

Title of the paper

author1firstName author1secondName & author2firstNam author2secondName

Department of Psychology, University of XXX

Author Note

Address editorial correspondence to:

author1firstName author1secondName

University of XXX

Address 1

Address 2

Address 3

Email: xxx.xxx@uni-xxx

Tel: +xx xxx-xxxx

Abstract

*Keywords:* keyword1, keyword2, keyword3

Title of the paper

The introduction .. Botvinick, Braver, Barch, Carter, and Cohen (2001)  
Botvinick et al. (2001) found that ..  
It was found that .. (Eriksen & Eriksen, 1974; Simon, 1969; Stroop, 1935)

Method

Participants

Apparatus and Stimuli

Procedure

Design

Results

Table 1  
*ANOVA Table: RT*

Effect	DFn	DFd	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i> <.05	$\eta_p^2$
Comp	1	19	38.61	0.00	***	0.67
Side	1	19	24.28	0.00	***	0.56
Comp:Side	1	19	5.18	0.03	*	0.21

There was significant main effect of Compatibility,  $F(1, 19) = 38.61$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = 0.67$ .

Discussion

## References

Botvinick, M. M., Braver, T. S., Barch, D. M., Carter, C. S., & Cohen, J. D. (2001).

Conflict monitoring and cognitive control. *Psychological Review*, *108*(3), 624–652.

Eriksen, B. A., & Eriksen, C. W. (1974). Effects of noise letters upon the identification of a target letter in a nonsearch task. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *16*(1), 143–149.

Simon, J. R. (1969). Reactions toward the source of stimulation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *81*(1), 174–176.

Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *18*(6), 643–662.