

Title of the paper

author1firstName author1secondName & author2firstNam author2secondName

Department of Psychology, University of XXX

Author Note

Address editorial correspondence to:

author1firstName author1secondName

University of XXX

Address 1

Address 2

Address 3

Email: xxx.xxx@uni-xxx

Tel: +xx xxx-xxxx

Abstract

Keywords: keyword1, keyword2, keyword3

Title of the paper

The introduction .. Botvinick, Braver, Barch, Carter, and Cohen (2001)
Botvinick et al. (2001) found that ..
It was found that .. (Eriksen & Eriksen, 1974; Simon, 1969; Stroop, 1935)

Method

Participants

Apparatus and Stimuli

Procedure

Design

Results

Table 1
ANOVA Table: RT

Effect	DFn	DFd	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i> <.05	η_p^2
Comp	1	19	45.76	0.00	***	0.71
Side	1	19	27.33	0.00	***	0.59
Comp:Side	1	19	8.02	0.01	*	0.30

There was significant main effect of Compatibility, $F(1, 19) = 45.76$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.71$.

Discussion

References

Botvinick, M. M., Braver, T. S., Barch, D. M., Carter, C. S., & Cohen, J. D. (2001).

Conflict monitoring and cognitive control. *Psychological Review*, *108*(3), 624–652.

Eriksen, B. A., & Eriksen, C. W. (1974). Effects of noise letters upon the identification of a target letter in a nonsearch task. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *16*(1), 143–149.

Simon, J. R. (1969). Reactions toward the source of stimulation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *81*(1), 174–176.

Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *18*(6), 643–662.