## **Application of Information Theory, Lecture 8**

## Kolmogorov Complexity and Other Entropy Measures

#### **Handout Mode**

Iftach Haitner

Tel Aviv University.

December 08, 2015

## Part I

# **Other Entropy Measures**

## Other entropy measures

Let  $X \sim p$  be a random variable over X.

- ► Recall that Shannon entropy of X is  $H(X) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -p(x) \cdot \log p(x) = \mathsf{E}_X \left[ -\log p(X) \right]$
- Max entropy of X is H<sub>0</sub>(X) = log |Supp(X)|
- ▶ Min entropy of X is  $H_{\infty}(X) = \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{-\log p(x)\} = -\log \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{p(x)\}$
- ► Collision probability of X is  $CP(X) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x)^2$ Probability of collision when drawing two independent samples from X
- ► Collision entropy/Renyi entropy of X is  $H_2(X) = -\log CP(X)$
- ► For  $\alpha \neq 1 \in \mathbb{N}$   $H_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha} \right) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log(\|p\|_{\alpha})$
- ►  $H_{\infty}(X) \le H_2(X) \le H(X) \le H_0(X)$  (Jensen) Equality iff X is uniform over  $\mathcal{X}$
- For instance,  $CP(X) \leq \sum_{x} p(x) \max_{x'} p(x') = \max_{x'} p(x')$ . Hence,  $H_2(X) \geq -\log \max_{x'} p(x') = H_{\infty}(X)$ .
- ► Claim:  $H_2(X) \leq 2 \cdot H_\infty(X)$
- ▶ Proof:  $CP(X) \ge (\max_{X'} p(X'))^2$ . Hence,  $-\log CP(X) \le -2 H_{\infty}(X)$

## Other entropy measures, cont

- No simple chain rule.
- Let  $X = \perp$  wp  $\frac{1}{2}$  and uniform over  $\{0, 1\}^n$  otherwise, and let Y be indicator for  $X = \perp$ .
- ▶  $H_{\infty}(X|Y=1)=0$  and  $H_{\infty}(X|Y=0)=n$ . But  $H_{\infty}(X)=1$ .

### Section 1

## **Shannon to Min entropy**

## Shannon to Min entropy

Given rv  $X \sim p$ , let  $X^n$  denote n independent copies of X, and let  $p^n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)$ .

#### Lemma 1

Let 
$$X \sim p$$
 and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $\Pr\left[-\log p^n(X^n) \le n \cdot (\mathsf{H}(X) - \varepsilon)\right] < 2 \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon^2 n}$ .

Proof: (quantitative) AEP.

- $\blacktriangleright \ A_{n,\varepsilon} := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{Supp}(X^n) \colon 2^{-n(H(X)+\varepsilon)} \le p^n(\mathbf{x}) \le 2^{-n(H(X)-\varepsilon)} \}$
- ►  $-\log p^n(\mathbf{x}) \ge n \cdot (\mathsf{H}(X) \varepsilon)$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in A_{n,\varepsilon}$

## Proposition 2 (Hoeffding's inequality)

Let  $Z^1, \ldots, Z^n$  be iids over [0, 1] with expectation  $\mu$ . Then,

$$\Pr\big[|\frac{\sum_{j=i}^n Z^j}{n} - \mu| \geq \varepsilon\big] \leq 2 \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon^2 n} \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

▶ Taking  $Z_i = \log p(X_i)$ , it follows that  $\Pr[X^n \notin A_{n,\varepsilon}] \le 2 \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon^2 n}$ 

## **Corollary 3**

 $\exists$  rv W that is  $(2 \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon^2 n})$ -close to  $X^n$ , and  $H_{\infty}(W) \geq n(H(X) - \varepsilon)$ .

Proof:  $W = X^n$  if  $X^n \in A_{n,\varepsilon}$ , and "well spread" outside  $Supp(X^n)$  otherwise.

## Shannon to Min entropy, conditional version

#### Lemma 4

Let  $(X, Y) \sim p$  let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\mathsf{Pr}_{(X^n, Y^n) \leftarrow (X, Y)^n} \left[ -\log \rho^n_{X^n \mid Y^n}(X^n \mid y^n) \leq n \cdot (\mathsf{H}(X \mid Y) - \varepsilon) \right] < 2 \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon^2 n}.$$

Proof: same proof, letting  $Z_i = \log p_{X|Y}(X_i|Y_i)$ 

## **Corollary 5**

 $\exists$  rv W over  $\mathcal{X}^n \times \mathcal{Y}^n$  that is  $(2 \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon^2 n})$ -far from  $(X, Y)^n$ ,

- ▶  $SD(W_{\mathcal{Y}^n}, Y^n) = 0$ , and
- ▶  $H(W \mid W_{\mathcal{Y}^n} = \mathbf{y}) \ge n \cdot (H(X|Y) \varepsilon)$ , for any  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Supp}(Y^n)$

Proof: ?

### Section 2

## **Renyi-entropy to Uniform Distribution**

## Pairwise independent hashing

### **Definition 6 (pairwise independent function family)**

A function family  $\mathcal{G}=\{g\colon \mathcal{D}\mapsto \mathcal{R}\}$  is pairwise independent, if  $\forall~x\neq x'\in \mathcal{D}$  and  $y,y'\in \mathcal{R}$ , it holds that  $\Pr_{g\leftarrow \mathcal{G}}\left[g(x)=y\land g(x')=y')\right]=(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{R}|})^2$ .

- ► Example: for  $\mathcal{D} = \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \{0, 1\}^m$  let  $\mathcal{G} = \{(A, b) \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n} \times \{0, 1\}^m\}$  with  $(A, b)(x) = A \times x + b$ .
- ▶ 2-universal families:  $\Pr_{g \leftarrow \mathcal{G}} [g(x) = g(x'))] = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{R}|}$ .
- Example for universal family that is not pairwise independent?
- Many-wise independent
- ▶ We identify functions with their description.
- Amazingly useful tool

#### Leftover hash lemma

### Lemma 7 (leftover hash lemma)

Let X be a rv over  $\{0,1\}^n$  with  $H_2(X) \ge k$  let  $\mathcal{G} = \{g : \{0,1\}^n \mapsto \{0,1\}^m\}$  be 2-universal and let  $G \leftarrow \mathcal{G}$ . Then  $SD((G,G(X)),(G,\sim\{0,1\}^m)) \le \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{(m-k))/2}$ .

Extraction.

#### Lemma 8

Let p be a distribution over  $\mathcal U$  with  $CP(p) \leq \frac{1+\delta}{|\mathcal U|}$ , then  $SD(p, \sim \mathcal U) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}$ .

Proof: Let q be the uniform distribution over  $\mathcal{U}$ .

$$\qquad \qquad ||p-q||_2^2 = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (p(u)-q(u))^2 = ||p||_2^2 + ||q||_2^2 - 2\langle p,q \rangle = \mathsf{CP}(p) - \tfrac{1}{|\mathcal{U}|} \le \tfrac{\delta}{|\mathcal{U}|}$$

- ► Chebyshev Sum Inequality:  $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i)^2 \le n \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2$
- ► Hence,  $\|p q\|_1^2 \le |\mathcal{U}| \cdot \|p q\|_2^2$
- ▶ Thus,  $SD(p,q) = \frac{1}{2} \|p q\|_1 \le \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}.\Box$

To deuce the proof of Lemma 7, we notice that  $\frac{1}{2^{m-k}}$ 

$$\mathsf{CP}(G, G(X)) \le \frac{1}{|G|} \cdot (2^{-k} + 2^{-m}) = \frac{1 + 2^{m-k}}{|G \times \{0, 1\}^m|}$$

## Part II

# **Kolmogorov Complexity**

### **Description length**

- What is the description length of the following strings?
  - 1. 010101010101010101010101010101010101
  - **2.** 01101010000010011111001100110011111110
  - **3.** 1110101001100011001111100010101011111
- 1. Eighteen 01
  - 2. First 36 bit of the binary expansion of  $\sqrt{2} 1$
  - 3. Looks random, but 22 ones out of 36
- Bergg's paradox: Let s be "the smallest positive integer that cannot be described in twelve English words"
- ► The above is a definition of s, of less than twelve English words...
- Solution: the word "described" above in the definition of s is not well defined

## Kolmogorov complexity

- For s string  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ , let K(x) be the length of the shortest  $C^{++}$  program (written in binary) that outputs x (on empty input)
- Now the term "described" is well defined.
- ▶ Why *C*<sup>++</sup>?
- All (complete) programming language/computational model are essentially equivalent.
- Let K'(x) be the description length of x in another complete language, then  $|K(x) k'(x)| \le const$ .
- ► What is K(x) for  $x = \underbrace{0101010101...01}_{n \text{ pairs}}$
- "For  $i = 1 : i^{++} : n$ ; print 01"
- ►  $K(x) \leq \log n + const$
- This is considered to be small complexity. We typically ignore log n factors.
- ▶ What is K(x) for x being the first n digits of  $\pi$ ?
- $K(x) = \log n + const$

## More examples

- ▶ What is K(x) for  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  with k ones?
- ▶ Recall that  $\binom{n}{k} \le 2^{nh(k/n)}$
- ▶ Hence  $K(x) \le \log n + nh(k/n)$

#### **Bounds**

- ►  $K(x) \le |x| + const$
- ► Proof: "output x"
- Most sequences have high Kolmogorov complexity:
- ▶ At most  $2^{n-1}$  ( $C^{++}$ ) programs of length  $\leq n-2$
- ▶ 2<sup>n</sup> strings of length n
- Hence, at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  of *n*-bit strings have Kolmogorov complexity at least n-1
- ▶ In particular, a random sequence has Kolmogorov complexity  $\approx n$

## **Applications**

- (another) Proof that there are infinity many primes.
- ▶ Assume there are finitely many primes  $p_1, ..., p_m$
- ► Any length *n* integer *x* can be written as  $x = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{d_i}$
- ▶  $d_i \le n$ . Hence  $|d_i|$ , length of  $d_i$ ,  $\le \log n$
- ▶ Hence,  $K(x) \le m \cdot \log n + const$
- ▶ But for most numbers  $k(x) \ge n 1$

## **Conditional Kolmogorov complexity**

- ▶ K(x|y) Kolmogorov complexity of x given y. The length of the shortest partogram that outputd x on input y
- ► Chain rule

$$K(x,y) \approx k(y) + k(x|y)$$

#### Hvs. K

## H(X) speaks about a random variable X and K(x) of a string x, but

- Both quantities measure the amount of uncertainty or randomness in an object
- Both measure the number of bits it takes to describe an object
- Another property: Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid, then whp  $K(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \approx H(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = nH(X_1)$
- ► Proof: ? AEP
- ► Example: coin flip (0.7, 0.3) then whp we get a string with  $K(x) \approx n \cdot h(0.3)$

## Computability of K

- ▶ Can we compute K(x)?
- Answer, No.
- Proof: Assume K is computable by a program of length C
- ▶ Let s be the smallest positive integer s.t. K(s) > 2C + 10,000
- **s** can be computed by the following program:
  - 1. x = 0
  - **2.** While (K(x) < 2C + 10,000):  $x^{++}$
  - 3. Output x
- ► Thus  $K(s) < C + \log C + \log 10,000 + const < 2C + 10,000$
- Bergg's Paradox, revisited:
- ▶ s the smallest positive number with K(s) > 10000
- ▶ This is not a paradox, since the description of *s* is not short.

## **Explicit large complexity strings**

▶ Can we give an explicit example of string x with large k(x)?

#### **Theorem 9**

 $\exists$  constant C s.t. the theorem  $K(x) \ge C$  cannot be proven (under any reasonable axiom system).

- For most strings K(x) > C + 1, but it cannot be proven even for a single string
- K(x) ≥ C is an example for a theorem that cannot be proven, and for most x's cannot be disproved.
- ▶ Proof: for integer C define the program  $T_C$ :
  - 1. y = 0
  - **2.** If y is a proof for the statement k(x) > C, output x
  - **3.** *y*<sup>++</sup>
- $|T_C| = \log C + D$ , where D is a const
- ▶ Take C such that  $C > \log C + D$
- ▶ If  $T_C$  stops and outputs x, then  $k(x) < \log C + D < C$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $\exists$  proof that k(x) > C.

### **Universal compression**

- ▶ A program of length K(x) that outputs x, compresses x into k(x) bit of information.
- ► Example: length of the human genome: 6 · 109 bits
- But the code is redundant
- ► The relevant number to measure the number of possible values is the Kolmogorov complexity of the code.
- No-one knows its value...