

# Application of Information Theory, Lecture 2

## Joint & Conditional Entropy, Mutual Information

### Handout Mode

Iftach Haitner

Tel Aviv University.

Nov 4, 2014

# Part I

## Joint and Conditional Entropy

## Joint entropy

- Recall that the entropy of rv  $X$  over  $\mathcal{X}$ , is defined by

$$H(X) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \log P_X(x)$$

- Shorter notation: for  $X \sim p$ , let  $H(X) = - \sum_x p(x) \log p(x)$  (where the summation is over the domain of  $X$ ).
- The **joint entropy** of (jointly distributed) rvs  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $(X, Y) \sim p$ , is

$$H(X, Y) = - \sum_{x,y} p(x, y) \log p(x, y)$$

This is simply the entropy of the rv  $Z = (X, Y)$ .

- Example:

$X \backslash Y$	0	1
0	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0

$$\begin{aligned} H(X, Y) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 = 1\frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

## Joint entropy, cont.

- ▶ The joint entropy of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim p$ , is

$$H(X_1, \dots, X_n) = - \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n} p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \log p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

# Conditional entropy

- ▶ Let  $(X, Y) \sim p$ .
- ▶ For  $x \in \text{Supp}(X)$ , the random variable  $Y|X = x$  is well defined.
- ▶ The entropy of  $Y$  **conditioned on  $X$** , is defined by

$$H(Y|X) := \mathbb{E}_{x \leftarrow X} H(Y|X = x) = \mathbb{E}_X H(Y|X)$$

- ▶ Measures the **uncertainty** in  $Y$  given  $X$ .
- ▶ Let  $p_X$  &  $p_{Y|X}$  be the marginal & conational distributions induced by  $p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} H(Y|X) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_X(x) \cdot H(Y|X = x) \\ &= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_X(x) \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{Y|X}(y|x) \log p_{Y|X}(y|x) \\ &= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) \log p_{Y|X}(y|x) \\ &= - \mathbb{E}_{(X, Y)} \log p_{Y|X}(Y|X) \\ &= - \mathbb{E}_{Z=p_{Y|X}(Y|X)} \log Z \end{aligned}$$

## Conditional entropy, cont.

► Example

$X \backslash Y$	0	1
0	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0

What is  $H(Y|X)$  and  $H(X|Y)$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}H(Y|X) &= \mathbb{E}_{x \leftarrow X} H(Y|X = x) \\&= \frac{1}{2} H(Y|X = 0) + \frac{1}{2} H(Y|X = 1) \\&= \frac{1}{2} H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} H(1, 0) = \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}H(X|Y) &= \mathbb{E}_{y \leftarrow Y} H(X|Y = y) \\&= \frac{3}{4} H(X|Y = 0) + \frac{1}{4} H(X|Y = 1) \\&= \frac{3}{4} H\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{4} H(1, 0) = 0.6887 \neq H(Y|X).\end{aligned}$$

## Relating mutual entropy to conditional entropy

- ▶ What is the relation between  $H(X)$ ,  $H(Y)$ ,  $H(X, Y)$  and  $H(Y|X)$ ?
- ▶ Intuitively,  $0 \leq H(Y|X) \leq H(Y)$

Non-negativity is immediate. We prove upperbound later.

- ▶  $H(Y|X) = H(Y)$  iff  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent.
- ▶ In our example,  $H(Y) = H(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) > \frac{1}{2} = H(Y|X)$
- ▶ Note that  $H(Y|X = x)$  might be larger than  $H(Y)$  for some  $x \in \text{Supp}(X)$ .
- ▶ Chain rule (proved next).  $H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$
- ▶ Intuitively, uncertainty in  $(X, Y)$  is the uncertainty in  $X$  plus the uncertainty in  $Y$  given  $X$ .
- ▶  $H(Y|X) = H(X, Y) - H(X)$  is as an alternative definition for  $H(Y|X)$ .

# Chain rule (for the entropy function)

## Claim 1

For rvs  $X, Y$ , it holds that  $H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$ .

- ▶ Proof immediately follow by the grouping axiom:

$X \backslash Y$			
	$P_{1,1}$	$\dots$	$P_{1,n}$
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	$P_{n,1}$	$\dots$	$P_{n,n}$

$$\text{Let } q_i = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{i,j}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(P_{1,1}, \dots, P_{n,n}) \\ &= H(q_1, \dots, q_n) + \sum q_i H\left(\frac{P_{i,1}}{q_i}, \dots, \frac{P_{i,n}}{q_i}\right) \\ &= H(X) + H(Y|X). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Another proof. Let  $(X, Y) \sim p$ .

$$\text{▶ } p(x, y) = p_X(x) \cdot p_{Y|X}(y|x).$$

$$\Rightarrow \log p(x, y) = \log p_X(x) + \log p_{Y|X}(x|y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \log p(X, Y) = \mathbb{E} \log p_X(X) + \mathbb{E} \log p_{Y|X}(Y|X)$$

$$\Rightarrow H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X).$$



$$H(Y|X) \leq H(Y)$$

Jensen inequality: for any concave function  $f$ , values  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in [0, 1]$  with  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ , it holds that  $\sum_i \lambda_i f(t_i) \leq f(\sum_i \lambda_i t_i)$ .

Let  $(X, Y) \sim p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} H(Y|X) &= - \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p_{Y|X}(y|x) \\ &= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p_X(x)}{p(x,y)} \\ &= \sum_{x,y} p_Y(y) \cdot \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)} \log \frac{p_X(x)}{p(x,y)} \\ &= \sum_y p_Y(y) \sum_x \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)} \log \frac{p_X(x)}{p(x,y)} \\ &\leq \sum_y p_Y(y) \log \sum_x \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)} \frac{p_X(x)}{p(x,y)} \\ &= \sum_y p_Y(y) \log \frac{1}{p_Y(y)} = H(Y). \end{aligned}$$

$H(Y|X) \leq H(Y)$  **cont.**

- ▶ Assume  $X$  and  $Y$  are **independent** (i.e.,  $p(x, y) = p_X(x) \cdot p_Y(y)$  for any  $x, y$ )

$$\Rightarrow p_{Y|X} = p_Y$$

$$\Rightarrow H(Y|X) = H(Y)$$

## Other inequalities

- ▶  $H(X), H(Y) \leq H(X, Y) \leq H(X) + H(Y)$ .

Follows from  $H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$ .

- ▶ Left inequality since  $H(Y|X)$  is non negative.
- ▶ Right inequality since  $H(Y|X) \leq H(Y)$ .
- ▶  $H(X, Y|Z) = H(X|Z) + H(Y|X, Z)$  (by chain rule)
- ▶  $H(X|Y, Z) \leq H(X|Y)$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} H(X|Y, Z) &= \mathbb{E}_{Z, Y} H(X | Y, Z) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Y \mathbb{E}_{Z|Y} H(X | Y, Z) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Y \mathbb{E}_{Z|Y} H((X | Y) | Z) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_Y \mathbb{E}_{Z|Y} H(X | Y) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Y H(X | Y) \\ &= H(X|Y). \end{aligned}$$

## Chain rule (for the entropy function), general case

### Claim 2

For rvs  $X_1, \dots, X_k$ , it holds that

$$H(X_1, \dots, X_k) = H(X_1) + H(X_2|X_1) + \dots + H(X_k|X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}).$$

Proof: ?

- ▶ Extremely useful property!
- ▶ Analogously to the two variables case, it also holds that:
- ▶  $H(X_i) \leq H(X_1, \dots, X_k) \leq \sum_i H(X_i)$
- ▶  $H(X_1, \dots, X_k|Y) \leq \sum_i H(X_i|Y)$

## Examples

- ▶ (from last class) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be Boolean iids with  $X_i \sim (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ .
- ▶ Let  $X_1, \dots, X_{nn}$  be Boolean iids with  $X_i \sim (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ , and  $X_n = \bigoplus_{i \in [n-1]} X_i$ .  
Compute  $H(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ 
  - ▶ Via chain rule?
  - ▶ Via mapping?

# Applications

- ▶ Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be Boolean iids with  $X_i \sim (p, 1 - p)$  and let  $X = X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Let  $f$  be such that  $\Pr[f(X) = z] = \Pr[f(X) = z']$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z, z' \in \{0, 1\}^k$ . Let  $K = |f(X)|$ .

Prove that  $\mathbb{E} K \leq n \cdot h(p)$ .

- ▶
$$\begin{aligned}n \cdot h(p) &= H(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\&\geq H(f(X), K) \\&= H(K) + H(f(X) \mid K) \\&= H(K) + \mathbb{E} K \\&\geq \mathbb{E} K\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Interpretation
- ▶ Positive results

## Applications cont.

- ▶ How many comparisons it takes to sort  $n$  elements?

Let  $A$  be a sorter for  $n$  elements algorithm making  $t$  comparisons.

What can we say about  $t$ ?

- ▶ Let  $X$  be a uniform random permutation of  $[n]$  and let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_t$  be the answers  $A$  gets when sorting  $X$ .
- ▶  $X$  is determined by  $Y_1, \dots, Y_t$ .

Namely,  $X = f(Y_1, \dots, Y_t)$  for some function  $f$ .

- ▶  $H(X) = \log n!$

- ▶
$$\begin{aligned} H(X) &= H(f(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) \\ &\leq H(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ &\leq \sum_i H(Y_i) \\ &= t \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies t \geq \log n! = \Theta(n \log n)$$

## Concavity of entropy function

Let  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  and  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$  be two distributions, and for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  consider the distribution  $\tau_\lambda = \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)q$ .  
(i.e.,  $\tau_\lambda = (\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)q_1, \dots, \lambda p_n + (1 - \lambda)q_n)$ ).

### Claim 3

$$H(\tau_\lambda) \geq \lambda H(p) + (1 - \lambda)H(q)$$

Proof:

- ▶ Let  $Y$  over  $\{0, 1\}$  be 0 wp  $\lambda$
- ▶ Let  $X$  be distributed according to  $p$  if  $Y = 0$  and according to  $q$  otherwise.
- ▶  $H(\tau_\lambda) = H(X) \geq H(X | Y) = \lambda H(p) + (1 - \lambda)H(q)$

We are now certain that we drew the graph of the (two-dimensional) entropy function right...



# Part II

## Mutual Information

## Mutual information

- ▶  $I(X; Y)$  — the “information” that  $X$  gives on  $Y$
- ▶
$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &:= H(Y) - H(Y|X) \\ &= H(Y) - (H(X, Y) - H(X)) \\ &= H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y) \\ &= I(Y; X). \end{aligned}$$
- ▶ The mutual information that  $X$  gives about  $Y$  equals the mutual information that  $Y$  gives about  $X$ .
- ▶  $I(X; X) = H(X)$
- ▶  $I(X; f(X)) = H(f(X))$  (and smaller than  $H(X)$  if  $f$  is non-injective)
- ▶  $I(X; Y, Z) \geq I(X; Y), I(X; Z)$  (since  $H(X | Y, Z) \leq H(X | Y), H(X | Z)$ )
- ▶  $I(X; Y|Z) := H(Y|Z) - H(Y|X, Z)$
- ▶  $I(X; Y|Z) = I(Y; X|Z)$  (since  $I(X'; Y') = I(Y'; X')$ )

# Numerical example

## ► Example

$X \backslash Y$	0	1
0	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= H(X) - H(X|Y) \\ &= 1 - \frac{3}{4} \cdot h\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \\ &= I(Y; X) \\ &= H(Y) - H(Y|X) \\ &= h\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) - \frac{1}{2} h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

# Chain rule for mutual information

## Claim 4 (Chain rule for mutual information)

For rvs  $X_1, \dots, X_k, Y$ , it holds that

$$I(X_1, \dots, X_k | Y) = I(X_1; Y) + I(X_2; Y | X_1) + \dots + I(X_k; Y | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}).$$

Proof: ? HW

## Examples

- ▶ Let  $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}$  be Boolean iids with  $X_i \sim (p, 1 - p)$  and let  $X_n = \bigoplus_{i \in [n-1]} X_i$ .

Compute  $I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n)$ .

By chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n) &= I(X_1; X_2) + I(X_1; X_3 | X_2) + \dots + I(X_1; X_n | X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= 0 + 0 + \dots + h\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = h\left(\frac{1}{3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Let  $T$  and  $F$  be the top and front side, respectively, of a 6-sided fair dice. Compute  $I(T; F)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I(T; F) &= H(T) - H(T|F) \\ &= \log 6 - \log 4 \\ &= \log 3 + \log 2 - 2 \cdot \log 2 \\ &= \log 3 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

# Part III

## Data processing

# Data processing Inequality

## Definition 5 (Markov Chain)

Rvs  $(X, Y, Z) \sim p$  form a **Markov chain**, denoted  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ , if  $p(x, y, z) = p_X(x) \cdot p_{Y|X}(y|x) \cdot p_{Z|Y}(z|y)$ , for all  $x, y, z$ .

Example: random walk on graph.

## Claim 6

If  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ , then  $I(X; Y) \geq I(X; Z)$ .

► By Chain rule,  $I(X; Y, Z) = I(X; Z) + I(X; Y|Z) = I(X; Y) + I(X; Z|Y)$ .

►  $I(X; Z|Y) = 0$

►  $p_{Z|Y=y} = p_{Z|Y=y, X=x}$  for any  $x, y$

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Z|Y) &= H(Z|Y) - H(Z|Y, X) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Y H(p_{Z|Y=y}) - \mathbb{E}_{Y, X} H(p_{Z|Y=y, X=x}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Y H(p_{Z|Y=y}) - \mathbb{E}_Y H(p_{Z|Y=y}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

► Since  $I(X; Y|Z) \geq 0$ , we conclude  $I(X; Y) \geq I(X; Z)$ .  $\square$

# Fano's Inequality

- ▶ How well can we guess  $X$  from  $Y$ ?
- ▶ Could with **no** error if  $H(X|Y) = 0$ . What if  $H(X|Y)$  is small?

## Theorem 7 (Fano's inequality)

For any rvs  $X$  and  $Y$ , and any (even random)  $g$ , it holds that

$$h(P_e) + P_e \log |\mathcal{X}| \geq H(X|\hat{X}) \geq H(X|Y)$$

for  $\hat{X} = g(Y)$  and  $P_e = \Pr[\hat{X} \neq X]$ .

- ▶ The inequality can be weekend to  $1 + P_e \log |\mathcal{X}| \geq H(X|Y)$
- ▶ Note that  $P_e = 0$  implies that  $H(X|Y) = 0$
- ▶ We call  $\hat{X}$  the **estimator** for  $X$ .



## Proving Fano's inequality

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be rvs, let  $\hat{X} = g(Y)$  and  $P_e = \Pr[\hat{X} \neq X]$ .

► Let  $D = \begin{cases} 1, & \hat{X} \neq X \\ 0, & \hat{X} = X. \end{cases}$

$$\begin{aligned} H(D, X|\hat{X}) &= H(X|\hat{X}) + \underbrace{H(D|X, \hat{X})}_{=0} \\ &= \underbrace{H(D|\hat{X})}_{\leq H(D)=h(P_e)} + \underbrace{H(X|D, \hat{X})}_{\leq P_e \log |\mathcal{X}|(?)} \end{aligned}$$

- It follows that  $h(P_e) + P_e \log |\mathcal{X}| \geq H(X|\hat{X})$
- Since  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \hat{X}$ , it holds that  $I(X; Y) \geq I(X; \hat{X})$   
 $\implies H(X|\hat{X}) \geq H(X|Y)$