**Collaborators:** Tan Hui Ru Kimberley

## **Problem 1**

(a) A(N) = A(n-1) + A(n-2) + 1, where n > 2

This is a linear non-homogenous recurrence equation. We solve, first, for the homogenous part, and then for the particular solution.

• The characteristic equation for the homogenous part is  $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ , which has roots  $\phi$ and  $-1/\phi$ , where

$$\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

Hence, the homogenous solution is of the form

$$a_h(N) = X\phi^N + Y\left(\frac{-1}{\phi}\right)^N$$
, where  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}$ 

The particular solution is of the form

$$a_p(N) = Z$$
, where  $Z \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Combining the two, we get the general solution of the form,

$$A(N) = A_h(N) + A_p(N)$$
$$= X\phi^N + Y\left(\frac{-1}{\phi}\right)^N + Z$$

We can solve for the constants with the initial conditions,

$$A(0) = 1$$
  
 $A(1) = 1$   
 $A(2) = 3$ 

and get the general solution of the form,

$$A(N) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)\phi^{N} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{\phi}\right)^{N} - 1$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{N} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)\left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{N} - 1$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{N+1} - \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{N+1}\right) - 1$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\phi^{N+1} - \left(\frac{-1}{\phi}\right)^{N+1}\right) - 1$$

(b) Let  $b: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$  be defined such that b(n) is the number of additional accesses when i=n in the loop, so that

$$B(N) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} b(n)$$

Clearly,

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \text{ or } n = 1 \\ 3, & n \ge 2 \end{cases}$$
$$\Rightarrow B(N) = \begin{cases} 1, & N = 0 \\ 3n - 1, & N \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

(c)

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{B(N)}{A(N)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{3N - 1}{\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\phi^{N+1} - \left(\frac{-1}{\phi}\right)^{N+1}\right) - 1}$$

$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{3N - 1}{\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\phi^{N+1} - \left(\frac{1}{\phi}\right)^{N+1}\right) - 1}$$

$$= \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{2 \ln \phi} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\phi^{N+1} + \left(\frac{1}{\phi}\right)^{N+1}}$$

$$\leq \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{2 \ln \phi} \lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\phi}\right)^{N+1}$$

$$= 0$$

Also, since A(N) and B(N) are clearly positive (from their recurrence construction),

$$\frac{B(N)}{A(N)} > 0, \ \forall N \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

From here, we can use squeeze theorem to conclude that,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{B(N)}{A(N)} = 0$$

(d) Since the time to access an array and time to call a routine are operations that don't scale with the parameter N, and are bounded, we can express running times of routines A and B,  $R_A$  and  $R_B$ , respectively, as

$$R_A = \Theta(A)$$
$$R_B = \Theta(B)$$

where  $f = \Theta(g)$  means that f can be bounded by affine transforms of g. This includes the running time for arithmetic operations like expression comparison and addition. We can write,

$$a_1A + m_1 \le R_A \le a_2A + m_2$$
  
 $b_1B + n_1 \le R_B \le b_2B + n_2$ 

where  $a_i, b_i, m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Here,  $a_i$  is the cost of calling a subroutine,  $b_i$  is the cost of accessing an array, and  $m_i$  and  $n_i$  are the total costs of arithmetic and other auxiliary operations in the two routines

With this we can rephrase Albert's statement as

"Well, yes, A(N) > B(N) when  $N \ge 5$ . However, A(N) and B(N) measure the number of calls and accesses, respectively, and not the actual running time. It is possible that the upper bound for the cost of calling a routine,  $a_2$ , is much lower than the lower bound for the cost of accessing an array,  $b_1$ . So, even though the number of accesses is small, the actual running time is very long. It is possible that  $R_A(N) < R_B(N)$ ,  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ ."

Now, if we compare the running time of the algorithms in the limit,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{b_1 B(N) + n_1}{a_2 A(N) + m_2} \le \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{R_B(N)}{R_A(N)} \le \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{b_2 B(N) + n_2}{a_1 A(N) + m_1}$$
$$0 \le \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{R_B(N)}{R_A(N)} \le 0$$

Therefore, Albert's statement is wrong - since the cost of each of the discussed operations is bounded and independent of the parameter N, asymptotically, Routine A would be slower than Routine B. Hence, his statement cannot hold  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(e)

$$F_{0} = 0$$

$$F_{1} = 1$$

$$F_{2} = F_{0} + F_{1}$$

$$F_{3} = F_{1} + F_{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$F_{N} = F_{N-1} + F_{N-2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Rightarrow G(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} F_{i}z^{i} = z + F_{0}z^{2} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_{i}(z^{i+1} + z^{i+2})$$

$$= z + G(z)z + G(z)z^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow G(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z - z^{2}}$$

(f) To get the sum of coefficients, we can do

$$[z^{N}] \frac{1}{1-z} G(z) = [z^{N}] \frac{z}{(1-z)(1-z-z^{2})}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N} F_{i}$$

Also, we have

$$[z^{N}] \frac{G(z) - F_0 - F_1 z}{z^2} = F_{N+2}$$
$$[z^{N}] \left(\frac{G(z) - F_0 - F_1 z}{z^2} - \frac{1}{1-z}\right) = F_{N+2} - 1$$

The OGF for  $F_{N+2} - 1$  is

$$\frac{G(z) - F_0 - F_1 z}{z^2} - \frac{1}{1 - z} = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z - z^2} - \frac{1}{1 - z}$$
$$= \frac{z}{(1 - z)(1 - z - z^2)}$$

Since the OGF for the two sequences are equal,  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$F_0 + F_1 + \ldots + F_N = F_{N+2} - 1$$

(g) Modification of Routine B to calculate the sum would use M(N) = N + 1 + B(N) = 4N queries from the array. We say that a Routine X makes *significantly fewer* queries as compared to Routine B if the asymptotic ratio of these numbers is less than 1, i.e,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X(N)}{M(N)} < 1$$

We define this new Routine X, using part (f), as

Solving for X(N), we get X(N) = 3N + 5, and this implies,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X(N)}{M(N)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{3N+5}{4N}$$
$$= \frac{3}{4}$$
$$< 1$$