

Title of the paper

author1firstName author1secondName & author2firstNam author2secondName

Department of Psychology, University of XXX

Author Note

Address editorial correspondence to:

author1firstName author1secondName

University of XXX

Address 1

Address 2

Address 3

Email: xxx.xxx@uni-xxx

Tel: +xx xxx-xxxx

Abstract

Keywords: keyword1, keyword2, keyword3

Title of the paper

The introduction .. Botvinick et al. (2001)
Botvinick et al. (2001) found that ..
It was found that .. (Eriksen & Eriksen, 1974; Simon, 1969; Stroop, 1935)

Method

Participants

Apparatus and Stimuli

Procedure

Design

Results

Table 1
ANOVA Table: RT

Effect	DFn	DFd	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i> < .05	η^2_p
Comp	1	19	5.54	0.029	*	0.23
Side	1	19	0.41	0.528		0.02
Comp:Side	1	19	0.00	0.947		0.00

There was significant main effect of Compatibility, $F(1, 19) = 5.54$, $p = .029$, $\eta^2_p = 0.23$.

Discussion

References

- Botvinick, M. M., Braver, T. S., Barch, D. M., Carter, C. S., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). Conflict monitoring and cognitive control. *Psychological Review*, *108*(3), 624–652.
- Eriksen, B. A., & Eriksen, C. W. (1974). Effects of noise letters upon the identification of a target letter in a nonsearch task. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *16*(1), 143–149.
- Simon, J. R. (1969). Reactions toward the source of stimulation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *81*(1), 174–176.
- Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *18*(6), 643–662.