

Week 10

Translation to Intermediate Representation

Christian Cooper

Session Plan

Session 7: Translation to intermediate representation

- Intermediate representation
- Assembly language a recap
- Why use IR
- Definition of an IR using trees
- Example translations
- See book for while-loops, for-loops, functions, declarations

7th April, 2008

2000 Languago Bronssons Work 10

Intermediate representation

- Semantic analysis
 - converts abstract syntax to abstract machine code (an intermediate rep)
- why an intermediate representation...?

7th April, 2008

N2009 Language Processors - Week 10


Intermediate representation m target machines n source portable compiler languages Sparc Java Sparc ML Pentium 🔾 Pascal Pentium С Alpha Alpha n.m n+m

Assembly Language - a recap

- We've briefly considered Processor architecture and memory.
- We've briefly considered two architectures
 - Z80A
 - MIPS
- Details of the register sets of each...

7th April. 2008

IN2009 Language Processors - Week 10



MIPS R3000 (32-bit)

Name	Number	Use	Callee must preserve?
\$zero	\$0	constant 0	N/A
\$at	\$1	assembler temporary	no
\$v0-\$v1	\$2-\$3	Values for function returns and expression evaluation	no
\$a0 – \$a3	\$4-\$7	function arguments	no
\$t0-\$t7	\$8-\$15	temporaries	no
\$s0-\$s7	\$16-\$23	saved temporaries	yes
\$t8-\$t9	\$24-\$25	temporaries	no
\$k0-\$k1	\$26-\$27	reserved for OS kernel	no
\$gp	\$28	global pointer	yes
\$sp	\$29	stack pointer	yes
\$fp	\$30	frame pointer	yes
\$ra	\$31	return address	N/A

Intermediate representation

- We represent the immediate output of the compilation using an intermediate language - an abstract machine language
 - Express target machine operations, but without machine-specific details
 - Source-language independent

ril 2009 INI2000 I anguago Processoro

Intermediate representation

- Compiler
 - front end: lexical analysis, parsing, semantic analysis
 - back end:
 - optimisation of IR (rewrite IR so as to improve execution speed)
 - translation to real machine language
 - (in case of Java, to another abstract machine language JVM)
- Many IRs
 - Appel uses simple expression trees

7th April, 2008

9 Language Processors - Week 10

Real life IR and ILs

- A wide variety for example gcc supports (amongst others):
 - RTL (register transfer language),
 GENERIC (tree-based), GIMPLE (a static single assignment language).
- Eiffel uses a simplified form of C.
- Java produces byte code.
- Microsoft .NET uses CIL.
- Other languages operate on pseudoassembler or generic trees.

7th April, 20

IN2009 Language Processors - Week 10

Intermediate representation

- Any good representation:
 - must be convenient for semantic analysis phase to produce.
 - must be convenient to translate to real (or virtual) machine language for target machines.
 - must have simple meaning for each construct that leads to simple operations on the IR to rewrite parts of it for optimisation etc.

7th April, 2008

IN2009 Language Processors - Week 10

Intermediate representation

- In any IR
 - individual components describe simple operations on the abstract machine represented by the IR instructions
 - each element of the complex abstract syntax is translated into a set of simple IR abstract machine instructions
 - groups of IR instructions will be grouped and regrouped to form real machine instructions

7th April, 2008

IN2009 Language Processors - Week 10

IR: tree expression operators

- CONST(i)
 - integer constant
- NAME(n)
 - symbolic constant (an assembly lang label)
- TEMP(t)
 - abstract register...infinite number!
- BINOP(o,e1,e2)
 - Where o = PLUS, MINUS, MUL, DIV, AND, OR, XOR, LSHIFT, RSHIFT, ARSHIFT

• MEM(e)

 contents of wordSize bytes starting at addr e (means "store" if left child of move, else "fetch")

IR: tree expression operators

- CALL(f,1)
 - procedure call, applies f to list I
- ESEQ(s,e)
 - eval s for side-effects, eval e for result

IR: statements

- MOVE(TEMP t,e)
 - perform side effects & control flow - eval e & move into temp t
- MOVE (MEM(e1,k),e2)
 - eval e1 (⇒ addr a); eval e2 & store results into k bytes of mem starting at a
- EXP(e)
 - eval e & discard the result

No provision for procedure and & function defs - just body of each function

7th April, 2008

IN2009 Language Processors - Week 10

IR: statements

- JUMP(e,labs)
 - transfer control to addr e; labs specifies list of all poss locations e can eval to
- CJUMP(o,e1,e2,t,f)
 - eval e1 then e2, compare result with relational op o; if true jump to t else to f
- SEQ(s1,s2)
 - ???
- LABEL(n)
 - defines const n to be current machine code address

Example translation

 $a > b \mid c < d$ translates to

SEQ(CJUMP(GT,a,b,t,z),

SEQ(LABEL z,


CJUMP(LT,c,d,t,f)))

with t,f labels



Simple variables translation

- Simple variable v in current procedure or function stack frame
 - k: offset of v in frame
 - TEMP fp: frame pointer register



MEM(BINOP(PLUS,TEMP fp, CONST k))

Conditionals

- Use CJUMP
 - -x < 5 translates to CJUMP(LT, x, CONST(5), t, f)
 - for labels t, f
- if x < 5 then a > b else 0

7th A--II 000

2009 Language Processors - Week 10

Conditionals SEQ(CJUMP(LT,x,CONST(5),z,f), SEQ(LABEL z, CJUMP(GT,a,b,t [pick up val of a>b],f [pick up val 0]))) SEQ CJUMP LT x CONST z f LABEL CJUMP SEQ CHAPPI, 2008 NR2009 Language Processors - Week 10 21

What you should do now

- · See book for other translations
 - while-loops
 - for-loops
 - functions
 - declarations
- Complete the May 2006 exam paper before next week!

7th April, 2008

N2009 Language Processors - Week 10

Schedule

- Module Summary & Exam Revision
- Monday 14th April, 2008
 - 11:00 12:50
 - C.343

7th April, 2008

IN2009 Language Processors - Week 10