Literature Review Chosen approaches Proposed method Planned evaluation Bibliography References

Kickoff Presentation Bachelor Thesis Estimating hold-out-sample Performance for Active Learning

Florian Bethe

OvGU Magdeburg - Department of Computer Science

17th of September 2015

Table of Contents

- 1 Literature Review
- 2 Chosen approaches
- Proposed method
- Planned evaluation
- 6 Bibliography

Theoretical background for error estimation of clasifiers

- Rodríguez et al. [2013] defines classifier and error
- Hastie et al. [2009] gives a pretty good overview of everything except learning curves
- Dietterich [1995] examines overfitting
- Kohavi and Wolpert [1996] analyses bias-variance-decomposition for 0-1-loss
- Krogh and Vedelsby [1995] details the bias-variance-tradeoff

Classifier-dependent estimators

- AIC: introduced by Akaike [1998], explained and simplified by Bozdogan [1987] and Hastie et al. [2009]
- BIC: first presented by Schwarz [1978]. Further analysis provided by Weakliem [1999]
- VC-theory: published by Vapnik [1982], used by Brumen et al. [2004]

Cross-Validation

- LOO and K-fold: Kohavi [1995]
- Pahikkala et al. [2008] provides further analysis and testing
- Adaptive incremental k-fold described in Brumen et al. [2004]
- Error and bias-variance analysis in Airola et al. [2011],
 Rodríguez et al. [2013] and Efron and Tibshirani [1997]

Bootstrapping

- Plain bootstrap: Kohavi [1995]
- LOO: Borra and Di Ciaccio [2010]
- Efron [1983] and Efron and Tibshirani [1997] present .632 and .632+ and provide experiments, with Wood et al. [2007] presenting criticism

Learning curves

- Perlich et al. [2003] and Figueroa et al. [2012] for a general description of learning curves
- Figueroa et al. [2012] also presents algorithm for performance prediction and with Singh [2005] function families for model fitting
- Curve for optimism in Cortes et al. [1993]

Miscellaneous

- Model Retraining Improvement: Potential estimation framework Evans et al. [2015]
- Estimator definition as Monte-Carlo-Simulation in Roy and McCallum [2001]
- Kadie and Wilkins [1995] analyses
 Maximum-Likelihood-Estimation for finding prediction models

Adaptive Iterative K-fold Cross-Validation

Presented in Brumen et al. [2004]

- K-fold cross-validation modified for use with active learning
- k = 5 or 10 for an unbiased estimator Kohavi [1995], Airola et al. [2011]

.632+ bootstrap

Introduced by Efron and Tibshirani [1997]

- Estimates optimism of training error
- Builds on .632 estimator, but corrects bias for overfit classifiers
- Estimation of no-information error rate for binary classifiers given

Hold-out curve extrapolation

Description in Figueroa et al. [2012]

- Based on hold-out estimates for past iterations
- As estimation for accuracy reduction of cross-validation approach?

Estimating "old" accuracy

For each iteration:

- Use hold-k-out cross-validation with k = 1...n 1
- Estimate beta distribution from the samples for each k
- Override old estimates in new iteration; only increased test set or also wider training spectrum?

Fit curve model

For each iteration:

- Compute expectation value for each beta distribution
- Fit log, exp and pow functions using non-linear regression
- Standard deviation of regression as intervals?
- Build beta distribution from old extrapolations using weighting?

Evaluation And Results

- Compare estimated accuracies to true hold-out estimates using m hold-out sets
- For proposed method: if beta distribution obtained, compute KL-divergence
- For comparison methods: obtain beta distribution by using multiple sets?

Literature Review Chosen approaches Proposed method Planned evaluation Bibliography References

Questions

Questions?

- A. Airola, T. Pahikkala, W. Waegeman, and B. De Baets. An experimental comparison of cross-validation techniques for estimating the area under the roc curve. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 55(4):1828–1844, April 2011.
- H. Akaike. Selected Papers of Hirotugu Akaike, chapter Information Theory and an Extension of the Maximum Likelihood Principle, pages 199–213. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer New York, 1998. URL http://link. springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4612-1694-0_15.
- S. Borra and A. Di Ciaccio. Measuring the prediction error. a comparison of cross-validation, bootstrap and covariance penalty methods. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 54(12): 2976–2989, December 2010. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167947310001064.

- H. Bozdogan. Model selection and akaike's information criterion (aic): The general theory and its analytical extensions. Psychometrika, 52(3):345–370, September 1987. URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02294361.
- B. Brumen, I. Golob, H. Jaakkola, T. Welzer, and I. Rozman. Early assessment of classification performance. In ACSW Frontiers 2004, 2004 ACSW Workshops the Australasian Information Security Workshop (AISW2004), the Australasian Workshop on Data Mining and Web Intelligence (DMWI2004), and the Australasian Workshop on Software Internationalisation (AWSI2004). Dunedin, New Zealand, January 2004, pages 91–96, 2004. URL

http://crpit.com/confpapers/CRPITV32Brumen.pdf.

C. Cortes, L. D. Jackel, S. A. Solla, V. Vapnik, and J. S. Denker. Learning curves: Asymptotic values and rate of convergence. In Neural Information Processing Systems, 1993. URL

http://papers.nips.cc/paper/ 803-learning-curves-asymptotic-values-and-rate-of-converpdf.

- T. Dietterich. Overfitting and undercomputing in machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 27(3):326–327, September 1995. URL http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=212114.
- B. Efron. Estimating the error rate of a prediction rule: Improvement on cross-validation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 78(382):316-331, 1983. URL http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~jordan/sail/readings/archive/efron-improve_cv.pdf.
- B. Efron and R. Tibshirani. Improvements on cross-validation: The .632+ bootstrap method. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 92(438):548–560, June 1997. URL

- http://www.stat.washington.edu/courses/stat527/s13/readings/EfronTibshirani_JASA_1997.pdf.
- L. P. G. Evans, N. M. Adams, and C. Anagnostopoulous. Estimating optimal active learning via model retraining improvement. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 2015. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.01664#.
- R. L. Figueroa, Q. Zeng-Treitler, S. Kandula, and L. H. Ngo. Predicting sample size required for classification performance. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2012. URL http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6947/12/8.
- T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman. *The Elements of Statistical Learning*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, 2 edition, 2009. URL http://web.stanford.edu/~hastie/local.ftp/Springer/OLD/ESLII_print4.pdf.
- C. M. Kadie and D. C. Wilkins. Seer: Maximum Likelihood

Literature Review Chosen approaches Proposed method Planned evaluation Bibliography References

Regression for Learning-Speed Curves. PhD thesis, University of Illinois, 1995. URL http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/um/people/carlk/papers/kadiephdfull.htm.

- R. Kohavi. A study of cross-validation and bootstrap for accuracy estimation and model selection. In *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 1995. URL http://frostiebek.free.fr/docs/Machine%20Learning/ validation-1.pdf.
- R. Kohavi and D. H. Wolpert. Bias plus variance decomposition for zero-one loss functions. In *Machine Learning: Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference*, 1996. URL ai.stanford.edu/~ronnyk/biasVar.pdf.
- A. Krogh and J. Vedelsby. Neural network ensembles, cross validation, and active learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 7*. Massachusetts Institute of

Technology, 1995. URL http://papers.nips.cc/paper/ 1001-neural-network-ensembles-cross-validation-and-activ pdf.

- T. Pahikkala, A. Airola, J. Boberg, and T. Salakoski. Exact and efficient leave-pair-out cross validation for ranking rls. In *Proceedings of AKRR*, 2008.
- C. Perlich, F. Provost, and J. S. Simonoff. Tree induction vs. logistic regression: a learning-curve analysis. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 4:211–255, December 2003. URL http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=945375.
- J. D. Rodríguez, A. Pérez, and J. A. Lozano. A general framework for the statistical analysis of the sources of variance for classification error estimators. *Pattern Recognition*, 46(3): 855–864, March 2013. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0031320312003998.

- N. Roy and A. McCallum. Toward optimal active learning through monte carlo estimation of error reduction. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2001. URL http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi= 10.1.1.137.6296.
- G. Schwarz. Estimating the dimension of a model. *The Annals of Statistics*, 6(2):461–464, 1978. URL http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.aos/1176344136.
- S. Singh. Modeling performance of different classification methods: Deviation from the power law. Project Report, 2005. URL http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi= 10.1.1.102.5455&rep=rep1&type=pdf.
- V. Vapnik. Estimation of Dependences Based on Empirical Data.

 Springer New York, 1982. URL

 http://www.springer.com/us/book/9780387308654.

- D. L. Weakliem. A critique of the bayesian information criterion for model selection. Sociological Methods Research, 27(3):359-397, February 1999. URL smr.sagepub.com/content/27/3/359.short.
- I. A. Wood, P. M. Visscher, and K. L. Mengersen. Classification based upon gene expression data: bias and precision of error rates. *Bioinformatics*, 23(11):1363–1370, June 2007. URL https:

//keppel.qimr.edu.au/contents/p/staff/CVPV127.pdf.