# **Application of Information Theory, Lecture 12**

# Accessible Entropy and Statistically Hiding Commitments

#### **Handout Mode**

Iftach Haitner

Tel Aviv University.

January 20, 2015

# **Commitment Schemes**

#### **Motivation**

- Digital analogue of a safe
- Numerous applications (e.g., zero-knowledge, coin-flipping, secure computations, )

#### **Definition**

#### **Definition 1 (Commitment scheme)**

An efficient two-stage protocol (S, R).

- Commit stage: The sender S has private input  $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^*$  and the common input is 1<sup>n</sup>. The commitment stage results in a **joint** output c, the commitment, and a **private** output d of S, the decommitment.
- ▶ Reveal stage: S sends the pair  $(d, \sigma)$  to R, and R either accepts or rejects.

**Completeness:** R always accepts in an honest execution.

**Hiding:** In commit stage: for any R\* and equal length  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,  $\Delta^{R^*}((S(\sigma), R^*)(1^n), (S(\sigma'), R^*)(1^n)) = \text{neg}(n)$ .

**Binding:** The following happens with negligible prob. for any S\*:

 $S^*(1^n)$  interacts with  $R(1^n)$  in the commit stage resulting in a commitment c. Then  $S^*$  outputs two pairs  $(d, \sigma)$  and  $(d', \sigma')$  with  $\sigma \neq \sigma'$  and  $R(c, d, \sigma) = R(c, d', \sigma') = Accept$ .

#### **Definition cont.**

- ▶ Negligible function:  $\mu$ :  $\mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{N}$  is negligible, if for any  $p \in \text{poly } \exists n_p \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\frac{1}{p(n)} < \mu(n)$  for all  $n > n_p$ .
- Hiding: Perfect, statistical, computational.
- Binding: Perfect, statistical, computational.
- Impossible to have simultaneously both properties to be statistical.
- OWF is necessary assumption
- Suffices to construct "bit commitments"
- OWFs imply both statistically binding and computationally hiding commitments, and (more difficult) computationally binding and statistically hiding commitments.
- We focus on computationally binding, and statistically hiding commitments (SHC)
- Canonical decommitment: d is S's coin and c is protocol's transcript of the commit stage, and decomitment verifies consistency.
- We will focus on constructing the commit algorithm

# **Inaccessible Entropy**

#### **Motivation**

#### Definition 2 (collision resistant hash family (CRH))

A function family  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_n: \{0,1\}^n \mapsto \{0,1\}^{n/2}\}$  is collision resistant, if  $\forall$  PPT A

$$\Pr_{\substack{h \leftarrow \mathcal{H}_n \\ (x,x') \leftarrow \mathsf{A}(1^n,h)}} \left[ x \neq x' \in \{0,1\}^* \land h(x) = h(x') \right] = \mathsf{neg}(n)$$

- ▶ Implies SHC. (?) Believed not to be implied by OWFs.
- Assume for simplicity that  $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$  is  $2^{n/2}$  to 1 and that a PPT cannot find a collision in any  $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$
- Given  $h(U_n)$ , the (min) entropy of  $U_n$  is n/2.
- Consider PPT A that on input h first outputs h, y, and then outputs  $x \in h^{-1}(y)$  (possibly using additional random coins)
- What is the entropy of x given (h, y) and the coins A's used to sample y? (essentially) 0!
- ► The generator G(h, x) = (h, h(x), x) has inaccessible entropy n/2
- Does inaccessible entropy generator implies SHC?
- Does OWF implies inaccessible entropy generator?

## **Real entropy**

- ▶ Sample entropy: for rv X let  $H_X(x) = -\log \Pr_X[x]$ .
- $\vdash H(X) = \mathsf{E}_{X \leftarrow X} [H_X(X)]$
- ► Let  $G: \{0,1\}^n \mapsto (\{0,1\}^\ell)^m$  be an m-block generator and let  $(G_1,\ldots,G_m)=G(U_n)$
- ► For  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, ..., g_m) \in \text{Supp}(G_1, ..., G_m)$ , let

$$\mathsf{RealH}_G(\mathbf{g}) = \sum_{i \in [m]} H_{G_i|G_1,\dots,G_{i-1}}(g_i|g_1,\dots,g_{i-1})$$

- ▶ The real Shannon entropy of G is  $E_{\mathbf{q} \leftarrow G(U_n)}[RealH_G(\mathbf{g})]$
- ightharpoonup  $\mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{g}\leftarrow G(U_n)}\left[\mathsf{RealH}_G(\mathbf{g})\right] = \sum_{i\in[m]} H(G_i|G_1,\ldots,G_{i-1}) = H(G(U_n))$
- In the actual construction, we sometimes measure the (real) entropy of some of the output blocks.

## **Accessible entropy**

- ▶ Let G be an m block generator
- Let  $\widetilde{G}$  be an m-block generator, that uses coins  $r_i$  before outputting its i'th block  $(w_i, g_i)$ .
- ►  $t = (r_1, w_1, g_1, ..., r_m, w_m, g_m)$  is valid with respect to G, if  $(g_1, ..., g_i) = G(w_i)_{1,...,i}$  for every  $i \in [m]$ .
- We will assume for simplicity that the string t in consideration is always valid, and omit the w's from the notation.
- ▶ Let  $\widetilde{T} = (\widetilde{R}_1, \widetilde{G}_1, \dots, \widetilde{R}_m, \widetilde{G}_m)$  be the rv's induced by random execution of  $\widetilde{G}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{AccH}_{\mathsf{G},\widetilde{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{t}) &= \sum_{i \in [m]} H_{\widetilde{\mathsf{G}}_{i} \mid \widetilde{\mathsf{R}}_{1}, \widetilde{\mathsf{G}}_{1}, \dots, \widetilde{\mathsf{R}}_{i-1}, \widetilde{\mathsf{G}}_{r-1}}(g_{i} | r_{1}, g_{1}, \dots, r_{i-1}, g_{i-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i \in [m]} H_{\widetilde{\mathsf{G}}_{i} \mid \widetilde{\mathsf{R}}_{1}, \dots, \widetilde{\mathsf{R}}_{i-1}}(g_{i} | r_{1}, \dots, r_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- ► The accessible entropy of  $\widetilde{G}$  (with respect to G) is at most k, if  $\Pr_{\mathbf{t} \leftarrow \widetilde{T}} \left[ \mathsf{AccH}_{\mathsf{G},\widetilde{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{t}) > k \right] \le \mathsf{neg}(n)$ . Why not  $\mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{t} \leftarrow \widetilde{T}} \left[ \mathsf{AccH}_{\mathsf{G},\widetilde{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{t}) \right]$ ?
- G has inaccessible entropy d, if the accessible entropy of any PPT  $\widetilde{G}$  is smaller be at least d than its real entropy

#### **Example**

- ► Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_n: \{0,1\}^n \mapsto \{0,1\}^{n/2}\}$  be  $2^n$ -to-1 collision resistant, and assume for simplicity that a PPT cannot find a collision for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ .
- Let G be the 3-block generator G(h, x) = (h, h(x), x)
- ▶ Real entropy of *G* is  $\log |\mathcal{H}_n| + n$
- ► Accessible entropy of G is  $\log |\mathcal{H}_n| + \frac{n}{2}$

# **Manipulating Inaccessible Entropy**

#### **Entropy equalization**

Let *G* be *m*-bit generator.

For  $\ell \in \text{poly let } G^{\otimes \ell}$  be the following  $\ell - 1 \cdot m$ -bit generator

$$G^{\otimes \ell}(x_1,...,x_{\ell},i) = G(x_1)_i,...,G(x_1)_m,...,G(x_{\ell})_1,...,G(x_{\ell})_{i-1}$$

- Assume the accessible entropy of G is (at most)  $k_A$ , then  $k_A^{\otimes \ell}$ , the accessible entropy of  $G^{\otimes \ell}$ , is at most  $k(\ell-2)+m$ .
- Assume the real entropy of G is  $k_R$ , then
  - **1.**  $k_R^{\otimes \ell}$ , the real entropy of  $G^{\otimes \ell}$ , is at least  $k_R^{\otimes \ell} = (\ell 1)K_R$
  - **2.** For any  $i \in [(\ell-1) \cdot m]$  and  $(g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}) \in \text{Supp}(G_1^{\otimes \ell}, \ldots, G_{i-1}^{\otimes \ell})$ :

$$H(G_i^{\otimes \ell}|G_1^{\otimes \ell},\ldots,G_{i-1}^{\otimes \ell})=k/\ell$$

► Assume  $k_R \ge k_A + 1$ , then for  $\ell = m + 2$ , it holds that  $k_R^{\otimes \ell} \ge k_A^{\otimes \ell} + 1$ 

# Gap amplification and conversion to min entropy

Let G be an m-block generator and for  $\ell \in \text{poly}$ , let  $G^{\ell}$  be the  $\ell$ -fold parallel repetition of G.

- Assume accessible entropy of G is (at most)  $k_A$ , then the accessible entropy of G is at most  $k_A^{\ell} = \ell \cdot k_A$ .
- Assume  $H(G_i|G_1,\ldots,G_{i-1})=k_R$  for any  $i\in[m]$ , then for any  $i\in[m]$  and  $(g_1^\ell,\ldots,g_{i-1}^\ell)\in \operatorname{Supp}(G_1^\ell,\ldots,G_{i-1}^\ell)$  it holds that

$$k_{min}^{\ell} = \mathsf{H}_{\infty}(G_{i}^{\ell}|G_{1}^{\ell},\ldots,G_{i-1}^{\ell}) \approx \ell k_{R}$$

▶ If  $k_A \le k_R - 1$ , then  $\forall n \in \text{poly } \exists \ell \in \text{poly such that } \ell k_{min}^{\ell} > k_A^{\ell} + n$ 

# **Inaccessible Entropy from OWF**

#### The generator

#### **Definition 3**

Given a function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \mapsto \{0,1\}^n$ , let G be the (n+1)-block generator  $f(x)_1, \ldots, f(x)_n, x$ .

#### Lemma 4

Assume that f is a OWF then G has accessible entropy at most  $n - \log n$ .

- ► Recall f is OWF if  $\Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} \left[ \mathsf{Inv}(f(x)) \in f^{-1}(f(x)) \right] = \mathsf{neg}(n)$  for any PPT Inv.
- The real entropy of G is n
- ▶ Hence, inaccessible entropy gap is log n
- Proof idea

## **Proving Lemma 4**

Let  $\widetilde{G}$  be a PPT, and assume  $\Pr\left[\operatorname{AccH}_{G,\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{T}) \geq n - \log n\right] \geq \varepsilon = \frac{1}{\operatorname{poly}(n)}$ . (recall  $\widetilde{T} = (\widetilde{R}_1, \widetilde{G}_1, \dots, \widetilde{R}_m, \widetilde{G}_m)$  is the coins and output blocks of  $\widetilde{G}$ )

## Algorithm 5 (lnv(z))

- **1.** For i = 1 to n, do the following for  $n^2/\varepsilon$  times:
  - **1.1** Sample  $r_i$  uniformly at random and let  $g_i$  be the i'th output block of  $\widetilde{G}(r_1, \ldots, r_i)$ .
  - **1.2** If  $g_i = z_i$ , move to next value of *i*.
  - **1.3** Abort, if the maximal number of attempts is reached.
- **2.** Finish the execution of  $\widetilde{G}(r_1, \ldots, r_{n+1})$ , and output its (n+1) output block.

Let  $\widehat{T} = (\widehat{R}_1, \widehat{G}_1, \dots, \widehat{R}_{n+1}, \widehat{G}_{n+1})$  be the (final) values of  $(r_1, g_1, \dots, r_{n+1}, g_{n+1})$  in a random execution of  $Inv(f(U_n))$ .

We start by assuming that Inv is unbounded (i.e., the test on Line 1.3 is removed)

 $\widetilde{T}$  vs.  $\widehat{T}$ 

Fix 
$$\mathbf{t} = (r_1, g_1, \dots, r_{n+1}, g_{n+1}) \in \text{Supp}(\widetilde{T})$$

Let 
$$P(\mathbf{t}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \Pr\left[\widetilde{R}_i = r_i | (\widetilde{R}_{1,...,i-1}, \widetilde{G}_i) = (r_{1,...,i-1}, g_i)\right]$$

$$\begin{split} \Pr[t] &= \Pr[\widetilde{G}_1 = g_1] \cdot \Pr[\widetilde{R}_1 = r_1 | \widetilde{G}_1 = g_1] \cdot \Pr[\widetilde{G}_2 = g_2 | \widetilde{R}_1 = r_1] \cdot \Pr[\widetilde{R}_2 = r_2 | \widetilde{G}_2 = g_2] \dots \\ &= 2^{-\sum_{i=1}^m H_{\widetilde{G}_i | \widetilde{R}_1, \dots, \widetilde{R}_{i-1}}(g_i | r_1, \dots, r_{i-1})} \cdot P(\mathbf{t}) \\ &= 2^{-\operatorname{AccH}_{G, \widetilde{G}}(\mathbf{t})} \cdot P(\mathbf{t}) \end{split}$$

- $\qquad \qquad \mathsf{Pr}_{\widehat{T}}\left[\mathbf{t}\right] = \mathsf{Pr}\left[f(U_n) = (g_1, \dots, g_n)\right] \cdot \mathsf{Pr}\left[\widetilde{G}_{n+1} = g_{n+1}\right] \cdot P(\mathbf{t})$
- ► For t with  $AccH_{G,\widetilde{G}}(\mathbf{t}) \ge n \log n$  and  $Pr\left[\widetilde{G}_{n+1} = g_{n+1}\right] \ge \frac{\alpha}{|f^{-1}(g_1,\dots,g_n)|}$ :

$$\Pr_{\widetilde{\tau}}[t] \ge \frac{\alpha}{n} \cdot \Pr_{\widehat{\tau}}[t] \tag{1}$$

# Inv's success probability

Let  $S \subseteq \text{Supp}(\widetilde{T})$  denote the set of transcripts  $\mathbf{t} = (r_1, g_1, \dots, r_{n+1}, g_{n+1})$  with

- 1.  $AccH_{G,\widetilde{G}}(\mathbf{t}) \ge n \log n$ ,
- **2.**  $H_{\widetilde{G}_i|\widetilde{G}_1,...,\widetilde{G}_{i-1}}(g_i \mid g_1,...,g_{i-1}) \leq \log(\frac{4n}{\varepsilon})$  for all  $i \in [n]$ ,
- $3. \ H_{\widetilde{G}_{n+1}|\widetilde{G}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{G}_n}(g_{n+1}\mid g_1,\ldots,g_n) \leq \log(\tfrac{4}{\varepsilon}\cdot \left|f^{-1}(g_1,\ldots,g_n)\right|).$ 
  - $\qquad \qquad \mathsf{Pr}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}}\left[\exists i \in [n] : H_{\widetilde{G}_{i} \mid \widetilde{G}_{1}, \dots, \widetilde{G}_{i-1}}(g_{i} \mid g_{1}, \dots, g_{i-1}) > \log(\frac{4n}{\varepsilon})\right] \leq n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4n} = \varepsilon/4$

  - $\qquad \qquad \mathsf{Pr}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}}\left[\mathcal{S}\right] \geq \mathsf{Pr}\left[\mathsf{AccH}_{G,\widetilde{G}}(\mathcal{T}) \geq n \log n\right] 2 \cdot \tfrac{\varepsilon}{4} \geq \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}$
  - ▶ By Eq. (1):  $\Pr_{\widehat{T}}[S] \ge \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8n}$

Back the bounded version of Inv.

- For  $z \in \{0, 1\}^n$  for which  $\exists (r_1, z_1, \dots, r_n, z_n, \dots) \in \mathcal{S}$ :  $\Pr\left[\operatorname{Inv}(z) \text{ aborts }\right] \leq n \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}\right)^{n^2/\varepsilon} = O(n \cdot 2^{-n}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$
- ► Hence,  $\Pr_{\widehat{\mathcal{T}}}[\mathcal{S}] \ge \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16n} \implies \Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} \left[ \mathsf{Inv}(f(x)) \in f^{-1}(f(x)) \right] \ge \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16n}$

# Statistically Hiding Commitment from Inaccessible Entropy Generator

#### **High-level description**

- Entropy equalization + gap amplification to get generator that has the same min-entropy in each block and whose accessible entropy is n-bit smaller than the sum of the min entropies.
- Use universal hashing to get a "generator" with zero accessible entropy block
- Use target-collision-resistant hash family (a non-interactive cryptographic tool implied by OWF) to get weakly binding SHC
- Amplify the above into full-fledged SHC

## **Hashing protocol**

Let  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\ell}$  be  $2^{k}$ -size set.

Let  $\mathcal{H}^1$  be  $\ell$ -wise independent family mapping  $\ell$ -bit strings to k-bit strings Let  $\mathcal{H}^2$  be 2-universal family mapping  $\ell$ -length strings to n-bit strings

#### Protocol 6 ((S,R))

- 1. S selects  $x \in \mathcal{T}$
- **2.** R sends  $h^1 \leftarrow \mathcal{H}^1$  to S
- **3.** S sends  $y^1 = h^1(x)$  to R
- **4.** R sends  $h^2 \leftarrow \mathcal{H}^2$  to S
- **5.** S sends  $y^2 = h^2(x)$  to R

Let  $\widetilde{S}$  be an arbitrary algorithm and let  $Y^1$ ,  $Y^2$ ,  $H^1$ ,  $H^2$  be value of  $y^1$ ,  $y^2$ ,  $h^1$ ,  $h^2$  in a random execution of  $(\widetilde{S}, R)$ .

#### Claim 7

$$\Pr\left[\exists x \neq x' \in \mathcal{T}: H^{1}(x) = H^{1}(x') = Y^{1} \wedge H^{2}(x) = H^{3}(x') = Y^{3}\right] \in 2^{-\Omega(n)}.$$

Proof: ? Can we do it in a single round?

## "Generator" with zero accessible entropy block

Let G be m-block generator of block size  $\ell$  and input length s. Let  $\mathcal{H}^1$  be  $\ell$ -wise function family mapping  $\ell$ -bit strings of k-bit strings. Let  $\mathcal{H}^2$  be 2-universal function family mapping  $\ell$ -bit strings to n-bit strings.

# Protocol 8 (G' = (S, R))

S sets  $x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^s$ 

For i = 1 to m:

- 1. R sends  $h_i^1 \leftarrow \mathcal{H}^1$  to S
- **2.** S sends  $y_i^1 = h_i^1(G(x)_i)$  to R
- **3.** R sends  $h_i^2 \leftarrow \mathcal{H}^2$  to S
- **4.** S sends  $y_i^2 = h_i^2(G(x)_i)$  to R
- **5.** S sends  $g_i = G(x)_i$  to R
- We view G' as an m-block "interactive generator" (the blocks are  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ ).
- Assume the blocks of G has real min-entropy (k + n + t), then the blocks of G' has real min-entropy roughly t
- ► Assume G has accessible entropy mk, then w.p. 1 negl(n) in an execution of G' exists block with accessible entropy 0:

$$H_{\widetilde{G}_{i}|\widetilde{R}_{1},...,\widetilde{R}_{i-1},H_{1},...,H_{i},Y_{i}}(g_{i}|r_{1},...,r_{i-1},(h_{1}^{1},h_{1}^{2}),...,(h_{i}^{1},h_{i}^{2}),(y_{i}^{1},y_{i}^{2})) = 0$$
), where  $H_{i}/Y_{i}$  are the values of  $(h_{i}^{1},h_{i}^{2})/(y_{i}^{1},y_{i}^{2})$  in random execution of  $\widetilde{G}$ .

#### **Target collision-resistant functions**

#### **Definition 9 (target collision-resistant functions (TCR))**

A function family  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_n\}$  is target collision resistant, if

$$\Pr_{(x,a)\leftarrow \mathsf{A}_1(1^n);h\leftarrow \mathcal{H}_n;x'\leftarrow \mathsf{A}_2(a,h)}\left[x\neq x'\wedge h(x)=h(x')\right]=\mathsf{neg}(n)$$

for any pair of PPT's  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ .

Relaxed variant of collision resistant.

#### **Theorem 10**

OWFs imply efficient compressing TCRs.

## Weakly binding statistically hiding commitment

Let G be m-block generator of block size  $\ell$  and input length s. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a TCR family mapping strings of length  $\ell$  to string of length k. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be 2-universal Boolean function family over strings of length  $\ell$ .

```
Protocol 11 (Com = (S(\sigma), R))

S sets x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^s and R sets i^* \leftarrow [m]

For i = 1 to m:

1. R sends h_i \leftarrow \mathcal{H} to S

2. S sends y_i = h_i(G(x)_i) to R

3. If i = i^*:

3.1 R sends g \leftarrow \mathcal{G} to S

3.2 S sends g(G(x)_i) \oplus \sigma to R

3.3 Parties stop the execution.
```

- Assume the blocks of G has real min entropy (k + n), then Com is statistically hiding
- Assume G has a zero entropy block, then Com is  $\frac{1}{m}$  binding. Proof:
  - **1.** For some  $i \in [m]$ , cheating  $\widetilde{S}$  must send hash of zero-entropy block.
  - 2. If  $i^* = i$ , we have binding

#### Remarks

- ▶ OWF over *n* bits implies  $\Theta(n)$ -round SHC
- ▶ Can be pushed to  $\Theta(n/\log n)$  rounds
- Tight (at least for certain type of reductions)