Review for Final

Victor Milenkovic

Department of Computer Science University of Miami

CSC220 Programming II - Spring 2018





Tides Foundation (Google Education and University Relations Fund)

- Funding from Google to make the course more accessible to non-CS majors.
 - Visual Debugger
 - Tools for final project
 - BetterBrowser
 - trie
 - external storage
- We will be posting a survey.
- In addition to usual course evaluation.
- ▶ Did you use the Visual Debugger?





What to do

- Reread notes.
- Go over the Collections Framework tutorial.
- ► Retake quizzes.
- ▶ If you didn't get full credit for an assignment, find out what you did wrong.
- Go over review for midterm.
- Go over the midterm.





Remember the first lecture?

- A computer has perhaps 2 billion bytes of RAM and runs at about 2 billion instructions per second. So it takes a second to find something.
- Google finds things faster, even though...
- Powers of two:
 - $ightharpoonup 2^{10} = 1024$ which is about 1000
 - log2(1000) is about 10
 - ► log2(1000000000) is about 30
- ▶ To find the bad coin in 1000:
 - weigh 500
 - weigh 250
 - weigh 125
 - weigh 63
 - weigh 32
 - weigh 16
 - weigh 8

 - weigh 4
 - weigh 2
 - weigh 1
- 10 weighings instead of 1000.
- ▶ log₂n instead of n.





- Object oriented programming:
- What the compiler allows.
- Casting.
- What will cause a run time error.
- Which method will be invoked.





- ▶ Double array size when reallocating (that's what ArrayList does).
- System.arraycopy
- inserting into a sorted array
- binary search
- O() for all ArrayBasedPD and SortedPD methods





- Timing using repetition to get accuracy.
- ▶ Divide System.nanoTime() by 1000.0 (not 1000) to get microseconds.
- ▶ Average of 1000000/t calls to keep experiment less that one second.
- ▶ How fast is your computer?
- ▶ Use of O() to estimate running time.
- ExponentialFib, LinearFib, PowerFib, LogFib, ConstantFib.





- doubly linked list
- inserting, removing, finding in a sorted DLL
- ► List interface
- ► O() for List.get()
- Why not use binary search?





- Stack operations.
- Stack implemented using array or singly linked list.
- Using a number stack and operator stack to implement expression evaluation.
- How is operator precedence implemented?





- Queue interface.
- Implementation using singly linked list.
- Implementation using circular array.
- Use of AbstractQueue to implement Queue.
- Iterator interface.
- Implementation of Iterator.
- New type of for loop thanks to Iterator.
- Word Puzzle
- Use of queue to search for connections
- ► Breadth first search (who else uses this?)





- Map interface.
- Jumble dictionary.
- AbstractMap
- Inserting, finding, removing from sorted doubly linked list.
- Generating coin flips in the computer.
- Idea of skip list: keys on multiple levels.
- How many levels does a key appear? What's the average?
- ▶ How many nodes on each level?
- ▶ How many levels?
- ▶ How far does find go on each level?
- ▶ O() for find?
- ► O() for all operations?





- binary tree: root, leaf, height, left, right
- linked implementation using left and right pointer
- array implementation using 2i+1 and 2i+2 (and (j-1)/2)
- binary search tree: search order
- binary search tree implements Set or Map: TreeSet or TreeMap
- heap: heap order
- PriorityQueue uses heap to implement Queue
- finding, inserting, and removing from linked binary search tree
- adding to array heap: swapping up
- removing root from array heap: swapping down
- \triangleright binary tree O(n) per operation if input is bad: sorted
- ▶ heap is guaranteed $O(\log n)$ per operation
- Comparator interface: tell the PriorityQueue how to order things.
- Also: Comparable interface. Requires compareTo method.





- sorting methods
- how does each one work
- what are its properties?
- insertion sort: compare and move in a loop.
- heap sort: only swap down!
- quick sort: really like the binary search tree, only it's possible to make it random
- merge sort
 - useful for sorting "big data"
 - merge idea is useful for Google





- hash function
- Don't use pow or ^.
- hash index
- chained hash table
 - review of linked list
 - Singly-linked so you can't go back. How do you remove?
 - It's o.k. if it gets full.
- open addressing
 - find method
 - needs to be no more than half full of DELETED plus used





- ► (Radix) Trie
- ► Guaranteed O(*L*) per operation
- Good for storing maps externally.
- Same O() as a hash table but sorted.





Interfaces and Implementations

- Interface: List
 - Methods: size(), add(x), add(i, x), remove(i), get(i), set(i, x)
 - Implementations: ArrayList, LinkedList
- Interface: Map
 - Methods: size(), get(k), put(k, v), keySet()
 - Implementations: TreeMap, HashMap
- Interface: Deque [StackInt]
 - Methods: empty(), peek(), pop(), push(x)
 - Implementations: ArrayDeque [ArrayStack], LinkedList [LinkedStack]
- Interface: Queue
 - Methods: size(), offer(x), peek(), poll(), [add(x), element(), remove()]
 - Implementations: ArrayDeque, LinkedList, PriorityQueue [Heap]
- Interface: Comparable
 - Methods: compareTo(that)
- Interface: Comparator
 - Methods: compare(x, y)
- Interface: Iterator
 - Methods: hasNext(), next()
- Interface: Iterable
 - Methods: iterator
 - Implementations: everything
- Interface: Set
 - Methods: add(), contains(), remove()
 - Implementations: TreeSet, HashSet



Running Times

- List implemented as ArrayList or LinkedList
 - add is O(1) (sort of)
 - get, set are O(1) for ArrayList and O(n) for LinkedList
 - ▶ indexOf or contains are O(n)
 - remove O(n) (for different reasons)
- ▶ Stack implemented as List: push and pop at the end, all O(1).
- Queue implemented as linked list or circular array (ArrayDeque)
 - peek, offer, and poll are all O(1) for LinkedList and ArrayDeque
 - ▶ If you needed to iterate through the Queue and remove some people,
 - ArrayDeque Iterator remove would be O(n) but LinkedList Iterator remove would be O(1)
- Queue implemented as PriorityQueue using heap data structure
 - ▶ offer and poll are O(log n)
 - peek is still O(1)
- Set or Map
 - ▶ skip list: O(log n) expected time for contains, add, remove
 - binary search tree: O(n) worst, O(log n) average, but that can be fixed (CSC317)
 - ▶ hash table: O(1) expected time (really O(L) where L is length string)
 - external trie: O(L) time, but constant is a millisecond.





Using Collections Framework

- To use TreeSet or TreeMap
 - implement Comparable
 - provide compareTo
- To use HashSet or HashMap
 - implement (actually override) equals
 - implement hashCode





- How and why does Google use each interface and implementation?
- Queue: searching for new pages
- (external) Map implemented using Trie: ids of pages. Sorted good?
- Map implemented using hash table: ids of words. Unsorted o.k.?
- Hard disk acts as Map from Long to PageFile (URL and reference count).
- Hard disk acts as Map from Long to List<Long>, the page ids for each word.
- (temporary) Set of page ids on a given page (to foil Google bombers)
- array of Iterator
- PriorityQueue
- Comparator interface
- merge operation





sorting

- Know sorting algorithms:
 - Insertion Sort
 - Quick Sort
 - Heap Sort
 - Merge Sort
- Know properties:
 - worst case
 - best case
 - expected running time
 - stable
 - ▶ in place





Don't forget!

- ▶ When is the running n times $\log n$ and when is it n plus $\log n$?
- ▶ What is $O(n + \log n)$?
- How many additions can your computer do per second?
- ▶ How big is RAM?
- ▶ How big is your hard disk?
 - ▶ 1ms to seek
 - Read 512 bytes at at time.
 - Read a large file very quickly.
- ▶ If I ask for an interface, please write down an interface.





Extra Credit

- Implementation of List
 - ▶ get(x) and set(i, x) are O(1) guaranteed
 - ► add(x) is always O(1)
 - ► the space used should be O(n) where n is size (no fair allocating an ginormous array!)
 - add(x) should not return false
- If you figure it out, keep it to yourself.
 - Email the design to me.
 - One shot.
 - Add 50 one prog below 50.



