## 1 26th of September 2018 — F. Poloni

### 1.1 Orthogonality (II)


In the previous lecture we introduced some sufficent conditions for matrix orthogonality.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $U \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$  be an orthogonal matrix and let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then ||Ux|| = ||x||. Proof. Instead of proving that ||Ux|| = ||x|| we will prove  $||Ux||^2 = ||x||^2$ :

$$||Ux||^2 = (Ux)^T \cdot (Ux) \stackrel{\text{(1)}}{=} x^T U^T U x = x^T I_n x = x^T x = ||x||$$

where  $\stackrel{\text{(1)}}{=}$  follows from the definition of transpose of a product.

**Geometrically** an orthogonal preserve the norm, so a matrix A represents a symmetry or a rotations on vector x and these operations do not alter the size of vectors.



**Definition 1.1** (Orthogonality). Let  $x, y \in R^n$  we say that x and y are **orthonormal** if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Orthonormality). Let  $x, y \in R^n$  we say that x and y are **orthonormal** if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$  and ||x|| = ||y|| = 1.

Fact 1.2. Let us take  $U \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$  such that U is orthogonal. Then its columns  $U^1, U^2, \dots, U^n$  are orthonormal and the same holds for its rows.

$$U^{iT}U^{j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$U_i U_j^T = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Fact 1.3.** Let  $U, V \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$ , such that U and V are orthogonal, then  $U \cdot V$  is orthogonal. Orthogonal are closed under the product.

Proof. 
$$(UV)^T \cdot (UV) = V^T U^T UV = V^T I_n V = V^T V = I_n$$

Fact 1.4. We will often deal with tall thin rectangular matrices with orthonormal columns:

$$U_1 = [u_1 \ u_2 \ \dots \ u_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \ (m \ge n)$$

There exists a matrix  $U_2$  s.t.  $[U_1 \ U_2]$  is square orthogonal.

### 1.2 Eigenvalues / Eigenvector

**Definition 1.3** (Eigenvectors and eigenvalues). Let  $A \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$  and let  $x \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $Ax = \lambda x$  we say that x is an **eigenvector** of **eigenvalue**  $\lambda$ .

**Fact 1.5.** Let  $A \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$  (real triangular matrix). The eigenvalues of A are the scalars on the diagonal.

**NOTE**: Eigenvectors and eigenvalues are interesting because we can use it to get a special decomposition of a matrix A.

#### Eigendecomposition of a matrix:

For almost almost all matrices  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  under some conditions we can decompose A as:

$$A = V\Lambda V^{-1}$$

$$A = V\Lambda V^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $v_i$ ,  $\forall i = 1, ..., n$  are eigenvectors of A of eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  and  $w_i = \text{rows of } V^{-1}$ .

Another way to see the diagonalized form of A is the following:

$$A = V\Lambda V^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \lambda_i w_i^T =$$

$$\boxed{v_1 \cdot \boxed{\lambda_1} \cdot \boxed{w_1^T} + \boxed{v_2} \cdot \boxed{\lambda_2} \cdot \boxed{w_2^T} + \dots + \boxed{v_n} \cdot \boxed{\lambda_n} \cdot \boxed{w_n^T}$$

### Something on Matlab ...

Notice that in Matlab the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix are computed using the command [V, Lambda] = eig(U) and this operation has a computational complexity of  $O(n^3)$ .

we can check that the matrix A is equal to the decomposition in this way:

A - V\*Lambda\*inv(V) or norm(A - V\*Lambda\*inv(V)) (both should be near to zero)

Notice that not all matrices  $A \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$  allow a diagonal decomposition. It may happen that such a matrix is diagonalizable in  $\mathbb{C}$  and its eigenvalues are complex.

Fact 1.6. If this factorization with eigenvalues and eigenvectors holds, then:

$$A = V\Lambda V^{-1} \Longrightarrow Av_i = v_i\lambda , \ \forall i = 1, \ldots n$$

This decomposition tell us the behavior under repeated application of a matrix A to a vector x. This process allow to scale a general vector x.

# Something on Matlab ...

e.g  $A = [1 \ 1; \ 1 \ 1]$  and  $x = [ \ 1 \ 1 \ ]$ then A\*x is equal to  $[2 \ 2]$ , and A\*A\*x is equal to  $[4 \ 4]$ ,

**Fact 1.7.** If  $A \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$  is diagonalizable (aka may be written as  $A = V\Lambda V^{-1}$ ) then:

$$A^k x = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \lambda_i^k w_i^T$$

.

*Proof.* LINEAR ALGEBRA VIEW POINT:

**NOTE**: if A is not square, Av,  $\lambda v$  have different sizes and it doesn't make sense to talk about eigenvalues.

#### What can go wrong with eigenvalue decomposition

- 1. The eigenvalue decomposition is highly non-unique, we can:
  - Reorder eigenvalues/vectors
  - Replace an eigenvector  $v_i$  with  $2v_i$ ,  $3.5v_i$  ...
  - For matrices with repeated eigenvalues, even more possibilities: e.g  $I=VIV^{-}1$  for every invertible V

- 2. some matrices have only complex eigenvalues: e.g.  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$
- 3. some matrices have fewer eigenvectors than we want and we can't use eigenvalue decomposition: e.g.  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Now, thanks to the eigenvalue decomposition we can prove the following:

**Theorem 1.8.** Let  $A \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$ . If  $|\lambda_i| < 1$  for all eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of A then  $\lim_{k \to \infty} A^k x = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** Let  $A \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$ . If  $\forall \lambda_i$  eigenvalues of  $A |\lambda_i| < |\lambda_1|$  then  $A^k x \approx V^1 \lambda_1^k \alpha_1$ .

**Fact 1.10.** Let  $A \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$  be a diagonalizable matrix and let

$$A = V\Lambda V^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} V^1 & V^2 & \cdots & V^n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us now consider a reordering of V 's columns and apply the same permutations to the "diagonal vector" of  $\Lambda$  such that

$$\hat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V^2 & V^1 & V^3 \cdots & V^n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \hat{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 & & & & \\ & \lambda_1 & & & \\ & & \lambda_3 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

A can be diagonalized through such  $\hat{V}$  and  $\hat{\Lambda}$ :  $A = V\Lambda V^{-1} = \hat{V}\hat{\Lambda}\hat{V}^{-1}$ .

Moreover, in the case of repeated eigenvalues

Fact 1.11. Let  $A \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$  a diagonalizable matrix such that  $A = V\Lambda V^{-1}$ , where  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ 

(without loss of generality). Then 
$$V$$
 can be replaced by  $\tilde{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V^1 + V^2 & V^1 - V^2 & V^3 & \cdots & V^n \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Theorem 1.12** (Spectral theorem). Let  $A \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$  (A is a real symmetric matrix). Then A is diagonalizable  $A = U\Lambda U^-1$ , where eigenvalues are all real numbers and we can take U orthogonal matrix.

For symmetric matrices, nothing goes wrong: eigenvalues decomposition always exists (Spectral theorem). The matrix will not have complex eigenvalues and not fewer eigenvectors. We can choose an U orthogonal because we said it was possible to reorder eigenvalues/vectors and replace eigenvector  $v_i$ .

## Something on Matlab ...


If we have an symmetric matrix B and we compute [V, D] = eig(B), matlab will always return an orthogonal matrix V.

Quadratic forms: for a fixed symmetric matrix  $Q = Q^T$ , consider  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$   $f(x) = x^T Q x$  (Geometric idea: paraboloids):

Let's see two example in a Geometric point of view:


Example 1:

$$f\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$



Example 2:

with a matrix 
$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$



**Fact 1.13.** Let  $Q \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$  (For a fixed symmetric matrix) and let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\lambda_{min} \|x\|^2 \le x^T Q x \le \lambda_{max} \|x\|^2$$

where  $\lambda_{max}$  and  $\lambda_{min}$  are respectively the eigenvalue of maximum value and the eigenvalue of minimum value.

*Proof.* Easy case with  $Q = \Lambda$  diagonal:

$$x^{T}Qx = x^{T} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{2} & & & \\ & \lambda_{1} & & \\ & & \lambda_{3} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \lambda_{n} \end{pmatrix} \cdot x = \lambda_{1}x_{1}^{2} + \lambda_{2}x_{2}^{2} + \dots + \lambda_{n}x_{n}^{2}$$

It is obvious that this sum is bounded by:

$$\lambda_{\min} \cdot (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2) \le \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n^2 \le \lambda_{\max} \cdot (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)$$

The following holds:  $\lambda_{\min} \cdot (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2) = \lambda_{\min} \cdot x^T x = \lambda_{\min} \cdot ||x||^2$  and, on the other hand,  $\lambda_{\max} \cdot (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2) = \lambda_{\max} \cdot x^T x = \lambda_{\max} \cdot ||x||^2$  and this proves the fact in the special case of diagonal matrix Q.

General case: Let us represent Q through its eigendecomposition:  $A=U\Lambda U^{-1}=U\Lambda U^{T},$  where U is an orthogonal matrix.

$$x^T Q x = x^T U \Lambda U^T x \stackrel{\text{(1)}}{=} y^T \Lambda y$$

where  $\stackrel{\text{(1)}}{=}$  is due to the change of variable  $y = U^T x$  (that implies  $y^T = x^T U$ ).

By the same argument used in the diagonal case,

$$\lambda_{\min} \cdot \|y\|^2 \le y^T \Lambda y \le \lambda_{\max} \cdot \|y\|^2$$

Now the point is that if we can replace  $||y||^2$  with  $||x||^2$  we have proved the theorem. In fact this is true, due to the orthogonality of matrix U (  $UU^T = U^TU = I$ .

Corollary 1.14. Let  $Q \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$  and let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda_{min} \leq \frac{x^T Qx}{\|x\|^2} \leq \lambda_{max}$ , where  $\lambda_{max}$  and  $\lambda_{min}$  are respectively the eigenvalue of maximum value and the eigenvalue of minimum value.

**Definition 1.4** (Positive semidefinite). Let  $Q \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$ . If  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for each eigenvalue of Q then  $x^TQx \geq 0$  for each vector x. Q is called positive semidefinite.

$$x^T Q x \ge 0 \left\| x \right\|^2 \ge 0$$

This is Iff, so the reverse holds: if  $x^TQx \ge 0$  for all x, then eigenvalues of Q are  $\ge 0$ .

**Definition 1.5** (Positive definite). Let  $Q \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$ . If  $\lambda_i > 0$  for each eigenvalue of Q then  $x^TQx > 0$  for each vector  $x \neq 0$ . Q is called positive semidefinite.

$$x^{T}Qx \ge \lambda_{min} \|x\|^{2} > 0 \|x\|^{2} = 0$$

This is Iff, so the reverse holds: if  $x^TQx > 0$  for all x, then eigenvalues of Q are > 0.

Fact 1.15. Let  $Q \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Iff Q is **positive semidefinite** then  $\lambda \geq 0 \ \forall \lambda$  eigenvalue of Q iff Q is **positive semidefinite**. On the other hand, all eigenvalues are **strictly** positive iff Q is positive definite.

Proof.

Let's prove that Iff Q is **positive semidefinite** then  $\lambda \geq 0 \ \forall \lambda$  by contradiction: if Q has a eigenvalue  $\lambda_i < 0$  then

$$v_i^T Q v_i = v_i^T \lambda v_i = \lambda_i \|v_i\|^2 < 0$$

for the eigenvector  $v_i$  associated to  $\lambda_i$ 

Fact 1.16. Let  $B \in M(m, n, \mathbb{R})$  (possibly rectangular),  $B^TB \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$  (is a valid product and gives a square, symmetric matrix) and is positive semidefinite.

П

Proof. Symmetry:  $(B^T B)^T = B^T \cdot (B^T)^T = B^T B$ .

Positive definite:  $x^T B^T B x = (Bx)^T (Bx) = \|Bx\|^2 \ge 0$ 

Corollary 1.17. The same holds for  $BB^T$ , since we can define  $C = B^T$ .

Fact 1.18. Let  $Q \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$ .  $A \succeq 0$  and A invertible iff Q is strictly positive definite.

# Something on Matlab ...

In ordert to check if a matrix A is positive definite in Matlab we can look at its eigenvalues (cfr. eig(A)).

#### NOTE for complex matrices:

Most of these properties work also for matrices with complex entries, with one change: replace  $A^T$  with  $\overline{A^T}$  (transpose + entrywise conjugate). Often denoted with  $A^*$  or  $A^H$ .

The norm of a complex vector:

$$||x||_{2}^{2} = x^{*}x = \overline{x_{1}}x_{1} + \overline{x_{2}}x_{2} + \dots + \overline{x_{n}}x_{n} = |x_{1}|^{2} + \dots + |x_{n}|^{2}$$
 which is always real  $\geq 0$ 

Some terminology changes:

- $UU^* = I$ : unitary matrix (orthogonal + complex)
- $Q = Q^*$ : Hermitian matrix (capital letter, after Charles Hermite).