# Case Study for Yandex

Author: Ihar Marfin

Contact: <marfin@mail.desy.de>

Status: work in progress

Organization: DESY Version: v0.1

Copyright: This document has been placed in the public domain. You may do with it as you

wish. You may copy, modify, redistribute, reattribute, sell, buy, rent, lease, destroy, or improve it, quote it at length, excerpt, incorporate, collate, fold, staple, or mutilate

it, or do anything else to it that your or anyone else's heart desires.

Date: 03/28/2014

#### Dedication

For Yandex Company

#### **Abstract**

This document is a description of the tasks and corresponding solutions and answers. The solution in C++ is given.

## **Table of Contents**

1	Preface		
2	Question 1	3	
	2.1 Introduction to Question 1	3	
	2.2 Realization of Question 1	6	
	2.2.1 The problem 1	7	
	2.2.2 The problem 2	7	
	2.2.3 The problem 3	7	
	2.2.4 The problem 4	7	
	2.2.5 The problem 5	8	
	2.2.6 The problem 6	8	
	2.2.7 The problem 7	8	
	2.2.8 The problem 8	9	
	2.2.9 The problem 9	9	
	2.2.10 The problem 10	9	
	2.3 The Feature object serialization as a replacement of Feature::read()	10	
3	Question 2	11	
	3.1 Introduction to Question 2	11	
	3.2 Answers on Question 2	12	
4	Question 3		
	4.1 Introduction to Question 3	14	
	4.2 Realization of Question 3	14	
5	Question 4	16	
	5.1 Introduction to Question 4		
	5.2 Answers on Question 4	16	
	5.2.1 Introduction	16	
	5.2.2 Optimizing the C++ code	16	
	5.2.3 Profiling of the C++ code	18	
6	Question 5	19	
	6.1 Introduction to Question 5	19	
	6.2 Answers on Question 5		
7	Appendix	21	
	7.1 Makefile - the way to build and install the project	21	
8	References	25	

## 1 Preface

Answers to the question are supplied by the example codes. All together these codes are the project called case\_study\_yandex. The archive case\_study\_yandex.tgz, containing the project, is attached to this document. If it is not the case, please, download it from the Git repository

```
git clone https://github.com/igormarfin/case_study_yandex.git
```

The structure of the package is the following:

```
+-- bin
+-- docs
 +-- Case_Study_yandex.pdf
 +-- Case_Study_yandex.rst
 +-- Feature.cc.rst
 +-- Feature.h.rst
 +-- HOW-TO.rst
 +-- rst2pdf.style
 +-- Serialization.cc.rst
+-- features.dat
+-- featuresFixed.dat
+-- include
 +-- FeatureFixed.h
 +-- Feature.h
 +-- CpuTime.h
 +-- KahanSum.h
 +-- Serialization.cc
 +-- Serialization.h
 +-- Sum.h
+-- Makefile
+-- README
+-- src
   +-- Feature.cc
    +-- FeatureFixed.cc
    +-- KahanSum.cc
```

To compile, build and test the codes, please

```
make clean make
```

It will produce executables in the bin/ subfolder.

More details can be found in the Appendix [Makefile].

## 2 Question 1

## 2.1 Introduction to Question 1

• List the problems with the C++ code here. Refactor the code.

The code is the following [code]:

```
#ifndef FEATURE_H
#define FEATURE_H
#include <iostream>
#include <sstream>
#include <stdio.h>
class Feature
public:
   enum FeatureType {eUnknown, eCircle, eTriangle, eSquare};
    Feature() : type(eUnknown), points(0) { }
///Problem1
/// Feature( FeatureType ft ) : type(ft), points(0) { }
    ~Feature()
///Problem2
       if (points)
           delete points;
//
              delete [] points;
   bool isValid()
       return type != eUnknown;
   bool read(FILE* file)
///Problem6
//read first prt for points
          fread(&points, sizeof(double *), 1, file);
///Problem4, Problem5 and Problem6
        if (fread(&type, sizeof(FeatureType), 1, file) != sizeof(FeatureType))
           if (fread(&type, sizeof(FeatureType), 1, file) != 1)
///
           return false;
        short n = 0;
        switch (type)
        case eCircle: n = 3; break;
        case eTriangle: n = 6; break;
        case eSquare: n = 8; break;
        default: type = eUnknown; return false;
```

```
}
///Problem2 and Problem3
       points = new double[n];
        if (!points)
            return false;
///Problem6 and Problem7
       return fread(&points, sizeof(double), n, file) == n*sizeof(double);
    void draw()
        switch (type)
/// Problem9
        case eCircle: drawCircle(points[0], points[1], points[2]); break;
/// Problem10
        case eTriangle: drawPolygon(points, 6); break;
        case eSquare: drawPolygon(points, 8); break;
    }
protected:
/// Problem8
    void drawCircle(double centerX, double centerY, double radius);
   void drawPolygon(double* points, int size);
///Problem1
   double* points;
   FeatureType type;
};
#endif
```

```
#include "Feature.h"
#include <iostream>

using namespace std;

int main(int argc, char* argv[])
{

/// Problem1

Feature feature;

/// Feature feature(Feature::eSquare);

FILE * pFile;
```

```
pFile = fopen ("features.dat", "w");
 fwrite (&feature , sizeof(Feature), 1, pFile);
 fclose (pFile);
/// to test the Problem1
  feature.isValid();
   pFile = fopen("features.dat", "r");
   bool status=feature.read(pFile);
   fclose (pFile);
///Problem7
       if (!status) cout<<"something wrong with reading"<<endl;</pre>
    if (!feature.isValid()) {
       cout<<"feature:: invalid"<<endl;</pre>
       return 1; } else
                                cout<<"feature:: valid"<<endl;</pre>
   return 0;
}
```

## 2.2 Realization of Question 1

First, I consider that the task should be realized using C++. The package, called <code>case\_study\_yandex</code>, has been developed and tested with

```
gcc 4.6.1 in Ubuntu
```

To compile the the code, the Make-based framework is used. To build and setup executables, just do

```
make clean make
```

To run the code, just run it as

```
bin/Feature
```

or

```
bin/FeatureFixed
```

The problematic code can be found in the  $\{src,include\}/Feature.\{cc,h\}$ . For my and your convenience, I have added comments, like ProblemX, where X=1,2,3,etc, to the code in order to indicate the problem. Also, the piece of the code

```
FILE * pFile;
pFile = fopen ("features.dat", "w");
fwrite (&feature , sizeof(Feature), 1, pFile);
fclose (pFile);
```

is added to test the problems bellow.

To solve the problem of I/O non-POD objects, the serialization of the Feature class is defined and tested in the  $\{src,include\}/FeatureFixed.\{cc,h\}$ .

#### 2.2.1 The problem 1

#### Description

The interface of the Feature class does not contain default functions to get/set its properties, i.e the members points and type. However, there is a special case of the "set" procedure, which is implemented as bool read(FILE\* file). This particular "set" procedure will take a significant amount of CPU due to file I/O operation, which makes the code to be slow.

#### Solution

The interface of the Feature should be extended to include default get() and set().

For example, set() function (here for type property) can be delegated to the constructor: Feature(FeatureType ft): type(ft)  $\{\}$ .

Also setters of type and points members should be bound, in order to keep the correspondence between the type and the size of points.

#### 2.2.2 The problem 2

#### Description

This problem is related to the question:

Does "C++ delete array memory without brackets" works and how it works? The C++
standard explicitly states that such behavior is undefined (undefined behavior, **UB**). Example of the **UB** for
the different platforms is shown in Ref. [link1].

#### Solution

Use brackets in the delete operator, delete [] points.

#### 2.2.3 The problem 3

#### Description

The new operator can throw the exception std::bad\_alloc.

#### Solution

```
Use try {...} catch (std::bad_alloc& ba) {...} block.
```

#### 2.2.4 The problem 4

#### Description

Relates to

```
if (fread(&type, sizeof(FeatureType), 1, file) != sizeof(FeatureType))
```

The  $size_t$  fread (...) returns the total number of elements successfully read [link2], but not the size of the type.

#### Solution

Use, instead,

```
if (fread(&type, sizeof(FeatureType), 1, file) != 1)
```

#### 2.2.5 The problem 5

#### Description

Relates to

```
if (fread(&type, sizeof(FeatureType), 1, file) != sizeof(FeatureType))
```

The logic of the code is wrong. Feature::read() tries to read non-Plain-Object-Data (POD) from the file. The problem with objects of non-POD types is that once a copy of their memory storage is made (into a file using fwrite()), there's no such "tool" that we can use to do some operations with the copy. We can't fread() the copy back into memory and expect to use it as if it were the original object. More information can be found in Ref. [link3].

#### Solution

I have solved this problem by using different logic of the Feature::read(). See, explanations later.

#### 2.2.6 The problem 6

#### Description

Relates to

```
if (fread(&type, sizeof(FeatureType), 1, file) != sizeof(FeatureType))
```

Anyway, the code works and sets 0, which is Feature::eUnknown, to the value of type. Why it happens? Because of the order of members in the class, this commands reads the field points, but not type. Occasionally, sizeof(:Feature::FeatureType) and sizeof(double \*) are qualed, what prevent the code from the crash.

#### Solution

To recover (partly) the reading problem of the non-POD, a few lines should be added before this if(...) statements

#### 2.2.7 The problem 7

#### Description

Relates to

```
return fread(&points, sizeof(double), n, file) == n*sizeof(double);
```

As I pointed out, there is a wrong order of reading the fields from the files, first the points should be read then type. Another problem is that points is pointer. It contains the address which is not valid anymore after the serialization. So, this particular fread() will try to read n doubles from the record presenting the non-valid u32 address to the double container. This fread(...) will return always 0. And then, if one checks the status of the reading operation in main()

```
bool status=feature.read(pFile);
.....
///Problem 7
   if (!status) cout<<"something wrong with reading"<<endl;</pre>
```

the warning will be always printed.

#### Solution

To solve this problem, we have to implement the other logic of the Feature::read(). See, explanations later.

#### 2.2.8 The problem 8

#### Description

The Feature::draw() is based on two declared but not defined methods: drawCircle() and drawPolygon. Using the Feature::draw() in the main subroutine will cause the error of linking

```
Feature.h:76: undefined reference to `Feature::drawCircle(double, double)'
Feature.h:77: undefined reference to `Feature::drawPolygon(double*, int)'
Feature.h:78: undefined reference to `Feature::drawPolygon(double*, int)'
```

#### Solution

Define the functions,

```
void drawCircle(double centerX, double centerY, double radius) {/* NOTHING-TO-DO */ }
void drawPolygon(double* points, int size) {/* NOTHING-TO-DO */}
```

#### 2.2.9 The problem 9

#### Description

The points are not properly initialized (they are always 0) in this call of drawCicle(...)

```
case eCircle: drawCircle(points[0], points[1], points[2]); break;
```

#### Solution

Changing the logic of the Feature::read() (see, Problems 5-7) helps.

#### 2.2.10 The problem 10

#### Description

The wrong type of the first argument in

```
void drawPolygon(double* points, int size);
```

#### Solution

Instead, use const double \*, to prevent from modifying the content of the points pointer in this function.

```
void drawPolygon(const double* points, int size);
```

## 2.3 The Feature object serialization as a replacement of Feature::read()

The non-POD objects requires to have serialization/deserialization interface. I am not going to consider the Boost::serialization solution which handles the serialization of objects of any POD-complexity rather elegantly. Instead, I introduce the abstract layer class Serializable, which aims to perform the I/O operations with the members of the class Feature. For sake of simplicity, I do not follow the rules to achieve the cross-platform compatibility, i.e properly saving the little-endian and big-endians, which is discussed in details in Ref. [link3]. Therefore, the binary files, but not the plain ascii files, are chosen as storage elements.

The new interface with serialization support is called class FeatureFixed. The interface Serializable defines the two methods:

```
class Serializable
{

public:

    Serializable(){}
    ~Serializable(){}

    virtual void serialize (std::ofstream& stream) { }

    virtual void deserialize(std::ifstream& stream) { }
}
```

The realization is rather simple and given in the src/Serialization.cc

```
#include "FeatureFixed.h"

#include <iostream>
#include <fstream>

void FeatureFixed::serialize (std::ofstream& stream){

    std::size_t size;
    if (type == FeatureFixed::eUnknown ) return;
    if (type == FeatureFixed::eCircle) size=3;
    else if (type == FeatureFixed::eTriangle) size=6;
    else size=8;
```

```
std::size_t type_size=sizeof(type);
       stream.write( reinterpret_cast<const char *>(&type), sizeof(FeatureFixed::FeatureType) );
       for (size_t i=0;i<size;i++) stream.write( reinterpret_cast<const char *>(&points[i]), sizeof(double));
}
void FeatureFixed::deserialize (std::ifstream& stream){
   std::size t size;
   std::vector<char> buffer_type(sizeof(FeatureFixed::FeatureType));
   std::vector<char> buffer point(sizeof(double));
   stream.read(&buffer_type[0], sizeof(FeatureFixed::FeatureType) );
   type = *reinterpret_cast<FeatureType *>(&buffer_type[0]);
       if (points) delete [] points;
       if (type == FeatureFixed::eUnknown ) { points=0; return; }
       else if (type == FeatureFixed::eCircle ) size=3;
       else if (type == FeatureFixed::eTriangle) size=6;
       else if (type == FeatureFixed::eSquare) size=8;
       else { type=FeatureFixed::eUnknown; points=0; return; }
       try { points = new double[size]; } catch (std::bad_alloc& ba)
            std::cerr << "bad_alloc caught: " << ba.what() << '\n';
           type=FeatureFixed::eUnknown; points=0; return;
       for (size_t i=0;i<size;i++) {</pre>
           stream.read(&buffer_point[0], sizeof(double) );
           points[i] = *reinterpret_cast<double *>(&buffer_point[0]);
       return;
```

The program, called src/FeatureFixed.cc, performs testing the serialization system of the class FeatureFixed.

## 3 Question 2

## 3.1 Introduction to Question 2

• For the three different implementations of floating point summation listed here, estimate the complexity (or performance) for the case, if size of input data array is increased by 1,000 times. Numbers in input data array are always positive. Please explain your answer and comment on the question.

```
double sum1(std::vector<double>& v)
{
    if (v.empty()) {
        return 0.0;
    }
    for(size_t i = 0; i < v.size() - 1; ++i) {
        std::sort(v.begin()+i, v.end());
        v[i+1] += v[i];
    }
    return v.back();</pre>
```

```
double sum2(std::vector<double>& v)
   if (v.empty()) {
       return 0.0;
   for(size_t i = 0; i < v.size() - 1; ++i) {</pre>
       std::partial_sort(v.begin() + i, v.begin() + i + 2, v.end());
       v[i+1] += v[i];
   return v.back();
double sum3(std::vector<double>& v)
   std::multiset<double> set(v.begin(), v.end());
   while (set.size() > 1) {
       std::multiset<double>::const_iterator itA = set.begin();
       std::multiset<double>::const iterator itB = ++set.begin();
       double c = *itA + *itB;
       set.erase(itA, itB);
       set.insert(c);
   }
   return !set.empty() ? *set.begin()
                        : 0.0;
}
```

#### 3.2 Answers on Question 2

I consider the performance of the algorithms using big-O notation and numbers reported in the tables of Ref. [link4]. Also, I appeal to the worst-case performance of the algorithms below. I assume that every sentence of the that doesn't depend on the size of the input data takes a constant C number computational steps.

• Let's consider all summation algorithms step by step.

For the first algorithm we have the computational complexity f(N) as

```
if (v.empty()) {
    return 0.0;
}
for(size_t i = 0; i < v.size() - 1; ++i) {
    std::sort(v.begin()+i, v.end());
    v[i+1] += v[i];
}
return v.back();

f(N) = C + {N*Log(N) + (N-1)*Log(N-1) + ...+ 2*Log(2)}*C +(N-1)*C + C ~= N + N^2*Log(N)</pre>
```

where N\*Log(N) is the approximate complexity of the std::sort. In big-O notation the complexity of first algorithm is  $O(N+N^2\ Log(N))$ . So increasing the number of input elements by a factor of 1,000 corresponds to the increasing of CPU time by the factor of  $\sim 7*10^6$ .

The complexity of the second algorithm is the following:

```
double sum2(std::vector<double>& v)
{
   if (v.empty()) {
      return 0.0;
   }
   for(size_t i = 0; i < v.size() - 1; ++i) {
      std::partial_sort(v.begin() + i, v.begin() + i + 2, v.end());
      v[i+1] += v[i];
   }
   return v.back();
}

f(N) = C + {N*Log(2) + (N-1)*Log(2) + ...+ 2*Log(2)}*C +(N-1)*C + C = N + (N-1)(N+2)/2*Log(2) ~= N + N^2/2</pre>
```

Here, following the Ref. [link5], the complexity of the std::partial\_sort is approximately N\*log(M), where N is size of the input vector, and M is the distance between first and middle elements, which is equaled to 2. In big-O notation the complexity of the second algorithm is  $O(N+N^2/2)$ . So increasing the number of input elements by a factor of 1,000 corresponds to the increasing of CPU time by the factor of  $\sim 5*10^5$ .

In the end, the calculation of the complexity for the third algorithm is estimated. I have found the error in the code. Instead of set.erase(itA, itB); `` should be ``set.erase(itA, ++itB);. It reads

The std::multiset are Red-Black Trees. The complexity of std::multiset::erase(it1,it2) is proportional to the it2-it1 [link6]. The time complexity of std::multiset::insert(c) is about of O(log n) [link7].

So increasing the number of input elements by a factor of 1,000 gives the increase of CPU time by the factor of  $\sim\!11*10^3$  .

As a final examination, we can compare numerical results for each algorithm. I have realized the calculation of CPU cycles per each algorithm using functions from the GNU Standard Library <code><time.h></code> and <code><sys/time.h></code>. They are <code>gettimeofday(&time,NULL)</code> and <code>clock()</code>. More information can be found in <code>include/CpuTime.h</code>. Running the code <code>src/KahanSum.cc</code> [question3], we get the following numbers shown in the Figure 1.

```
KahanSum:
Result = 3300
Delta Wall Time = 0.001827
Delta CPU Time = 0
Robust Sum:
Result = 3300
Delta Wall Time = 0.000686884
Delta CPU Time = 0
sum2:
Result = 3300
Delta Wall Time = 4.87135
Delta CPU Time = 4.74
sum3:
10000
Result = 3300
Delta Wall Time = 0.077286
Delta CPU Time = 0.07
sum1:
Result = 3300
Delta Wall Time = 80.3988
Delta CPU Time = 78.47
```

Figure 1. CPU timing for the summation different algorithms.

As expected,  $CPU(sum1)/CPU(sum2) \sim 10$  and  $CPU(sum1)/CPU(sum3) \sim 10^3$ . This absolutely agrees with the previous estimations.

## 4 Question 3

## 4.1 Introduction to Question 3

• Propose an optimal solution for task 2. Summation accuracy should remain the same.

## 4.2 Realization of Question 3

It is clear that the time complexity for the optimal summation is about O(N). The fastest algorithms would be either

```
double sum3(std::vector<double>& v)
{
   if (v.empty()) {
```

```
return 0.0;
}
for(size_t i = 0; i < v.size() - 1; ++i)
    v[i+1] += v[i];
return v.back();
}</pre>
```

or

```
return std::accumulate(input.begin(), input.end(),0.);
```

However, the question about summation accuracy remains. To significantly reduce the numerical error of summation, I use the compensated summation aka Kahan summation algorithm [link8]. It reads as follows:

```
struct KahanResult
  double sum;
  double corr;
};
KahanResult KahanSum(KahanResult accumul, double val)
  KahanResult result;
  double input = val - accumul.corr;
  double sum = accumul.sum + input;
  result.corr = (sum - accumul.sum) - input;
  result.sum = sum;
  return result;
}
#include <iostream>
#include <cassert>
#include <vector>
int main(int argc, char* argv[])
  KahanResult init = \{0.,0.\};
KahanResult result =
std::accumulate(input.begin(), input.end(), init, KahanSum);
 std::cout << "Kahan Sum: " << result.sum << std::endl;</pre>
 std::cout << "Kahan Error: " << result.corr << std::endl;
result 0;
```

```
}
```

The more details can be found in the {interface, src}/KahanSum. {h,cc}.

## 5 Question 4

## 5.1 Introduction to Question 4

• You are required to improve performance of an application written in C++. What are the typical performance bottlenecks? How can they be located and fixed?

## 5.2 Answers on Question 4

#### 5.2.1 Introduction

- 1. C/ C++ applications are more tend to memory issues like memory leaks and memory corruption which could not only degrade the performance but also impact the scalability and availability of the applications and also, lead to unexpected results. The latter are even impossible to trace back. A vital care at the design of the memory management of C/C++ applications with the strict adherence to the usage of code review tools to identify the violation of coding standards can avoid this to a greater extent. These tools will be discussed later.
- 2. Next to memory it is the CPU timing. We know that the C/C++ application are less CPU intense since the code is available in the almost binary and, of course, optimized form that we have provided with the help of compilers, aimed to improve the efficiency of the code. If the code has its own drawbacks, we need to understand and validate the optimization level before we use it based on the solution we are working with.
- 3. General approach or tendency followed by the performance testers to improve the code is to identify the time taken by the functions and the number of function calls happening using in-built application tracer of some IDE or by using external run-time tools. Once the times are determined and the target is set, the algorithm itself is being modified using the strategy specified in the next subsection and methods of trails & errors.

### 5.2.2 Optimizing the C++ code

Before any optimization steps, the application is designed following two main rules:

- 1. The algorithms and data structures are designed in a way that makes sense for the application logic.
- 2. The code that implements the designed algorithms is written, following guidelines to avoid inefficient operations.

The points 1. and 2. are bound and results into suggestions that might be implemented in the code to reach high efficiency of the application. They are divided in few groups, discussed briefly below.

#### • Optimization of the lifetime cycle

- We have to look into the perspective, trying to find such fragments if (x != 0) x = 0; and replacing it by x=0.
- Then it is important to use inline functions everywhere it is possible.
- It is typically more efficient to use += instead of + alone, because we avoid generating a temporary object. We have to use the prefix operators to avoid the creating a temporary object.

- It is a good practice to use explicit constructors. Using explicit will protect you from stupid mistakes and make it easier for you to pinpoint potential bottlenecks.
- We have to prefer initialization over assignment for objects. Initializing an object invokes the object's copy constructor, while defining and then assigning the object invokes both the default constructor and then the assignment operator.
- The object declaration should be postponed until an initialization can be performed.
- The loops should be treated properly:
  - a. LOOP UNROLLING
  - b. LOOP JAMMING
  - c. LOOP INVERSION
- An object should be passed to a function by reference, and not by pointer. This does not invoke a copy constructor.
- Also instead of passing a function pointer as an argument to a function, a pass of a function-object is more preferable.
- Any object constructor should initialize member objects using initialization lists.
- Two-phase construction of an object, based on the minimal constructor and the "heavy" factory, creating objects, will help in cases when many objects are copied.
- Exceptions are a great way to deal with unexpected errors. But they're expensive. They should be avoided.
- In every class, declare every member function that does not access the non-static members of the class as static. In other words, declare all the member functions that you can as static. In this way, the implicit this argument is not passed.

#### Memory optimization

- One have to use the most simplest and thus efficient types: int, unsigned int, char etc.
- In order to save memory, we can turn off runtime type identification. Type\_info objects will not waste the space. Thus dynamic\_cast and typeid operators should be escaped.
- We can consider a class allocation by means of the "memory pools." If such allocators contain only methods ("empty classes") and they are used as a base class, then it can save more memory because of compiler byte-alignment settings.
- Using copy-on-write approach, two or more objects can share the same data until the moment when one of those objects is changed, at which point the data is physically copied and changed in one of the objects. This technique is realized with help of "smart" pointers.

#### • Input/Output optimization

• C++ stream I/O is a very flexible and safe method of doing input and output. However, the printf is more efficient, but not very safe.

#### Optimization of the sorting and searching

• To search a sorted sequence, the std::lower\_bound, std::upper\_bound, std::equal\_range, or std::binary\_search generic algorithms should be used. Given that all the cited algorithms use a logarithmic complexity O(log(n)) binary search, they are faster than the std::find algorithm, which uses a linear complexity O(n) sequential scan.

#### • Optimization of the containers

• The STL containers are efficient. There are a number of other advantages to using STL: It's a standard. It's thin. It's flexible. It's already written. And debugged, and tested.

- If a container provides a member function, that duplicates a generic STL algorithm, then the member function is more efficient.
- 3. Then, after design steps, the resulting software is tested against crippling defects. For this purpose, debuggers are used.
- 4. After having completed the development of a correctly working application, the **optimization stage** begins. Optimization is simply waste of programmer time if any of these statements are true:
  - parts of the program haven't been written yet;
  - the program is not fully tested and debugged;
  - it seems to run fast enough already;
- 5. The following sub-stages of the optimization are usually performed:

#### 5.1. Performance testing (aka Profiling).

Commands with inadequate performance are detected. These are commands that, when processing typical data, require more resources (CPU time, storage space, etc) than are available. For every command with inadequate performance, a profiler is used to determine which portions of code are the so-called bottlenecks for that command. As an example about profiling, see next subsection.

Usually the profiling of the application, properly designed in accordance with 1) and 2) recommendations, will not show serious bottlenecks and further sub-stages are not required. However, because of the strong limits on CPU and Memory consumption, which are fixed in the software specification, the **Algorithmic optimization** is performed next. **Algorithmic optimization** is an extension of the design rules proposed for 1. and 2. steps.

#### 5.2. Algorithmic optimization. For each bottleneck, optimization techniques are applied.

Such techniques can be found in algorithm theory textbooks. This optimization involves attempting to reduce the number of executed machine cycles. In particular it involves reducing the number of calls to costly routines or transforming expensive operations into equivalent but less costly operations.

#### 5.3. Software platform dependent optimization.

For each bottleneck, optimization techniques are applied that depend upon both the programming language and the software platform. For example, compiler options, pragma compiler directives, language extensions, non-standard libraries, direct calls to the operating system are exploited. If this makes the program fast enough, the optimization stage is complete. Example: Loop unrolling with qcc -funroll-loops or function inlining with qcc -finline-functions.

#### 5.4. Hardware platform dependent optimization. For each bottleneck,

optimization techniques that depend upon the hardware platform are applied. This can involve using machine instructions that are specific to a processor family.

The profiling step 5.1. of optimization, using the perf  $\,$  code, is shown in the next subsection.

## 5.2.3 Profiling of the C++ code

Here, as an example, a few standard tools perf [perf] and groph2dot.py [groph2dot] do profiling of the program bin/KahanSum. Running the commands

```
perf record -g -- ../bin/KahanSum
perf script | ../../gprof2dot.py -f perf -s -n0 -e0 | dot -Tpng -o output2.png
```

The output 2.png, shown in Figure 2, gives detailed overview of the application performance




Figure 2. perf profiling of the bin/KahanSum.

The format of the plots is the following. A node in the output graph represents a function and has the following layout:

```
+-----+
| function name |
| total time % ( self time % ) |
+-----+
```

#### where:

- total time % is the percentage of the running time spent in this function and all its children;
- self time % is the percentage of the running time spent in this function alone;

An edge represents the calls between two functions and has the following layout:

```
total time %
parent -----> children
```

#### where:

• total time % is the percentage of the running time transferred from the children to this parent (if available);

From Figure 2, the sum1 and sum2 consume over 99% of all CPU timing. The main consumers in both algorithms are std::sort and  $std::partial\_sort$ . Certainly, the logic of the sum1 and sum2 should be changed to avoid use of the sorting algorithms.

## 6 Question 5

## 6.1 Introduction to Question 5

• Include random examples of your own C++ code that represent your skill level and your ability to design and implement your design.

## 6.2 Answers on Question 5

I'm a researcher working at the Deutschen-Electronen Synchrotron (DESY, Zeuthen/Hamburg) and Large Hadron Collider (LHC) of European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN, Geneva).

- 1. I serve the development of the CMS detector, one of the experiments at LHC. Here I am one of co-conveners of the group developing the software for the CMS experiment. The software is called CMSSW. You can find the description and manual of it under the link https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/WorkBook. The source codes are available in the Git repository https://github.com/cms-sw/cmssw.
- 2. I carry out the statistical analysis of the LHC data and develop the software for statistical analysis within the CMS project. The software is called HiggsAnalysis-CombinedLimit and can be found in the Git:

```
git clone https://github.com/cms-analysis/HiggsAnalysis-CombinedLimit.git
```

3. I did once a case study for the company HitFox GmbH. You can find answers and corresponding sources in the Git repository:

```
git clone https://github.com/igormarfin/HitFox_Case_Study.git
```

More information can be found in https://github.com/igormarfin/HitFox\_Case\_Study/blob/master/docs/Case\_Study\_hitfox.rst.

4. Also as a freelancer, I contribute to the project called reStructuredText, which is the part of the python's Docutils. I develop different parsers within rst2pdf, rst2latex etc tools. Also I contribute to the Pelikan Static Site Generator, http://blog.getpelican.com/, developing the system of automated blog postings. An example of the system application is a test blog http://igormarfin.github.io/pages/.

## 7 Appendix

## 7.1 Makefile - the way to build and install the project

To build projects in C/C++, python and java and upload them to SVN and GIT repos, the Makefile is created. The following keywords, i.e make keyword, are supported:

```
all Commit2SVN info makeSVN clean DEPENDENCE.ProblemB_python Makefile rm_python_dirs Commit2Git dirs2SVN makeGit
```

The Makefile is based on the use of hash tables (associative arrays) [makefile\_assoc] and technique for processing multiple sub-folders with one Makefile [makefile\_trick]. Each sub-project, either C/C++ or python, or JAVA, has two its own FLAGS (for compiling) and dependencies, like

```
#### Specific settings
DEPENDENCE.DivisorsHash
DEPENDENCE.ProblemA
                                     := $(INC_DIR)/DivisorsHash.h
DEPENDENCE.Node
                                             := $(INC_DIR)/Node_Edge.cc
                                     := $(INC_DIR)/Node_Edge.cc
DEPENDENCE.Graph
DEPENDENCE.ProblemB
                                     := $(INC_DIR)/Node_Edge.cc
FLAGS.ProblemB
                                     := -DINT MAX=100000
DEPENDENCE.ProblemB_python
                                     := DEPENDENCE.ProblemB_python
DEPENDENCE.ProblemB python:
        cd $(PYTHON_DIR) ; python setup.py test --test-suite='ProblemB.suite';
```

which are used to independently assemble the different sub-projects.

To build and setup executables, just do

```
make clean
make
```

Also, SVN and GIT repositories are supported. If user has registered to <code>googlecode.com</code> or <code>github.com</code>, it is possible to run the commands

```
# to creat SVN repo and upload the projects
make clean
make makeSVN
make dirs2SVN
sudo make Commit2SVN

# to create GIT repo and upload the projects
make clean
make makeGit
make Commit2Git
```

As an example, the Makefile can be defined as

```
#### Common settings
                      := HitFox_Case_Study
PROJECT
TMPDIR = $$HOME/tmp/$(PROJECT)
TMPDIR2SVN = $$HOME/tmp/$(PROJECT)/SVN
CURDIR=${shell pwd }
              := ${shell find . -type d | grep -v ".svn" | grep "./"}
Dirs
### SVN repo settings
SVNREPO := https://my-code-iggy-floyd-de.googlecode.com/svn/branches
SVNUSER
                      := iggy.floyd.de@gmail.com
### GIT repo settings
GITREPOPATH := https://api.github.com/user/repos
GITREPO
               := $(PROJECT)
              := igormarfin
GITUSER
### Compilers settings and C/C++ src/includes
CXX
             := g++
SRC_DIR
BIN_DIR
INC_DIR
             := src
                       := bin
                       := include
INCLUDES := $(addprefix -I, $(INC_DIR))
SRC := $(foreach sdir,$(SRC_DIR),$(wildcard $(sdir)/*.cc))
:= $(patsubst $(SRC_DIR)/%.cc,$(INC_DIR)/%.h,$(SRC))
PROGS_IN_BIN := $(patsubst src/%.cc,$(BIN_DIR)/%,$(SRC))
#### python programs settings
PYTHON_DIR := scripts
PYTHON_INSTALL := $(shell echo `pwd`/$(PYTHON_DIR)/test)
PYTHON_SRC := $(shell ls -d $(PYTHON_DIR)/*/ | grep -v "build" | grep -v "dist" \
                grep -v $(PROJECT) | grep -v "test"
PYTHON_SRC := $(patsubst $(PYTHON_DIR)/$, $,$(PYTHON_SRC))

PYTHON_SRC := $(patsubst $/,$,$(PYTHON_SRC))
PYTHON_PROGS_IN_BIN := $(addprefix $(BIN_DIR)/,$(patsubst %, %_python, $(PYTHON_SRC)))
PYTHON_PREFIX := lib/python2.7/site-packages
```

```
VPATH := $(SRC_DIR) $(PYTHON_DIR) $(addprefix $(PYTHON_DIR)/, $(PYTHON_SRC))

FLAGS := -std=c++0x

#### Specific settings for C/C++ executables

DEPENDENCE.DivisorsHash :=

DEPENDENCE.ProblemA := $(INC_DIR)/DivisorsHash.h

DEPENDENCE.Node := $(INC_DIR)/Node_Edge.cc

DEPENDENCE.Graph := $(INC_DIR)/Node_Edge.cc
```

```
DEPENDENCE.ProblemB
                                     := $(INC_DIR)/Node_Edge.cc
FLAGS ProblemB
                                     := -DINT MAX=100000
all: rm_python_dirs $(PROGS_IN_BIN) $(PYTHON_PROGS_IN_BIN)
#### Specific setting for python executables
DEPENDENCE.ProblemB_python
                                    := DEPENDENCE.ProblemB_python
DEPENDENCE.ProblemB_python:
       cd $(PYTHON_DIR) ; python setup.py test --test-suite='ProblemB.suite';
#### To clear python directories
rm_python_dirs:
       - rm -r $(shell echo `pwd`/$(PYTHON_DIR))/dist
       - rm -r $(shell echo `pwd`/$(PYTHON_DIR))/build

- rm -r $(shell echo `pwd`/$(PYTHON_DIR))/$(PROJECT).egg-info
       - rm -r $(shell echo `pwd`/$(PYTHON_DIR))/test
define make-goal
$(BIN_DIR)/$1: $2 $3 ${DEPENDENCE.${1}}
       $(CXX) $(INCLUDES) $(CPPFLAGS) $(FLAGS) ${FLAGS.${1}} $$< ${DEPENDENCE.${1}} -0 $$@
endef
define make-goal-python
$(BIN_DIR)/$1: $2 ${DEPENDENCE.${1}}}
       cd $(PYTHON_DIR); python setup.py bdist_egg;
       mkdir -p $(PYTHON_INSTALL)/$(PYTHON_PREFIX)
       sudo sh -c "export PYTHONPATH=$(PYTHON_INSTALL)/$(PYTHON_PREFIX):${PYTHONPATH};\
       easy_install --install-dir=$(PYTHON_INSTALL)/$(PYTHON_PREFIX)\
       --script-dir=$(shell echo `pwd`/$(BIN_DIR)) $(shell echo `pwd`/$(PYTHON_DIR)/dist/$(PROJECT)\
       -0.1-py2.7.egg)"
       echo export PYTHONPATH=$(PYTHON_INSTALL)/$(PYTHON_PREFIX):${PYTHONPATH}
endef
info:
                              $(SRC))
       $(info SOURCES:
$(info PROGRAMS:
                                $(PROGS))
       $(info INCLUDES:
                               $(INCLUDES))
       $(info HEADERS:
$(info PYTHON_SRC:
                                $(HEADERS))
                                 $(PYTHON_SRC))
       $(info PYTHON_INSTALL: $(PYTHON_INSTALL))
       $(info PYTHON_PROGS_IN_BIN: $(PYTHON_PROGS_IN_BIN))
```

```
###SVN support
      svn mkdir $(SVNREPO)/$(PROJECT) --username $(SVNUSER) ;\
dirs2SVN:
      - for dir in $(Dirs); do \
      done;
Commit2SVN:
       mkdir -p $(TMPDIR2SVN); \
      cd $(TMPDIR2SVN); \
      svn co $(SVNREPO)/$(PROJECT); \
    ls ; \
    cd - ; \
    find ./ -iname "*" | grep -v "*.svn*" | xargs -I \{\} install -D \{\}
    $(TMPDIR2SVN)/$(PROJECT)/{}; \
    cd $(TMPDIR2SVN)/$(PROJECT) \
      ls ;\
       pwd ;\
      svn status | grep '?' | sed 's/^.* /svn add --parents --force\
--username $(SVNUSER) /' | bash ; \
      svn ci ; \
       cd $(CURDIR) ;\
      rm -r $(TMPDIR2SVN);
########Git support
     curl -u '$(GITUSER)' $(GITREPOPATH) -d '{"name":"$(GITREPO)"}'
Commit2Git:
     git init
      git add ./
     git commit -m "adding to GitHub.com"
      git remote add origin git@github.com:$(GITUSER)/$(GITREPO).git
      git push -u origin master
.PHONY: all info clean rm_python_dirs makeSVN dirs2SVN Commit2SVN
```

## 8 References

С	he code snips are obtained using the following shell command at include/Feature.h   awk '{printf " %s\n",\$0}' > Feature.h.rst at src/Feature.cc   awk '{printf " %s\n",\$0}' > Feature.cc.rst
link1	Is delete[] equal to delete? http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1553382/is-delete-equal-to-delete
link2	fread http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/cstdio/fread/
link3	Disch's tutorial to good binary files http://www.cplusplus.com/articles/DzywvCM9/
link4	Computational performance http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Best,_worst_and_average_case
link5	std::partial_sort http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/algorithm/partial_sort/
link6	std::multiset::erase http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/set/multiset/erase/
link7	<pre>std::multiset::insert http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/set/multiset/insert/</pre>
link8	Kahan summation algorithm http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kahan_summation_algorithm
perf	perf Examples. How to profile your applications using the Linux perf tools http://www.brendangregg.com/perf.html http://baptiste-wicht.com/posts/2011/07/profile-applications-linux-perf-tools.html
groph2dot Gprof2Dot http://code.google.com/p/jrfonseca/wiki/Gprof2Dot#Example	
makefile_assoc	Makefile: find in array. http://stackoverflow.com/questions/7282414/makefile-find-in-array
	How to generate a Makefile with source in sub-directories using just one makefile http://stackoverflow.com/questions/231229/how-to-generate-a-makefile-with-source-
makefile_trick	in-sub-directories-using-just-one-makefil