] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log \frac{p_{1,i}}{q_{i}} \\ & = \Pr[Y = 0] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log p_{0,i} + \Pr[Y = 1] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log p_{1,i} \\ & - \Pr[Y = 0] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log q_{i} - \Pr[Y = 1] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log q_{i} \\ & = -H(X|Y) - \sum_{i} (\Pr[Y = 0] \cdot p_{0,i} + \Pr[Y = 1] \cdot p_{1,i}) \log q_{i} \\ & = -H(X|Y) + H(X) \end{split}$$

- ▶ Let $X \sim (q_1, ..., q_m)$ over [m], and Y be rv over $\{0, 1\}$
- $(X|Y=0) \sim p_0 = (p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}), \qquad p_{0,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=0]$
- $(X|Y=1) \sim p_1 = (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}), \qquad p_{1,i} = \Pr[X=i|Y=1]$
- ▶ If we learned Y = j, we gained $D(p_i || q)$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{Y}{\mathbb{E}}\left[D(p_{Y}\|q)\right] = \Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot D(p_{0,1}, \dots, p_{0,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & + \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot D(p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,m}\|q_{1}, \dots, q_{m}) \\ & = \Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log \frac{p_{0,i}}{q_{i}} + \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log \frac{p_{1,i}}{q_{i}} \\ & = \Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log p_{0,i} + \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log p_{1,i} \\ & - \Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{0,i} \log q_{i} - \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot \sum_{i} p_{1,i} \log q_{i} \\ & = -H(X|Y) - \sum_{i} (\Pr\left[Y = 0\right] \cdot p_{0,i} + \Pr\left[Y = 1\right] \cdot p_{1,i}) \log q_{i} \\ & = -H(X|Y) + H(X) = I(X;Y) \end{split}$$

Equivalent definition for mutual information

Equivalent definition for mutual information

•
$$(X, Y) \sim p$$
, then $I(X; Y) = D(p||p_Xp_Y)$

Equivalent definition for mutual information

- $(X, Y) \sim p$, then $I(X; Y) = D(p||p_Xp_Y)$
- Interpretation

- $(X, Y) \sim p, \text{ then } I(X; Y) = D(p || p_X p_Y)$
- Interpretation
- Proof:

$$D(p||p_Xp_Y) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p_X(x)p_Y(y)}$$

- $(X, Y) \sim p, \text{ then } I(X; Y) = D(p || p_X p_Y)$
- Interpretation
- Proof:

$$D(p||p_Xp_Y) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p_X(x)p_Y(y)}$$

- $(X, Y) \sim p, \text{ then } I(X; Y) = D(p || p_X p_Y)$
- Interpretation
- ► Proof:

$$D(p||p_Xp_Y) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p_X(x)p_Y(y)}$$
$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{X|Y}(x|y)}{p_X(x)}$$

- $(X, Y) \sim p, \text{ then } I(X; Y) = D(p || p_X p_Y)$
- Interpretation
- ► Proof:

$$D(p||p_{X}p_{Y}) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p_{X}(x)p_{Y}(y)}$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{X|Y}(x|y)}{p_{X}(x)}$$

$$= -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X}(x) + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

- $(X, Y) \sim p, \text{ then } I(X; Y) = D(p || p_X p_Y)$
- Interpretation
- ► Proof:

$$D(p||p_{X}p_{Y}) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p_{X}(x)p_{Y}(y)}$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{X|Y}(x|y)}{p_{X}(x)}$$

$$= -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X}(x) + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

$$= H(X) + \sum_{y} p_{Y}(y) \sum_{x} p_{X|Y}(x|y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

- $(X, Y) \sim p, \text{ then } I(X; Y) = D(p || p_X p_Y)$
- Interpretation
- ► Proof:

$$D(p||p_{X}p_{Y}) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p_{X}(x)p_{Y}(y)}$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{X|Y}(x|y)}{p_{X}(x)}$$

$$= -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X}(x) + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

$$= H(X) + \sum_{y} p_{Y}(y) \sum_{x} p_{X|Y}(x|y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

$$= H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

- $(X, Y) \sim p, \text{ then } I(X; Y) = D(p || p_X p_Y)$
- Interpretation
- ► Proof:

$$D(p||p_{X}p_{Y}) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p_{X}(x)p_{Y}(y)}$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{X|Y}(x|y)}{p_{X}(x)}$$

$$= -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X}(x) + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

$$= H(X) + \sum_{y} p_{Y}(y) \sum_{x} p_{X|Y}(x|y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

$$= H(X) - H(X|Y) = I(X;Y)$$

- $(X, Y) \sim p, \text{ then } I(X; Y) = D(p || p_X p_Y)$
- Interpretation
- ► Proof:

$$D(p||p_{X}p_{Y}) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p_{X}(x)p_{Y}(y)}$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{X|Y}(x|y)}{p_{X}(x)}$$

$$= -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X}(x) + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

$$= H(X) + \sum_{y} p_{Y}(y) \sum_{x} p_{X|Y}(x|y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

$$= H(X) - H(X|Y) = I(X;Y)$$

We will later see the relation between the above two facts.

Section 4

Relation to Data Compression

Theorem 2

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

Theorem 2

Let p and q be distributions over [m], and let C be code with

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

► Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.

Theorem 2

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ▶ Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

Theorem 2

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ▶ Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

Theorem 2

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ▶ Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

$$\mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{i \leftarrow p}[\ell(i)] = \sum_{i} p_i \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$

Theorem 2

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ▶ Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

$$\mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{i \leftarrow p}[\ell(i)] = \sum_{i} p_{i} \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_{i}} \right\rceil < \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{1}{q_{i}} + 1)$$

Theorem 2

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ► Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

$$\mathbb{E}_{i \leftarrow p}[\ell(i)] = \sum_{i} p_{i} \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_{i}} \right\rceil < \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{1}{q_{i}} + 1)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \frac{1}{p_{i}})$$

Theorem 2

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ► Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

$$\mathbb{E}_{i \leftarrow p}[\ell(i)] = \sum_{i} p_i \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil < \sum_{i} p_i (\log \frac{1}{q_i} + 1)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i} p_i (\log \frac{p_i}{q_i} \frac{1}{p_i}) = 1 + \sum_{i} p_i (\log \frac{p_i}{q_i}) + \sum_{i} p_i (\log \frac{1}{p_i})$$

Theorem 2

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ▶ Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

Theorem 2

Let p and q be distributions over [m], and let C be code with

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ▶ Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

$$\mathbb{E}_{i \leftarrow p}[\ell(i)] = \sum_{i} p_{i} \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_{i}} \right\rceil < \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{1}{q_{i}} + 1)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \frac{1}{p_{i}}) = 1 + \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}) + \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{1}{p_{i}})$$

$$= 1 + D(p||q) + H(p)$$

Can there be a (close) to optimal code for q that is better for p?

Theorem 2

Let p and q be distributions over [m], and let C be code with

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ▶ Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

$$\mathbb{E}_{i \leftarrow p}[\ell(i)] = \sum_{i} p_{i} \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_{i}} \right\rceil < \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{1}{q_{i}} + 1)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \frac{1}{p_{i}}) = 1 + \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}) + \sum_{i} p_{i} (\log \frac{1}{p_{i}})$$

$$= 1 + D(p||q) + H(p)$$

Can there be a (close) to optimal code for q that is better for p?

Theorem 2

Let p and q be distributions over [m], and let C be code with

$$\ell(i) = |C(i)| = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{q_i} \right\rceil$$
. Then $H(p) + D(p||q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow p} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(p) + D(p||q) + 1$

- ▶ Recall that $H(q) \le \mathsf{E}_{i \leftarrow q} \left[\ell(i) \right] \le H(q) + 1$.
- Proof of upperbound (upperbound is proved similarly)

► Can there be a (close) to optimal code for q that is better for p? HW

Section 5

Conditional Relative Entropy

Definition 3

$$D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \cdot \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

Definition 3

$$D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \cdot \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$
$$= \underset{(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)}{\mathsf{E}} \left[\log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)} \right]$$

Definition 3

For two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$:

$$D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \cdot \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$
$$= \underset{(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)}{\mathsf{E}} \left[\log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)} \right]$$

▶ Let $(X_p, Y_p) \sim p$ and $(X_q, Y_q) \sim q$, then $D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \| q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) = \mathsf{E}_{x \leftarrow X_p} \left[D(Y_q | X_{p=x} \| Y_q | X_{q=x}) \right]$

Definition 3

$$D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \cdot \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$
$$= \underset{(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)}{\mathsf{E}} \left[\log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)} \right]$$

- ▶ Let $(X_p, Y_p) \sim p$ and $(X_q, Y_q) \sim q$, then $D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{x} \leftarrow \mathsf{X}_p} \left[D(Y_q || \mathsf{x}_{p=\mathsf{x}} || Y_q || \mathsf{x}_{q=\mathsf{x}}) \right]$
- **Example:** $p = \begin{bmatrix} x^{y} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$

	XY	0	- 1
q =	0	1 8	1 4
	1	1/2	1 8

Definition 3

$$D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \cdot \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$
$$= \underset{(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)}{\mathsf{E}} \left[\log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)} \right]$$

- ▶ Let $(X_p, Y_p) \sim p$ and $(X_q, Y_q) \sim q$, then $D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{x} \leftarrow \mathsf{X}_p} \left[D(Y_q || \mathsf{x}_{p=\mathsf{x}} || Y_q || \mathsf{x}_{q=\mathsf{x}}) \right]$
- **Example:** $p = \begin{bmatrix} x^{y} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$

	XY	0	- 1
q =	0	1 8	1 4
	1	1/2	1 8

Definition 3

$$D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \cdot \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$
$$= \underset{(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)}{\mathsf{E}} \left[\log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)} \right]$$

- ▶ Let $(X_p, Y_p) \sim p$ and $(X_q, Y_q) \sim q$, then $D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{x} \leftarrow \mathsf{X}_p} \left[D(Y_q || \mathsf{x}_{p=\mathsf{x}} || Y_q || \mathsf{x}_{q=\mathsf{x}}) \right]$
- ► Example: $p = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x^{Y}}{x^{Y}} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$

$$q = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \chi^{Y} & 0 & 1 \\\hline 0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} \\\hline 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{8} \\\hline \end{array}$$

$$D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot D((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) || (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})) + \frac{3}{4} \cdot D((\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}) || (\frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{5}))$$

Definition 3

$$D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \cdot \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$
$$= \underset{(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)}{\mathsf{E}} \left[\log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(Y|X)} \right]$$

- ▶ Let $(X_p, Y_p) \sim p$ and $(X_q, Y_q) \sim q$, then $D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} || q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{x} \leftarrow \mathsf{X}_p} \left[D(Y_q || \mathsf{x}_{p=\mathsf{x}} || Y_q || \mathsf{x}_{q=\mathsf{x}}) \right]$
- ► Example: $p = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda^{Y}}{\lambda} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$

$$q = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \chi^{Y} & 0 & 1 \\\hline 0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} \\\hline 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{8} \\\hline \end{array}$$

$$D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot D((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})||(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})) + \frac{3}{4} \cdot D((\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})||(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{5}))$$

$$= \dots$$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that

$$D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that

$$D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

Proof:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{q(x,y)}$$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that

$$D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

Proof:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{q(x,y)}$$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that

$$D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

Proof:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{q(x,y)}$$
$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that

$$D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

Proof:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{q(x,y)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)} + \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that

$$D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

Proof:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{q(x,y)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)} + \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that

$$D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

Proof:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{q(x,y)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)} + \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

▶ It follows that for $(X; Y) \sim p$: $I(X, Y) = D(p||p_Xp_Y)$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that

$$D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

Proof:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{q(x,y)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)} + \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

▶ It follows that for $(X; Y) \sim p$: $I(X, Y) = D(p||p_Xp_Y)$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that $D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$

Proof:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{q(x,y)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)} + \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

▶ It follows that for $(X; Y) \sim p$: $I(X, Y) = D(p||p_Xp_Y) = D(p_X||p_X) + \mathsf{E}_{x \leftarrow X} \left[D(p_{Y|_{X=Y}}, p_Y) \right]$

Claim 4

For any two distributions p and q over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it holds that $D(p||q) = D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$

Proof:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{q(x,y)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{X}}(x)}{q_{\mathcal{X}}(x)} + \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}(y|x)}$$

$$= D(p_{\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{X}}) + D(p_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}}||q_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}})$$

▶ It follows that for $(X; Y) \sim p$: $I(X, Y) = D(p||p_Xp_Y) = D(p_X||p_X) + \mathsf{E}_{x \leftarrow X} \left[D(p_{Y|_{X=Y}}, p_Y)\right] = \mathsf{E}_{x \leftarrow X} \left[D(p_{Y|_{X=Y}}, p_Y)\right]$

Section 6

Data-processing inequality

Claim 5

Claim 5

For any rv's X and Y and function f, it holds that $D(f(X)||f(Y)) \le D(X||Y)$.

► Analogues to $H(X) \ge H(f(X))$

Claim 5

- ► Analogues to $H(X) \ge H(f(X))$
- Proof:

Claim 5

- ▶ Analogues to $H(X) \ge H(f(X))$
- ► Proof:
- ► D(X, f(X)||Y, f(Y)) = D(X||Y)

Claim 5

- ▶ Analogues to $H(X) \ge H(f(X))$
- Proof:
- ► D(X, f(X)||Y, f(Y)) = D(X||Y)
- ▶ $D(X, f(X)||Y, f(Y)) = D(f(X)||f(Y)) + E_{z \leftarrow f(X)} [D(X||f(X)=z||Y||f(X)=z))] \ge D(f(X)||f(Y))$

Claim 5

- ▶ Analogues to $H(X) \ge H(f(X))$
- ► Proof:
- ► D(X, f(X)||Y, f(Y)) = D(X||Y)
- ▶ $D(X, f(X)||Y, f(Y)) = D(f(X)||f(Y)) + E_{z \leftarrow f(X)} [D(X||f(X)=z||Y||f(X)=z))] \ge D(f(X)||f(Y))$
- ► Hence, $D(f(X)||f(Y)) \le D(X||Y)$.

Section 7

Relation to Statistical Distance

 $\triangleright D(p||q)$ is used many time to measure the distance from p to q

- ▶ D(p||q) is used many time to measure the distance from p to q
- ▶ It is not a distance in the mathematical sense: $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$ and no triangle inequality

- ▶ D(p||q) is used many time to measure the distance from p to q
- ▶ It is not a distance in the mathematical sense: $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$ and no triangle inequality
- However,

- ▶ D(p||q) is used many time to measure the distance from p to q
- ▶ It is not a distance in the mathematical sense: $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$ and no triangle inequality
- However,

Theorem 6

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) \leq \sqrt{rac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot D(p\|q)}$$

- ▶ D(p||q) is used many time to measure the distance from p to q
- ▶ It is not a distance in the mathematical sense: $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$ and no triangle inequality
- However,

Theorem 6

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) \leq \sqrt{rac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot D(p\|q)}$$

- ▶ D(p||q) is used many time to measure the distance from p to q
- ▶ It is not a distance in the mathematical sense: $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$ and no triangle inequality
- However,

Theorem 6

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot D(p\|q)}$$

▶ Corollary: For rv X over [m] with $H(X) \ge m - \varepsilon$, it holds that

$$SD(X, \sim [m]) \le \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot (m - H(X))} = \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot \varepsilon}$$

- ▶ D(p||q) is used many time to measure the distance from p to q
- ▶ It is not a distance in the mathematical sense: $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$ and no triangle inequality
- However,

Theorem 6

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot D(p\|q)}$$

- ► Corollary: For rv X over [m] with $H(X) \ge m \varepsilon$, it holds that $SD(X, \sim [m]) \le \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot (m H(X))} = \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot \varepsilon}$
- ▶ Other direction is incorrect: SD(p,q) might be small but $D(p||q) = \infty$

- ▶ D(p||q) is used many time to measure the distance from p to q
- ▶ It is not a distance in the mathematical sense: $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$ and no triangle inequality
- However,

Theorem 6

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot D(p\|q)}$$

- ► Corollary: For rv X over [m] with $H(X) \ge m \varepsilon$, it holds that $SD(X, \sim [m]) \le \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot (m H(X))} = \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot \varepsilon}$
- ▶ Other direction is incorrect: SD(p, q) might be small but $D(p||q) = \infty$
- ▶ Does SD(p, \sim [m]) being small imply $D(p||\sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$ is small?

- ▶ D(p||q) is used many time to measure the distance from p to q
- ▶ It is not a distance in the mathematical sense: $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$ and no triangle inequality
- However,

Theorem 6

$$\mathsf{SD}(p,q) \leq \sqrt{rac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot D(p\|q)}$$

- ► Corollary: For rv X over [m] with $H(X) \ge m \varepsilon$, it holds that $SD(X, \sim [m]) \le \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot (m H(X))} = \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot \varepsilon}$
- ▶ Other direction is incorrect: SD(p, q) might be small but $D(p||q) = \infty$
- ▶ Does SD(p, \sim [m]) being small imply $D(p\|\sim [m]) = \log m H(p)$ is small?

HW

▶ Let $p = (\alpha, 1 - \alpha)$ and $q = (\beta, 1 - \beta)$ and assume $\alpha \ge \beta$

- ▶ Let $p = (\alpha, 1 \alpha)$ and $q = (\beta, 1 \beta)$ and assume $\alpha \ge \beta$
- ▶ $SD(p,q) = \alpha \beta$

- ▶ Let $p = (\alpha, 1 \alpha)$ and $q = (\beta, 1 \beta)$ and assume $\alpha \ge \beta$
- ▶ $SD(p,q) = \alpha \beta$
- ▶ We will show that $D(p||q) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1-\alpha) \log \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta} \ge \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2 = \frac{2}{\ln 2} SD(p,q)^2$

- ▶ Let $p = (\alpha, 1 \alpha)$ and $q = (\beta, 1 \beta)$ and assume $\alpha \ge \beta$
- ▶ $SD(p,q) = \alpha \beta$
- ▶ We will show that $D(p||q) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \ge \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2 = \frac{2}{\ln 2} SD(p, q)^2$
- ▶ Let $g(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2$

- ▶ Let $p = (\alpha, 1 \alpha)$ and $q = (\beta, 1 \beta)$ and assume $\alpha \ge \beta$
- ▶ $SD(p,q) = \alpha \beta$
- ▶ We will show that $D(p||q) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \ge \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2 = \frac{2}{\ln 2} SD(p, q)^2$
- ▶ Let $g(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2$

$$\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{x}{y \ln 2} + \frac{1-x}{(1-y) \ln 2} - \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} 2(y-x)$$

- ▶ Let $p = (\alpha, 1 \alpha)$ and $q = (\beta, 1 \beta)$ and assume $\alpha \ge \beta$
- ▶ $SD(p,q) = \alpha \beta$
- ▶ We will show that $D(p||q) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \ge \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2 = \frac{2}{\ln 2} SD(p, q)^2$
- ▶ Let $g(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2$

$$\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{x}{y \ln 2} + \frac{1-x}{(1-y) \ln 2} - \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} 2(y-x)$$

- ▶ Let $p = (\alpha, 1 \alpha)$ and $q = (\beta, 1 \beta)$ and assume $\alpha \ge \beta$
- ▶ $SD(p,q) = \alpha \beta$
- ▶ We will show that $D(p||q) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \ge \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2 = \frac{2}{\ln 2} SD(p, q)^2$
- ▶ Let $g(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2$

$$\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{x}{y \ln 2} + \frac{1-x}{(1-y) \ln 2} - \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} 2(y-x)$$
$$= \frac{y-x}{y(1-y) \ln 2} - \frac{4}{\ln 2} (y-x)$$

- ▶ Let $p = (\alpha, 1 \alpha)$ and $q = (\beta, 1 \beta)$ and assume $\alpha \ge \beta$
- ▶ $SD(p,q) = \alpha \beta$
- ▶ We will show that $D(p\|q) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1-\alpha) \log \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta} \ge \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2 = \frac{2}{\ln 2} SD(p,q)^2$
- ▶ Let $g(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2$

$$\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{x}{y \ln 2} + \frac{1-x}{(1-y) \ln 2} - \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} 2(y-x)$$
$$= \frac{y-x}{y(1-y) \ln 2} - \frac{4}{\ln 2} (y-x)$$

▶ Since $y(1 - y) \le \frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial y} \le 0$ for y < x.

- ▶ Let $p = (\alpha, 1 \alpha)$ and $q = (\beta, 1 \beta)$ and assume $\alpha \ge \beta$
- ▶ $SD(p,q) = \alpha \beta$
- ▶ We will show that $D(p||q) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \ge \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2 = \frac{2}{\ln 2} SD(p, q)^2$
- ▶ Let $g(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1 \alpha) \log \frac{1 \alpha}{1 \beta} \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} (\alpha \beta)^2$

$$\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{x}{y \ln 2} + \frac{1-x}{(1-y) \ln 2} - \frac{4}{2 \ln 2} 2(y-x)$$
$$= \frac{y-x}{y(1-y) \ln 2} - \frac{4}{\ln 2} (y-x)$$

- ► Since $y(1-y) \le \frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial y} \le 0$ for y < x.
- ► Since g(x, x) = 0, g(x, y) > 0 for y < x.

Proving Thm 6, general case

▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p, q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p, q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)
- ▶ Let $P \sim p$, and let the indicator \hat{P} be 1 iff $P \in S$.

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p, q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)
- ▶ Let $P \sim p$, and let the indicator \hat{P} be 1 iff $P \in S$.
- ▶ Let $Q \sim q$, and let the indicator \hat{Q} be 1 iff $Q \in S$.

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p, q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)
- ▶ Let $P \sim p$, and let the indicator \hat{P} be 1 iff $P \in S$.
- ▶ Let $Q \sim q$, and let the indicator \hat{Q} be 1 iff $Q \in S$.
- ► $SD(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}) = Pr[P \in S] Pr[Q \in S] = SD(p, q)$

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p,q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)
- ▶ Let $P \sim p$, and let the indicator \hat{P} be 1 iff $P \in S$.
- ▶ Let $Q \sim q$, and let the indicator \hat{Q} be 1 iff $Q \in S$.
- ► $SD(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}) = Pr[P \in S] Pr[Q \in S] = SD(p, q)$

$$D(p||q) \geq D(\hat{P}||\hat{Q})$$

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p,q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)
- ▶ Let $P \sim p$, and let the indicator \hat{P} be 1 iff $P \in S$.
- ▶ Let $Q \sim q$, and let the indicator \hat{Q} be 1 iff $Q \in S$.
- ► $SD(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}) = Pr[P \in S] Pr[Q \in S] = SD(p, q)$

$$D(p||q) \geq D(\hat{P}||\hat{Q})$$

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p,q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)
- ▶ Let $P \sim p$, and let the indicator \hat{P} be 1 iff $P \in S$.
- ▶ Let $Q \sim q$, and let the indicator \hat{Q} be 1 iff $Q \in S$.
- ► $SD(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}) = Pr[P \in S] Pr[Q \in S] = SD(p, q)$

$$D(p||q) \ge D(\hat{P}||\hat{Q})$$
 (data-processing inequality)

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \operatorname{Supp}(p) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p, q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)
- ▶ Let $P \sim p$, and let the indicator \hat{P} be 1 iff $P \in S$.
- ▶ Let $Q \sim q$, and let the indicator \hat{Q} be 1 iff $Q \in S$.
- ► $SD(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}) = Pr[P \in S] Pr[Q \in S] = SD(p, q)$

$$D(p\|q) \ge D(\hat{P}\|\hat{Q})$$
 (data-proccessing inequality)
$$\ge \frac{2}{\ln 2} \cdot \mathrm{SD}(\hat{P},\hat{Q})^2$$

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathsf{Supp}(p) \cup \mathsf{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p, q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)
- ▶ Let $P \sim p$, and let the indicator \hat{P} be 1 iff $P \in S$.
- ▶ Let $Q \sim q$, and let the indicator \hat{Q} be 1 iff $Q \in S$.
- ► $SD(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}) = Pr[P \in S] Pr[Q \in S] = SD(p, q)$

$$D(p||q) \ge D(\hat{P}||\hat{Q})$$
 (data-proccessing inequality)
 $\ge \frac{2}{\ln 2} \cdot \mathrm{SD}(\hat{P}, \hat{Q})^2$ (the Boolean case)

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathsf{Supp}(p) \cup \mathsf{Supp}(q)$
- ▶ Let $S = \{u \in \mathcal{U} : p(u) > q(u)\}$
- ► $SD(p,q) = Pr_p[S] Pr_q[S]$ (by homework)
- ▶ Let $P \sim p$, and let the indicator \hat{P} be 1 iff $P \in S$.
- ▶ Let $Q \sim q$, and let the indicator \hat{Q} be 1 iff $Q \in S$.
- ► $SD(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}) = Pr[P \in S] Pr[Q \in S] = SD(p, q)$

$$D(p\|q) \ge D(\hat{P}\|\hat{Q})$$
 (data-proccessing inequality)
 $\ge \frac{2}{\ln 2} \cdot \mathrm{SD}(\hat{P}, \hat{Q})^2$ (the Boolean case)
 $= \frac{2}{\ln 2} \cdot \mathrm{SD}(p, q)^2$. \square

Section 8

Conditioned Distributions

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{i=1}^k D(Y_i||X_i) \leq D(Y||(X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D(Y_j \| X_j) \le D(Y \| (X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

For rv Z, let Z(z) = Pr[Z = z].

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D(Y_j \| X_j) \le D(Y \| (X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

For rv Z, let Z(z) = Pr[Z = z].

We prove for k = 2, general case follows similar lines.

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D(Y_j \| X_j) \le D(Y \| (X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

For rv Z, let Z(z) = Pr[Z = z].

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D(Y_j || X_j) \le D(Y || (X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

For rv Z, let Z(z) = Pr[Z = z].

$$D(Y||X) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{U}^2} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{X(\mathbf{y})}$$

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D(Y_j \| X_j) \leq D(Y \| (X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

For rv Z, let Z(z) = Pr[Z = z].

$$D(Y||X) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{U}^2} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{X(\mathbf{y})} = \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_1(y_1)}{X_1(y_1)} \frac{Y_2(y_2)}{X_2(y_2)} \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{Y_1(y_1) Y_2(y_2)}$$

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D(Y_j \| X_j) \leq D(Y \| (X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

For rv Z, let Z(z) = Pr[Z = z].

$$\begin{split} D(Y||X) &= \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{U}^2} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{X(\mathbf{y})} = \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_1(y_1)}{X_1(y_1)} \frac{Y_2(y_2)}{X_2(y_2)} \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{Y_1(y_1)Y_2(y_2)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_1(y_1)}{X_1(x_1)} + \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_2(y_2)}{X_2(x_1)} \\ &+ \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{Y_1(y_1)Y_2(y_2)} \end{split}$$

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D(Y_j \| X_j) \le D(Y \| (X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

For rv Z, let Z(z) = Pr[Z = z].

$$\begin{split} D(Y||X) &= \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{U}^2} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{X(\mathbf{y})} = \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_1(y_1)}{X_1(y_1)} \frac{Y_2(y_2)}{X_2(y_2)} \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{Y_1(y_1)Y_2(y_2)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_1(y_1)}{X_1(x_1)} + \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_2(y_2)}{X_2(x_1)} \\ &+ \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{Y_1(y_1)Y_2(y_2)} \\ &= D(Y_1||X_1) + D(Y_2||X_2) + I(Y_1; Y_2) \end{split}$$

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D(Y_j \| X_j) \le D(Y \| (X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

For rv Z, let Z(z) = Pr[Z = z].

$$D(Y||X) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{U}^{2}} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{X(\mathbf{y})} = \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_{1}, y_{2})} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_{1}(y_{1})}{X_{1}(y_{1})} \frac{Y_{2}(y_{2})}{X_{2}(y_{2})} \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{Y_{1}(y_{1})Y_{2}(y_{2})}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_{1}, y_{2})} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_{1}(y_{1})}{X_{1}(x_{1})} + \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_{1}, y_{2})} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_{2}(y_{2})}{X_{2}(x_{1})}$$

$$+ \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_{1}, y_{2})} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{Y_{1}(y_{1})Y_{2}(y_{2})}$$

$$= D(Y_{1}||X_{1}) + D(Y_{2}||X_{2}) + I(Y_{1}; Y_{2}) \ge D(Y_{1}||X_{1}) + D(Y_{2}||X_{2})$$

Theorem 7

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{U} , and let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be rv over \mathcal{U}^k . Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D(Y_j \| X_j) \le D(Y \| (X_1, \ldots, X_k))$.

For rv Z, let Z(z) = Pr[Z = z].

$$D(Y||X) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{U}^{2}} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{X(\mathbf{y})} = \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_{1}, y_{2})} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_{1}(y_{1})}{X_{1}(y_{1})} \frac{Y_{2}(y_{2})}{X_{2}(y_{2})} \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{Y_{1}(y_{1})Y_{2}(y_{2})}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_{1}, y_{2})} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_{1}(y_{1})}{X_{1}(x_{1})} + \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_{1}, y_{2})} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y_{2}(y_{2})}{X_{2}(x_{1})}$$

$$+ \sum_{\mathbf{y} = (y_{1}, y_{2})} Y(\mathbf{y}) \log \frac{Y(\mathbf{y})}{Y_{1}(y_{1})Y_{2}(y_{2})}$$

$$= D(Y_{1}||X_{1}) + D(Y_{2}||X_{2}) + I(Y_{1}; Y_{2}) \ge D(Y_{1}||X_{1}) + D(Y_{2}||X_{2})$$

Theorem 8

Theorem 8

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} D((X_j|_{W})||X_j) \leq D((X|_{W})||X)$$

Theorem 8

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} D((X_{i}|_{W})||X_{i}) \leq D((X|_{W})||X)$$
 (Thm 7)

Theorem 8

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_j|_W)||X_j) \le D((X|_W)||X)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^k} (X|_W)(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{(X|_W)(\mathbf{x})}{X(\mathbf{x})}$$
(Thm 7)

Theorem 8

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_{j}|_{W})||X_{j}) \leq D((X|_{W})||X)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^{k}} (X|_{W})(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{(X|_{W})(\mathbf{x})}{X(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^{k}} (X|_{W})(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{\Pr[W|X = \mathbf{x}]}{\Pr[W]}$$
(Thm 7)

Theorem 8

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_{j}|_{W})||X_{j}) \leq D((X|_{W})||X)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^{k}} (X|_{W})(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{(X|_{W})(\mathbf{x})}{X(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^{k}} (X|_{W})(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{\Pr[W|X = \mathbf{x}]}{\Pr[W]}$$
(Bayes)

Theorem 8

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^k D((X_j|_W)||X_j) &\leq D((X|_W)||X) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^k} (X|_W)(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{(X|_W)(\mathbf{x})}{X(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^k} (X|_W)(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{\Pr[W|X = \mathbf{x}])}{\Pr[W]} \\ &= \log \frac{1}{\Pr[W]} + \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^k} (X|_W)(\mathbf{x}) \log \Pr[W|X = \mathbf{x}]) \end{split} \tag{Bayes}$$

Theorem 8

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_{j}|_{W})||X_{j}) \leq D((X|_{W})||X)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^{k}} (X|_{W})(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{(X|_{W})(\mathbf{x})}{X(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^{k}} (X|_{W})(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{\Pr[W|X = \mathbf{x}]}{\Pr[W]}$$

$$= \log \frac{1}{\Pr[W]} + \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^{k}} (X|_{W})(\mathbf{x}) \log \Pr[W|X = \mathbf{x}])$$

$$\leq \log \frac{1}{\Pr[W]}$$

$$\leq \log \frac{1}{\Pr[W]}$$
(Thm 7)



Conditioning distributions, statistical distance case

Theorem 9

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{X} and let W be an event. Then $\sum_{j=1}^k \mathsf{SD}((X_j|_W), X_j)^2 \leq \log \frac{1}{\mathsf{Pr}[W]}$.

Conditioning distributions, statistical distance case

Theorem 9

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{X} and let W be an event. Then $\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{SD}((X_j|_W), X_j)^2 \leq \log \frac{1}{\Pr[W]}$.

Proof: follows by Thm 6, and Thm 7.□

Conditioning distributions, statistical distance case

Theorem 9

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{X} and let W be an event. Then $\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{SD}((X_j|_W), X_j)^2 \le \log \frac{1}{\Pr[W]}$.

Proof: follows by Thm 6, and Thm 7.□

Using $(\sum_{j=1}^k a_i)^2 \le k \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k a_i^2$, it follows that

Corollary 10

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \mathsf{SD}((X_j|_W), X_j) \leq \sqrt{k \log(rac{1}{\Pr[W]})}$$
, and

$$\mathsf{E}_{j \leftarrow k} \, \mathsf{SD}((X_j|_W), X_j) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{k} \log(\frac{1}{\mathsf{Pr}[W]})}$$

Conditioning distributions, statistical distance case

Theorem 9

Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be iid over \mathcal{X} and let W be an event. Then $\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{SD}((X_j|_W), X_j)^2 \le \log \frac{1}{\Pr[W]}$.

Proof: follows by Thm 6, and Thm 7.□

Using $(\sum_{j=1}^k a_i)^2 \le k \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k a_i^2$, it follows that

Corollary 10

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \mathsf{SD}((X_j|_W), X_j) \leq \sqrt{k \log(rac{1}{\Pr[W]})}$$
, and

$$\mathsf{E}_{j \leftarrow k} \, \mathsf{SD}((X_j|_W), X_j) \le \sqrt{\frac{1}{k} \log(\frac{1}{\mathsf{Pr}[W]})}$$

Extraction

▶ Let $X = (X_1, ..., X_k) \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{40}$ and let $f: \{0, 1\}^{40} \mapsto 0$ be such that $\Pr[f(X) = 0] = 2^{-10}$.

- ▶ Let $X = (X_1, ..., X_k) \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{40}$ and let $f: \{0, 1\}^{40} \mapsto 0$ be such that $\Pr[f(X) = 0] = 2^{-10}$.
- ► $\mathsf{E}_{j \leftarrow [40]} \mathsf{SD}((X_j|_{f(X)=0}), \sim \{0, 1\}) \le \sqrt{\frac{1}{40} \cdot 10} = \frac{1}{2}$

- ▶ Let $X = (X_1, ..., X_k) \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{40}$ and let $f: \{0, 1\}^{40} \mapsto 0$ be such that $\Pr[f(X) = 0] = 2^{-10}$.
- ► $\mathsf{E}_{j \leftarrow [40]} \, \mathsf{SD}((X_j|_{f(X)=0}), \sim \{0, 1\}) \le \sqrt{\frac{1}{40} \cdot 10} = \frac{1}{2}$
- Typical bits are not too biassed, even when conditioning on a very unlikely event.

Extension

Extension

Theorem 11

Let $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_k)$, T and V be rv's over \mathcal{X}^k , \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{V} respectively. Let W be an event and assume that the X_i 's are iid conditioned on T. Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D((TVX_j)|_W \|(TV)|_W X_j'(T)) \leq \log \frac{1}{\Pr[W]} + \log |\operatorname{Supp}(V|_W)|,$ where $X_i'(t)$ is distributed according to $X_i|_{T=t}$.

Extension

Theorem 11

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$, T and V be rv's over \mathcal{X}^k , \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{V} respectively. Let W be an event and assume that the X_i 's are iid conditioned on T. Then $\sum_{j=1}^k D((TVX_j)|_W \|(TV)|_W X_j'(T)) \leq \log \frac{1}{\Pr[W]} + \log |\operatorname{Supp}(V|_W)|,$ where $X_i'(t)$ is distributed according to $X_j|_{T=t}$.

Interpretation.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((TVX_{j})|_{W} ||(TV)|_{W}X'_{j}(T))$$

$$= \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}} \Big[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D(X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t} ||(X_{j}|_{T=t})) \Big]$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((TVX_{j})|_{W}||(TV)|_{W}X_{j}'(T))$$

$$= \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}} \Big[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D(X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t}||(X_{j}|_{T=t})) \Big]$$
(chain rule)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((TVX_{j})|_{W}||(TV)|_{W}X'_{j}(T))$$

$$= \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D(X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t}||(X_{j}|_{T=t})] \right]$$

$$= \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_{j}|_{W,V=v})|_{T=t}||(X_{j}|_{T=t})] \right]$$
(chain rule)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((TVX_{j})|_{W} ||(TV)|_{W}X_{j}'(T))$$

$$= \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D(X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t} ||(X_{j}|_{T=t})) \right]$$

$$= \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_{j}|_{W,V=v})|_{T=t} ||(X_{j}|_{T=t})) \right]$$

$$\leq \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\log \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v | T = t]} \right]$$
(chain rule)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((TVX_{j})|_{W}||(TV)|_{W}X_{j}'(T))$$

$$= \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D(X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t}||(X_{j}|_{T=t})] \right]$$

$$= \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t}||(X_{j}|_{T=t}))] \right]$$

$$\leq \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\log \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T=t]} \right]$$
(Thm 8)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((TVX_{j})|_{W}||(TV)|_{W}X_{j}'(T))$$

$$= \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D(X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t}||(X_{j}|_{T=t})]\right] \qquad \text{(chain rule)}$$

$$= \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t}||(X_{j}|_{T=t}))]\right]$$

$$\leq \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\log \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T = t]}\right] \qquad \text{(Thm 8)}$$

$$\leq \log \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T = t]}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((TVX_{j})|_{W}||(TV)|_{W}X_{j}'(T))$$

$$= \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D(X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t}||(X_{j}|_{T=t})]\right] \qquad \text{(chain rule)}$$

$$= \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t}||(X_{j}|_{T=t}))]\right]$$

$$\leq \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\log \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T = t]}\right] \qquad \text{(Thm 8)}$$

$$\leq \log \underset{(t,v)\leftarrow(TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T = t]} \qquad \text{(Jensen's inequality)}$$

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((TVX_{j})|_{W} \| (TV)|_{W} X_{j}'(T)) \\ &= \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D(X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t} \| (X_{j}|_{T=t}) \right] \\ &= \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t} \| (X_{j}|_{T=t}) \right] \\ &\leq \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\log \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T=t]} \right] \\ &\leq \log \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T=t]} \end{split} \tag{Thm 8}$$

$$\leq \log \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T=t]} \tag{Jensen's inequality}$$

$$= \log \underset{(t,v) \in \text{Supp}((TV)|_{W})}{\sum_{W} \Pr[T=t]} \frac{1}{\Pr[W]}$$

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{k} D((TVX_{j})|_{W} \| (TV)|_{W} X_{j}'(T)) \\ &= \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \Big[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D\big(X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t} \| (X_{j}|_{T=t}) \big] \\ &= \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \Big[\sum_{j=1}^{k} D\big((X_{j}|_{W,V=v,T=t} \| (X_{j}|_{T=t}) \big) \Big] \\ &\leq \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \Big[\log \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T=t]} \Big] \\ &\leq \log \underset{(t,v) \leftarrow (TV)|_{W}}{\mathbb{E}} \frac{1}{\Pr[W \wedge V = v|T=t]} \\ &= \log \underset{(t,v) \in \text{Supp}((TV)|_{W})}{\mathbb{E}} \frac{1}{\Pr[W]} \leq \log \frac{||\text{Supp}(V|_{W})||}{\Pr[W]}. \end{split}$$