Application of Information Theory, Lecture 2 Joint & Conditional Entropy, Mutual Information

Handout Mode

Iftach Haitner

Tel Aviv University.

Oct 27, 2015

Part I

Joint and Conditional Entropy

Joint entropy

Recall that the entropy of rv X, is defined by

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \log P_X(x)$$

- Shorter notation: for $X \sim p$, let $H(X) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log p(x)$ (where the summation is over the domain of X).
- ► The joint entropy of (jointly distributed) rvs X and Y with $(X, Y) \sim p$, is

$$H(X,Y) = -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p(x,y)$$

This is simply the entropy of the rv Z = (X, Y).

Example:

| X | 0 | 1 |
|---|-----|-----|
| 0 | 1/4 | 1/4 |
| 1 | 1 2 | 0 |

$$H(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 = 1\frac{1}{2}$$

Joint entropy, cont.

▶ The joint entropy of $(X_1, ..., X_n) \sim p$, is

$$H(X_1,\ldots,X_n)=-\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}p(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\log p(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

Conditional entropy

- Let $(X, Y) \sim p$, let $p_X = \sum_y p(x, y)$, $p_Y = \sum_x p(x, y)$ and $p_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)}$.
- ► For $x \in \text{Supp}(X)$, the random variable $Y|_{X=x}$ is well defined (distributed according to $q(y) = p_{Y|X}(y|x)$).
- ► The entropy of Y conditioned on X, is defined by

$$H(Y|X) := \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{x \leftarrow X} H(Y|_{X=x})$$

Measures the uncertainty in Y given X.

$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_X(x) \cdot H(Y|_{X=X})$$

$$= -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_X(x) \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{Y|X}(y|x) \log p_{Y|X}(y|x)$$

$$= -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) \log p_{Y|X}(y|x)$$

$$= -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) \log p_{Y|X}(y|x)$$

$$= -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) \log p_{Y|X}(y|x)$$

$$= -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) \log p_{Y|X}(y|x)$$

Conditional entropy, cont.

Example

| X | 0 | 1 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1 | 1 2 | 0 |

What is H(Y|X) and H(X|Y)?

$$H(Y|X) = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{x \leftarrow X} H(Y|_{X=x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} H(Y|_{X=0}) + \frac{1}{2} H(Y|_{X=1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} H(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} H(1, 0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$H(X|Y) = \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{y \leftarrow Y} H(X|_{Y=y})$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} H(X|_{Y=0}) + \frac{1}{4} H(X|_{Y=1})$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} H(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}) + \frac{1}{4} H(1, 0) = 0.6887 \neq H(Y|X).$$

Conditional entropy, cont..

$$H(X|Y,Z) = \underset{(y,z)\leftarrow(Y,Z)}{\mathsf{E}} H(X|_{Y=y,Z=z})$$

$$= \underset{y\leftarrow Y}{\mathsf{E}} \underset{z\leftarrow Z|_{Y=y}}{\mathsf{E}} H(X|_{Y=y,Z=z})$$

$$= \underset{y\leftarrow Y}{\mathsf{E}} \underset{z\leftarrow Z|_{Y=y}}{\mathsf{E}} H((X|_{Y=y})|_{Z=z})$$

Let
$$(X_y, Z_y) = (X, Z)|_{Y=y}$$
. Then

$$H(X|Y,Z) = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{y \leftarrow Y} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{z \leftarrow Z_{y}} H(X_{y}|_{Z=z})$$
$$= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{y \leftarrow Y} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{z \leftarrow Z_{y}} H(X_{y}|_{Z_{y}=z})$$
$$= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{y \leftarrow Y} H(X_{y}|Z_{y})$$

Relating mutual entropy to conditional entropy

- ▶ What is the relation between H(X), H(Y), H(X, Y) and H(Y|X)?
- Intuitively, 0 ≤ H(Y|X) ≤ H(Y)
 Non-negativity is immediate. We prove upperbound later.
- ▶ We will also see that H(Y|X) = H(Y) iff X and Y are independent.
- ▶ In our example, $H(Y) = H(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) > \frac{1}{2} = H(Y|X)$
- ▶ Note that H(Y|X = x) might be larger than H(Y) for some $x \in \text{Supp}(X)$.
- ► Chain rule (proved next). H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)
- ► Intuitively, uncertainty in (X, Y) is the uncertainty in X plus the uncertainty in Y given X.
- ► H(Y|X) = H(X, Y) H(X) is as an alternative definition for H(Y|X).

Chain rule (for the entropy function)

Claim 1

For rvs X, Y, it holds that H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X).

Proof immediately follow by the grouping axiom:

| X^{\prime} | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|---|-----------|
| | <i>P</i> _{1,1} | | $P_{1,n}$ |
| | : | : | ÷ |
| | $P_{n,1}$ | | $P_{n,n}$ |

Let
$$q_i = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{i,j}$$
 (= $\Pr[X = i]$
 $H(P_{1,1}, \dots, P_{n,n})$
 $= H(q_1, \dots, q_n) + \sum_i q_i H(\frac{P_{i,1}}{q_i}, \dots, \frac{P_{i,n}}{q_i})$
 $= H(X) + H(Y|X).$

▶ Another proof. Let $(X, Y) \sim p$, and recall that $p(x, y) = p_X(x) \cdot p_{Y|X}(y|x)$.

$$\implies \log p(x, y) = \log p_X(x) + \log p_{Y|X}(y|x)$$

$$\implies$$
 E log $p(X, Y) = E \log p_X(X) + E \log p_{Y|X}(Y|X)$

$$\implies$$
 $H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X).$

$$H(Y|X) \leq H(Y)$$

Jensen inequality: for any concave function f, values t_1, \ldots, t_k and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in [0, 1]$ with $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$, it holds that $\sum_i \lambda_i f(t_i) \leq f(\sum_i \lambda_i t_i)$. Let $(X, Y) \sim p$.

$$H(Y|X) = -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{Y|X}(y|X)$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_X(x)}{p(x,y)}$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p_Y(y) \cdot \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)} \log \frac{p_X(x)}{p(x,y)}$$

$$= \sum_{y} p_Y(y) \sum_{x} \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)} \log \frac{p_X(x)}{p(x,y)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{y} p_Y(y) \log \sum_{x} \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)} \frac{p_X(x)}{p(x,y)}$$

$$= \sum_{y} p_Y(y) \log \frac{1}{p_Y(y)} = H(Y).$$

$$H(Y|X) \leq H(Y)$$
 cont.

- Assume X and Y are independent (i.e., $p(x, y) = p_X(x) \cdot p_Y(y)$ for any (x, y)
- $\implies p_{Y|X}(y|x) = p_Y(y)$ for any x, y
- $\implies H(Y|X) = H(Y)$
 - ▶ Is the converse also true: H(Y|X) = H(Y) implies X and Y are independent?
 - Yes, since log is strictly concave in the range. Equality happens iff all t_i are the same.
 - which happens iff $p(x, y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y)$ for all x, y

Other inequalities

- ► $H(X), H(Y) \le H(X, Y) \le H(X) + H(Y).$ Follows from H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X).
 - Left inequality since H(Y|X) is non negative.
 - ▶ Right inequality since $H(Y|X) \le H(Y)$.
- ► H(X, |Z) = H(X|Z) + H(Y|X, Z) (by chain rule)
- \vdash $H(X|Y,Z) \leq H(X|Y)$

Proof:

$$H(X|Y,Z) = \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{(z,y)\leftarrow(Z,Y)} H(X|_{(Y,Z)=(z,y)})$$

$$= \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{y\leftarrow Y} \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{z\leftarrow Z|_{Y=y}} H(X|_{(Y,Z)=(z,y)})$$

$$= \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{y\leftarrow Y} \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{z\leftarrow Z|_{Y=y}} H((X|_{Y=y})|_{Z=z})$$

$$\leq \mathop{\mathsf{E}}_{y\leftarrow Y} H(X|_{Y=y})$$

$$= H(X|Y).$$

Chain rule (for the entropy function), general case

Claim 2

For rvs X_1, \ldots, X_k , it holds that

$$H(X_1,\ldots,X_k) = H(X_i) + H(X_2|X_1) + \ldots + H(X_k|X_1,\ldots,X_{k-1}).$$

Proof: ?

- Extremely useful property!
- Analogously to the two variables case, it also holds that:
- $H(X_i) \leq H(X_1, \dots, X_k) \leq \sum_i H(X_i)$
- $H(X_1,\ldots,X_K|Y) \leq \sum_i H(X_i|Y)$

Examples

- ▶ (from last class) Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ be Boolean iid with $X_i \sim (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$. Compute $H(X_1, ..., X_n)$
- ► As above, but X_n is set to $\bigoplus_{1 < i < n-1} X_i$?
 - Via chain rule?
 - Via mapping?

Applications

Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ be Boolean iids with $X_i \sim (p, 1-p)$ and let $X = X_1, ..., X_n$. Let f be such that $\Pr[f(X) = z] = \Pr[f(X) = z']$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z, z' \in \{0, 1\}^k$. Let K = |f(X)|. Prove that $E K < n \cdot h(p)$.

 \blacktriangleright

$$n \cdot h(p) = H(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$\geq H(f(X), K)$$

$$= H(K) + H(f(X) \mid K)$$

$$= H(K) + E K$$

$$\geq E K$$

- Interpretation
- Upper bounds

Applications cont.

- How many comparisons it takes to sort n elements?
 Let S be a sorter for n elements algorithm making t comparisons.
 What can we say about t?
- Let X be a uniform random permutation of [n] and let Y₁,..., Yt be the answers S gets when sorting X.
- ► X is determined by Y_1, \ldots, Y_t . Namely, $X = f(Y_1, \ldots, Y_t)$ for some function f.
- $H(X) = \log n!$

$$H(X) = H(f(Y_1, ..., Y_t))$$

$$\leq H(Y_1, ..., Y_t)$$

$$\leq \sum_i H(Y_i)$$

$$\leq t$$

$$\implies t \ge \log n! = \Theta(n \log n)$$

Concavity of entropy function

Let $p=(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$ and $q=(q_1,\ldots,q_n)$ be two distributions, and for $\lambda\in[0,1]$ consider the distribution $\tau_\lambda=\lambda p+(1-\lambda)q$. (i.e., $\tau_\lambda=(\lambda p_1+(1-\lambda)q_1,\ldots,\lambda p_n+(1-\lambda)q_n)$.

Claim 3

$$H(\tau_{\lambda}) \ge \lambda H(p) + (1 - \lambda)H(q)$$

Proof:

- Let Y over $\{0,1\}$ be 1 wp λ
- Let X be distributed according to p if Y = 0 and according to q otherwise.
- $H(\tau_{\lambda}) = H(X) \ge H(X \mid Y) = \lambda H(p) + (1 \lambda)H(q)$

We are now certain that we drew the graph of the (two-dimensional) entropy function right...

Part II

Mutual Information

Mutual information

► I(X; Y) — the "information" that X gives on Y

$$I(X; Y) := H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

$$= H(Y) - (H(X, Y) - H(X))$$

$$= H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)$$

$$= H(Y) - H(X|Y)$$

$$= I(Y; X).$$

- ► The mutual information that *X* gives about *Y* equals the mutual information that *Y* gives about *X*.
- $I(X;Y) \geq 0.$
 - When 0?

- I(X;X) = H(X)
- ▶ I(X; f(X)) = H(f(X)) (and smaller then H(X) if f is non-injective)
- ▶ $I(X; Y, Z) \ge I(X; Y), I(X; Z)$ (since $H(X \mid Y, Z) \le H(X \mid Y), H(X \mid Z)$)
- I(X; Y|Z) := H(Y|Z) H(Y|X,Z) ≥ 0
- ► I(X; Y|Z) = I(Y; X|Z) (since I(X'; Y') = I(Y'; X'))

Numerical example

Example

| X | 0 | 1 |
|---|--------|---------------|
| 0 | 1 4 | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1 | 1 2 | 0 |

$$I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{3}{4} \cdot h(\frac{1}{3})$$

$$= I(Y; X)$$

$$= H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

$$= h(\frac{1}{4}) - \frac{1}{2}h(\frac{1}{2})$$

Chain rule for mutual information

Claim 4 (Chain rule for mutual information)

For rvs $X_1, ..., X_k, Y$, it holds that $I(X_1, ..., X_k; Y) = I(X_1; Y) + I(X_2; Y|X_1) + ... + I(X_k; Y|X_1, ..., X_{k-1})$.

Proof: ? HW

Examples

Let X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} be iid with $X_i \sim (p, 1-p)$, and let $X_n = \bigoplus_{i \in [n-1]} x_i$. Compute $I(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}; X_n)$.

By chain rule

$$I(X_1,...,X_{n-1};X_n)$$
= $H(X_1;X_n) + H(X_2;X_n|X_1) + ... + H(X_{n-1};X_n|X_1,...,X_{n-2})$
= $0 + 0 + ... + h(p) = h(p)$.

► Let *T* and *F* be the top and front side, respectively, of a 6-sided fair dice. Compute *I*(*T*; *F*).

$$I(T; F) = H(T) - H(T|F)$$

= log 6 - log 4
= log 3 - 1.

Part III

Data processing

Data processing Inequality

Definition 5 (Markov Chain)

Rvs $(X, Y, Z) \sim p$ form a Markov chain, denoted $X \to Y \to Z$, if $p(x, y, z) = p_X(x) \cdot p_{Y|X}(y|x) \cdot p_{Z|Y}(z|y)$, for all x, y, z.

Example: random walk on graph.

Claim 6

If
$$X \to Y \to Z$$
, then $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; Z)$.

- ▶ By Chain rule, I(X; Y, Z) = I(X; Z) + I(X; Y|Z) = I(X; Y) + I(X; Z|Y).
- I(X; Z|Y) = 0
 - $ho_{Z|_{Y=y}} \equiv \rho_{Z|_{Y=y,X=x}}$ for any x, y
 - I(X; Z|Y) = H(Z|Y) H(Z|Y, X) $= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{y \leftarrow Y} H(p_{Z|_{Y=y}}) \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{(x,y) \leftarrow (Y,X)} H(p_{Z|_{Y=y},X=x})$ $= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{y \leftarrow Y} H(p_{Z|_{Y=y}}) \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{y \leftarrow Y} H(p_{Z|_{Y=y}}) = 0.$
- ▶ Since $I(X; Y|Z) \ge 0$, we conclude $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; Z)$.

Fano's Inequality

- ► How well can we guess X from Y?
- ► Could with no error if H(X|Y) = 0. What if H(X|Y) is small?

Theorem 7 (Fano's inequality)

For any rvs X and Y, and any (even random) g, it holds that

$$h(P_e) + P_e \log |\mathcal{X}| \ge H(X|\hat{X}) \ge H(X|Y)$$

for
$$\hat{X} = g(Y)$$
 and $P_e = \Pr \left[\hat{X} \neq X \right]$.

- Note that $P_e = 0$ implies that H(X|Y) = 0
- ▶ The inequality can be weekend to $1 + P_e \log |\mathcal{X}| \ge H(X|Y)$,
- ▶ Alternatively, to $P_e \ge \frac{H(X|Y)-1}{\log |\mathcal{X}|}$
- ▶ Intuition for $\propto \frac{1}{\log |\mathcal{X}|}$
- ▶ We call \hat{X} an estimator for X (from Y).

Proving Fano's inequality

Let X and Y be rvs, let $\hat{X} = g(Y)$ and $P_e = \Pr \left[\hat{X} \neq X \right]$.

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } D = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \hat{X} \neq X \\ 0, & \hat{X} = X. \end{array} \right.$$

$$H(D, X|\hat{X}) = H(X|\hat{X}) + \underbrace{H(D|X, \hat{X})}_{=0}$$

$$= \underbrace{H(D|\hat{X})}_{\leq H(D) = h(P_e)} + \underbrace{H(X|D, \hat{X})}_{\leq P_e \log_{|\mathcal{X}|}(?)}$$

- ▶ It follows that $h(P_e) + P_e \log |\mathcal{X}| \ge H(X|\hat{X})$
- ► Since $X \to Y \to \hat{X}$, it holds that $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; \hat{X})$ $\implies H(X|\hat{X}) \ge H(X|Y)$