

# Sorting

Victor Milenkovic

Department of Computer Science  
University of Miami

CSC220 Programming II – Spring 2018



# Sorting Algorithms

- ▶ We will study four sorting algorithms:
  - ▶ Insertion Sort
  - ▶ Quick Sort
  - ▶ Heap Sort
  - ▶ Merge Sort
- ▶ Each is useful in a different way.



# Insertion Sort

- ▶ Insertion Sort
  - ▶ Inserts each element, one at a time, into a sorted array.
  - ▶ To insert an element, you move elements forward until you get to where it goes.
  - ▶ The trick is you do it multiple times.
  - ▶ The elements you are inserting are already in the array.

- ▶ Starting list:

3 1 4 1 5 9 2 6

- ▶ Let's say we have sorted the first 6 elements and are inserting the 2.
- ▶ I put a dash in to indicate that we are ignoring the 6.

1 1 3 4 5 9 2 | 6

- ▶ Take the 2 out and put it here: 2
- ▶ Copy elements forward until you get to where the 2 goes:

1 1 3 4 5 9 9 | 6

1 1 3 4 5 5 9 | 6

1 1 3 4 4 5 9 | 6

1 1 3 3 4 5 9 | 6

- ▶ Now put the 2 back in:

1 1 2 3 4 5 9 | 6

- ▶ We are ready to insert the 6.

# Insertion Sort Properties

- ▶ Good:
  - ▶ Easy to implement.
  - ▶ Fast if  $n$  is very small
  - ▶ Fast on large  $n$  if input is “almost sorted”
  - ▶ STABLE: doesn't flip elements if it doesn't have to
  - ▶ IN PLACE: doesn't require a second array
- ▶ Bad:
  - ▶  $O(n^2)$  running time in general, so slow on large  $n$  when input is not nearly sorted



# STABLE Sorting

- ▶ What's the deal with STABLE?
- ▶ Suppose I have a directory (folder) on my computer with a lot of files of all different sorts.
- ▶ I am looking for a pdf file created somewhere around last October.
  - ▶ Sort by date.
  - ▶ Sort by type (dmg, doc, pdf, txt, etc.)
- ▶ As far as the second sort is concerned, all pdf files are “equal”.
- ▶ But if the sort is STABLE, then it won't swap two pdf files from the first sort.
- ▶ So the pdf files will be presented to me in order of increasing date, making it
- ▶ easy to look for ones created in October.
- ▶ Is that how it happens in Finder on my Mac?
- ▶ No!



# Quick Sort

- ▶ Quick Sort is recursive.
  - ▶ Pick an pivot element, say the first one.
  - ▶ Compare all the other elements to it and separate into those  $\leq$  and those  $>$ .
  - ▶ Sort those two groups recursively.
  - ▶ Put it together.

- ▶ Input:

3 1 4 1 5 9 2 6

- ▶ Pick 3 and partition the others

3

1 1 2

4 5 9 6

- ▶ Sort the other two groups recursively:

3

1 1 2

4 5 6 9

- ▶ Put it together

1 1 2 3 4 5 6 9



# Quick Sort Running Time

- ▶ Running time?
  - ▶ It takes  $n$  (actually  $n - 1$ ) comparisons to split.
  - ▶ Each level of the recursion uses less than  $n$  comparisons.
  - ▶ If the splits are even, then there are about  $\log_2 n$  levels.
- ▶ So  $O(n \log n)$ .
- ▶ But if the splits are very uneven, it could be  $O(n^2)$  again.
- ▶ What is the worst possible input?
- ▶ Why?



# Partitioning in Place

- ▶ Can Quick Sort be done without a second array?

- ▶ Yes.

3 1 4 1 5 9 2 6  
i j

- ▶ Invariants:

- ▶ Everything to the left of i should be  $\leq 3$ .
- ▶ Everything to the right of j should be  $> 3$ .

- ▶ It is safe to increment i and decrement j:

3 1 4 1 5 9 2 6  
i j

- ▶ This is bad, we cannot increment i nor decrement j. What to do?

- ▶ Swap!

3 1 2 1 5 9 4 6  
i j

3 1 2 1 5 9 4 6  
i j





## Partitioning continued

- ▶ Eventually they pass each other!

```
3 1 2 1 5 9 4 6
      j
      i
```

```
3 1 2 1 5 9 4 6
      j i
```

- ▶ Now we swap [0] and [j]

```
1 1 2 3 5 9 4 6
      j i
```

- ▶ Recursively sort 0 to j-1 and i to size-1

```
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 9
      j i
```

- ▶ Done!

# Quick Sort Properties

- ▶ Good:
  - ▶  $O(n \log n)$  on average
  - ▶ Fastest in practice
- ▶ Bad:
  - ▶  $O(n^2)$  if input is sorted.
  - ▶ If you do it IN PLACE then it won't be STABLE
- ▶ Easy to fix  $O(n^2)$  case:
  - ▶ just swap first element with random element
  - ▶ or just the middle element



# Heap Sort

- ▶ Heap Sort uses the heap idea.
  - ▶ We already know that we can insert and remove from a heap in  $O(\log n)$  time.
  - ▶ So insert  $n$  elements and remove them, and they will be sorted.
  - ▶ Instant  $O(n \log n)$  sorting algorithm. Guaranteed!
- ▶ There are two additional tricks.
  1. We can heapify the contents of an array in place.
  2. Each poll puts the polled element into the spot just vacated.
- ▶ To heapify in place, work from the bottom.
- ▶ Remember: even though I am writing it like a tree, it is still just an array.

```
3
1      4
1      5      9      2
6
```

- ▶ The 6 has no kids, and neither do 2, 9, nor 5.
- ▶ 1 has 6 as a kid, which is o.k.



## Heapifying in Place continued

- ▶ 4 has 9 and 2, not good.
- ▶ Swap 4 and 2.

```
3
1      2
1      5      9      4
6
```

- ▶ 1 is o.k. (kids are 1 and 5). 3 is not. Swap with 1:

```
1
3      2
1      5      9      4
6
```

- ▶ Still not good, swap with 1 again:

```
1
1      2
3      5      9      4
6
```

- ▶ Now it is a heap.



# Heap Sort Polling Phase

- ▶ Now, let's remove the root and put it in the last element.
- ▶ We were going to put the 6 at the root for the removal process anyway
- ▶ So swap them:

```
6
1      2
3      5      9      4
1
```

- ▶ Now swap down the 6, but ignore the 1 at the bottom. (Decrement size.)

```
1
6      2
3      5      9      4
1
```

```
1
3      2
6      5      9      4
1
```



## Polling Phase continued

- ▶ Swap the 1 and the last element, which is the 4 now, and ignore that 1 thereafter (decrement size):

```
4
3          2
6      5      9      1
1
```

- ▶ Fix the 4:

```
2
3          4
6      5      9      1
1
```

- ▶ Can you continue?
- ▶ The result is the array sorted in reverse order.
- ▶ But if you can do that, you can do it right!

# Heap Sort Properties

- ▶ Good:
  - ▶ Guaranteed  $O(n \log n)$
  - ▶ Heapifying is  $O(n)$ , actually.
  - ▶ IN PLACE
- ▶ Bad:
  - ▶ not stable
  - ▶ apparently slower than quick sort in practice



# Merge Sort

- ▶ Merge Sort is a little like quick sort but backwards.
- ▶ Just split the array in two:

```
3 1 4 1  
5 9 2 6
```

- ▶ Sort each recursively:

```
1 1 3 4  
2 5 6 9
```





# Merging

- Now merge them. You only have to look at the front of each list:

```
1 3 4
2 5 6 9
1
```

```
3 4
2 5 6 9
1 1
```

```
3 4
5 6 9
1 1 2
```

```
4
5 6 9
1 1 2 3
```

```
5 6 9
1 1 2 3 4
```



## Merging continued

- ▶ Since the first list is empty, we can just copy the rest of the second list:

1 1 2 3 4 5 6 9



# Merge Sort Properties

- ▶ Good:
  - ▶  $O(n \log n)$  guaranteed
  - ▶ STABLE if you break ties correctly
  - ▶ Works great for sorting linked lists
  - ▶ Works great for sorting files on hard disks
- ▶ Bad:
  - ▶ Very hard to do in place
- ▶ Regarding linked lists:
  - ▶ Notice that when we merge two lists together,
  - ▶ we only access and/or remove the head of each (half) list
  - ▶ and add at the tail of the merged list.
  - ▶ These are  $O(1)$  operations for a linked list.
- ▶ So the running time is the same.



# External Sorting

- ▶ Here is how to do it on the hard disk.
  - ▶ This is sometimes called "out of core" computing.
  - ▶ "Core" is what they used to call RAM.
  - ▶ This is a "Big Data" technique.
- ▶ First, let's assume we have FOUR hard drives connected to the computer. (Not so unusual.)
- ▶ "Deal out" the elements of the file to two different files on different hard disks.
  - ▶ 3452
  - ▶ 1196
- ▶ Open both files and read elements from the files, merging them and writing them out.
  - ▶ Read in 3 and 1 and write out 13.
  - ▶ Read in 4 and 1 and write out 14.
  - ▶ Read in 5 and 9 and write out 59.
  - ▶ Read in 2 and 6 and write out 26.
- ▶ "Deal out" to different files:
  - ▶ 1359
  - ▶ 1426



## External Sorting continued

- ▶ Next we will merge groups of two.
  - ▶ Read in 1 and 1.
  - ▶ The first 1 wins the tie so write it out and read in 3.
  - ▶ The second 1 is smaller so write it out and read in 4.
  - ▶ The 3 is smaller so write it out, but don't read any more because that group of two is done.
  - ▶ The 4 is all we have left, so write it out.
- ▶ So now we have 1134 in one file.
  - ▶ Read in the 5 and 2.
  - ▶ The 2 is smaller so write it out and read in the 6.
  - ▶ The 5 is smaller so write it out and read in 9.
  - ▶ The 6 is smaller so write it out.
  - ▶ Write out the 9.
  - ▶ We have 2569.
- ▶ Now we need to merge 1134 and 2569 into a single file.
  - ▶ Read in the 1 and 2.
  - ▶ The 1 is smaller so write it out and read in 1.
  - ▶ The 1 is smaller so write it out and read in 3.
  - ▶ The 2 is smaller so write it out and read in 5.
  - ▶ The 3 is smaller so write it out and read in 4.
  - ▶ The 4 is smaller so write it out.
  - ▶ Write out the 5 and read in the 6.
  - ▶ Write out the 6 and read in the 9.
  - ▶ Write out the 9.
- ▶ Result: 11234569.



# External Sorting Running Time

- ▶ Time?
  - ▶ We read through each file sequentially, which is very fast.
  - ▶ Just put the read-head in the right place and spin the disk.
  - ▶ We have to do  $\log_2 n$  rounds (why?).
- ▶ So  $O(n \log n)$ .



# Summary

- ▶ Insertion Sort
  - ▶ Easy to implement.
  - ▶ Fast if  $n$  is very small
  - ▶ Fast on large  $n$  if input is “almost sorted”
  - ▶ STABLE: doesn't flip elements if it doesn't have to
  - ▶ IN PLACE: doesn't require a second array
  - ▶  $O(n^2)$  running time in general, so slow on large  $n$  when input is not nearly sorted
- ▶ Quick Sort
  - ▶  $O(n \log n)$  on average
  - ▶ Fastest in practice
  - ▶  $O(n^2)$  if input is sorted and you don't randomize somehow.
  - ▶ If you do it IN PLACE then it won't be STABLE
- ▶ Heap Sort
  - ▶ Guaranteed  $O(n \log n)$
  - ▶ Heapifying is  $O(n)$ , actually.
  - ▶ IN PLACE
  - ▶ not stable
  - ▶ apparently slower than quick sort in practice
- ▶ Merge Sort
  - ▶  $O(n \log n)$  guaranteed
  - ▶ STABLE if you break ties correctly
  - ▶ Works great for sorting linked lists
  - ▶ Works great for sorting files on hard disks
  - ▶ Very hard to do in place

