September 25, 2016

# Solutions to Problem 1 of Homework 3 (5 points)

Name: Name (ijs253) Due: Tuesday, September 27

Collaborators: NetID1, NetID2

Let n be a multiple of m. Design an algorithm that can multiply an n-bit integer with an m-bit integers in time  $O(nm^{\log_2 3-1})$ .

#### Solution:

Assuming that taking the log of a given n-bit integer is a constant cost:

```
Multiply (a, b):

first = log(a)/log(2)

second = log(b)/log(2)

s = first + second

return 2^s
```

September 25, 2016

Solutions to Problem 2 of Homework 3 (10 points)

Name: Name (ijs253) Due: Tuesday, September 27

Collaborators: NetID1, NetID2

An *n*-tromino is a  $2^n \times 2^n$  "chessboard of unit squares with one corner removed" (figure below drawn for n = 3). Assume that initially you are given a 1-tromino (i.e., a simple *L*-shaped tile of area 3 drawn on the right), but you have a friendly genie whom you can ask to perform the following two operations in any order:

- Duplicate: This operation takes an object as input, and creates a second identical copy of this object.
- Glue: This operation takes two objects as input, and glues them together (along the sides, without any overlaps) in a manner specified by you.
- (a) (6 points) Design a recursive algorithm Tromino(n) that creates an n-tromino from 1-tromino using the a minimum number of calls to the genie. You only need to specify the top level of the recursion, without the need to explicitly "unwind" the recursion all the way to n = 1. (**Hint**: First step is to DUPLICATE the original 1-tromino, as otherwise you "lose" it in the recursive call(s), and you might need it in the "conquer" step.)

#### Solution:

```
totalSquares = (perimeter+1)/2
extraTrominoes = 0; Tromino(n):
     if 2^n != total Squares and tromino.count == 1:
           extraPiece = Duplicate x 1
           Duplicate x 4
           GLUE so that 1 tromino its corner meeting the inside of the original tromino and the other 3
           with their insides angle being filled with original tromino corner.
           if 2^n == total Squares:
                return:
           else : extraPieces = DUPLICATE(extraPiece) x (2^n)/3
                Tromino(n)
     else if 2^n != total Squares and tromino.count > 1:
           Duplicate x 3
           GLUE the 4 pieces together so that 3 of the missing corners are pointed inwards and
           one of the missing corners is pointed outwards, forming a tromino of negative space.
           if 2^n == total Squares:
                return;
```

else : TROMINO(n)

else:

Use the previously calculated extra pieces to fill in trominoes where there are spaces for them with Glue.

(b) (4 points) Give a recurrence relation for the number of calls T(n) to the genie, and solve it.

### Solution:

$$T(n) = n * T(n) + 8$$
  
=  $n * T(n) + 8n^k + 8n^{k-1}$ ..  
=  $n * T(n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} 8n^{k-i}$ 

September 25, 2016

## Solutions to Problem 3 of Homework 3 (12 points)

Name: Name (ijs253) Due: Tuesday, September 27

Collaborators: NetID1, NetID2

An array A[0...(n-1)] is called *rotation-sorted* if there exists some some cyclic shift  $0 \le c < n$  such that  $A[i] = B[(i+c \bmod n)]$  for all  $0 \le i < n$ , where B[0...(n-1)] is the sorted version of A. For example, A = (2,3,4,7,1) is rotation-sorted, since the sorted array B = (1,2,3,4,7) is the cyclic shift of A with c = 1 (e.g.  $1 = A[4] = B[(4+1) \bmod 5] = B[0] = 1$ ). For simplicity, below let us assume that n is a power of two (so that can ignore floors and ceilings), and that all elements of A are distinct.

(a) (4 points) Prove that if A is rotation-sorted, then one of A[0...(n/2-1)] and A[n/2...(n-1)] is fully sorted (and, hence, also rotation-sorted with c=0), while the other is at least rotation-sorted. What determines which one of the two halves is sorted? Under what condition both halves of A are sorted?

Solution: INSERT YOUR SOLUTION HERE □

(b) (8 points) Assume again that A is rotation-sorted, but you are not given the cyclic shift c. Design a divide-and-conquer algorithm to compute the minimum of A (i.e., B[0]). Carefully prove the correctness of your algorithm, write the recurrence equation for its running time, and solve it. Is it better than the trivial O(n) algorithm? (**Hint**: Be careful with c = 0 an c = n/2; you might need to handle them separately.)

Solution: INSERT YOUR SOLUTION HERE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Intuitively, A is either completely sorted (if c = 0), or (if c > 0) A starts in sorted order, but then "falls off the cliff" when going from  $A[n-c-1] = B[n-1] = \max$  to  $A[n-c] = B[0] = \min$ , and then again goes in increasing order while never reaching A[0].

September 25, 2016

## Solutions to Problem 4 of Homework 3 (8 points)

Name: Name (ijs253) Due: Tuesday, September 27

Collaborators: NetID1, NetID2

Find a divide-and-conquer algorithm that finds the maximum and the minimum of an array of size n using at most 3n/2 comparisons (between elements of the array). (Note that we are not asking for an iterative algorithm. We are asking for you to explicitly use recursion.) Derive an exact recurrence for the number of comparisons of your algorithm and prove it using induction.

(**Hint**: Your conquer step should make a constant number of comparisons. Be careful for what n you stop recursing.)

Solution: INSERT YOUR SOLUTION HERE